

City of Portland, Maine - Building or Use Permit Application 389 Congress Street, 04101, Tel: (207) 874-8703, FAX: 874-8716

Location of Construction: 51 Woods Rd - Peaks Island		Owner: Arthur & Peggy Astarita		Phone: 766-3336	Permit No: 941088
Owner Address: 13 Elizabeth St - Peaks Island ME 04108		License/Buyer's Name: ME 04108		Phone:	Business Name:
Contractor Name: contact prsn Paul Erico		Address: 58 Eliz - Peaks Island, ME		Phone: 766-2482	PERMIT ISSUED OCT 11 1994 CITY OF PORTLAND
Past Use: vacant lot		Proposed Use: const 1-fam dwlg w 1-car garage		COST OF WORK: \$ 175,000 FIRE DEPT. <input type="checkbox"/> Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Denied Signature:	
Propo Project Description: const 1-fam dwlg 50-ft diam geodesic dome w att 1-car garage & 20'x45' extension		M M S P - \$50		PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITIES DISTRICT (P.A.D.): Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Approved with Conditions <input type="checkbox"/> Denied Signature: Date:	

1. This permit application doesn't preclude the Applicant(s) from meeting applicable State and Federal rules.
2. Building permits do not include plumbing, septic or electrical work.
3. Building permits are void if work is not started within six (6) months of the date of issuance. False information may invalidate a building permit and stop all work.

PERMIT ISSUED
 WILLIAM CHASE
 8/31/94

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am the owner of record of the named property, or that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his authorized agent and I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in the application issued, I certify that the code official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by such permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provisions of the code(s) applicable to such permit.

Peggy Astarita
 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT ADDRESS: 13 Elizabeth St, Portland, ME 04108 PHONE: 766-3336
 DATE: 14 Sept 1994 TITLE: Bldg Permit

RESPONSIBLE PERSON IN CHARGE OF WORK, TITLE: _____ PHONE: _____

Whits-Permit Desk Green-Assessor's Canary-D.P.W. Pink-Public File Ivory Card-Inspector

Permit Issued: **PERMIT ISSUED**
 OCT 11 1994
CITY OF PORTLAND

Zoning Approval: *OK*

Special Zone or Reviews:

- Shoreland
- Wetland
- Flood Zone
- Subdivision
- Site Plan Review minor min

Zoning Appeal:

- Variance
- Miscellaneous
- Conditional Use
- Interpretation
- Approved
- Denied

Historic Preservation:

- Not in District or Landmark
- Does Not Require Review
- Requires Review

Action:

- Approved
- Approved with Conditions
- Denied

Date: 9/15/94

CEO DISTRICT: 6

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering
(207) 289-3825

PROPERTY ADDRESS

Town Or Plantation: PORTLAND PEAKS ISLAND
Street: WOODS ROAD 23
Subdivision Lot #: TAXMAP 92 BLOCK G LOTS 30,32

PROPERTY OWNERS NAME

Last: ASTARITA First: ARTHUR & PEGGY

Applicant Name: ARTHUR ASTARITA

Mailing Address of Owner/Applicant (if Different): HOUSTON TEXAS

Caution: Permit Required

PORTLAND 5178 TOWN COPY

Date Permit Issued: 8/31/94

Fee: \$130.00

Local Plumbing Inspector Signature: _____ L.P.I. #: 1314

Owner/Applicant Statement

I certify that the information submitted is correct to the best of my knowledge and understand that any falsification is reason for the Local Plumbing Inspector to deny a Permit.

Signature of Owner/Applicant: *Arthur Astarita* Date: 8/31/94

Caution: Inspection Required

I have inspected the installation authorized above and found it to be in compliance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

Local Plumbing Inspector Signature: *Arthur Rowe* Date Approved: 8-1-96

PERMIT INFORMATION

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR:

- NEW SYSTEM
- REPLACEMENT SYSTEM
- EXPANDED SYSTEM
- SEASONAL CONVERSION
- EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM

THIS APPLICATION REQUIRES:

- NO RULE VARIANCE REQUIRED
- NEW SYSTEM VARIANCE
Attach New System Variance Form
- REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE
Attach Replacement System Variance Form
- Requires only Local Plumbing Inspector Approval
- Requires both State and Local Plumbing Inspector Approval

INSTALLATION IS COMPLETE SYSTEM

- NON-ENGINEERED SYSTEM
- PRIMITIVE SYSTEM (Includes Alternative Toilet)
- ENGINEERED (+2000 gpd)

INDIVIDUALLY INSTALLED COMPONENTS:

- TREATMENT TANK (ONLY)
- HOLDING TANK
- ALTERNATIVE TOILET (ONLY)
- NON-ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY)
- ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY)
- SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM

IF REPLACEMENT SYSTEM:

YEAR FAILING SYSTEM INSTALLED: _____

THE FAILING SYSTEM IS:

- BED
- CHAMBER
- TRENCH
- OTHER: _____

DISPOSAL SYSTEM TO SERVE:

- SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING
- MODULAR OR MOBILE HOME
- MULTIPLE FAMILY DWELLING
- OTHER: _____ SPECIFY

TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY

DRILLED WELL

SIZE OF PROPERTY: 3.32 A

ZONING: IR 1

TREATMENT TANK

- SEPTIC: Regular Low Profile
- AEROBIC

SIZE: 1000 GALS.

WATER CONSERVATION

- NONE
- LOW VOLUME TOILET
- SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM
- ALTERNATIVE TOILET

SPECIFY: _____

PUMPING

- NOT REQUIRED
- MAY BE REQUIRED (DEPENDS ON TREATMENT TANK LOCATION AND ELEVATION)
- REQUIRED

DOSE: _____ GALS

CRITERIA USED FOR DESIGN FLOW (BEDROOMS, SEATING, EMPLOYEES, WATER RECORDS, ETC.)

3 BEDROOM CONSERVATIVE 450

LOW VOLUME TOILET - 45

SEPARATED LAUNDRY - 90

DESIGN FLOW: 315 (GALLONS/DAY)

SOIL CONDITIONS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

PROFILE: 4 CONDITION: A III

DEPTH TO LIMITING FACTOR: 35

SIZE RATINGS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

- SMALL
- MEDIUM
- MEDIUM-LARGE
- LARGE
- EXTRA LARGE

DISPOSAL AREA (TYPE/SIZE)

- BED _____ Sq. Ft.
- CHAMBER 425* Sq. Ft. REGULAR H-20
- TRENCH _____ Linear Ft.
- OTHER: _____

SITE EVALUATOR STATEMENT * USED 17 INFILTRATOR POLYETHYLENE CHAMBERS IN TRENCH CONFIGURATION

On Sept 24 1982 (date) I conducted a site evaluation for this project and certify that the data reported is accurate. The system I propose is in accordance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

Signature: *William B. Goodwin* Date: 8/31/94

SE# / PE# _____ Date _____

Page 1 of 3
HHE-200 Rev. 4/83



SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering

Town, City, Plantation: **PORTLAND PEAKS ISLAND WOODS ROAD 92-G - 23, 30, 32** Street, Road, Subdivision
 Owner's Name: **ARTHUR & PEGGY ASTARITA**

SITE PLAN

Scale 1" = 100' FL.



