

724-728 BRIGHTON AVENUE

STANLEY WALKER

8200-10



# APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT TO PERMIT

Amendment No. #1

Portland, Maine, January 13, 1953

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for amendment to Permit No. 52/620 pertaining to the building or structure comprised in the original application in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith, and the following specifications:

Location 724-728 Brighton Ave. Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Owner's name and address The Minat Corp., 220 Cumberland Ave. Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
 Lessee's name and address \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
 Contractor's name and address owners Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
 Architect \_\_\_\_\_ Plans filed \_\_\_\_\_ No. of sheets \_\_\_\_\_  
 Proposed use of building Dwelling No. families 1  
 Last use " No. families 1  
 Increased cost of work \_\_\_\_\_ Additional fee .50

### Description of Proposed Work

To ~~replace~~ <sup>move</sup> 3 1/2" Lally column to new position as per plan.

6/29/53 W. J. M. reports this job all  
 cured for, so file about in  
 job without redressance.  
 [Signature]

### Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? \_\_\_\_\_ Is any electrical work involved in this work? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Height average grade to top of plate \_\_\_\_\_ Height average grade to highest point of roof \_\_\_\_\_  
 Size, front \_\_\_\_\_ depth \_\_\_\_\_ No. stories \_\_\_\_\_ solid or filled land? \_\_\_\_\_ earth or rock? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Material of foundation \_\_\_\_\_ Thickness, top \_\_\_\_\_ bottom \_\_\_\_\_ cellar \_\_\_\_\_  
 Material of underpinning \_\_\_\_\_ Height \_\_\_\_\_ Thickness \_\_\_\_\_  
 Kind of roof \_\_\_\_\_ Rise per foot \_\_\_\_\_ Roof covering \_\_\_\_\_  
 No. of chimneys \_\_\_\_\_ Material of chimneys \_\_\_\_\_ of lining \_\_\_\_\_  
 Framing lumber—Kind \_\_\_\_\_ Dressed or full size? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Corner posts \_\_\_\_\_ Sills \_\_\_\_\_ Girt or ledger board? \_\_\_\_\_ Size \_\_\_\_\_  
 Girders \_\_\_\_\_ Size \_\_\_\_\_ Columns under girders \_\_\_\_\_ Size \_\_\_\_\_ Max. on centers \_\_\_\_\_  
 Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.  
 Joists and rafters: 1st floor \_\_\_\_\_, 2nd \_\_\_\_\_, 3rd \_\_\_\_\_, roof \_\_\_\_\_  
 On centers: 1st floor \_\_\_\_\_, 2nd \_\_\_\_\_, 3rd \_\_\_\_\_, roof \_\_\_\_\_  
 Maximum span: 1st floor \_\_\_\_\_, 2nd \_\_\_\_\_, 3rd \_\_\_\_\_, roof \_\_\_\_\_

Approved:

The Minat Corp.

Signature of Owner: [Signature]

Approved: [Signature]

Inspector of Buildings

INSPECTION COPY



(RC) RESIDENCE ZONE - C

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, April 5, 1952

PERMIT ISSUED

00820  
MAY 5 1952

CITY of PORTLAND

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect ~~the structure described in the following building structure equipment~~ in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location 724-726 Brighton Avenue Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.           
Owner's name and address The Minat Corp., 220 Cumberland Avenue Telephone 4-8013  
Lessee's name and address          Telephone           
Contractor's name and address owners Telephone           
Architect          Specifications          Standard Plan          No. of sheets 1  
Proposed use of building dwelling house No. families 1  
Last use          No. families           
Material          No. stories          Heat          Style of roof          Roofing           
Other buildings on same lot           
Estimated cost \$ 6,800. Fee \$ 7.00

General Description of New Work

To construct 1 1/2-story frame dwelling 24' x 38'.

