File: P. 36/1281-I

February 16, 1937

Mr. Francis J. Braun,
61 Bishop Street,
Portland, Maine

Dear Sir:

With reference to the recent addition to your mill at 61 Bishop Street under permit No. 36/1281, an inspector from this office reports that some of the knee braces acting between posts and girders, and all of the 2x6's which you indicated that you would provide between the upper ends of these knee braces to properly support the girders according to Building Code standards, have been left out.

Please have these missing members put in on or before March 1, 1937, as they are as much a part of the structure as any other part and are as much a part of your agreement on the application for the permit as any other part.

Very truly yours,

MacH/H

Inspector of Buildings

Original Permit No. PERMIT ISSUED

Amendment No. 1

DEC 9 1938

AMENDMENT TO APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Portland, Maine, December 7, 1938

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for an amendment to Permit No. 38/1201 pertaining to the building or structure comprised in the original application in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith, and the following specifications:

Location 61 Bishop Street Ward 9 With the Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's or Lessee's name and address Frankis Braun, 61 Bishop Street

Contractor's name and address J. E. Cox, 23 Eleanor Street

Plans filed as part of this Amendment yes No. of Sheets 1

Increased cost of work 85. Additional fee .25

Description of Proposed Work

To build one story frame addition 12' x 16' on rear of shaving toner
foundation concrete piers - pitch roof, 5" to foot rise, Asphalt roofing Class C
Und. Lab. corner posts 6x6, Sills 6x6, dirt floor, rafters 2x6, 2' OC
15' to highest point of building from average grade

Francis Braun

Signature of Owner By T. J. Braun

Approved: [illegible]

INSPECTION COPY

Approved: 12/9/38

File: Reg. 7428B-I
P. 54/1950-I

August 11, 1936

Mr. Francis J. Braun,
61 Bishop Street,
Portland, Maine

Dear Sir:

I have your application filed by J. E. Low, contractor, to take down a certain section of your mill at 61 Bishop Street and to construct a new addition 82' x 29' as per plans submitted.

Because the proposed addition would make the floor area of the mill in excess of 7500 square feet, it is necessary to secure the approval of the Fire Department before the permit for this addition is issued.

While his approval is being secured and while the framing plans of the new addition are being checked, I wish to call to your attention the fact that there are at least two items in connection with your former permit in 1934 that require attention.

The fire door has not been provided between the mill and the boiler room. At this point a fire door covered with metal all over with locked joints and a fire door frame of similar construction is required and the door should be self-closing, that is normally closed and kept closed by means of a suitable door check, weight, spring or other approved device.

An inspector from this office also reports that you have constructed a platform about 35' x 80' on the easterly end of the mill and this platform has never been covered by a building permit of any description as required by law.

It will be necessary for you to provide this fire door in satisfactory manner and to apply for and secure a permit covering the construction of the platform, giving with the application a framing plan indicating the method of construction of the platform before we shall legally be able to issue the permit for the proposed addition.

Will you be kind enough to attend to these matters without delay so that there may be no further delay when the plans for the new addition have been checked and if they are approved by the Chief of the Fire Department.

Very truly yours,

McD/H

Inspector of Buildings

File: Recpt. No. 3304B-I

August 20, 1936

Mr. Francis J. Braun,
61 Bishop St.,
Portland, Me.

Dear Sir:

Referring to your application for a permit to build an addition and large platform upon your mill building at 61 Bishop St., certain parts of the framing do not figure to satisfy the requirements of the Building Code.

The 4 x 6 purlins in the absence of knee braces are good for 1760 lbs. and the theoretical load on them will be 2700 lbs. Forty-five degree 4 x 4 knee braces butted against a 2 x 4 spiked to the bottom of the 4 x 6 at a point 2 feet from the 4 x 4 posts, the knee braces to be "let-in" to the posts will satisfy the requirements.

The 6 x 8 cross members are not heavy enough. Even allowing for the knee braces shown, the 6 x 8 is good for 4100lbs, while the theoretical load will be 4900 lbs. and this not taking into account the probability of stock being piled on these 6 x 8's after the building is put into use. As far as the roof load is concerned this discrepancy may be taken care of by providing a 6 x 6 knee brace between the central post and the 6 x 8 in each case, these knee braces to be let-in to the posts and butted against a 2 x 6 spiked to the bottoms of the 8 x 8's, the other ends of the 2 x 6's to be butted against the knee braces shown on the cross-section.

Your plan indicates no sills, which are , of course, required, neither does it indicate size or spacing of vertical studs, which are specified as at least 2 x 4 not more than 16" on centers. No plate is shown at the tops of the studs. If you are to have any large door arrangements in any of the bays, it should be indicated. While we have no particular objection to the arrangement, your attention is called to the facts that the square foot allowable load on the 3 x 10 stringers in the floor is 275 lbs. while that of the 8 x 8 girders is only 111 lbs. In the platform the 6 x 6's 24" O. C. are good for 165 lbs. per sq. ft. while the 6 x 6 girders supporting them are only good for about 50 lbs. per square foot. By the way the plan shows a platform

20 feet long, but only 8 bays of 8 feet. Which is right?

All of these computations are based on timbers full size rather than dressed down sizes. If it turns out that the timbers are dressed four sides and therefore less actual dimensions, your proposed arrangements would be still less favorable.

Please decide what you proposed to do under these circumstances, and change the plans showing details that comply with the law, so that we may be able to issue the permit without delay. In the meantime it is not lawful for you to proceed with any of the work until the permit is actually posted on the premises. If I understood you correctly, you have already seen fit to pour the concrete piers, although you do not have any permit. I am sorry that is is necessary to call your attention to the fact that you have several times in the past been careless about going ahead with work before you had the permit in your possession. Please do not violate the law in this particular further. In other words, stop all work on this present project until you actually have the permit, and proceed in the same manner with all future work.

You are aware, of course, that I have taken an oath of office similar to that of every other enforcement officer, and unpleasant as such action would be, I shall not hesitate to proceed against you legally as stipulated by law, and without further notice, if the requirements of the Building Code are not observed in this and other particulars.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Warren McDonald
Inspector of Buildings.