SOIL DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION (Location of Observation Holes Shown Above)			
Observation Hole <u>3</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Test Pit <input type="checkbox"/> Boring		Observation Hole <u>4</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Test Pit <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	
Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil		Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil	
Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0 SANDY LOAM w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS		GRAY BROWN	
6 LOAMY SAND w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS	LOOSE	LIGHT RED BROWN	NONE
30 SANDY GRAVEL		YELLOW BROWN w/ RED MOTTLES	COMMON
40 RED ROCK			
Soil Profile <u>4</u>		Classification <u>AIII</u>	
Slope <u>9%</u>		Limiting Factor <u>35</u>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground Water		<input type="checkbox"/> Restrictive Layer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock			

Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0 SANDY LOAM		RED BROWN	
6 LOAMY SAND w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS	LOOSE	LIGHT BROWN	NONE
30 STONY SILTY SAND		GRAY	
40 SANDY GRAVEL		YELLOW BROWN w/ RED MOTTLES	COMMON
Soil Profile <u>4</u>		Classification <u>C</u>	
Slope <u>9%</u>		Limiting Factor <u>38</u>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground Water		<input type="checkbox"/> Restrictive Layer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock			

William B. Grochman 0003/4819 8/31/99
 Site Evaluator or Professional Engineer's Signature SE# / PE# Date
 Page 2 of 3 HHE-200 Rev. 4/83

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering

Town, City, Plantation

Street, Road, Subdivision


Owners Name

PORTLAND PEAKS ISLAND WOODS RD 92-G-23,30,32

ARTHUR & PEGGY ASTARITA

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PLAN


Scale 1" = 20' FL.



FILL REQUIREMENTS	CONSTRUCTION ELEVATIONS	ELEVATION REFERENCE POINT LOCATION & DESCRIPTION
Depth of Fill (Upslope) 12'	Reference Elevation is ?	SPIKE IN 12" BIRCH NORTHERLY OF TP 4
Depth of Fill (Downslope) 12'	Bottom of Disposal Area SEE X	
	Top of Distribution Lines or Chambers	

DISPOSAL AREA CROSS SECTION

Scale:
Vertical: 1 Inch = 5' FL
Horizontal: 1 Inch = 10' FL



William O. Goodwin
Professional Engineer's Signature

0003/4814
SE #/PE #

8/31/94
Date

Page 3 of 3
HSE-207, Rev. 4/83

City of Portland, Maine - Building or Use Permit Application 389 Congress Street, 04101, Tel: (207) 874-8703, FAX: 874-8716

Location of Construction: Peaks Island Owner: Arthur & Peggy Astarita Phone: _____

Owner Address: 50 Eliz - Peaks Island, ME 04103 Lease/Buyer's Name: _____ Phone: _____ Business Name: _____

Contractor Name: Contact Person Address: 50 Eliz - Peaks Island, ME Phone: 764-2442 Permit No: **941088**

Proposed Use: Const 1-fam dwlg & 1-car garage Proposed Use: const 1-fam dwlg & 1-car garage COST OF WORK: \$ 175,000 PERMIT FEE: \$ 325

Fire Dept. Approved Denied INSPECTION: _____ Use Group: _____ Type _____

Signature: _____ Signature: _____ PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITIES DISTRICT (P.U.D.)

Proposed Project Description: 50-ft diam geodesic dome & 27'x65' extension Action: Approved Approved with Conditions Denied

Signature: _____ Date: _____ Zoning Approval: _____

Special Zone or Reviews: Shoreland Wetland Flood Zone Subdivision Site Plan major minor none

Zoning Appeal: Variance Miscellaneous Conditional Use Interpretation Approved Denied

Historic Preservation: Not In District or Landmark Does Not Require Review Requires Review

Action: Approved Approved with Conditions Denied

Date: 9/15/94

PERMIT ISSUED WITH LETTER

Class 11/94

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am the owner of record of the named property, or that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his authorized agent and I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in the application issued, I certify that the code official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by such permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provisions of the code(s) applicable to such permit

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT _____ ADDRESS: _____ DATE: 14 Sept '94 Bldg Permit PHONE: _____

RESPONSIBLE PERSON IN CHARGE OF WORK, TITLE _____ PHONE: _____

White-Permit Desk Green-Assessor's Canary-D.P.W. Pink-Public File Ivory Card-Inspector

CEO DISTRICT G
M. Rowe

BUILDING PERMIT REPORT

DATE: 6/00/94 Address 51 Woods Rd Peaks Island

REASON FOR PERMIT: To construct a 1 family w/ 1 car garage

BLDG. OWNER: Arthur & Peggy Astarita

CONTRACTOR: Paul Frisco

APPROVED: 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16

PERMIT APPLICATE: _____ DENIED: _____

CONDITION OF APPROVAL OR DENIAL:

- *1. Before concrete for foundation is placed, approvals from Public Works and Inspection Service must be obtained. (~a 24 hour notice is required prior to inspection).
- *2. Precaution must be taken to protect concrete from freezing.
- *3. It is strongly recommended that a register land surveyor check all foundation forms before concrete is placed.
- 4. All vertical openings shall be enclosed with construction having a fire rating of at least one (1) hr., including fire doors with selfclosers.
- 5. Each apartment shall have access to (2) separate, remote and approved means of egress. A single exit is acceptable when its exits directly from the apartment to the building exterior with no communications to other apartment units.
- 6. The boiler shall be protected by enclosing with one (1) hour fire rated construction including fire doors and ceiling, or by providing automatic extinguishment. Sprinkler piping serving not more than six sprinklers may be connected to a domestic water supply system having a capacity sufficient to provide 0.15 gallons per minute, per square foot of floor throughout the entire area. An indication shut-off valve shall be installed in an accessible locations between the sprinkler and the connection to the domestic water supply. Minimum pipe size shall be 3/4 inch copper or 1 inch steel. Maximum coverage area of a residential sprinkler is 144 sq. ft. per sprinkler.
- *7. Every sleeping room below the fourth story in buildings of Use Groups R and I-1 shall have at least one operable window or exterior door approved for emergency egress or rescue. The units must be operable from the inside opening without the use of special knowledge or separated tools. Where windows are provided as a means of egress or rescue, they shall have a sill height not more than 44 inches (1118mm) above the floor. All egress or rescue windows from sleeping room must have minimum net clear opening height dimension shall be 24 inches (610 mm). The minimum net clear opening width dimension shall be 20 inches (508 mm) and a minimum net clear opening of 5.7 sq.ft.
- *8. This permit does not preclude the applicant from obtaining any license needed from the City Clerk's office.

* 9. All single and multiple station smoke detectors shall be of an approved type and shall be installed in accordance with the provisions of the building code 919.3.2 (BOCA National Building Code 1993), and NFPA 101 Chapter 18 & 19. (smoke detectors shall be installed and maintained at the following locations):

1. In the immediate vicinity of bedrooms;
2. In all bedrooms;
3. In each story within a dwelling unit, including basements.

* 10. Private garages located beneath habitable rooms in occupancies in Use Group R-1, R-2, R-3 or I-1 shall be separated from adjacent interior spaces by fire partitions and floor/ceiling assembly which are constructed with not less than 1-hour fire resisting rating. Private garages attached side-by-side to rooms in the above occupancies shall be completely separated from the interior spaces and the attic area by means of 1/2 inch gypsum board or the equivalent applied to the garage side. (Chapter 4 section 407.0 of the BOCA/1993)

11. Guardrails & Handrails - A guardrail system is a system of building components located near the open sides of elevated walking surfaces for the purpose of minimizing the possibility of an accidental fall from the walking surface to the lower level. Minimum height all Use Group 42", except Use Group R which is 36". In occupancies in Use Group A, B, H-4, I1, I-2 M and R and public garages and open parking structures, open guards shall have balusters or be of solid material such that a sphere with a diameter of 4" cannot pass through any opening. Guards shall not have an ornamental pattern that would provide a ladder effect.