*asst. corp. counsel Donovan says they can see no reason for withholding the permit on account of the frock.*

~~INSPECTION NOT COMPLETED~~

*WMD  
5/5/52*

Important notice sent

It is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor. PERMIT TO BE ISSUED TO owners

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? yes Is any electrical work involved in this work? yes  
Is connection to be made to public sewer? yes If not, what is proposed for sewage?           
Height average grade to top of plate 13' Height average grade to highest point of roof 24'  
Size, front 24' depth 38' No. stories 1 1/2 solid or filled land? solid earth or rock? earth  
Material of foundation concrete at least 4" below grade Thickness, top 10" bottom 12" cellar yes  
Material of underpinning " to sill          Height          Thickness           
Kind of roof Pitch-gable Rise per foot 10" Roof covering Asphalt Class C Und Lnb  
No. of chimneys 1 Material of chimneys brick of lining tile Kind of heat steam fuel oil  
Framing lumber—Kind hemlock Dressed or full size? dressed  
Corner posts 6x6 Sills box Girt or ledger board?          Size           
Girders yes Size 6x8 full size Columns under girders Lally Size 3 1/2" Max. on centers 7'  
Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 3 feet.  
Joists and rafters: 1st floor 2x8 <sup>stairway - no dormer</sup> 2nd 2x8 3rd          roof 2x8  
On centers: 1st floor 16" 2nd 16" 3rd          roof 24"  
Maximum span: 1st floor 12' 2nd 12' 3rd          roof 12'  
If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls?          height?         

If a Garage

No. cars now accommodated on same lot         , to be accommodated          number commercial cars to be accommodated           
Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?         

APPROVED:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Miscellaneous

Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street? no  
Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

The Minat Corp.

Signature of owner BY: *[Signature]*

INSPECTION COPY





Location 724-28 Brighton Ave.

1/13/53 - Award applied for to change column under connection of girders - WJM  
2-3-53 - Work not completed  
WJM

3-5-53 Two new lally columns have been installed under cross girder midway between present column and outside walls. WJM

5/6/53 - See additional letter about column with appl of award of Jan. 13 - no response  
WJM

4-3-53 - LV order not done WJM

4-6-53 - Called Mitchell <sup>Chen</sup> unable to reach Arthur Capart he says that they have been unable to take care of this. WJM  
- WJM weather has held them up because of problems elsewhere.

4-21-53 - Bldg locked, unable to get in WJM

INSPECTION NOT COMPLETED

5-21-53 Checked new column under T. Girder attached in sketch of new condition  
WJM

5/29/53 - Work completed. WJM

BP 724-728 Brighton Ave.  
Amend. #1  
W&CD 3/12/53

March 6, 1953

Mr. Mitchell Cope  
Minat Corporation  
220 Cumberland Ave.  
Portland, Maine

Dear Mr. Cope:-

Upon request for inspection at 724-728 Brighton Ave., Inspector Meehan finds that in a partial effort to meet my letter of January 20th, 1953 about the supports at the intersection of the cross girders with the other one, you have installed a suitable pipe column with footing under approximately the center of each of the spans of the cross girder. This improvement seems to care for the strength of the cross girder; but it appears that you have done nothing at all about the pipe column at the intersection of the two girders. As explained at length in my letter of January 20th the cross girder is very definitely off the center of the column to such an extent that its center of bearing is probably only about one half inch from the edge of the bearing plate.

This condition, too, must be corrected, and we shall expect you to file a plan in detail, showing how you intend to correct it, with the application for amendment which you filed on January 13th to move the column, in sufficient season so that we can issue the amendment and you can get the work completed before March 12th, 1953.

Also, please advise if Minat Corporation is still the owner of this property; and, if not, who is. Also see to it that the building is not occupied for living quarters until our certificate of occupancy has actually been issued.

Very truly yours,

Warren McDonald  
Inspector of Buildings

WMcD/G

BP 724-728 Brighton Ave.,

January 20, 1953

Mr. Mitchell Cope  
Minat Corporation  
220 Cumberland Ave.,  
Portland, Maine

Copy to Mr. Cope for Plan Maker

Dear Mr. Cope:

We are prevented from approving and issuing the amendment relating to change of column to support the intersection of girders in the house under construction at 724-728 Brighton Ave., because the print of the proposed change does not apply to the true situation in this house, that true situation, as reported by our inspector, having been set forth as far as we could in my letter of November 25.

If you will be good enough to refer to the 5th paragraph of that letter you will find that the cross girder on one side of the column is a 6x10 and on the other side is built-up of a 4x10 and a 2x10, and that the longitudinal girder is a 6x10; whereas your revised plan shows--in the same manner as at the Washington Ave., job which happens to be true on Washington Ave.--that the cross girder is a solid 8x8 and the longitudinal a 6x8.