CC Everett Low,
23 Elmwood St.

(I) INDUSTRIAL ZONE

Permit No. 1281

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED

AUG 21 1936

Class of Building or Type of Structure ~~Third Class~~

Portland, Maine, ~~August 10,~~ August 21 1936

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect alter install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location App. 61 Bishop Street Ward 9 Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's or Lessee's name and address Francis J. Braun, 61 Bishop St. Telephone

Contractor's name and address J. E. Low, 23 Eleanor St. Telephone 2-0272

Architect's name and address

Proposed use of building Planing Mill No. families

Other buildings on same lot

Plans filed as part of this application? yes No. of sheets 5

Estimated cost $1,500. Fee $ 3.75

Description of Present Building to be Altered

Material wood No. stories 1 Heat Style of roof pitch Roofing Asphalt

Last use Planing Mill No. families

General Description of New Work

To take down section of mill approximately 29' x 50' partially completed under amendment to Building Permit No. 35/1774,

To construct a new addition app. 82' x 29 as per plans submitted

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor.

Details of New Work

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REQUIRED AS WANTED

Height average grade to top of plate

Size, front depth No. stories Height average grade to highest point of roof

To be erected on solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of Roof pitch Rise per foot 5" Roof covering Asphalt roofing Class C Und. Lab.

No. of chimneys no Material of chimneys of lining

Kind of heat no Type of fuel Is gas fitting involved?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Material columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Girders 6x8 or larger. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet. Sills and corner posts all one piece in cross section.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated

Total number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

Miscellaneous

Will above work require removal or disturbing of any shade tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

APPROVED

INSPECTION COPY

CHIEF

Francis J. Braun

Signature of owner By J. Everett Low

Ward 9 Permit No. 36/1281

Loc. Opp. 61 Bishop St

Owner Francis J. Brown

[illegible] of permit 8/22/36

[illegible]-in

Inspn. closing-in

Final Notif.

Final Inspn. 8/25/39

Cert. of Occupancy issued None

NOTES

8/25/36 – First floor framed and [illegible] [illegible] A.J.S.

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

1/18/37 – Framing of addition under [illegible] completed A.J.S.

2/19/37 – Some of [illegible] have not been [illegible] and none [illegible] supposed to be put between them [illegible] [illegible] beams have [illegible] A.J.S.

7/16/37 – [illegible]

3/1/37 – Work not [illegible] done. [illegible] [illegible] just [illegible] is to be done and [illegible] to [illegible] taken care of as [illegible] to Mr. [illegible] from [illegible] which [illegible]

A.J.S.

Original Permit No. 38/479

Amendment No. 1

AMENDMENT TO APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Portland, Maine, June 22, 1938

PERMIT ISSUED
JUN 22 1938

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for an amendment to Permit No. 38/479 *pertaining to the building or structure comprised in the original application in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith, and the following specifications:*

Location Rear 55-59 Bishop Street Ward ____ Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No. ____

Owner's ~~or Lessee's~~ name and address Francis J. Braun, 61 Bishop St. 4-1683

Contractor's name and address J. Everett Low, 83 Eleanor St. 2-0278

Plans filed as part of this Amendment yes No. of Sheets 2

Increased cost of work ____ Additional fee .25

Description of Proposed Work

Instead of building as given in original permit
To build one story frame building 32' x 152' for storehouse, as shown on plans

hemlock
dressed 1S

Signature of Owner Francis J Braun

Approved:

Chief of Fire Department.

Approved: 6/22/38

Commissioner of Public Works.

INSPECTION COPY

Rept. #162C-I

June 20, 1938

Mr. Francis J. Braun,
61 Bishop Street,
Portland, Maine

Dear Sir:

The method proposed for framing your proposed storehouse at 55-69 Bishop Street as shown on the plan accompanying your application for an amendment to the original permit is very much different than the framing shown on the original building.

The 4x6 purlins, the 6x8 sills and girders on the 10 foot spans are inadequate to take the designated loads.

The plan is short the following information:

Depth of foundation piers (required to be four feet below grade of ground); spacing of studs; spacing of floor joists; spacing of rafters; studs spiked to the posts to support the lower ends of knee braces; bracing for stiffness through the length of the building.

I suggest that you have some person who can figure the carrying capacity of these timbers required advise you as to the size of the timbers needed and as to bracing the structure, have the plan made over and resubmit it.

The plan as we have it shows the 6x8 timber running across the building every 10 feet to support the posts from the purlins as resting upon the tops of the posts and then the 4x6 plate, resting on top of the 6x8. The arrangement would be more consistent with Building Code standards, if the 4x6 plate set on top of the posts and then the 6x8 girder on top of that.

Very truly yours,

WMcD/H

Inspector of Buildings

Dept. 16590-I

April 20, 1938

Mr. Francis J. Braun,
61 Bishop Street,
Portland, Maine

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is the building permit covering construction of a storage shed at the rear 55-69 Bishop Street, the permit having been approved by the Chief of the Fire Department on account of the unusually large floor area.

The permit is also given with the understanding that no part of the new building will be closer than five feet to any property line which divides the property on which you are to build from property owned by others than the owners of the property on which you are to build, locating any part of this frame building closer than five feet to such a property line being illegal under the Building Code.

The 4x6 timbers shown on the incline at the tops of the cross bents (I mean by bents the framing in the roof which occurs across the building every ten feet) are not heavy enough. It will be necessary to use 6x6 or equivalent in order to satisfy the requirements at these points.

The 6x8 timbers to be placed horizontally at the bottoms of these bents figure out satisfactorily if they are figured on a seven foot span between the toes of the knee braces. Care should be taken, therefore, to see that the distance between the toes of the knee braces is not more than seven feet.

The center 4x4 post and the 4x4 posts on either side of it of each bent do not figure adequate in view of the load to be carried and their unsupported heights. It will be necessary to use 6x6 posts at these three points in each bent.

It is assumed that the concrete piers will extend at least four feet below the grade of the ground and that the bottoms of the sills around the outside of the building will be at least eight inches above the ground. There is no objection to building a wooden barrier below the sills to hold the dirt floor.