12. All exit signs, lights and means of egress lighting shall be done in accordance with Chapter 10, section & subsections 1023. & 1024.0 of the City's building code. (The BOCA National Building Code/1993)

* 13. Stair construction in Use Group R-3 & R-4 is a minimum of 9" tread and 8-1/4" maximum rise.


* 14. Headroom in habitable space is a minimum of 7'6".

15. The minimum headroom in all parts of a stairway shall not be less than 80 inches.

* 16. All construction and demolition debris must be disposed at the City's authorized reclamation site. The fee rate is attached. Proof of such disposal must be furnished to the office of Inspection Services before final Certificate of Occupancy is issued or demolition permit is granted.

17. Section 25-135 of the Municipal Code for the City of Portland states "No person or utility shall be granted a permit to excavate or open any street or sidewalk from the time of November 15 of each year to April 15 of the following year".

18. The builder of a facility to which Section 4594-C of the Maine State Human Rights Act, Title 5 MRSA refers, shall obtain a certification from a design professional that the plans of the facility meet the standards of construction required by this section. Prior to commencing construction of the facility, the builder shall submit the certification to the Division of Inspection Services.


F. Samuel Hoffes
Chief of Inspections

/dmm 01/14/93 (redo w/additions)

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE
SITE PLAN REVIEW (ADDENDUM)
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

APPLICANT: ARTHUR & PEGGY ASTARITA

ADDRESS: 13 ELIZABETH ST. - PEAKS IS.

SITE ADDRESS/LOCATION: 51 WOODS ROAD - PEAKS ISLAND

DATE: 9/13/97

Review by the Development Review Coordinator is for General Conformance with ordinances and standards only and does not relieve the applicant, his contractors or agents from the responsibility to provide a completely finished site, including but not limited to not increasing or concentrating of all surface runoff onto adjacent or downstream properties, issues regarding vehicle sight distance, location of public utilities and foundation elevations.

CONDITIONS CHECKED OFF BELOW ARE IN FORCE FOR YOUR SITE PLAN

- All damage to sidewalk, curb, street, or public utilities shall be repaired prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- Two (2) City of Portland approved species and size trees must be planted on your street frontage prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- Your new street address is now 51 WOODS ROAD, the number must be displayed on the street frontage of your house prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- The Development Review Coordinator (874-8300, ext. 8722) must be notified five (5) working days prior to date required for final site inspection. Please make allowances for completion of site plan requirements determined to be incomplete or defective during the inspection. This is essential as all site plan requirements must be completed and approved by the Development Review Coordinator prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. Please schedule any property closings with these requirements in mind.
- A sewer permit is required for your project. Please notify Paul Nichoff at 874-8300 ext 8838. The Sewer Division of Parks and Public Works (Jackie Wurslin at 797-5302) must be notified five (5) working days prior to sewer connection to schedule an inspector for your site.
- As-built record information for sewer and storm service connections must be submitted to Parks & Public Works Engineering Division (55 Portland St.) and approved prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- A street opening permit(s) is required for your site. Please contact Carol Poliskey at 874-8300, ext. 8822. (Only excavators licensed by the City of Portland are eligible).
- CONFIRM THAT SEPTIC SYSTEM IS NOT WITHIN 100 OF A RESIDENTIAL WELL.

cc: P. Nichoff

SINGLE FAMILY AND TWO-FAMILY LOT
SITE PLAN REQUIREMENTS (Sec. 14-526)

Applicant(s) ART & PEGGY ASTARITA

Address of Proposed Site 51 WOODS RD. PEAKS IS., MD

The minor site plan application for a single family/two-family dwelling includes the following:

ASTARITA
13 ELIZABETH ST.
PEAKS IS., MD 204108
207-766-3336

We want them
to be located
underground.

1. Name and address of the applicant.
2. A boundary survey of the lot, prepared and sealed by a registered land surveyor.
3. Scale and north arrow.
4. Location, dimensions and first floor(sill) elevation (based on mean sea level datum) of the proposed building(s).
5. Location and dimensions of driveway(s) and parking area.
6. Location and size of both existing utilities in the street and proposed utilities serving the building.
7. Location of areas on the site which will be used to dispose of surface water drainage and related facilities.
8. Existing and proposed contours (based on mean sea level datum). (Except where Public Works has determined that lesser detail would be required-Sec.14-526-C-1-g)

Yes	No	Remarks

Comments _____

Reviewing Staff _____
Date _____

Inspection Services
Samuel P. Hoffses
Chief



Planning and Urban Development
Joseph E. Gray Jr.
Director

CITY OF PORTLAND

October 11, 1994

RE: 51 Elizabeth St., Peaks Island

Mr. & Mrs. Arthur Astarita
13 Elizabeth St.
Peaks Island, ME 04108

Dear Sir:

Your application to construct a geodesic dome single family dwelling with garage has been reviewed and a permit is herewith issued subject to the following requirements: This permit does not preclude the applicant from meeting applicable State and Federal laws.

No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued until all requirements of this letter are met.


Site Plan Review Requirements
Inspection Services Approved William Giroux
Public Works Approved with condition (see attached) O. McCullough

Building Code Requirements

1. Please read and implement items 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 16 of the attached building permit report.
2. The total height of the structure can not exceed 35 feet. This measurement is from grade to top of structure.

If you have any questions regarding these requirements, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,


S. P. Hoffses
Chief of Inspection Services

/el

cc: William D. Giroux, Zoning Administrator
Owens McCullough, Planning

37955 BRIDGE RD.

MN 55056 PHONE 612/674-4292

TO: SAM HOFF

RE: PEGGY & ART ASTARITA
RESIDENCE

SAM-

THE DOME CONSTRUCTION IS MADE UP OF A
SUPER-WAL WOOD STRUT SYSTEM AND ANCHORED
BY THE PATENTED NATURAL SPACES SUPER-LOK
HUB AND SLEEVE CONNECTION SYSTEM.


3/4" T&G EXTERIOR GRADE PLYWOOD COVERS
THE FRAME WORK W/ 30 lb. BUILDING FELT AND
SHINGLES.

THE DOME IS INSULATED WITH BATT INSULATION
TO A R FACTOR OF 55. THE INTERIOR
RECIEVES A POLY VAPOR RETARDER AND THEN
1X8 T&G WOOD FINISH


THE INTERIOR OF THE HOUSE IS FINISHED
THE SAME AS TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL
CONSTRUCTION.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS
PLEASE DO NOT HESITATE
TO CALL ME.