Also it seems apparent that the butt joint between the cross girder and the other girder is over the center line of the column, that the 7 in. long bearing plate extends about  $3\frac{1}{2}$  in. toward the front of the house beyond the center line of the column as it now stands, and the center of bearing of the 6x10 cross girder is probably not more than  $\frac{1}{2}$  in. from the edge of the plate toward the front of the house.

This makes a worse condition than the one at 1249-1251 Washington Ave., and it is obvious that your designer should make a plan which works from the true situation at 724-728 Brighton Ave.

Another question is raised in my letter of November 25 concerning the cross girder at 724-728 Brighton Ave.--a question which you have not answered yet.

Are the 2 members of the built-up cross girder--4x10 and 2x10--dressed or full size?

Are both members of this built-up girder Hemlock or are they both genuine Douglas Fir?

If both members are full size, even if Hemlock or Spruce, the compound beam is strong enough.

If both members of the compound beam are of genuine Douglas Fir, even though dressed 4 sides, they are strong enough.

If this compound girder is a variation from either of the above, then something must be done to strengthen the compound span of the girder.

We are assuming that our inspector's report as to the solid 6x10 on the other

(COPY)

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE  
Department of Building Inspection



# Certificate of Occupancy

LOCATION 724-728 Brighton Ave.

Date of Issue June 18, 1953

Issued to The Minat Corp.

This is to certify that the building, premises, or part thereof, at the above location, built ~~under~~  
~~change of use~~ under Building Permit No. 52/620, has had final inspection, has been found to  
conform substantially to requirements of Zoning Ordinance and Building Code of the City, and is hereby  
approved for occupancy or use, limited or otherwise, as indicated below.

PORTION OF BUILDING OR PREMISES

APPROVED OCCUPANCY

Entire

One family Dwelling House

Limiting Conditions:

This certificate supersedes  
certificate issued

Approved:

5/29/53

(Date)

*William J. McLaughlin*  
Inspector

*Warren J. Gault*  
Inspector of Buildings

Notice: This certificate identifies lawful use of building or premises, and must be transferred from  
owner to owner when property changes hands. Copy will be furnished to owner or lessee for one dollar.



B. 1249-1251 Washington Ave.  
B. 724-728 Brighton Ave.  
Special Structural Designs  
and Investigations

January 8, 1953

Mr. Mitchell Cops  
Minat Corp.  
220 Cumberland Ave.

Copy to Mr. Cops  
for Plan Maker

Dear Mr. Cops:-

We have been delayed more than I had hoped in investigating the structural condition in the dwelling at 1249 Washington Ave. where a single pipe column has been used to support the intersection of a cross girder and a longitudinal girder, the loads from both girders being off the center of the column.

We find there is a substantial overload in two particulars - the cap or bearing plate of the column is not nearly strong enough to support the eccentric load (the center of load of the cross girder is at least 3 in. off the center of the 3½ in. column). We find also that the column, too, would be substantially overloaded for the same reason - that the larger load from the cross girders is so far off the center of the column.

As I suggested when we were talking together it seems best to me that you employ a competent designer to make a designed plan of this situation, analyze the present situation and decide what is the best thing to do to comply with Building Code requirements for strength, then show it on a plan, have it blueprinted and file the print here with an application for amendment to the original permit. When we talked you did not feel like doing this, and I agreed that if we found that an overload would exist and you cared to file a detailed plan showing the true situation and what you propose to do about it in a permanent way with application for the amendment, we would check it and let you know the results. If you prefer, that is what we will do, but the plan you submit must be a blueprint and it must be in detail. You talked about the proposition of introducing a second column. If you should decide on that, it will be necessary to show the full details of the new column, including setting, etc., and if proposed close to the other column, how the new bearing plates will work out with the existing ones, there being two plates on the present column, one the stock plate which you have used under an ordinary butt joint and on top of that a rectangular plate, both plates welded together and the lower welded to the column, as I understand it. The upper plate however is longer than the stock plate, and that will introduce some complications. The load from the longitudinal girder is also to a less degree off the center of the existing pipe column.