The vertical studs in the outside wallsare indicated to be cut about two-thirds of their heights above the sills by a single 2x4 girt. We shall not object particularly if you desire to build the building this way, but it would be more in line with Building Code requirements and in my opinion would make a stiffer building to run the studs the entire length and then cut 2x4's between them as bridging. I presume you will use metal rods fastened to concrete piers to act as anchors for the 6x6 posts, the bottoms of the posts to be drilled and the posts set on over the drift pins.

STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

for Storage shed Date 4-14-38.

at 55-69 Bishop Street rear

1. In whose name in the title of the property now recorded? Port Term Co.

2. Are the boundaries of the property in the vicinity of the proposed work shown clearly on the ground, and how? Clear

3. Is the outline of the proposed work now staked out upon the ground? yes. If not, will you notify the Inspection Office when the work is staked out and before any of the work is commenced?

4. What is to be maximum projection or overhang of eaves or drip? 18"

5. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of the location plan or statement of location filed with this application, and does it show the complete outline of the proposed work on the ground, including bay windows, porches, and other projections? yes.

6. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of all statements in the application concerning the sizes, design and use of the proposed building? yes.

7. Do you understand that in case changes are proposed in the location of the work or in any of the details specified in the application that a revised plan and application must be submitted to this office before the changes are made? yes.

Francis J. Braun.

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED Permit No. 0479

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, April 14, 1938

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect alter install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 55-69 Bishop Street Rear Ward Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

~~Owner's~~ Lessee's name and address Francis J. Braun, 61 Bishop Street Telephone 2-0276

Contractor's name and address Emery J. Everett Lot, 23 Eleanor Street Telephone 2-0276

Architect Plans filed yes No. of sheets 1

Proposed use of building Storage of Lumber No. families

Other buildings on same lot Mill and Dry House

Estimated cost $1600. Fee $ 2.50

Description of Present Building to be Altered

Material No. stories Heat Style of roof Roofing

Last use No. families

General Description of New Work

To construct one story frame building 48' x 200'

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY
REQUIREMENT IS WAIVED
INSPECTION & TONE LAMBERT
ON CLOSING-IN IS WAIVED

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor.

Details of New Work

Size, front 200' depth 48' No. stories 1 Height average grade to top of plate 16'6"

To be erected on solid or filled land? filled earth or rock? earth

Material of foundation concrete piers posts Thickness, top bottom

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of Roof pitch Rise per foot 5" Roof covering Asphalt roofing Class C Und. Lab.

No. of chimneys none Material of chimneys of lining

Kind of heat none Type of fuel Is gas fitting involved?

Corner posts 6x8 Sills 4x6 Girt or ledger board? Size

Material columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Girders 6x8 or larger. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet. Sills and corner posts all one piece in cross section.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor dirt, 2nd, 3rd, roof 2x8

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof 24"

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated

Total number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

Miscellaneous

Will above work require removal or disturbing of any shade tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

Signature of owner Francis J. Braun

INSPECTION COPY

Alvin P. Faulkner

1659 9th

(I) INDUSTRIAL ZONE

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED
Permit No. 2594
NOV 20 1940

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, November 20, 1940

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect alter install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 61 Bishop Street Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's or Lessee's name and address Francis J. Beam, 61 Bishop St. Telephone 4-1881

Contractor's name and address C. Olsen, East Falmouth Rd. Falmouth Me. Telephone

Architect Plans filed no No. of sheets

Proposed use of building Storehouse and Office No. families

Other buildings on same lot

Estimated cost $ 25. Fee $ 25

Description of Present Building to be Altered

Material wood No. stories 1 Heat none Style of roof Roofing

Last use Storehouse and Office No. families

General Description of New Work

To build one inside brick chimney

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor.

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing work involved in this work?

Is any electrical work involved in this work? Height average grade to top of plate

Size, front depth No. stories Height average grade to highest point of roof

To be erected on solid or filled land? solid earth or rock? earth

Material of foundation concrete Thickness, top bottom cellar

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys 1 Material of chimneys brick of lining tile

Kind of heat stove Type of fuel oil Is gas fitting involved?

Framing lumber—Kind Dressed or full size?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Material columns, under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Girders 6x8 or larger. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet. Sills and corner posts all one piece in cross section.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated

Total number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

Miscellaneous

Will above work require removal or disturbing of any shade tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

Signature of owner Francis J Beam

INSPECTION COPY

Original Permit No. 37/909 PERMIT ISSUED

Amendment No. 1

JUL 9 1937

AMENDMENT TO APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

Portland, Maine, July 9, 1937

The undersigned hereby applies for an amendment to Permit No. 37/909 pertaining to the building or structure comprised in the original application in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith, and the following specifications:

Location 55-59 Bishop Street, Rear Ward 9 With the Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's ~~or Lessee's~~ name and address Francis J Braun, 61 Bishop Street 4-1882

Contractor's name and address J. E. Low, 23 Eleanor Street 2-0276

Plans filed as part of this Amendment yes No. of Sheets 1

Increased cost of work 100. Additional fee .25

Description of Proposed Work

no roof

To erect new platform 20' x 55' at end of new dry house, and
To erect new platform 8' x 12' at side of new dry house and above platform - no roof
Framed as shown on plan submitted

Signature of Owner Francis J Braun

Approved:

Chief of Fire Department.

Approved: 7/9/37

3-43

Memorandum from Department of Building Inspection, Portland, Maine

61 Bishop St.—Construction of chimney in former lumber mill of Francis J. Braun by Cordwell Olsen, Builder——12/17/42

To Owner:

This permit is issued as per your letter of Dec. 10, 1942 to the effect that the building will no longer be a woodworking plant and will not be used in the category of a "Hazardous Room" as contemplated by the Code. Over the phone you said the building would probably be leased out for storage.