THANKS,
KEN JOHNSON



Member: NATIONAL DOME COUNCIL
Member: NATIONAL ASSOC. OF HOME BUILDERS
Professional Affilia.e: MINNESOTA SOCIETY AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ARCH ECTS



CHD 25
 MARKED BY
 AS B.M. PIER 58.65

OVERHEAD UTILITY W/ 100' - 0"
 W P O D ROAD
 (SEE NOTE 23)

DRIVEWAY

N 15° 02' 53" W
 423.23'

PROPOSED STRUCTURE

APPROXIMATE WELL LOCATION

MAIN FLOOR 63 1/2

LOWER FLOOR 53 1/2

3" DIA
 HOUSE SPIKE
 DISTANCE 52.33'

1000
 GALLON
 WATER
 TANK

DISTRIBUTION BOX

SEE NOTE 26

PUMPED STAKE

157.00'

IRON PIPE 36"

NIP SUSAN S. COE
 BOOK 6811 PAGE 245

NIP STEVEN J. + KARELKY
 MARLENE
 BOOK 6892 PAGE 28

IRON PIPE

IRON PIPE

80
 78
 76
 74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

48
 46
 44
 42

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

N 58° 57' W
 101.12'

N 58° 57' W
 423.23'

80
 78
 76
 74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

80
 78
 76
 74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

80
 78
 76
 74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

80
 78
 76
 74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

80
 78
 76
 74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

74
 72
 70
 68
 66
 64
 62

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE

SITE PLAN REVIEW

Processing Form

Arthur & Peggy Astarita

8/31/94

Applicant
13 Elizabeth St- Peaks Island, ME 04108

Date
51 Woods Rd- Peaks Isl
Address of Proposed Site

Mailing Address
1-fam dwlg w att 1-car garage

Site Identifier(s) from Assessors Maps
92-G-23,30,32

Proposed Use of Site
3.3 acres / geodesic dome
50-ft diameter

Zoning of Proposed Site

Acreage of Site / Ground Floor Coverage
& 20'x45' extension

Site Location Review (DEP) Required: () Yes () No

Proposed Number of Floors

Board of Appeals Action Required: () Yes () No

Total Floor Area

Planning Board Action Required: () Yes () No

Other Comments: contact person: Dennis Johnson (designer)

Date Dept. Review Due: 1-800- 733-7107

M M S P review

BUILDING DEPARTMENT SITE PLAN REVIEW

(Does not include review of construction plans)

- Use does NOT comply with Zoning Ordinance
 - Requires Board of Appeals Action
 - Requires Planning Board/City Council Action

Explanation

- Use complies with Zoning Ordinance — Staff Review Below

Zoning:
SPACE & BULK,
as applicable

COMPLIES

COMPLIES
CONDITIONALLY

DOES NOT
COMPLY

DATE	ZONE LOCATION	INTERIOR OR CORNER LOT	40 FT. SETBACK AREA (SEC. 21)	USE	SEWAGE DISPOSAL	REAR YARDS	SIDE YARDS	FRONT YARDS	PROJECTIONS	HEIGHT	LOT AREA	BUILDING AREA	AREA PER FAMILY	WIDTH OF LOT	LOT FRONTAGE	OFF-STREET PARKING	LOADING BAYS

CONDITIONS
SPECIFIED
BELOW


REASONS
SPECIFIED
BELOW

REASONS:

[Signature]

SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING STAFF/DATE

BUILDING DEPARTMENT—ORIGINAL



Inspection Services
Samuel P. Hoffses
Chief



Planning and Urban Development
Joseph Gray Jr.
Director

CITY OF PORTLAND

September 30, 1994

RE: 51 woods Road, Peaks Island

Mr. & Mrs. Arthur Astarita
13 Elizabeth St.
Peaks Island, ME 04108

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Astarita,

Your application to construct a one-family dwelling with garage has been reviewed and the building permit can not be issued until this office receives a scaled elevation of the proposed structure.

If you have any questions regarding these requirements, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

S. P. Hoffses
Chief of Inspection Services

/el

cc: William D. Giroux, Zoning Administrator

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE

SITE PLAN REVIEW

Processing Form

Applicant: Elizabeth St- Peaks Island, ME 04103

Date: 8/31/94

Proposed Use of Site: geodesic dome
 3.3 acres / 50-ft diameter

Address of Proposed Site: 51 Woods Rd- Peaks Isl

Acres of Site / Ground Floor Coverage: 3 20'x40' extension

Site Identifier(s) from Assessors Maps: 12-3-23, 30, 12

Site Location Review (DEP) Required: Yes No

Proposed Number of Floors: _____

Board of Appeals Action Required: Yes No

Total Floor Area: _____

Planning Board Action Required: Yes No

Other Comments: contact person: Dawni Johnson (designer)

Date Dept. Review Due: 1-300- 733-7107

115 review


PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT REVIEW

9/1/94
 (Date Received)

	TRAFFIC CIRCULATION	ACCESS	CURB CUTS	ROAD WIDTH	PARKING	SIGNALIZATION	TURNING MOVEMENTS	LIGHTING	CONFLICT WITH CITY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DRAINAGE	SOIL TYPES	SEWERS	CURBING	SIDEWALKS	OTHER	
APPROVED	SEE ATTACHED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL														CONDITIONS SPECIFIED BELOW	
APPROVED CONDITIONALLY																
DISAPPROVED															REASONS SPECIFIED BELOW	

REASONS: _____

(Attach Separate Sheet if Necessary)



SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING STAFF/DATE

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT COPY

Applicant: Astarita

Date: 9-15-94

Address: 51 Woods Rd Peaks Island

Assessors No.: 92-G, 23, 30, 32

CHECK LIST AGAINST ZONING ORDINANCE

Date -

Zone Location - I R 1

Interior or corner lot -

Use - Single

Sewage Disposal - subsurface

Rear Yards - 30' req.

Side Yards - 20' req.

Front Yards - 30' req.

Projections - none

Height -

Lot Area - 144,571

Building Area - OK

Area per Family - entire

Width of Lot - 274'

Lot Frontage - OK

Off-street Parking - OK

Loading Bays - N/A

Site Plan -

Shoreland Zoning -

Flood Plains -

TRANSMITTAL

FROM: Natural Spaces Domes
 37955 Bridge Road
 North Branch, MN 55056
 (612) 674-4292

SENT TO: SAM HOFFES

ON THIS DATE 10/4/94 the following items were sent:

ITEM OR DRAWING TITLE OR DRAWING NUMBER	DRAWINGS DATED	QUANTITY SENT
<u>INFORMATION SHEET</u>		<u>1 EA</u>
<u>PARTIAL SITE PLAN</u>		<u>1 EA</u>

THESE ARE BEING TRANSMITTED TO YOU:
 For your use as requested.
 For your review & approval. Please send back 1 set with any corrections.
 As part of drawings accompanying your construction manual.

Other _____

THEY WERE SENT VIA:
 First Class US Mail
 Priority First Class US Mail
 Express Mail
 UPS
 UPS Next Day Air
 Other _____

MAILING COST \$ 7.95

OTHER REMARKS:

ITEMS WERE MAILED BY KJ


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

SENDER INSTRUCTIONS

Print your name, address, and ZIP Code in the space below.

- Complete items 1, 2, 3, and 4 on the reverse.
- Attach to front of article if space permits, otherwise affix to back of article.
- Endorse article "Return Receipt Requested" adjacent to number.



RETURN

Print Sender's name, address, and ZIP Code in the space below.

City of Portland, Maine
389 Congress St. City Hall
Room 315 Building Inspections

Portland, ME 04101

Inspection Services
Samuel P. Hoffses
Chief



Planning and Urban Development
Joseph E. Gray Jr.
Director

CITY OF PORTLAND

September 30, 1984

RE: 51 Woods Road, Peaks Island

Mr. & Mrs. Arthur Astarita
13 Elizabeth St.
Peaks Island, ME 04108

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Astarita,

Your application to construct a one-family dwelling with garage has been reviewed and the building permit can not be issued until this office receives a scaled elevation of the proposed structure.

If you have any questions regarding these requirements, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

S. P. Hoffses
Chief of Inspection Services

/el

cc: William D. Giroux, Zoning Administrator

P 792 457 009
RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL
NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED
NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL
(See Reverse)

Sent to	Mr./Mrs. Arthur Astarita
Street and No.	13 Elizabeth St.
P.O., State and ZIP Code	Peaks Island, ME 04108
Postage	\$
Certified Fee	
Special Delivery Fee	
Restricted Delivery Fee	
Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivered	
Return Receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery	
TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$
Postmark or Date	

PS Form 3800, June 1985

37955 RIDGE RD. NORTH BRANCH, MN 55056 PHONE 612/674-4292

SAM -

HERE IS THE INFORMATION YOU
REQUESTED FOR PEGGY AND
ARTHUR ASTALITA RESIDENCE
AT 51 WOODS ROAD.

