442-2-1 2006-0125 120 Marginal Way Bayside Village Student Housing Southern Maine Student Housing

on Spread sheet

#### NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE

Please take notice that

Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856

is intending to file a Traffic Permit application with the City of Portland pursuant to the provisions of 23 M.R.S.A. §704 – A on or about July 10, 2006 (anticipated filing date)

This application is for

A proposed 400 bed student housing complex with approximately 3,140 s.f. of retail space. The project is forecast to generate 100 trip ends in the AM peak hour and 131 trip ends in the PM peak hour. The project is expected to be completed by September 2007.

(Summary of project: specifying trip generation at peak hour for the proposed development and the year the project is proposed to be completed and occupied)

at the following location:

At the northeast quadrant of Marginal Way and Preble Street, Tax Map 34A, Block B Lot 1.

(Project Location)

A request for a public hearing must be received by the **Municipality**, in writing no later than 20 days after the application is found by the department to be complete and is accepted for processing. Public comment on the application will be accepted throughout the processing of the application.

The application will be filed for public inspection at the City of Portland Planning Department office in Portland during normal working hours. A copy of the application may also be seen at the Department of Transportation Regional Office in Scarborough, Maine

Written public comments may be sent to the following address: Attention City Planner, City of Portland, Planning Department, 389 Congress Street, Portland, ME 04101-3503.



Form C 7/97

#### CERTIFICATION

This person responsible for preparing this application and/or attaching pertinent site and traffic information hereto, by signing below, certifies that the applicant for traffic approval is complete and 15 ETS 1990 accurate to the best of his/her knowledge. 180-

Signature:	_ Re/Cert/Lic No.:	
Name (print): Thomas L. Corroll	_ Engineer: Maine PE#	14
Date:6	Other:	
	and the second state of th	

If the signature below is not the applicant's signature, attach letter of agent authorization signed by applicant.

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined the information submitted in this document and all attachments thereto and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe the information is true, accurate, and complete. I authorize the Department to enter the property that is the subject of this application, at reasonable hours, including buildings, structures or conveyances on the property, to determine the accuracy of any information provided herein. I am aware there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment."

Signature of applicant

4/27/2000 Date

Dependence of Themenetation		0-3
Traffic Engineering Division	ID#	12/99
16 State House Station		
Augusta, Maine 04333 Tolophone: 207 287 2775	Total Fees:	
Letephone. 207-207-0740 ***********************************	Date Received.	****
PERMIT APPLIC TRAFFIC MOVEMENT I	CATION – TRAFFIC PERMIT, 23 M.R.S.A. §704-A	
Please type or print:		
This application is for (check all that apply): Traf Traf	fic 100-200 PCE's 🔀 fic 200 + PCE's 🔛	
Name of Applicant: Southern Maine Student He	ousing, LLC	
Address: 247 Commercial Street; Rockport, M	E 04856 Telephone: (207) 236	-6002
Name of local contact or age <u>nt: <b>Thomas Gorrill</b> -</u>	Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engin	eers, Inc.
Address: PO Box 1237 Gray, ME 04039	Telephone <u>: (207) 657-691</u>	0
Name and type of development: <b>Proposed 400 be</b>	<u>d, student housing facility and ap</u>	proximately
<u>3.140 s.f. of retail space use</u>		
Location of development including road, street, or a	nearest route number: The site is lo	<u>cated at t</u> he
corner of Preble Street and Marginal Way		
City/ <del>Town/Plantation</del> : <b>Portland</b> County:	<u>Cumberland</u> Tax Map: 34ALo	t: 1, <u>Bloc</u> k A
Do you want a consolidated review with DEP purs	uant to 23 M.R.S.A. § 704-A (7)? <u>No</u>	
Was this development started prior to obtaining a	traffic permit <u>? No</u>	
Is the project located in an area designated as a gr 187)? Yes No <u>X</u>	owth area (as defined in M.R.S.A. titl	e 30-A, chapter
Is this project located within a compact area of an	urban compact municipality? Yes <u>X</u>	No
Is this development or any portion of the site curre	ntly subject to state or municipal enf	orcement action?
<u> </u>		
Existing DEP or MDOT permit number (if applical	ole):	
Name(s) DOT staff person(s) contacted concerning	this application:	
Name(s) of DOT staff person(s) present at the scop	ing meeting for 200+ applicants:	

.

