



CITY OF PORTLAND


TO: Duane Kline, Finance Department
FROM: Alexander Jaegerman, Chief Planner
DATE: July 26, 2001
SUBJECT: Request for Reduction in Performance Guarantee
Kamco Supply Corporation / McAlister Farm Rd.
ID# 2000-0088 Lead CBL# 317-A002

A request by Kamco Supply Corporation has been made for a reduction of Escrow Account # 710-0000-233-09-00 for McAlister Farm Road.

Original Sum	\$ 5,000.00
Reduction Amount	\$ 4,500.00
Remaining Sum	\$ 500.00

This is the first reduction for the project.

Approved:


Alexander Jaegerman
Chief Planner

cc: Kandice Talbot, Planner
Development Review Coordinator
Tony Lombardo, Public Works
✓ Code Enforcement

#,
SR

TO: Sam Hoffses, Chief Building Inspector
Inspections

FROM: Jay Reynolds, Development Review Coordinator

DATE: July 19, 2001

RE: C. of O. for Kamco Supply/ McAlister Farm Road
lead cbl (317A002); (Id# 2000-0088)

After visiting the Kamco Supply site, I found all the work to be completed.

At this time, I recommend issuing a permanent Certificate of Occupancy.

Please contact me if you have any questions or comments.
Thank You.

Cc: Sarah Hopkins, Development Review Services Manager
Mike Nugent, Inspection Services Manager
File: O:\drc\kamco1.doc

Department of Planning & Development
Lee D. Urban, Director



CITY OF PORTLAND

Division Directors
Mark B. Adelson
Housing & Neighborhood Services

Alexander Q. Jaegerman, AICP
Planning

John N. Lufkin
Economic Development

August 16, 2002

Katherine Decker
Drummond Woodsum & MacMahon
245 Commercial Street
Portland, ME 04101

RE: Lot #3 McAllister Farm Subdivision *ERL 317-A-002*

Dear Ms. Decker;

This letter is in response to your recent inquiry regarding Lot # 3 in the McAllister Farm Subdivision. You asked whether Lot # 3 could be further subdivided.

I have discussed this matter with our Zoning Administrator and Legal Counsel and there are two basic options for your client to consider:

- The lot could be divided by building the roadway to City standards in order to provide the zoning required frontage along a City street. Furthermore, the lots would have to be divided in such a way so that both structures meet all required setbacks and zoning requirements.
- Another option would be to create a condominium agreement, with parking and infrastructure held in common. Since no new lot would be created, zoning would not be affected.

I hope you find this information useful.

Do not hesitate to call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hopkins
Development Review Services Manager

cc: Marge Schmuckal, Zoning Administrator
Penny Littell, Associate Corporation Counsel