GRADE ELEVATION

AT FRONT DOOR = 51'-0"

MAIN FLOOR ELEVATION = 52'-6"

TOP OF DOME +


VIEW CUPOLA = 83'-2"

HEIGHT OF DOME

FROM FINISHED

FLOOR = 30'-8"

- KEN JOHNSON

$$\begin{array}{r} 52' 6'' \\ - 30' 8'' \\ \hline 21' 10'' \end{array}$$


Member: NATIONAL DOME COUNCIL

Member: NATIONAL ASSOC. OF HOME BUILDERS

Professional Affiliate: MINNESOTA SOCIETY AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS

Inspection Services
Samuel P. Hoffses
Chief



Planning and Urban Development
Joseph E. Gray Jr.
Director

CITY OF PORTLAND

September 27, 1994

RE: 51 Woods Rd., Peaks Island

Mr. & Mrs. Arthur Astarita
13 Elizabeth St.
Peaks Island, ME 04108

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Astarita,

Your application to construct a one-family dwelling with garage has been reviewed and the building permit can not be issued at this time for the following reasons.

1. The subsurface waste water disposal system application submitted and design by Mr. William Goodwin can not be issued because Mr. Goodwin is in the employment of the City of Portland therefor can not do design work in the City of Portland.
2. The subsurface waste water design did not show the neighbors well.
3. Your plan must have a scaled elevation drawing.

If you have any questions regarding these requirements, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

S. Samuel Hoffses
Chief of Inspection Services

/el

cc: William Goodwin, Portland Planning

VOID
1 1/2 Corridors
B

P 752 457 038
RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL
NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED
NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL
(See Reverse)

Sent to	Mr. & Mrs. Arthur Astarita
Street and No.	13 Elizabeth St.
City, State, Zip	Peaks Island, ME 04108
Postage	\$
Certified Fee	\$
Special Delivery Fee	\$
Restricted Delivery Fee	\$
Return Receipt showing whom and Date Delivered	\$
Return Receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery	\$
TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$
Postmark or Date	

PS Form 3800, June 1993

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE
M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Sam Hoffses, Director of Inspection Services
FROM: Charles A. Lane, Associate Corporation Counsel
Ext. 8480
DATE: September 20, 1994
RE: Subsurface Wastewater Disposal System Application - Woods
Road, Peaks Island

I am returning the above-described application to you.

You had asked me to review it because it bore the signature of William B. Goodwin and opposite his signature was the date August 31, 1994.

It is my understanding that, since the application is twelve years old, you will require a new application.

Obviously, Mr. Goodwin's connection with the new application will be governed by the arbitrator's decision and award dated October 20, 1990. A copy of that decision is attached hereto.



Charles A. Lane
Associate Corporation Counsel

Enclosure
CAL:rlj

In the Matter of the Arbitration Between
THE CITY OF PORTLAND

and

THE PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL
EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION
Gr. William Goodwin

DECISION
and
AWARD

Appearances: for City of Portland--Charles A. Lane, Esq.
for the Association--John J. Finn, Esq.

This matter was heard in Portland, Maine on June 20, 1990 and July 9, 1990. Both parties made complete and comprehensive presentations. The Arbitrator compliments both attorneys for this. Regardless of my eventual determination both sides can rest assured that each received full consideration of the various concepts and materials presented.

At all relevant times William Goodwin (Grievant) was and still is employed as a Project Engineer by the City of Portland (City) in the Department of Parks and Public Works. Grievant is also licensed by the State of Maine as a Site Evaluator and has, for a number of years and still does, operate a private business under the name, Goodwin Associates, performing site evaluations for private parties in and out of Portland. This outside work includes, inter alia, completion of Sub-surface Wastewater Disposal System Applications (HHE-200) and, on at least one occasion, appearing as an expert witness on behalf of his private clients before Portland boards. Most of his clients are from Peaks Island where he grew up and still lives.

The father of the Grievant, Ernold Goodwin, serves as Chief Plumbing Inspector for City. In that capacity Ernold Goodwin's duties include review and approval of HHE-200 forms. It is this relationship that gives rise to the problem of possible conflict of interest.

Sometime, approximately in the mid 1980's, conflict of interest matters began coming up generally in municipal matters. For some years there have been some statutory language in some form or other relating to inspection of plumbing. During this time the legislature enacted Title 30-A M.R.S.A. Section 4222 (P.L.1987,C.737, Sec.2) as follows:

No inspector of plumbing may inspect or approve any plumbing work, site evaluation or installation of a subsurface disposal system, done by that inspector, or who is employed by or with the inspector.

In an effort to cope with this type of situation city officials had conferences and meetings, some with employees, trying to establish some criteria. Some of these were attended by Grievant. This entire period was in a state of flux. Several different procedures and rules were promulgated not all of which were put into effect.

Among such attempts a draft ENGINEERING WORK RULE - CONFLICT OF INTEREST, dated 11/18/87, was circulated from Tom Eaton, City Engineer, to many employees, Grievant among them. (Ex.#27, pages 2 & 3 attached). (Page 1 was directed to Corporation Counsel for comment). Grievant testified that although this was never adopted he felt it was in his best interest to follow the draft. On the same date Eaton separately circulated an explanation and indicated his intention to issue the final Work Rule soon. (Ex. #43). This was circulated to people on the Engineering Staff, Grievant being among them. The second paragraph cautioned the employees to "maintain care so that a conflict of interest situation does not exist or even appear to exist." The third paragraph reads as follows:

Engineering Division as a whole will continue to review work performed by one of its employees which was performed under outside employment conditions. Individual reviews, however, will not be permitted of one's own work, the work of a subordinate, or the work of a relative. Potential Conflicts of Interest are to be brought to the attention of the City

Engineer and/or the Principal Engineer.

Prior to 1987 Grievant's father had reviewed Grievant's private applications. In an effort to minimize this potential conflict situation, in 1987 City assigned Samuel Hoffses, Chief of Inspection Services, to review applications prepared by William Goodwin in his private business. This arrangement did not continue. A suggestion was made that City hire an independent inspector on an ad hoc basis to perform these reviews. City objected to the extra cost to it.

Other employees, in addition to Grievant, were in similar potential conflict of interest situations because of employment with City and conducting private outside businesses in the same or related fields as their City employment. In one way or another the others were resolved by those employees either resigning or giving up the outside work.

Matters came to a head in 1989 when a matter involving Island Builders, Inc. came up involving property on one of the islands. Ordinarily this Arbitrator would not go into too much detail concerning such a case, but throughout this Hearing testimony, exhibits and contents of Grievant's briefs sidetracked consideration of this matter from the material pertinent to the issue of conflict of interest.

The application of Island Builders Inc, form HME-200 (Ex. #3) was prepared and signed by Grievant in his outside business capacity. Immediately above his signature is the following statement:

On December 17, 1988 I conducted a site evaluation for this project and certify that the data reported is accurate. The system I propose is in accordance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

The first page stated "well" as the type of water supply. The Referee in his report found that a sink for washing clothes and hair

was supplied water by hand from a rain barrel under the roof gutter with no pipe running anywhere from the rain barrel. Drinking water was hand carried from a neighbor's premises. No public water system was available and there was no spring, well or other water source on the premises. (underlining supplied).