Project: <u>Proposed Student</u> TRAFFIC MOVEMENT SCOPING MEETING <u>Housing Development</u>
TRAFFIC MOVEMENT SCOPING MEETING CHECKLIST
Scoping Meeting 100-200 Trips 200 Trips New Modification
Date:
Attendance:
·
Scoping Meeting Location:
Name of Project: <u>Student Housing Development</u>
Address: <u>120 Marginal Way: Portland, Maine</u>
Applicant: <u>Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC</u>
Address: <u>247 Merchants Plaza; Rockport, Maine 04856</u>
Applicant's Traffic Engineer: <u>Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers</u> , Inc
Address: <u>15 Shaker Road</u> Gray, ME 04039
MDOT Traffic Engineer Keviewing:
SECTION 1. Site and Traffic Information
1A. Site Plan
Size of development parcel (acres): 1.33 acres
Size of development to be left non vegetated (acres): <u>1.19 acres</u>
1B. Existing and Proposed Site Uses
Type of Development : <u>Proposed 400 student housing facility and 3,140 s.f. of</u>
retail space
Square Footage of building by usage:
Special units of usage: <u>N/A</u>

. .

.....

0-6

.....

#### Project: <u>Proposed Student</u> TRAFFIC MOVEMENT SCOPING MEETING Housing Development

#### 1C. Site and Vicinity Boundaries

- X Boundary or title survey signed and sealed professional land Surveyor
- X Vicinity map scale 1 inch equals no more than 1000 ft (1:10,000 metric)

#### 1D. Proposed uses in vicinity of proposed development.

X Uses that may increase traffic in vicinity: Ocean Gateway, Waterview Apartments, Medical Office Building, Chestnut Street Extension, Somerset Market Place, Pearl Place, Renovation of the former Jordan's Meats

#### 1E. Trip Generation

Summary tables for each land use code.

	Total Daily	AM Peak	PM Peak	Saturday Peak
Student Housing (Avg. of LUC 220 & LUC 550)	1146	98	122	k04
Retail (LUC 814)	139	2	9	21
Total	1285	100	131	125
Trip rates obtained from oth Number of locations where	ner Sources: driveway coun	its taken:		<u></u>
Dates and time periods whe	en driveway co	unts taken:		
Location where driveway co	unts were tak	en:		

#### 1F. Trip Distribution:



Stick diagram for each major intersection on either side of the development driveway(s).

Basis for using above listed percentages:

- X ITE trip generation handbook
- X Existing traffic patterns of adjacent street
- \_\_\_\_\_ Gravity model

\_\_\_\_\_ Actual survey done and where: \_\_

X Other explain: <u>Student enrollment for University of Southern</u> Maine, Southern Maine Community College and Maine College of Art

Comments: \_\_\_\_

#### Project: Proposed Student TRAFFIC MOVEMENT SCOPING MEETING Housing Development

#### 1G. Trip Assignment

Stick diagram for each major intersection on either side of the development driveway(s).

	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Percent primary trips:	100%	100%
Percent passer-by trips:		
Percent Diverted trips:		
Comments:		

#### SECTION 2. Traffic Crashes (accidents)

MaineDOT crash records for study area year: <u>2002-2004</u>

Number of high crash locations: <u>1</u>

Collision diagrams:

Mitigation provided for each high crash location:

Other Traffic problems:

#### SECTION 3. Development entrances and exits

- 3A. Entrance and exit locations
- <u>X</u> Distance to nearest intersecting road or town line (to the nearest hundredth of a mile.)
- X Number, width and surface of each proposed entrance/exit.

3B. Plan view of each intersection created

Names of intersecting roads: Site Drive at Marginal Way

Posted speed limit: <u>Marginal Way - 35 mph</u>

Mr. Alexander Jaegerman and Board Members Page 3

### Submission

This submission includes the following information:

- 1. Cover letter, dated July 11, 2006
- 2. Site Plan-Subdivision Application & Checklist
- 3. Application Fee: \$7,225.00
- 4. Booklet of required exhibits
- 5. Nine sets of plans (24" x 36")
- 6. One set of plans (11"x17")

We trust that the Planning Board will consider this a complete application for a workshop meeting. If you desire any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us. We look forward to our meeting with the Board at its earliest convenience.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosures

cc: Ed Marsh Tom Gorrill Will Haskell Ben Walter



# **City of Portland Site Plan Application**

If you or the property owner owe real estate taxes, personal property taxes or user charges on any property within the City of Portland, payment arrangements must be made before permit applications can be received by the Inspections Dept.