The new furnace requires a separate permit from this department, issuable only to the actual installer. The furnace should be installed on an insulated base as provided in Sect. 601-b-4 of the Code. If it is practicable to remove all wood under the furnace and support the furnace entirely on incom bustible material, that must be done. Which ever course is used should be shown clearly on the application for the permit for the app nce which is required to be filed and permit secured before the installation is commen d.

(*Signed*) Warren McDonald
Inspector of Buildin

C INDUSTRIAL ZONE

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED
Permit No. 1412
DEC 17 1942

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, December 7, 1942

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect alter install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 61 Bishop Street Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's ~~or Lessee's~~ name and address Francis J. Braun, 61 Bishop St. Telephone 1881

Contractor's name and address Cardwell Olsen, R.F.D.#5 Portland Telephone

Architect Plans filed no No. of sheets

Proposed use of building Mill No. families

Other buildings on same lot

Estimated cost $ 60. Fee $ .50

Description of Present Building to be Altered

Material wood No. stories 1 Heat none Style of roof Roofing

Last use Mill No. families

General Description of New Work

To build one inside brick chimney (

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor.

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENT IS WAIVED

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing work involved in this work?

Is any electrical work involved in this work? Height average grade to top of plate

Size, front depth No. stories Height average grade to highest point of roof

To be erected on solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation concrete Thickness, top bottom cellar

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys 1 Material of chimneys brik of lining. tile

Kind of heat stove Type of fuel Is gas fitting involved?

Framing lumber—Kind Dressed or full size?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Material columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Girders 6x8 or larger. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet. Sills and corner posts all one piece in cross section.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated

Total number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

Miscellaneous

Will above work require removal or disturbing of any shade tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

Signature of owner Francis J Braun

INSPECTION COPY

File: P.37/809-I

June 21, 1937

Mr. Francis J. Braun,
61 Bishop Street,
Portland, Maine

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is the building permit covering the construction of a one story dry house at the rear of 61 Bishop Street.

In our telephone conversation you mentioned something about a roof over the platform between the dry house and the present mill, but there is no indication in the application for the permit that this roof is contemplated.

Since you are now building a new building separated 25 feet from the present mill, we are able to issue the building permit under the Building Code.

If you desire a roof over the platform between the dry house and the present mill, the question will come up as to whether or not this then becomes one floor area and if it is one floor area whether the Chief of the Fire Department will approve it. His office reports that he is out of town for several days and I am therefore not able to find out his reaction to the matter of the roof.

Very truly yours,

McD/H
CC: J. E. Low
23 Eleanor St.

Inspector of Buildings

File: Rept. 9560B-I

June 16, 1937

Mr. Francis J. Braun,
55-69 Bishop Street,
Portland, Maine

Dear Sir:

I am unable to issue the building permit for the construction of an addition to your woodworking mill at the rear 55-69 Bishop Street because the Chief of the Fire Department feels that his duty will not allow him to approve the increased floor area in a frame building used for this purpose.

The Building Code limits the area of buildings for such a use of third class (frame) construction to 7500 square feet, but further provides that under certain conditions this limit may be exceeded if approved by the Chief of the Fire Department. Exclusive of the heater room which is separated from the mill by fire resistive walls, you now have a floor area of about 9800 square feet. The proposed addition would increase this area to about 11,700 square feet. Obviously the permit cannot be issued without approval of the Chief of the Fire Department.

Chief Sanborn says that he will be unable to approve any further additions until the entire plant is equipped with an automatic sprinkler system.

Please advise what you propose to do under these circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Inspector of Buildings

McD/H

CC: J. Everett Low
22 Eleanor Street

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Permit No. PERMIT ISSUED 0909

*Class of Building or Type of Structure*_____

Portland, Maine, JUN 21 1937

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to ~~erect~~ alter install the following building ~~structure~~ equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 61 Bishop Street, Rear Ward 9 Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.____

Owner's ~~or Lessee's~~ name and address Francis J. Braun, 61 Bishop Street Telephone____

Contractor's name and address J. E. Low, 25 Eleanor Street Telephone 2-0275

Architect____ Plans filed____ No. of sheets____

Proposed use of building Mill and Dry House No. families____

Other buildings on same lot____

Estimated cost $ 500. Fee $ .75

Description of Present Building to be Altered

Material ~~Wood~~ No. stories 1 Heat____ Style of roof____ Roofing____

Last use Mill No. families____

General Description of New Work

To remove portion of existing platform 18' x 55' and
To build one story dry house in this location

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor.

Details of New Work

Size, from 18' depth 55' No. stories 1 Height average grade to top of plate____ Height average grade to highest point of roof 18'

To be erected on solid or filled land? solid filled earth or rock? earth

Material of foundation concrete piers below frost Thickness, top____ bottom____

Material of underpinning____ Height____ Thickness____

Kind of Roof pitch Rise per foot 5" Roof covering ~~Asphalt roofing Class C Und. Lab.~~

No. of chimneys no Material of chimneys____ of lining____

Kind of heat from main plant Type of fuel____ Is gas fitting involved?____

Corner posts 6x6 Sills 6x8 Girt or ledger board?____ Size____

Material columns under girders____ Size____ Max. on centers____

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Girders 6x8 or larger. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet. Sills and corner posts all one piece in cross section.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor dirt, 2nd____, 3rd____, roof 2x8

On centers: 1st floor____, 2nd____, 3rd____, roof 24"

Maximum span: 1st floor____, 2nd____, 3rd____, roof____

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls?____ height? 2x8 ties at every pair of rafters

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot____, to be accommodated____

Total number commercial cars to be accommodated____

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?____

Miscellaneous

Will above work require removal or disturbing of any shade tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

INSPECTION COPY

Signature of owner Francis J. Braun
By J. Everett Low

15606

STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

for addition to mill

at 71 Bishop Street Date 6/12/37

1. In whose name in the title of the property now recorded? Francis J. Brann

2. Are the boundaries of the property in the vicinity of the proposed work shown clearly on the ground, and how? Yes By Platform

3. Is the outline of the proposed work now staked out upon the ground? Yes If not, will you notify the Inspection Office when the work is staked out and before any of the work is commenced? Yes

4. What is to be maximum projection or overhang of eaves or drip? Yes

5. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of the location plan or statement of location filed with this application, and does it show the complete outline of the proposed work on the ground, including bay windows, porches, and other projections? Yes

6. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of all statements in the application concerning the sizes, design and use of the proposed building? Yes

7. Do you understand that in case changes are proposed in the location of the work or in any of the details specified in the application that a revised plan and application must be submitted to this office before the changes are made? Yes

J. Everett Low

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Permit No.