The application also stated that this was a "Replacement System" for a system installed in 1900 \pm . The Referee found that human waste was deposited in an outhouse directly onto the ground. The sink to which water was hand carried for washing clothes deposited its water onto the adjacent ground surface. The person who sold the property to Island Builders, Inc., a Mrs. Gould, testified at that Hearing that for as long as she occupied the property there was no flush toilet unit on the premises. She abandoned the property before 1970.

From the evidence before him the Referee found that up to the time of his Hearing no septic waste disposal system was shown to have ever existed.

After Island Builders acquired the property some excavation work in the area at the rear of the house, a building disintegrating and not habitable, a 55 gallon steel drum with a hole at either end was found. Short pieces of pipe protruded therefrom. Some "beach stones" were also found. Mrs. Gould and family had laid "beach stones" to form a walk to the outhouse. The president of Island Builders saw what he took to be a broken flush toilet lying under the wreck of what had been the kitchen, but he did not examine it.

As the Referee said, "We are presented only with speculation and conjecture as to where these items came from, who put them there and when, whether they were ever linked by piping so as to form a system, and if so, how they could be operable without water. Witnesses ven-

tured to guess at possible answers to these questions, but pure guesswork is not persuasive ..."

Even prior to that hearing the information supplied by Grievant was questioned. That hearing corroborated that.

Sometime in September 1989 City's Corporation Counsel had a conversation with an attorney who informed him that Grievant had advised one of that attorney's clients how she could evade provisions of the proposed island groundwater amendments. The suggested method involved giving a legal interpretation, which was not within his authority.

At this hearing Barbara Vestal, Planning Board member and former Chair, testified that Grievant came before that board, usually as a witness for City, but occasionally as a witness for private applicants. The Board was unable to discern that he was in a different capacity on those occasions until he was called, and even then such difference was obscure. His dual position made his testimony in behalf of his private clients of greater weight than that of other independent technicians.

One must keep in mind other factors that permeated the atmosphere.

During the period Grievant was conducting his outside business, a Mrs. Veronica Foster, resident of Peaks Island, wrote to the Dept. of Human Services, Augusta, Maine, saying in part (Ex. #37):

"We have a problem here on Peaks Island. Building permits are being issued where residences should never be built....."

It is common knowledge on the island that permits can be obtained for any place if big enough bribe is offered...."

This excerpt is included here regardless of whether true or not as an indication of rumors that pervaded the island atmosphere.

The entire question of conflict of interest is being addressed at different levels with differing proscriptions varying according to the

governmental level being addressed. In other words there is no uniformity between different branches of government, or political levels within the same branch.

It was these factors and resulting atmosphere that City issued its memo on October 2, 1989 to Grievant (Ex. #3) directing him to immediately cease all private outside work within the corporate limits of the City of Portland which, because of its nature must be reviewed and/or approved by any City department or employee.

In his post-hearing brief Grievant states that there can be no finding that Grievant had a conflict of interest as there was no evidence of any attempt on his part to exert improper influence on the judge. The potential of a conflict of interest is much broader than this narrow definition. It is not restricted to exerting improper influence on the judge. It also includes misleading any authority, judge or board. As Maine cases have said it is the temptation to serve one's own personal interest to the prejudice of fair and equal service of others whom he serves in his official capacity. In a potential conflict situation it is not necessary that there be any improper conduct. It is the appearance of the potential for some wrong doing not actual wrong doing that presents the problem. A public employee must always be cautious of what he says and does as well as how he does it. Witness the content of Ex. #7. Grievant had conversation with someone who reported to her attorney as indicated in that exhibit. Regardless of what words might actually been used the client's impression was definite and improper. That was the message the client received.

Section 4222 was passed in 1987. Even though City did not circulate it to department heads until 1990 Grievant is obliged to know of and abide by State Statutes and Regulations pertaining to his occupa-

tion and profession.

Grievant also claims that City did not balance the interests of the private interest of Grievant when it considered the public interest. From the evidence in light of conduct and reported public perceptions City definitely considered Grievant's interest as well as the Public's. The memo regarding his outside work prohibited only work within the City of Portland. It did not touch his work outside Portland. The fact that the majority of his work is in Portland was balanced by the factors set both above and is a factor Grievant should have considered.

Grievant also had a balancing responsibility. In his outside work in the City of Portland to which master is he responsible, which does he serve? His conduct and assumptions in the Island Builders case exemplifies the basic problem. His conduct in that matter mandated that something be done.

To protect residents of Portland and the integrity of the actions of its boards City had to take some action. Exhibit #8 is that action and is proper.

The Arbitrator must consider all applicable laws in effect. He cannot condone conduct that is prohibited regardless of when Grievant became aware of it. If the law is in existence all affected parties should have known of it.


All parties must conform to the law and sometimes past practices must change.

While in part Grievant's attorney made reference that the rulings and actions taken by City in its attempts to implement a "Conflict of Interest" policy was a violation of the Labor Agreement between City and Grievant's Professional Association, no applicable Article in the

Labor Agreement between the parties was referred to, and the Arbitrator is unable to find any. Any such attempt by City to conform is not violative of the Labor Agreement and is not prohibited unless in contravention of other pertinent laws.

The Arbitrator finds that Grievant's activity violated Conflict of Interest rules.

The Arbitrator finds that City did not violate grievant's rights.
Dated: October 20, 1990.



Arbitrator

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering
(207) 289-3828

PROPERTY ADDRESS

Town Or Platation: PORTLAND PEAK'S ISLAND

Street: WOODS ROAD 23

Subdivision Lot #: TAXMAP 92 BLOCK G LOTS 30 32

PROPERTY OWNERS NAME

Last: ASTARITA First: ARTHUR & PEGGY.

Applicant Name: ARTHUR ASTARITA

Mailing Address of Owner/Applicant (if Different): HOUSTON TEXAS

PORTLAND PERMIT # 5178 APPLICANTS COPY

Date Permit Issued: 9.1.94 30. FEE Double Fee Charged

Local Plumbing Inspector Signature: [Signature] L.P.I. # 11216

THE WORK SPECIFIED IN THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES. THIS PERMIT EXPIRES AFTER TWO YEARS FROM DATE ISSUED UNLESS WORK HAS COMMENCED.

Owner/Applicant Statement

I certify that the information submitted is correct to the best of my knowledge and understand that my lack of caution is reason for the Local Plumbing Inspector to deny a Permit.

[Signature] 8/31/94

Signature of Owner/Applicant Date

Caution: Inspection Required

I have inspected the installation authorized above and found it to be in compliance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

Local Plumbing Inspector Signature Date Approved

PERMIT INFORMATION

<p>THIS APPLICATION IS FOR:</p> <p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEW SYSTEM</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> REPLACEMENT SYSTEM</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> EXPANDED SYSTEM</p> <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> SEASONAL CONVERSION</p> <p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM</p>	<p>THIS APPLICATION REQUIRES:</p> <p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO RULE VARIANCE REQUIRED</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> NEW SYSTEM VARIANCE Attach New System Variance Form</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE Attach Replacement System Variance Form</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> Requires only Local Plumbing Inspector Approval</p> <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> Requires both State and Local Plumbing Inspector Approval</p>	<p>INSTALLATION IS COMPLETE SYSTEM:</p> <p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NON-ENGINEERED SYSTEM</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> PRIMITIVE SYSTEM (Includes Alternative Toilet)</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> ENGINEERED (+2000 gpd)</p> <p>INDIVIDUALLY INSTALLED COMPONENTS:</p> <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> TREATMENT TANK (ONLY)</p> <p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> FOLDING TANK</p> <p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> ALTERNATIVE TOILET (ONLY)</p> <p>7. <input type="checkbox"/> NON-ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY)</p> <p>8. <input type="checkbox"/> ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY)</p> <p>9. <input type="checkbox"/> SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM</p>
<p>IF REPLACEMENT SYSTEM:</p> <p>YEAR FAILING SYSTEM INSTALLED _____</p> <p>THE FAILING SYSTEM IS:</p> <p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> BED 3. <input type="checkbox"/> TRENCH</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> CHAMBER 4. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____</p>	<p>DISPOSAL SYSTEM TO SERVE:</p> <p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> MODULAR OR MOBILE HOME</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE FAMILY DWELLING</p> <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____ SPECIFY _____</p>	<p>TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY</p> <p>DRILLED WELL</p>
<p>SIZE OF PROPERTY</p> <p>3.32 A</p>	<p>ZONING</p> <p>IR1</p>	