Address of Construction: 120 Marginal Way		Zone: B-7	
Total Square Footage of Proposed Structure: 208,332 SF		Square Footage of Lot: 57,887 SF (1.33 Acres)	
Tax Assessor's Chart, Block & Lot Chart# 34A Block# B Lot# 1 Chart# 442 Block# A Lot# 1	Property owner, mailing address: City of Portland c/o Jack Lufkin 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101		<b>Telephone:</b> 207.874.8945
<b>Consultant/Agent, mailing address,</b> <b>phone &amp; contact person:</b> Robert Metcalf, Agent Mitchell & Associates 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 Tel. 207-774-4427	Applicant name, mailing address, telephone #/Fax#/Pager#: Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856 Tel. 207.236.4067 Fax 207.236.6307		<b>Project name:</b> Bayside Village – A Student Housing Complex
Fee For Service Deposit (all application	s)	<u>X</u> (\$200.00)	
Proposed Development (check all that apply)         X New Building       Building Addition       Change of Use X Residential       Office X Retail        Manufacturing      Warehouse/Distribution       Parking lot         X Subdivision (\$500.00) + amount of lots       101       \$25.00 per lot \$ 2,525.00       + major site plan fee if applicable        Site Location of Development (\$3,000.00)       (except for residential projects which shall be \$200 per lot      )         X Traffic Movement (\$1,000.00)       Stormwater Quality (\$250.00)			ee <u>X</u> Retail r site plan fee if applicable
Major Development (more than 10,000 Sq. ft.)        Under 50,000 sq. ft. (\$500.00)        50,000 - 100,000 sq. ft. (\$1,000.00)        Parking Lots over 100 spaces (\$1,000.00)        100,000 - 200,000 sq. ft. (\$2,000.00)         X_200,000 - 300,000 sq. ft. (\$2,000.00)        Over 300,000 sq. ft. (\$3,000.00)        Over 300,000 sq. ft. (\$5,000.00)        After-the-fact Review (\$1,000.00 + applicable application fee)			
Less than 10,000 sq. ft. (\$400.00)			
After-the-fact Review (\$1,000.00 + applica	ble application	on fee)	
Plan Amendments Planning Staff Review (\$250.00) Planning Board Review (\$500.00)		- Please see	aext page -

Who billing will be sent to: (Company, Contact Person, Address, Phone #)
Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC
Attn: Edward Marsh, Jr.
247 Commercial Street
Rockport, Maine 04856
Tel. 207.236.4067
Fax 207.236.6307

Submittals shall include (9) separate **folded** packets of the following:

- a. copy of application
- b. cover letter stating the nature of the project
- c. site plan containing the information found in the attached sample plans check list
- d. 1 set of 11 x 17 plans

Amendment to Plans: Amendment applications should include 6 separate packets of the above (a, b, and c)

#### ALL PLANS MUST BE FOLDED NEATLY AND IN PACKET FORM

Section 14-522 of the Zoning Ordinance outlines the process which is available on our web site: portlandmaine.gov

I hereby certify that I am the Owner of record of the named property, or that the owner of record authorizes the proposed work and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized agent. I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in this application is issued, I certify that the Code Official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by this permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provisions of the codes applicable to this permit.

Signature of applicant: (Rober	t B. Metcalf, Agent)	Dat Dat	e: July 11, 2006

This application is for site review ONLY, a building Permit application and associated fees will be required prior to construction.

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The City of Portland is currently the owner of the subject parcel which will be developed by two separate entities. Campobello Island, LLC has a Purchase and Sale Agreement with the City of Portland for 2.70 acres located between Interstate 295 and Marginal Way, adjacent to Preble Street Extension. Campobello Island, LLC is comprised of two development entities, Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC and Capital, LLC. Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC is proposing a mixed retail and student housing development and Capital, LLC is proposing a mixed retail and office building development. The site has been rezoned from B-5 Urban Commercial Mixed Use Zone to B-7 Mixed Development District Zone. This application is for the Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC portion of the project, with the Capital, LLC portion as separate submission.

The total site area under the Purchase and Sale Agreement is 117,464 SF, or 2.70 Acres, with the proposed Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC portion of the being 57,887 SF, or 1.33 Acres. The site is currently a parking lot which also houses a Maine Department of Environmental Protection air quality station and portable recycling containers. The Maine DEP has a one month notice agreement with the City of Portland to remove their structure from the site. The portable recycling containers will be relocated by the City of Portland. A bus shelter exists on adjacent City owned property, opposite Chestnut Street. The shelter should be removed by the City of Portland for future re-use, due to the fact that the Miss Portland Diner and a vehicular access way are proposed on this site. A temporary bus shelter will not be constructed as part of this project.