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, June 12, 1937

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect alter install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 61 Bishop Street Ward 9 Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's or Lessee's name and address Frankis J. Braun, Bishop Street Telephone

Contractor's name and address J. Everett Low, 23 Eleanor St. Telephone 2-0175

Architect Plans filed No. of sheets

Proposed use of building Mill No. families

Other buildings on same lot

Estimated cost $ 500. Fee $ .75

Description of Present Building to be Altered

Material wood No. stories 1 Heat Style of roof Roofing

Last use Mill No. families

General Description of New Work

To ~~make~~ enclose present platform 36' x 80' on end of mill building as per plans submitted

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor.

Details of New Work

spruce and hemlock dressed

Size, front depth No. stories Height average grade to top of plate

To be erected on solid or filled land? Height average grade to highest point of roof

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom earth or rock?

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of Roof Rise per foot Roof covering Asphalt roofing Class C Und. Lab.

No. of chimneys no Material of chimneys of lining

Kind of heat Type of fuel Is gas fitting involved?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Material columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Girders 6x8 or larger. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet. Sills and corner posts all one piece in cross section.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated

Total number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

Miscellaneous

Will above work require removal or disturbing of any shade tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

Signature of owner By Francis J. Braun, J. Everett Low

INSPECTION COPY

A-1203

PERMIT ISSUED
NOV 26 1948
CITY of PORTLAND

APPLICATION FOR AMEN. .IENT TO PERMIT

Amendment No. 1

Portland, Maine, November 26, 1948

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for amendment to Permit No. 43/2155 *pertaining to the building or structure comprised in the original application in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith, and the following specifications:*

Location 65 Bishop Street Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's name and address Lewis Lumber Co., 65 Bishop Street Telephone

Lessee's name and address Telephone

Contractor's name and address Burnham-McLellan 52 Marginal Way Telephone 2-5951

Architect Plans filed yes No. of sheets 1

Proposed use of building Mill No. families

Last use " No. families

Increased cost of work Additional fee 125

Description of Proposed Work

To change framing of roof over platform as per plan.

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? Is any electrical work involved in this work?

Height average grade to top of plate Height average grade to highest point of roof

Size, front depth No. stories solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom cellar

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys Material of chimneys of lining

Framing lumber—Kind Dressed or full size?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Girders Size Columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Approved:

O.K. - 11/26/48 - A J S

Lewis Lumber Co.
Burnham-McLellan Co.

Signature of Owner by: Paul B. McLellan

Approved: 11/26/48 - W M S

Inspector of Buildings

INSPECTION COPY

Memorandum from Department of Building Inspection, Portland, Maine

65 Bishop St. --Alteration of Mill for Lewis Lumber Company by Burnham-McClellan-11/18
48

Permit issued on basis of Owner's verbal agreement that entire enclosed mill and all roofed-over outside platforms will be equipped with standard automatic sprinkler system.

Chief Sanborn of the Fire Department has approved approaches for Fire Department in case of fire, only because this sprinkler coverage is to be provided, and it is important that this protection be actually installed at the earliest possible date.

CC Lewis Lumber Co.,
65 Bishop St.

CC Mr. Leo Hinds, Chief Assessor.

Your attention is called to the fact that very extensive platforms have been built around this mill without securing a permit. We have had so many complications that it seemed unwise to tryoto cover these platforms in a belated permit. This current permit does cover construction of roofs over most of these platforms and also replacement of of a central portion of the mill about 35' by 40', the part replaced now having been built without a permit a coupleof years ago, thereby joining two separate buildings to make one mill.

wacd 11/18/48

(Signed) Warren McDonald
Inspector of Buildings

(I) INDUSTRIAL ZONE

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED
02135
NOV 18 1948
CITY of PORTLAND

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, October 28, 1948

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect alter repair demolish install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 65 Bishop Street Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's name and address Lewis Lumber Co., 65 Bishop Street Telephone

Lessee's name and address 52 Telephone

Contractor's name and address Burnham-McLellan, 54 Marginal Way Telephone S 2-5951

Architect Specifications latter Plans yes No. of sheets 2

Proposed use of building Mill No. families

Last use " No. families

Material frame No. stories 1 Heat steam Style of roof pitch Roofing asphalt

Other buildings on same lot none

Estimated cost $ 8000. Fee $ 8.00

General Description of New Work

To construct 1 story frame addition to mill as per plans, ex westerly end of building for chain conveyor;
To construct shed roof over existing loading platfor;
To construct 1 story frame addition between existing mill and dry kiln to house planer;
To remove some existing posts in mill and support with trusses, as per plans.

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENT IS WAIVED

Permit Issued with Memo

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by a id in the name of the heating contractor. PERMIT TO BE ISSUED TO Burnham-McLellan

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? Is any electrical work involved in this work?

Height average grade to top of plate Height average grade to highest point of roof

Size, front depth No. stories solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom cellar

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys Material of chimneys of lining Kind of heat fuel

Framing lumber—Kind Dressed or full size?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Girders Size Columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, rool

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

Permit Issued with Memo

Miscellaneous

Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

APPROVED:
By A J S. 11/12/48
after order of Fire Dept. approved by
Chief Stanton 11/15/48

Lewis Lumber Co.
Burnham-McLellan

Signature of owner By: Burnham-McLellan

INSPECTION COPY

Permit No. 48/2156
Location 165 Bickford St
Owner Lewis & Hamilton Co
Date of permit 11/1/48
Notif. closing-in
Inspn. closing-in
Final Notif. W. Dwight
Final Inspn. W. Dwight 5/11/49 CSP
Cert. of Occupancy issued

NOTES

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

10/13/48. Pour mill platform on north side addition. [illegible] mill and dry house walls being [illegible]

10/30/48. All work well along. [illegible]

1/24/49. Structural work completed. Sprinkler not in. [illegible]

2/15/49. Same. [illegible]

5/12/49 – All completed except sprinkler
See separate permit.