DESIGN DETAILS (SYSTEM LAYOUT SHOWN ON PAGE 2)

<p>TREATMENT TANK</p> <p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEPTIC: <input type="checkbox"/> Regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Profile</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> AEROBIC</p> <p>SIZE: 1000 GALS.</p>	<p>WATER CONSERVATION</p> <p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> NONE</p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LOW VOLUME TOILET</p> <p>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM</p> <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> ALTERNATIVE TOILET</p> <p>SPECIFY: _____</p>	<p>PUMPING</p> <p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT REQUIRED</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> MAY BE REQUIRED (DEPENDENT ON TREATMENT TANK LOCATION AND ELEVATION)</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> REQUIRED</p> <p>DOSE: _____ GALS</p>	<p>CRITERIA USED FOR DESIGN FLOW (BEDROOMS, SEATING, EMPLOYEES, WATER RECORDS, ETC.)</p> <p>3 BEDROOM 450</p> <p>CONSERVATIVE</p> <p>LOW VOLUME TOILET - 45</p> <p>SEPARATED LAUNDRY - 90</p> <p>DESIGN FLOW 315</p> <p>(GALLONS/DAY)</p>
<p>SOIL CONDITIONS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES</p> <p>PROFILE 4 CONDITION A III</p> <p>DEPTH TO LIMITING FACTOR: 35</p>	<p>SIZE RATINGS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES</p> <p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> SMALL</p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM-LARGE</p> <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> LARGE</p> <p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> EXTRA LARGE</p>	<p>DISPOSAL AREA TYPE/SIZE</p> <p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> BED _____ Sq. Ft.</p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHAMBER 425 * Sq. Ft.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULAR <input type="checkbox"/> H-20</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> TRENCH _____ Linear Ft.</p> <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____</p>	

SITE EVALUATOR STATEMENT * USED IN INSTALLATION POLYETHYLENE CHAMBERS IN TRENCH CONFIGURATION: SITE EVALUATION WAIVED BY LOCAL OPTION

On Sept 24 1992 (date) I conducted a site evaluation for this project and certify that the data reported is accurate. The system I propose is in accordance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

[Signature] 0003/4814 8/31/94

Site Evaluator or Professional Engineer's Signature SE# / IPE# Date

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering

Town, City, Plantation

Street, Road, Subdivision




Owners Name

PORTLAND PEAKS ISLAND WOODS ROAD 92-G - 23, 30, 32

ARTHUR & PEGGY ASTARITA

SITE PLAN

Scale 1" = 100' FL



SOIL DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION

Observation Hole 3 Test Pit Boring
2 * Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil

DEPTH BELOW MINERAL SOIL SURFACE (Inches)	Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0-6	SANDY LOAM w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS		GRAY BROWN	
6-10	LOAMY SAND w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS	LOOSE	LIGHT RED BROWN	NONE
10-15				
15-20				
20-30				
30-40	SANDY GRAVEL		YELLOW BROWN w/ RED MOTTLES	COMMON
40-50	RED ROCK			

Soil Profile 4 Classification AIII Slope 9% Limiting Factor 35
 Ground Water Restrictive Layer Bedrock

Location of Observation Holes Shown Above)

Observation Hole 4 Test Pit Boring
2 * Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil

DEPTH BELOW MINERAL SOIL SURFACE (Inches)	Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0-6	SANDY LOAM		RED BROWN	
6-10				
10-15	LOAMY SAND w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS	LOOSE	LIGHT BROWN	NONE
15-20				
20-30				
30-40	STONY SILTY SAND		GRAY	
40-50	SANDY GRAVEL		YELLOW BROWN w/ RED MOTTLES	COMMON

Soil Profile 4 Classification C Slope 9% Limiting Factor 38
 Ground Water Restrictive Layer Bedrock

William B. Cookman

0003/4814

8/31/99

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering

Town, City, Plantation

Street, Road, Subdivision


Owner's Name

PORTLAND PEAKS ISLAND WOODS RD 92-G-23,30,32

ARTHUR & PEGGY ASTARITA

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PLAN


Scale 1" = 20'-0"



FILL REQUIREMENTS		CONSTRUCTION ELEVATIONS		ELEVATION REFERENCE POINT LOCATION & DESCRIPTION SPIKE IN 12" BIRCH NORTHERLY OF TP 4
Depth of Fill (Upslope)	12"	Reference Elevation is	51.52	
Depth of Fill (Downslope)	12"	Bottom of Disposal Area	SEE X-SECTION	
		Top of Distribution Lines or Chambers	" " "	

DISPOSAL AREA CROSS SECTION

Scale:
Vertical: 1 inch = 5'-0"
Horizontal: 1 inch = 10'-0"



William B. Goodwin
Civil Engineering

0003/48/4

8/31/94

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering
(207) 289-3826

PROPERTY ADDRESS	
Town Or Plantation	PORTLAND PEAKS ISLAND
Street	WOODS ROAD 23
Subdivision/Lot #	TAX MAP 92 BLOCK G LOTS 30, 32
PROPERTY OWNERS NAME	
Last:	ASTARITA
First:	ARTHUR E PEGGY
Applicant Name:	ARTHUR ASTARITA
Mailing Address of Owner/Applicant (if different)	HOUSTON TEXAS

PORTLAND	PERMIT # 5177	APPLICANTS COPY
Date Permit Issued:	9 / 1 / 94	FEE <input type="checkbox"/> Double Fee Charged
		L.P.L. # 1124
Local Plumbing Inspector Signature _____		
THE WORK SPECIFIED IN THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES. THIS PERMIT EXPIRES AFTER TWO YEARS FROM DATE ISSUED UNLESS WORK HAS COMMENCED.		

Owner/Applicant Statement

I certify that the information submitted is correct to the best of my knowledge and understand that any falsification is reason for the Local Plumbing Inspector to deny a Permit.