That you may know the importance of this situation and to be of assistance to anyone who you may employ to design or strengthen, we have found that this column will be called upon to support 6800 lbs. from the cross girder, about 4200 lbs. of which is dead load, and about 2700 lbs. from the longitudinal girder, about 1250 lbs. of which is dead load. These figures make allowance for finishing off the second floor, which has already been done in at least one of this type (2-1) of your houses. With reference to a similar situation in the house under construction at 724-728 Brighton Ave., our inspector reports a situation at least as bad and in some particulars worse than the one on Washington Ave. Perhaps this house has been plastered so there is not as much leeway in applying a remedy due to the fact that it would be very questionable if you ought to "jack-up" any part of the house in order to remove a column. It is suggested that you handle this situation in the same way as I suggested at Washington Ave. We wrote to you on November 25th along this line about this same situation, but have heard nothing from you. We shall expect this matter to be cleared up now because we shall be unable to issue any certificate of occupancy for the building

Mr. Mitchell Cope - - - - 12

January 8, 1953

until the matter is cleared up in accordance with the Building Code. You are expected to see to it that the building is not occupied without a certificate.

As far as we know it is only this F-1 type of Standard Plan which calls for this compound joint in the girders. You are requested to go through your records and let us have a list of the location of each and every house which you have built on this plan that we may check back through and see that all is safe whether or not we have already issued certificates of occupancy for some of them. May we have this latter information no later than January 15th? It is a long tedious job for us to find out where all of your houses of this particular type are located.

Very truly yours,

Warren McDonald  
Inspector of Buildings

WHM/b

P. S. Please let us know whether Minat is still the unencumbered owner of each of the above properties, and if either or both may have been sold or contract, verbal or otherwise, entered into to sell. If sold or such contract has been entered into, verbal or otherwise, please let us know the name and address of current or prospective buyer.

Inspector Smith says your plastering contractor told him that you had authorized him to put on the ceiling lath at 1249 Washington Ave, and that he has put it on. This is wrong, and we shall expect no work whatever to be done inside this house until your amendment concerning supports has been issued. We can use a formal stop order if necessary, but it does not seem so.

724-728 Brighton Ave.,  
798-800 Brighton Ave.,  
113-115 Calab Street

November 25, 1933

Mr. Mitchell Cope  
Mint Corporation  
227 Cumberland Avenue  
Portland, Maine

Copy to Mr. Cope

Dear Mr. Cope:

We are discovering difficulties in the supports of Mint Corporation dwellings built on your standard plan P 1, largely due to the fact that you have not been following the details of the standard plan as regards the second floor joists over the living room. It is my belief that you have been framing this second floor in better fashion than the standard plan calls for, but in checking the plans for each house we have assumed that you were following the details shown on the plan.

The difference is that the standard plan indicates the second floor joists over the living room as running the width of the dwelling and hung up to the rafters. You have followed the better plan of running these joists over the living room at right angles to the front of the dwelling and supporting one end of them down through the carrying partition in the first story to a cross girder which runs parallel to the front of the dwelling in the cellar. The balance of the building is supported down through the center of a girder which runs from this cross girder to the rear wall at about the center of the dwelling.

The cross girder, which is on a span of about 11 feet, should be no less than 6x10 Douglas Fir because it supports the rated weight of second floor. This cross girder is not shown on the standard plan at all except in crayon and probably entered in this office. Additional difficulty arises where you have used one pipe column to support the loads coming from these three intersecting girders.

While we have not been able to locate and go back over all of the dwellings built on this standard plan, we have found three--113-115 Calab Street, 798-800 Brighton Avenue (both of these have had certificates of occupancy and are owned by others), and 724-728 Brighton Avenue, which is now under construction and has not had final notice or final inspection yet.

In this latter building at 724-728 Brighton Avenue, our inspector reports that the cross girder on one span is full size 6x10 and perhaps is Douglas Fir, but on the other side you have built up a girder of 4x10 and 2x10. He is not certain that both of these timbers are dressed, & sides, but thinks the 2x10 is hemlock or spruce. He reports that you have used a standard plate on the pipe column at the intersection and above the standard plate a 1/4 inch plate about as wide as the girder that runs the depth of the house and about 7 inches total length the other way. He reports that the center line of the column is under the end of the girder running the depth of the dwelling and that the 7 inch dimension of the extra bearing plate is a cross girder, which seems to mean that the center of gravity of the bearing of the cross girder must be pretty close to the edge of the bearing plate--probably too close for safety if the future owner should have a considerable assemblage of persons in the living room.