INSPECTOR'S COPY

Af 65 Bishop Street-1

February 12, 1940

Burnham-McLellan
491½ Congress Street
Lewis Lumber Company
65 Bishop Street

Subject: Permit for construction of addition to mill at 65 Bishop Street

Gentlemen:

Permit for the above work is issued herewith to the contractors subject to the following:

1. Since the areas involved are larger than allowable for an unsprinklered building, this permit is issued on the basis that steps will be taken at once toward the installation of an automatic sprinkler system covering the addition and all parts of the existing building, and that such a system will be fully installed and in operation before the new addition is occupied.

2. We understand that the architect has been commissioned to prepare plans showing construction in compliance with Building Code requirements of the connection between mill and dryhouse and the considerable area of platforms which have been built without permit and which are substandard at least as far as the connection is concerned. This permit is issued on the condition that, as soon as these plans are ready, application for a permit for such work will be filed and after permit is issued, the work so covered will be taken care of immediately.

3. Contractor should consult the architect as to method to be used in order to hold the 8x10 purlins in position on the sloping top chords of the trusses. Since the top chords of these trusses are in compression and are indicated to be built up of 2x8's, it is important for these built up members to be securely bolted together at frequent enough intervals to cause them to work in unison. Architect should be consulted as to location, spacing and size of bolts to be used.

4. Since the 10" x 12" beams supporting the flat roof figure out a little light for carrying the theoretical loads that may come upon them, the knee braces shown should be let into the girders and posts in order to supply added stiffness to the girders.

5. As Section 212e2.4 of the Building Code provides that no door over 4' wide may be counted as a required means of egress, it is necessary that a small door at least 3' wide and 6' 4" high be provided in the westerly wall of the addition. This may be a separate door or a wicket door of that size may be provided in one of the large doors if desired. As specified in Section 212e2.5, a vestibule latchset is required on this small door if there are to be more than 20 persons employed in the mill.

6. Signs indicating the maximum live load which the floor is capable of supporting, as specified by Section 2051, are required to be posted in conspicuous places throughout the addition.

P.S. Permit issued also subject to conditions of my letter to owner of this date.

Very truly yours,

Inspector of Buildings

AJS/S
cc: Miller & Peabody Inc., 443 Congress Street

AF 65 Bishop Street--I

February 12, 1943

Mr. Benjamin Lewis, Pres.
Lewis Lumber Company
65 Bishop Street
Portland, Maine

Subject: Compliance with the Building Code as to providing automatic sprinkler system in plant at 65 Bishop Street and as to making good violations of the Building Code by a certain addition or connection between two buildings and construction of certain outside platforms without first securing a building permit

Dear Mr. Lewis:

To accommodate you and your contractors, the building permit to cover the addition on the end of your plant has been issued today on two assumptions:

That your letter of January 29 means that you are agreeing to the immediate installation of an automatic sprinkler system to cover the entire plant, and that this agreement is included in the application for the permit which Burnham-McLellan filed for you. Your letter actually says that you are negotiating for such a sprinkler system. I would have preferred that you had been more definite than that, but I presume that you have the system all ordered by this time and that you will see to it that the system is completely installed at the earliest possible date as you cannot lawfully occupy the new addition until the entire plant is actually sprinklered. Please bear in mind that a separate permit from this department is required to cover installation of the sprinkler system, and that permit is to be applied for by and is issuable only to the actual installing company. With the application the installer is required to file a complete plan of the system which will bear upon it the stamp of approval of the New England Fire Insurance Rating Assoc. The Building Code provides that permit for installation of such a system shall not be issued until such an approved plan has been filed.

We have received no such application or filed plans as yet, but no reason appears why these formalities could not be all cleared up immediately since the installation cannot lawfully start until the permit has been issued, and thus your installer would have the permit in his possession and be already to start as soon as the materials and equipment can be delivered.

As to the makeshift addition between two of your buildings, which has been built without a permit, and which complies in no sense with the requirements of the Building Code for such a structure, and as to the extensive platforms built without first procuring the required building permit, I understand that you have authorized your architect to proceed at once with the necessary plans of the connecting addition to take the place of the one already there which must be removed and to investigate the construction of the platforms and make plans of them clearing up any details of the platforms which may not be in compliance with Building Code standards. You should also authorize your contractor to file application for the construction of the connecting addition and the platforms as soon as the detail plans are available so that this matter of conflict with the Building Code and your responsibility therefor may be cleared up without delay.

This is an enforcement office, but we like to take into account the exigencies of doing business and to make as much allowance as possible for people who find themselves in conflict with the law without any real intention of violating it. We assume that you are one of the latter, and the permit for the new end addition has been issued under the belief that you will proceed immediately and in good faith to hurry

February 12, 1948

both the installation of the sprinkler system, the replacement of the unlawful connecting addition and making any improvements in the platforms required by the Building Code.

The normal procedure of an enforcement office would be to withhold permits for any construction work until the offending connecting addition had been removed. I was fearful that would work unnecessary hardship upon you as I understand there is beneath it some equipment or material which it would be best not to expose to the weather.

In other words we are trying to cooperate with you to the fullest extent, and I am sure you will see the need of reciprocating.

Very truly yours,

Inspector of Buildings

WMcD/S

Lewis Lumber Company

White Pine Specialists

65 BISHOP STREET ◆ PORTLAND 5, MAINE

Telephones 4-1417 - 4-1418

January 29 1948

Mr. Warren McDonald
Department of Building Inspection
City of Portland
Portland Maine Your file # AP 165 Bishop Street-1

Dear Mr. McDonald:

In reply to your letter of January 15, 1948, relative to the mill at 65 Bishop Street, we wish to state that negotiations are presently underway for installation of an Automatic Sprinkler System in the premises, and we have been informed that same will be installed as soon as materials are available.