The proposed Bayside Village – A Student Housing Complex project is a five story building with a 47,501 SF footprint and 208,332 SF gross area. The ground floor is comprised of 3,143 SF of retail space, bicycle storage area and parking facilities and the second through fifth floors consist of 100 apartment units, with four bedrooms each, and common areas for studying. The apartment units have frontage on Marginal Way, Interstate 295 and the eastern side of the site with the center of the building an open courtyard, with rooftop plantings over the parking garage. Tenants would have one year leases and could be students at any of the local colleges or universities. A 24 hour maintenance staff will be present.

The building is built along the Marginal Way property line with several step-backs from the property line to create visual interest and allow for plantings adjacent to the sidewalk. Two vehicular access points are located on Marginal Way, one directly across from Chestnut Street, on City of Portland property, and the other midway between the Wild Oats access ways. Both drives allow for two way vehicular circulation, with the drive across from Chestnut Street for parking garage access for the student housing and the other drive for service access and access to the neighboring proposed office building and parking garage. The parking garage provides 102 spaces, all dedicated to the student housing.

An eight (8) foot sidewalk is proposed along Marginal Way and five (5) foot sidewalks are proposed within the site. A sidewalk is proposed along the access drive between the student housing and the proposed retail and office building which will connects to Preble Street Extension. A stairway from the rooftop garden connects to this walkway. A bus shelter is proposed at the northeastern corner of the site, along Marginal Way. An internal room provides bicycle storage with access from both the street and parking garage.

Carl A.



434 Cumberland Avenue Portland ME 04101-2325 Benedict B. Walter, Vice PresidentPhone:207.774.4441Fax:207.774.4016E-mail:BWalter@CWSarch.com

Bayside Village Apartments Marginal Way, Portland, Maine

#### **Architectural Narrative**

Bayside Village is a five level student housing community consisting of 100 four-bedroom apartment style residential units to be operated as a private dormitory and associated program spaces such as lobbies, offices, lounges, fitness rooms and meeting rooms. In addition to the residential use on the upper four levels, the building will includes a parking use, retail use and building support spaces on the first level. A large, secure indoor bicycle "parking area" capable of accommodating numerous bicycles as been conveniently located directly off the Marginal Way sidewalk. The property is tied to Portland's extensive pedestrian/bicycle trail system.

The building is configured in two wings – one paralleling 1-295 and the other paralleling Marginal Way that will reinforce the streetscape with broken 5 story street walls, which is in keeping with the Bayside plan and new urbanism principals. At 1.33 acres, the sites residential density is 75 units per acre and 301 bedrooms per acre. This density is high for Portland but consistent with the objectives of sustainable new urban neighborhoods.

The building is proposed with a contemporary character and detailing that will help define downtown Portland's first and only 21st century neighborhood. The new Bayside, as a large urban redevelopment project, is ripe for development with a contemporary vocabulary that will contrast yet compliment the traditional vocabulary of old Portland. During presubmission neighborhood meetings, the Bayside Neighborhood Association has indicated a strong desire to explore a more contemporary approach to design in lower Bayside.

Exterior material proposed are all durable, long life and easily maintained and include painted fiber cement panels with battens, fiber cement shingles, Kynar painted Galvalume mini-rib panels and copings, and brick masonry in a varying configuration along the building façade. Mr. Alexander Jaegerman and Board Members Page 2

### **Project Description**

The gross square footage of the proposed five-story student housing building is 208,332 square feet with a 47,501 square foot building footprint. The ground level will be a 102 space parking garage and will include 3,143 square feet of retail space. The residential portion of the structure will be four stories comprising 100 apartment units with a total of 400 bedrooms. There will be additional space for on-site management, fitness room, study areas and common areas. There will be a second story roof deck that will provide outdoor public space for the residents. The anticipated population will be students from the local colleges, including USM, MECA, Southern Maine Community College and UNE.

Access to the upper floors is provided by an elevator and stair tower located on the northeast corner of the building and two stairwells located on the south side of the building. There will be a sidewalk that will provide pedestrian linkage to Preble Street that will continue behind the proposed office building on the remaining portion of the city property. Parking for the development will be provided by the on-site 102 space parking garage and an agreement with the adjacent office building project for additional spaces during off peak use between 6 PM and 6 AM as needed. In addition, students attending USM, have as part of their tuition cost a parking space in the new garage recently constructed on campus.

