

**CITY OF PORTLAND**  
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION

LOCATION

**POSTING SCHEDULE**

BUILDING NO.	DATE	DOCUMENT NO.	WORK	INSTALLATION	USE	DOC. NO.	PLAN FILE	SYMBOLS
Type of Document								
Ap—Appeal C—Complaint Co—Cert. of Occupancy Da—Denied Applications I—Inquiry P—Permit								
Type of Work Under Permit								
A—Alteration Cu—Change of use D—Demolition M—Moving N—New Bldg. or Structure Reh—Repair chimney Rf—Repair after fire Rfa—Repair after fire with alterations Rr—Repair roof covering								
Type of Installation Permit								
Cr—Cooking appliance Ev—Elevator He—Heating appliance Hw—Hot water heater Infl—Inflammable liquids equipment Pw—Power appliance Re—Refrigeration Sc—Detached sign Sp—Projecting sign So—Automatic Sprinklers St—Roof slant Vc—Ventilation								

76-82 CROSS STREET

**CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE**  
**SITE PLAN REVIEW**  
**Processing Form**

Applicant	Date
Mailing Address	Address of Proposed Site
Proposed Use of Site	Site Identifier(s) from Assessors Maps
Acreage of Site / Ground Floor Coverage	Zoning of Proposed Site
Site Location Review (DEP) Required: ( ) Yes ( ) No	Proposed Number of Floors _____
Board of Appeals Action Required: ( ) Yes ( ) No	Total Floor Area _____
Planning Board Action Required: ( ) Yes ( ) No	
Other Comments: _____	
Date Dept. Review Due: _____	

**PLANNING DEPARTMENT REVIEW**

*7/21/10*  
 (Date Received)

Major Development — Requires Planning Board Approval: Review Initiated  
 Minor Development — Staff Review Below

	LOADING AREA	PARKING	CIRCULATION PATTERN	ACCESS	PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS	SCREENING	LANDSCAPING	SPACE & BULK OF STRUCTURES	LIGHTING	CONFlict WITH CITY PROJECTS	FINANCIAL CAPACITY	CHANGE IN SITE PLAN
APPROVED	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
APPROVED CONDITIONALLY									X			
DISAPPROVED												

CONDITIONS SPECIFIED BY OW  
 REASONS SPECIFIED BELOW

REASONS: ANY EXTERIOR LIGHTING TO BE APPROVED BY STAFF

(Attach Separate Sheet if Necessary)

*Jeanine O'Brien 7/25/10*  
 SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING STAFF/DATE

PLANNING DEPARTMENT COPY

## CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE

133

## SITE PLAN REVIEW

## Processing Form

Michael Young  
Applicant

4-14-78

65 Juniper Ledge, Yarmouth  
Mailing Address  
Parking lot - 23 cars  
Proposed Use of Site  
12,000 sq. ft.76-82 Cross St, corner Spring St.  
Address of Proposed Site  
38-A-10  
Site Identifier(s) from Assessors Maps  
B-3  
Zoning of Proposed SiteAcreage of Site / Ground Floor Coverage  
Site Location Review (DEP) Required: ( ) Yes ( ) No  
Board of Appeals Action Required: ( ) Yes ( ) No  
Planning Board Action Required: ( ) Yes ( ) NoProposed Number of Floors \_\_\_\_\_  
Total Floor Area \_\_\_\_\_

Other Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Dept. Review Due: 4-20-78

BUILDING DEPARTMENT SITE PLAN REVIEW  
(Does not include review of construction plans)

Use does NOT comply with Zoning Ordinance  
 Requires Board of Appeals Action  
 Requires Planning Board/City Council Action

Use complies with Zoning Ordinance — Staff Review Below

Zoning:  
SPACE & BULK,  
as applicable

COMPLIES

COMPLIES  
CONDITIONALLYDOES NOT  
COMPLY

DATE	ZONE LOCATION	INTER. OR. LQ.	CORNER LOT	-40 FT. SETBACK AREA-SEC 27	USE	SEWAGE DISPOSAL	REAR YARDS	SIDE YARDS	FRONT YARDS	PROJECTIONS	HEIGHT	LOT AREA	BUILDING AREA	AREA PER FT. 'X' Y	WIDTH OF LOT	LOT FRONTAGE	OFF'S REET PARKING	LOADING BAYS
✓	✓				✓													

CONDITIONS  
SPECIFIED  
BELOWREASONS  
SPECIFIED  
BELOWREASONS: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Michael Young 4/14/78

SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING STAFF/DATE

BUILDING DEPARTMENT - ORIGINAL

**CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE**  
**SITE PLAN REVIEW**  
**Processing Form**

Applicant _____	Date _____
Mailing Address _____	Address of Proposed Site _____
Proposed Use of Site _____	Site Identifier(s) from Assessors Maps _____
Acreage of Site / Ground Floor Coverage _____	Zoning of Proposed Site _____
Site Location Review (DEP) Required: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Proposed Number of Floors _____
Board of Appeals Action Required: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Total Floor Area _____
Planning Board Action Required: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Other Comments: _____	
Date Dept. Review Due: _____	

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT REVIEW**

*April 19, 1978*  
(Date Received)

	TRAFFIC CIRCULATION	ACCESS	CURB CUTS	ROAD WIDTH	PARKING	SIGNALIZATION	TURNING MOVEMENTS	LIGHTING	CONFLICT WITH CITY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DRAINAGE	SOIL TYPES	SEWERS	CURBING	SIDEWALKS	OTHER
APPROVED									N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
APPROVED CONDITIONALLY									✓						
DISAPPROVED	✓	✓							✓						

CONDITIONS SPECIFIED BELOW

REASONS SPECIFIED BELOW

REASONS: See attached sheet

(Attach Separate Sheet if Necessary)

*John B. Roger 4-19-78*

SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING STAFF/DATE

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT COPY

April 10, 1978

Supplement to the Site Plan Review Processing Form for a 23 car parking lot at the corner of Spring Street and Cross Street - Michael Young developer.

In general, the plan as submitted is too deficient to make proper review. The areas of concern which remain unanswered are:

Access - Is the curb cut shown on the plan existing or proposed or both? Whether existing or not, the curb cut should be located in the center of the turning aisle between the two rows of parking stalls and should be at least 25 feet in width. This will allow for more proper vehicular movements to and from the site.

Lighting - Are there to be exterior lights installed. If so, lighting must meet the requirements of this Department as they pertain to intensity, height of support poles and type of the luminaire.

Drainage - Is the lot currently paved, if not, is it proposed to be paved. Is the "drain" shown on the plan an existing drain or a proposed drain.

If the lot is proposed to be paved and the drain is a proposed drain, this Department would require that the pavement area be reduced and that the developer create more "green areas" on site for which to control drainage on-site. This can easily be accomplished without affecting the proposed use of the site, and without the use of a storm drainage system which is a cost-saving factor to the developer and at the same time eliminates storm water run-off from our sewerage systems.

John P. Rogers



## CITY OF PORTLAND

DONALD E. MEGATHLIN, JR.  
PLANNING DIRECTOR

April 24, 1978

Mr. Michael Young  
65 Juniper Ledge  
Yarmouth, ME

Dear Mr. Young:

The Planning Department staff has not yet taken action on your site plan for a parking lot at Spring Street and Cross Street. The reason for the delay is that questions have been raised concerning access, drainage and screening, and I have been unable to reach you by telephone to arrange a meeting to resolve these questions. I believe that the staff's objections to the plan at this point are relatively minor and can be resolved at a meeting.

Please call me at 775-5451 extension 269 at your earliest convenience and I will arrange a meeting in the near future.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeremiah O'Brien".  
Jeremiah O'Brien  
Planning Department

JO'B/dd

cc: Donald E. Megathlin, Planning Director  
John Rague, Public Works Department  
Lt. Collins, Fire Department  
Malcolm Ward, Building & Inspection Services

Ordinance  
604.4C.1(a)-(e)

(N)

tree Street

Building

Business  
Buildings

6 Free Street

Michael Bennett  
Young  
"MIKE's parking  
Scale  $\frac{1}{4}'' = 5'$

65 Juniper  
Ledge  
Yarmouth, m  
pl# 846519.

drain

grased land

sidewalk

sidewalk

Cross  
street

curb

curb Spring Street

Requirements needed for Chapter 604  
Site Plan Ordinance

604.4 C.2(a)

The proposed uses to be located on the site shall be a parking area for people's cars who may be attending a public event in the area, generally between the hours of 7 p.m. and midnight. There are appropriate spaces for Twenty-Three vehicles

604. C. 2(b)

The Total Land area of the proposed site is 12,000 Sq. Ft.

Sec. 604.4D

The names and addresses of the  
owners of the proposed parcel  
is (are)

J. B. Brown Co.

Leasing To Michael Young

Michael Young

4/13/78

There is no cost of development.