Hoping this meets with your approval, we remain,

Very truly yours,
LEWIS LUMBER COMPANY
Benjamin Lewis
President

BL:LR

RECEIVED
JAN 30 1948
DEPT. OF BLD'G. INSP.
CITY OF PORTLAND

65 Bishop Street-I

January 15, 1948

Burnham-McLellan
491½ Congress Street
Lewis Lumber Company
65 Bishop Street

Subject: Application for permit for construction of addition on westerly end of mill at 65 Bishop Street

Gentlemen:

We are unable to issue a permit for the above work because it would be an increase in area of wood frame construction already in excess of the area allowed by Section 302g1 of the Building Code. This section provides that a one-story wood frame building fronting on two streets shall not have an area of more than 5,667 square feet unless the building is equipped with an automatic sprinkler system, in which case the area may be not in excess of 19,500 square feet.

As matters stand, as long as the building is unsprinklered, the proposed addition is not allowable unless a 4-hour fire separation wall is provided between existing building and the addition, with Class A (labelled) fire doors provided on each side of any openings in the wall. A wall to furnish this amount of fire resistance would have to be of masonry at least 8" thick and, if concrete blocks of this thickness were used, they would need to be plastered on both sides. Also, under the existing conditions, we are unable to issue a permit for the rebuilding of the connection between the mill and the dry house, which has been erected illegally and must be removed.

However, if an automatic sprinkler system were to be installed in the existing building and any proposed additions, we should be able to give a permit for the addition proposed as well as for the rebuilding of the connection between the two buildings, on the basis that the total area of the entire structure would be about 16,650 square feet, well within the maximum allowable area of 19,500 square feet permitted by the Building Code. Aside from the protection afforded the building, it is possible that the installation of such a system would result in such a saving in insurance premiums that the entire cost of the installation might be paid for by the savings received over a very few years.

Will you please let us know what you propose to do in the light of this situation? Pending decision as to what is to be done, we have attempted no check of the structural details of the plan.

Very truly yours,

Inspector of Buildings

AJS/3

CC: Miller & Beal, Inc.
465 Congress Street

AP 165 Bishop Street-I

January 15, 1943

Lewis Lumber Company
165 Bishop Street
Portland, Maine

Subject: Question of work done without permits on mill at 165 Bishop Street

Gentlemen:

While making an inspection at your plant in connection with an application for a permit for an addition thereto, an inspector from this department discovered that considerable work by way of a connection between two buildings and extension of certain platforms has been done without first securing a building permit as is required by law. We are not disposed to make an issue of the work having been done without a permit, but do have a duty to perform in seeing to it that the work shall comply with Building Code requirements.

Unfortunately the framing of the structure built between the mill and the dry house section is substandard as regards Building Code requirements and besides is not allowable because it increases the area of a wood frame constructed building which is already in excess of that permitted by the Building Code. This matter will be explained more fully in a separate letter which will be sent to you. It is necessary for us to require that this unlawful structure be removed at once. After this has been done, if it is found possible to work out a solution to the area problem and you wish to replace the structure, it will be necessary for you to make application for a permit covering its construction, filing with the application complete plans showing how it is to be constructed in compliance with Building Code requirements.

As regards the platforms, it is perhaps true that some of the work done has been in the way of replacement of existing structures. However, it seems evident that there has been considerable additions made to platforms previously in existence. The work as done appears to be a substantial job and if you will file an application for a belated permit for this work together with a plan showing the location and size of all new platforms and extensions of existing structures and also a plan of the manner in which they are framed and supported, we will check it against Building Code requirements and issue it if everything is found in order.

We are taking up in a separate letter the question of excessive floor areas involved in the construction of the proposed addition to the mill for which an application for permit has been filed.

Very truly yours,

Inspector of Buildings

AJS/S

(I) INDUSTRIAL ZONE

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED
00167
FEB 12 1948
CITY of PORTLAND

Class of Building or Type of Structure Second Class

Portland, Maine, January 8, 1948

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to ~~erect~~ *alter* ~~repair demolish install~~ *the following building* ~~structure equipment~~ *in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:*

Location 65 Bishop Street Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's name and address Lewis Lumber Company, 65 Bishop Street Telephone

Lessee's name and address Telephone

Contractor's name and address Burnham-McLellan, 491½ Congress Street Telephone 2-5951

Architect Specifications Plans yes No of sheets 1

Proposed use of building Mill No. families

Last use " No. families

Material wood frame No. stories 1 Heat Style of roof Roofing

Other buildings on same lot

Estimated cost $ 5,000 Fee $ 4.50

General Description of New Work

To construct 1 story frame addition 25'x46' as per plan.

3-1087

Permit Issued with Letters

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENT IS WAIVED

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor. PERMIT TO BE ISSUED TO Burnham-McLellan

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? Is any electrical work involved in this work?

Height average grade to top of plate Height average grade to highest point of roof

Size, front depth No. stories solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom cellar

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys Material of chimneys of lining Kind of heat none fuel

Framing lumber—Kind Dressed or full size?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Girders Size Columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

APPROVED:

Miscellaneous

Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

Lewis Lumber Co.
Burnham-McLellan

Signature of owner By: Paul O. McLellan

INSPECTION COPY

AP 65 Bishop Street-1

August 30, 1943

Burnham-McLellan
52 Marginal Way
Lewis Lumber Company
65 Bishop Street

Subject: Application for building permit to construct lumber mill, 75' x 256' for Lewis Lumber Company at 65 Bishop Street

Gentlemen:

At the beginning of our check of your proposal against Building Code requirements, it appears from the best information we have that the proposed mill would have too large a floor area without sub-dividing fire walls (about 19,000 square feet) to satisfy the provisions of Section 302g of the Building Code, even though the proposed building were completely sprinklered.

Knowing the owners' urgency for getting the first temporary step of the work completed to meet some definite date of delivery, it seemed best to call this discrepancy to your attention at once, so that the situation might be considered and determination made as to how the requirements will be met before we spend further time checking on a job which probably will have to be altered substantially before any permit at all may be issued.