Arthur Astarita 8/31/94

Signature of Owner/Applicant _____ Date _____

Caution: Inspection Required

I have inspected the installation authorized above and found it to be in compliance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

Local Plumbing Inspector Signature _____ Date Approved _____

PERMIT INFORMATION		
THIS APPLICATION IS FOR: 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEW SYSTEM 2. <input type="checkbox"/> REPLACEMENT SYSTEM 3. <input type="checkbox"/> EXPANDED SYSTEM 4. <input type="checkbox"/> SEASONAL CONVERSION 5. <input type="checkbox"/> EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM	THIS APPLICATION REQUIRES: 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO RULE VARIANCE REQUIRED 2. <input type="checkbox"/> NEW SYSTEM VARIANCE Attach New System Variance Form <input type="checkbox"/> REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE Attach Replacement System Variance Form 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Requires only Local Plumbing Inspector Approval 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Requires both State and Local Plumbing Inspector Approval	INSTALLATION IS COMPLETE SYSTEM 1. <input type="checkbox"/> NON-ENGINEERED SYSTEM 2. <input type="checkbox"/> PRIMITIVE SYSTEM (Includes Alternative Toilet) 3. <input type="checkbox"/> ENGINEERED (+2000 gpd) INDIVIDUALLY INSTALLED COMPONENTS: 4. <input type="checkbox"/> TREATMENT TANK (ONLY) 5. <input type="checkbox"/> HOLDING TANK 6. <input type="checkbox"/> ALTERNATIVE TOILET (ONLY) 7. <input type="checkbox"/> NON-ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY) 8. <input type="checkbox"/> ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY) 9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM
IF REPLACEMENT SYSTEM: YEAR FAILING SYSTEM INSTALLED _____ THE FAILING SYSTEM IS: 1. <input type="checkbox"/> BED 3. <input type="checkbox"/> TRENCH 2. <input type="checkbox"/> CHAMBER 4. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____	DISPOSAL SYSTEM TO SERVE: 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING 2. <input type="checkbox"/> MODULAR OR MOBILE HOME 3. <input type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE FAMILY DWELLING 4. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____ SPECIFY _____	TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY DRILLED WELL
SIZE OF PROPERTY: 3.32 A ZONING: IR1		

DESIGN DETAILS (SYSTEM LAYOUT SHOWN ON PAGE 3)		
TREATMENT TANK 1. <input type="checkbox"/> SEPTIC: <input type="checkbox"/> Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Low Profile 2. <input type="checkbox"/> AEROBIC SIZE: N/A GALS.	WATER CONSERVATION 1. <input type="checkbox"/> NONE 2. <input type="checkbox"/> LOW VOLUME TOILET 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ALTERNATIVE TOILET SPECIFY: _____	PUMPING 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT REQUIRED 2. <input type="checkbox"/> MAY BE REQUIRED (DEPENDS ON TREATMENT TANK LOCATION AND ELEVATION) 3. <input type="checkbox"/> REQUIRED DOSE: _____ GALS.
SOIL CONDITIONS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES PROFILE: 4 CONDITION: C DEPTH TO LIMITING FACTOR: 38	SIZE RATINGS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES 1. <input type="checkbox"/> SMALL 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MED. UM 3. <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM-LARGE 4. <input type="checkbox"/> LARGE 5. <input type="checkbox"/> EXTRA LARGE	DISPOSAL AREA TYPE/SIZE 1. <input type="checkbox"/> BED _____ Sq. Ft. 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHAMBER 125* Sq. Ft. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULAR <input type="checkbox"/> H-20 3. <input type="checkbox"/> TRENCH _____ Linear Ft. 4. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____
		CRITERIA USED FOR DESIGN FLOW (BEDROOMS, SEATING, EMPLOYEES, WATER RECORDS, ETC.) SEPARATED LAUNDRY DESIGN FLOW: 90 (GALLONS/DAY)

SITE EVALUATOR STATEMENT *USED 5 INFILTRATOR POLYETHYLENE CHAMBERS IN TRENCH CONFIGURATION * SITE EVALUATION WAIVED BY LOCAL OPTION

On SEPT 24 1982 (date) I conducted a site evaluation for this project and certify that the data reported is accurate. The system I propose is in accordance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

William B. ... 0902/4814 8/31/94
 Site Evaluator or Professional Engineer's Signature D.S.#

Page 1 of 3
HHE - 203 Rev. 4/83

SURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering

Plantation

Street, Road, Subdivision



Owners Name

PEAKS ISLAND WOODS ROAD 92-G - 23,30,32

ARTHUR & PEGGY ASTARITA

SITE PLAN

Scale 1" = 100' FL



SOIL DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION (Location of Observation Holes Shown Above)				
Observation Hole <u>3</u>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Test Pit <input type="checkbox"/> Boring		
* Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil				
0	Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0-8	SANDY LOAM w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS		GRAY BROWN	
10	LOAMY SAND w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS	LOOSE	LIGHT RED BROWN	NONE
35	SANDY GRAVEL		YELLOW BROWN w/ RED MOTTLES	COMMON
40	BEDROCK			
Soil <u>4</u>		Classification <u>AIII</u>	Slope <u>9%</u>	Limiting Factor <u>35</u>
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground Water <input type="checkbox"/> Rooting Layer <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock
Observation Hole <u>4</u>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Test Pit <input type="checkbox"/> Boring		
* Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil				
0	Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0-8	SANDY LOAM		RED BROWN	
10	LOAMY SAND w/ ANGULAR FRAGMENTS	LOOSE	LIGHT BROWN	NONE
30	STONY SILTY SAND		GRAY	
40	SANDY GRAVEL		YELLOW BROWN w/ RED MOTTLES	COMMON
Soil <u>4</u>		Classification <u>C</u>	Slope <u>9%</u>	Limiting Factor <u>38</u>
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground Water <input type="checkbox"/> Rooting Layer <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock

William B. Goodwin 0003/4814 8/31/94

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Department of Human Services
Division of Health Engineering

Town, City, Plantation

Street, Road, Subdivision

Owners Name

PORTLAND PEAKS ISLAND


WOODS ROAD

92-G-23,30,32

ARTHUR & PEGGY ASTARITA

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PLAN

Scale 1" = 20' FL.




FILL REQUIREMENTS	
Depth of Fill (Upslope)	0"
Depth of Fill (Downslope)	0"

CONSTRUCTION ELEVATIONS	
Reference Elevation Is	51.52
Bottom of Disposal Area	SEE X-SECTION
Top of Distribution Lines or Chambers	" " "

ELEVATION REFERENCE POINT LOCATION & DESCRIPTION	
SPIKE IN 12" BIRCH NORTHERLY OF TP 4	

DISPOSAL AREA CROSS SECTION

Scale:	
Vertical	1" = 5' FL
Horizontal	1" = 10' FL



William B. Goshwin

0003/4814

8/31/94

Page 3 of 3

Rev 4

CIT. OF PORTLAND, MAINE

DEPT. OF PLANNING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT
Room 315, City Hall
PORTLAND, MAINE 04101



CERTIFIED

P 792 457 008

36.02

MAIL

Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Astarita
13 Elizabeth St.
Peaks Island, ME 04108



Hoffses 9/27/94

51 Woods Rd. Peaks Island

SENDER: Complete items 1 and 2 when additional services are desired, and complete items 3 and 4.

Put your address in the "RETURN TO" space on the reverse side. Failure to do this will prevent this card from being returned to you. The return receiver fee will provide you the name of the person delivered to and the date of delivery. For additional fees the following services are available. Consult postmaster for fees and check box(es) for additional service(s) requested.

1. Show to whom delivered, date, and addressee's address. 2. Restricted Delivery
(Extra charge) (Extra charge)

3. Article Addressed to:
& Mrs. Arthur Astarita
13 Elizabeth St.
Peaks Island, ME 04108

4. Article Number
P-792 457-008

Type of Service:
 Registered Insured
 Certified COD
 Express Mail

Always obtain signature of addressee or agent and DATE DELIVERED.

5. Signature - Addressee

X

6. Signature - Agent

X

7. Date of Delivery

8. Addressee's Address (ONLY if requested and fee paid)

PS Form 3811, Mar. 1987

* U.S.G.P.O. 1987-178-268

DOMESTIC RETURN RECEIPT