It is necessary that you have some thoroughly competent person, experienced in the design of structures, work out the total live and dead loads which will come down through the building upon this cross girder and upon the span of the other girder which

2  
Mitchell Cope

November 25, 1952

checked the front of the building, thus to determine what size the cross girder will be required to support the same or whether the owner would prefer to introduce a plate column under the center of each span. Also, the total maximum load which may be expected on one of the columns at the intersection, how this apparent eccentric load in the building at 726-728 Franklin Avenue will work out and whether the plate is strong enough - not what the designer would suggest to make the situation safe. We would like to see not only his plan of this arrangement but also his detailed figures.

For your convenience I am enclosing a copy of this letter to give to the party who is to handle this structural situation. He should figure 40 pounds per square foot live load on the first floor and 10 pounds per square foot live load on the second floor, of course, the actual dead loads of the building materials, and he should figure the ceiling of the cellar to be strapped and gypsum wallboard applied for a ceiling.

Please furnish this information promptly so that we may be in position to check the matter before you call for final inspection.

Since we are no longer using the standard plan method, when buildings similar to this standard F-1 are to be applied for, the specific detailed plans for each job should fully cover this as well as all other structural situations.

Very truly yours,

Warren McDonald  
Inspector of Buildings

WMCB/D

Enc: Copy of this letter

FOR CORRESPONDENCE

*Building Inspector*

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE  
CORPORATION COUNSEL

TO: Warren McDonald, Inspector of Buildings  
FROM: Barnett I. Shur, Corporation Counsel  
SUBJECT: Minat Corporation Development - Brighton Avenue

DATE: May 5, 1952

If the proposed construction does not interfere with  
a natural watercourse, I see no basis for withholding  
a building permit.

*Barnett I. Shur*  
Barnett I. Shur  
Corporation Counsel

BIS:M

*File with the  
copy of app'l -  
JMS*

RECEIVED  
MAY 6 1952  
DEPT. OF BLDG. INSP  
CITY OF PORTLAND



Barnett I. Shur, Corporation Counsel

April 30, 1952

Warren McDonald, Inspector of Buildings

CC: Dr. Edward W. Colby, Health Officer  
George H. Fay, Commissioner of  
Public Works  
Roger L. Creighton, Planning Dir.

Kindful of a recent communication from you in regard to interference with a natural water course by construction of a dwelling on Providence Street, we would appreciate receiving your opinion as to whether we have any grounds for withholding issuance of a building permit for construction of a dwelling due to the circumstances listed below, information having been furnished by the contractor to indicate compliance with all Building Code and Zoning Ordinance requirements.

The Minat Corporation has filed an application for a permit for construction of a dwelling on the lot at 724-728 Brighton Avenue, located nearly at the corner of Lucas Street nearer the City proper and directly across from the old Lucas brickyard. There is a brook, known as the Deering Center brook, which runs under Brighton Avenue, crosses this property, and enters into Capinac Brook a short distance below. In order to get room for the proposed building on the lot, the company plans to change the course of a portion of the brook so that one front corner of the dwelling is to be about 17' and one rear corner about 13' from the brook.

Inspection at the site discloses appearances to indicate at least traces of sewage in the brook where it runs across the property. We find upon inquiry at the Public Works Department that the overflow from the Ludlow Street sewer discharges into this brook at times of high water. Mr. Winch of the Health Department has looked the situation over and reports that while he feels that it is not desirable to build a dwelling so close to the brook, he knows no way that his department has of preventing it.

While apparently no interference with a natural water course is shown in this particular case, we feel that, if a dwelling is erected at the site, the conditions will be such as to lead to demands upon the City to take unsavory action. Since this same brook crosses land of the Minat Corporation on the opposite side of Brighton Avenue, which they plan to develop in the near future, the same question is likely to arise on lots adjoining the brook unless some way is worked out to take care of the drainage otherwise.

Very truly yours,

AJS/2

Inspector of Buildings

AP. 724-728 Brighton Ave.

April 10, 1952

The Minat Corporation,  
220 Cumberland Avenue,  
Portland, Maine

Gentlemen:-

Confirming verbal information given you yesterday, we are unable to issue a permit for construction of a dwelling on the lot at 724-728 Brighton Avenue, until additional information has been furnished. We understand that you plan to relocate the westerly line of this lot from the position shown on the plot plan. Therefore, we shall need a revised plot plan, showing the position of the dwelling on the lot in relation to this new line.

Because of the brook, which flows across the property at this location, we shall need information as to how far the house foundation is to be away from the edge of the brook, what the relationship is to be between the level of the bottom of the foundation wall and the bed of the brook, and the same relationship as regards the high water level of the brook.