### Utilities

Water service to the site will be provided from an existing 8-inch main located on the east side of Marginal Way. Sanitary sewer service will be connected to the existing 36-inch sewer main located on the north side of Marginal Way. Underground electric, telephone and cable T.V. will be provided from existing above ground services located along the east side of Marginal Way. Natural gas will be provided from an existing 6-inch natural gas line located in Marginal Way.

#### **Stormwater Management**

Stormwater runoff will be collected through a series of catch basins connected to the city storm drain system in Marginal Way. Refer to the attached stormwater management report for a detailed narrative and calculations.

Part of the of planning process for both of the projects being proposed on the city parcel has included lengthy discussions with the city Community Development Committee and City Council where there was significant input to the projects. In addition, both project applicants met with the Bayside Neighborhood Association to discuss the projects and obtain their input, which has been taken into consideration in developing the plan.

ATTACHMENT C-1

### MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

July 11, 2006

Mr. Alexander Jaegerman, Director of the Portland Planning Division and Planning Board Members City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

#### RE: Bayside Village A Student Housing Complex

Dear Alex and Board Members:

On behalf of Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC, we are pleased to submit this Site Plan and Subdivision Application for a proposed 100 unit student housing project located on Marginal Way in Portland. This submission has been prepared in compliance with requirements of the City of Portland Zoning, Site Plan and Subdivision Ordinances.

### The Site

The subject parcel is a portion of the city owned property located on the northeast corner of Marginal Way and Preble Street. The entire parcel is 117,464 SF or 2.70 acres, formally used as a parking lot for the University of Southern Maine. The city currently uses the property for recycling containers and MDEP has an air quality monitoring station. The parcel for Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC will be 57,887 SF or 1.33 acres. The property is bound by Marginal Way to the south, the remaining portion of the city tract to the west, I-295 to the north and the future site of the Miss Portland Diner to the east. The soils are characterized as cut and fill according to the Cumberland County Soil Conservation Service manual. The property is located within the city's new B-7 Mixed Development District that allows mixed commercial and residential use.

Telephone(207)774-4427Fax(207)874-2460Websitewww.mitchellassociates.biz

two buildings. The plaza area including the landscaped area totals 10,972 sq. ft. Exclusive of the landscaped area and the six (6) foot wide walkway (on the easterly side of the plaza) the actual useable area is about 2,700 sq. ft. of surface area.

The landscaping area provides a desirable amenity for the residents and a privacy buffer for individual units but does reduce the amount of open area within the plaza. If the Board has a concern that the surface area of the plaza should be increased given the number of residents in the complex, this could be considered by reducing the size of the landscaped areas in the wider areas of the plaza. Depending on the size of the plaza, this could have building code implications in terms of this being a "public assembly" area which the project consultant can explain.

Active recreation facilities are within a walking distance to Back Cove Park, Baxter Boulevard Trail and Deering Oaks.

#### Attachments

- A. Site Plan
- B. Building Elevations
- C. Background Information
- D. Traffic Scoping Information
- E. Parking Demand
- F. Public Utilities
- G. Stormwater Management
- H. Soils
- I. Erosion and Sedimentation Control
- J. Financial and Technical Information
- K. Lighting
- L. Purchase and Sales Agreement
- M. Memo from Carrie Marsh, Urban Designer

### Memorandum Department of Planning and Development Planning Division



To:	Chair Beal and Members of the Portland Planning Board	
From:	Richard Knowland, Senior Planner	
Date:	Prepared on: July 18, 2006 Prepared for: July 25, 2006	
Re:	Bayside Village Housing Development, Vicinity of 120 Marginal Way	

A workshop has been scheduled to consider an application by Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC (Realty Resources Chartered) for a proposed 100 unit housing development in the vicinity of 120 Marginal Way. The project is called Bayside Village. The apartments are designed and intended for use by college students. The project is subject to site plan, conditional use (parking garage) and subdivision review. A traffic movement permit will be required. Site plans, building elevations and background information are shown on Attachments A, B and C.

The site is located on the city owned parking lot on the northerly side of Marginal Way. Commonly known as the "USM parking lot", the City is in the process of dividing the property into four (4) parcels for redevelopment. At the Board's August 8th workshop, a subdivision plan for the USM parking lot will be presented. The subdivision plan has been scheduled for a September 26th public hearing along with the Bayside Village project. The subdivision plan will include the following lots: an office building (corner of Marginal Way and Preble Street), Bayside Village, a parcel for Miss Portland Diner and a parcel conveyed to Maine DOT on the easterly end of this site.