The proposed mill is what the Building Code calls Third Class Construction, and reference to the above section of the Code will show that the maximum floor area of such a one-story wooden building equipped with a sprinkler system would be 15,000 square feet if reasonable access for the Fire Department exists from one street; and 19,500 square feet if access exists from at least two streets or equivalent areas.

The latter condition would be sufficient to take care of the proposed mill, but there is no assurance that access from the two streets or equivalent areas would be available. We have the impression that the Lewis Lumber Company has not yet acquired the Hawbolt property, but it appears that a part of the proposed mill would project over onto this property, and this property represents the greater part of the street frontage on Bishop Street for the proposed mill. When the Hawbolt property is acquired, we can count Bishop Street as "one street", but in order to credit the building with "two streets", it would appear necessary for the owner to set out a driveway from Bishop Street at least 50' wide leading directly to a strip at least 50' wide extending along the easterly end of the proposed mill from the front of the mill to the railroad property line, and to agree in writing with the application for the permit that this driveway and 50-foot strip at the end of the mill would always be kept open and available for use of the Fire Department.

We have thought that the right-of-way of the Portland Terminal Company could be counted as "one street", but the above section of the Building Code provides that for such property to be counted as a street, it would have to be at least 50' wide extending the entire length of the mill. As nearly as we can tell from the record, the Terminal Company has sold off all of their land so that they now only own about 30'.

Please decide what you will do under these circumstances and furnish revised plans or whatever is necessary to clear up this problem, so that we can proceed with our check against Building Code requirements.

Very truly yours,

WMcD/S
CC: Miller & Beal, Inc., 485 Congress St.

Inspector of Buildings

(I) INDUSTRIAL ZONE

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, August 18, 1948

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect alter repair demolish install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 65 Bishop Street Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's name and address Lewis Lumber Co., 65 Bishop St. Telephone

Lessee's name and address Telephone

Contractor's name and address Burnham-McLellan, 52 Marginal Way Telephone 2-5951

Architect Miller & Beal, 465 Congress St. Specifications Plans yes No. of sheets 4

Proposed use of building Lumber Mill No. families

Last use No. families

Material No. stories Heat Style of roof Roofing

Other buildings on same lot

Estimated cost $60,000. Fee $ 60.00

General Description of New Work

To erect new mill 75'x256' to replace existing mill as per plans.
It is planned to keep the present mill in operation insofar as possible during the construction of the new building, so there will be several stages of carrying the work along. The first of these will be the erection of a temporary open shelter at the western end of the present mill, details as shown on sheet 3 of the plans. After the easterly half of the new mill has been built, the existing structure in that area removed and the mill operations in westerly end of present structure moved into new section of mill, work on the westerly half of the new mill including demolition of the proposed temporary structure covered in this permit, will be started.
The entire new building is to be protected by an automatic sprinkler system.

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor. PERMIT TO BE ISSUED TO Burnha-McLellan

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? Is any electrical work involved in this work?

Height average grade to top of plate Height average grade to highest point of roof

Size, front depth No. stories solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom cellar

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys Material of chimneys of lining Kind of heat fuel

Framing lumber—Kind Dressed or full size?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Girders Size Columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

On centers: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

Maximum span: 1st floor, 2nd, 3rd, roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot to be accommodated number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

APPROVED:

INSPECTION COPY

Miscellaneous

Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes.

Lewis Lumber Co.
Burnham-McLellan

Signature of owner By: Paul B. McLellan

(B) INDUSTRIAL ZONE

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED
008772
MAY 2 1947

Class of Building or Type of Structure Installation

Portland, Maine, April 30, 1947

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to ~~erect alter repair move demolish~~ install the following building structure equipment in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location Rear 57 Bishop Street Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.

Owner's name and address Lewis Lumber Co., 61 Bishop Street Telephone

Lessee's name and address Telephone

Contractor's name and address Gulf Oil Corp., 601 Danforth Street Telephone

Architect Specifications Plans yes No of sheets 1

Proposed use of building No. families

Last use No. families

Material No. stories Heat Style of roof Roofing

Other buildings on same lot

Estimated cost $ Fee $ 1.00

General Description of New Work

To install 1-1000 gallon gasoline tank for private use and one electric pump. Tank bears Underwriters' label, coated with asphaltum and to be buried 3' below grade. Piping from tank to pump 1½". New installation.

Sent to Fire Dept. 4/30/47
Rec'd from Fire Dept. 5/1/47

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENT IS WAIVED

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor.

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? Is any electrical work involved in this work?

Height average grade to top of plate Height average grade to highest point of roof

Size, front depth No. stories solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom cellar

Material of underpinning Height Thickness

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys Material of chimneys of lining Kind of heat fuel

Framing lumber—Kind Dressed or full size?

Corner posts Sills Girt or ledger board? Size

Girders Size Columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet

Joists and rafters: 1st floor 2nd 3rd , roof

On centers: 1st floor 2nd 3rd

Maximum span: 1st floor 2nd 3rd , roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot , to be accommodated number commercial cars to be accommodated

Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

Miscellaneous

Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

APPROVED Elmer O. Trueworthy
CHIEF OF FIRE DEPT.

Gulf Oil Corp.

Signature of owner By: J. M. Teel

INSPECTION COPY

STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

for McCowen Education Co
at Bishop St Date 18 Jan 1945

1. In whose name is the title of the property now recorded? F. J. Braun

2. Are the boundaries of the property in the vicinity of the proposed work shown clearly on the ground, and how? Yes

3. Is the outline of the proposed work now staked out upon the ground? Yes If not, will you notify the Inspection Office when the work is staked out and before any of the work is commenced?

4. What is to be maximum projection or overhang of eaves or drip? 8"

5. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of the location plan or statement of location filed with this application, and does it show the complete outline of the proposed work on the ground, including bay windows, porches and other projections? Yes

6. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of all statements in the application concerning the sizes, design and use of the proposed building? Yes

7. Do you understand that in case changes are proposed in the location of the work or in any of the details specified in the application that a revised plan and application must be submitted to this office before the changes are made? Yes

Edwin R. Lowe