We shall also need to know what steps, if any, you plan to take to prevent erosion of the land between the house foundation and the brook, and how you plan to grade this side of the lot.

Very truly yours,

Inspector of Buildings

AJS/G

WARNING !!!

THIS BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED  
SUBJECT TO SPECIAL CONDITION:

THAT NO CONCRETE SHALL BE POURED IN FOUNDATION FORMS AND NO LAYING OF UNIT MASONRY IN FOUNDATION WALLS SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN AT THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF READINESS TO START ACTUAL MASONRY WORK, AND UNTIL RE-CHECK OF LOCATION HAS BEEN MADE BY INSPECTOR.

\* \* \*

Original marking of corners of lot and especially stakes on street line set by Dept. of Public Works must be kept intact and easily accessible for re-check-- not covered by excavated earth or building materials. Otherwise the "go-ahead" cannot be given. Dept. of Public Works cannot re-set their stakes.

Obviously the notice for re-check must be given at such a time as to allow reasonable opportunity to make re-check.

Warren McDonald  
Inspector of Buildings

STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

for 724-728 dwelling Date 4/5/52  
at Brighton Avenue

1. In whose name is the title of the property now recorded? The Minat Corp.
2. Are the boundaries of the property in the vicinity of the proposed work shown clearly on the ground, and how? stakes
3. Is the outline of the proposed work now staked out upon the ground? yes  
If not, will you notify the Inspection Office when the work is staked out and before any of the work is commenced? \_\_\_\_\_
4. What is to be maximum projection or overhang of eaves or drip? \_\_\_\_\_
5. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of the location plan or statement of location filed with this application, and does it show the complete outline of the proposed work on the ground, including bay windows, porches and other projections? yes
6. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of all statements in the application concerning the sizes, design and use of the proposed building? yes
7. Do you understand that in case changes are proposed in the location of the work or in any of the details specified in the application that a revised plan and application must be submitted to this office before the changes are made? yes

*[Signature]*



FILL IN AND SIGN WITH INK

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT FOR HEATING, COOKING OR POWER EQUIPMENT

PERMIT ISSUED  
01279  
AUG 6 1952  
CITY of PORTLAND

Portland, Maine, .....

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to install the following heating, cooking or power equipment in accordance with the Laws of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, and the following specifications:

Location: 728 Brighton Ave Use of Building: Dwelling No. Stories: 1 1/2 New Building Existing " "
Name and address of owner of appliance: Minat Corp, Cumberland Ave
Installer's name and address: Pullatto Oil Co Telephone: 42671

General Description of Work

To install: Oil Burner in New Hot Water Boiler

IF HEATER, OR POWER BOILER

Location of appliance: Any burnable material in floor surface or beneath?
If so, how protected? Kind of fuel?
Minimum distance to burnable material, from top of appliance or casing top of furnace
From top of smoke pipe: From front of appliance: From sides or back of appliance
Size of chimney flue: Other connections to same flue
If gas fired, how vented? Rated maximum demand per hour
Will sufficient fresh air be supplied to the appliance to insure proper and safe combustion?

IF OIL BURNER

Name and type of burner: Fluidheat Pressure-labelled by underwriter's laboratories? Yes
Will operator be always in attendance? No Does oil supply line feed from top or bottom of tank? Bottom
Type of floor beneath burner: Concrete
Location of oil storage: Basement Number and capacity of tanks: 1 - 275
If two 275-gallon tanks, will three-way valve be provided?
Will all tanks be more than five feet from any flame? Yes How many tanks fire proofed?
Total capacity of any existing storage tanks for furnace burners

IF COOKING APPLIANCE

Location of appliance: Any burnable material in floor surface or beneath?
If so, how protected? Kind of fuel?
Minimum distance to wood or combustible material from top of appliance
From front of appliance: From sides and back: From top of smokepipe
Size of chimney flue: Other connections to same flue
Is hood to be provided? If so, how vented? Forced or gravity?
If gas fired, how vented? Rated maximum demand per hour

MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT OR SPECIAL INFORMATION

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Amount of fee enclosed? (\$2.00 for one heater, etc., 50 cents additional for each additional heater, etc., in same building at same time.)

APPROVED:
8652 O.P. W.J.M.

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? Yes

Signature of Installer: Pullatto Oil Co

INSPECTION COPY