#### Findings

Land Area:	1.33 acres
Zoning:	B-7
Dwelling Units:	100
Proposed Uses	Housing and Retail (3,143 sq. ft.)
Parking Spaces:	102
Building Floor Area:	208,332 sq. ft.
Building Footprint:	47,501 sq. ft.
Building Height:	5 stories (59 feet)

The proposed development consists of two five (5) story buildings. The first floor of both buildings consists of a one level parking structure with some retail space along Marginal Way. Both buildings are built within the footprint of the parking structure. Both buildings have four stories of apartments above the first floor. At the second level (the roof of the parking structure) is an open air plaza between the buildings which provides passive open space for residents. One of the buildings is sited along Marginal Way and is about 350 feet long while the second building is located near the rear property line and is the same length.

This development has been designed and intended for college students. Each unit will have four (4) bedrooms. The development is privately owned and is not affiliated with a specific school. Tenants are expected from USM, UNE, MECA and SMCC.

#### Site Development and Facade Design Considerations

The development parcel is 383 feet long with an average depth of about 150 feet. Both buildings have been sited parallel to Marginal Way given the shallow depth of the lot. The wall of the Marginal Way building covers 90% of the lot street frontage which helps establish an urban edge along the street.

The parcel is located in zone C of the Bayside Height Overlay Map which allows a maximum height of 85 feet. The minimum height in this zone is three (3) floors. In earlier discussions with the Applicant, staff encouraged the Applicant to consider a higher building height which would free up more of the site for open space and provide the opportunity for a more distinctive gateway building. The Applicant indicated that building to a higher height was not feasible because it would require more expensive building code requirements which would effect the economic feasibility of the project.

The submission states "the building is proposed with a contemporary character and detailing that will help define Downtown Portland's first and only 21<sup>st</sup> century neighborhood". Bayside is indeed an area in which contemporary architecture can and should be encouraged. The vast number of vacant or underutilized properties particularly near Marginal Way provide an opportunity to reinforce the Bayside gateway with high quality contemporary architecture. The recently approved Pearl Place housing development by Avesta is the first contemporary design proposed in Bayside.

The proposed project faces several design challenges and opportunities including a height cap of 5 stories, location in a highly visible gateway, an extended building mass along Marginal Way and I-295 and a limited lot depth. The building will be constructed using a pre-manufactured unit module system.

Given the site location in a highly visible gateway and its extended façade along Marginal Way and I-295, the façade design (whether contemporary or traditional) and the choice of exterior materials is critical. Comments from Carrie Marsh, Urban Designer, are shown on Attachment M.

#### Vehicle Circulation

A driveway on the far easterly end of the parking garage will provide vehicle access from Marginal Way. Vehicles circulate in and out of the parking garage from this driveway exclusively. This is a shared driveway with the Miss Portland Diner lot and the future Bayside commuter train stop (if it is built in the future). The footprint of the driveway is owned by the City but the applicant will have an access easement over it. The driveway has an appropriate alignment with Chestnut Street for a traffic light should traffic volumes from Chestnut Street warrant one in the future

The Applicant will also have an easement over the office building driveway for service and maintenance purposes.

#### **Traffic Report**

This project qualifies for a traffic movement permit. The applicant is in the process of scheduling a scoping meeting. Once the scoping meeting has been held, a traffic report will be prepared and submitted for review. See Attachment D.

#### Parking

The proposed parking garage will provide 102 spaces. Other projects in Bayside have been approved with a ratio of one (1) space per dwelling unit but given the type of use (student apartments) and the number of bedrooms (four per unit) the actual parking demand is expected to be higher.

Gorrill Palmer Consultants (G-P) has prepared a parking demand analysis for this project. See Attachment E. Assuming a parking demand of 0.67 spaces per student bed (Institute of Transportation Engineers), Gorrill Palmer calculated a peak demand of 268 spaces. Other sources calculated a range of 0.34 to 0.52 spaces per bed but the consultant used the more conservative ratio.

Assuming additional off-site spaces are needed, G-P reviewed the peak parking demand for student housing during typical weekday business hours since off-site parking may be limited due to the parking demand of area businesses. Peak hour demand for student parking is forecast to be 74% of overall demand or 199 spaces if all 102 student spaces were spaces used, this would yield a potential deficit of 97 spaces. The applicant has indicated they have an agreement with the adjacent office building project for additional spaces during off peak use between 6 p.m. and 6 a.m. as needed. Documentation of this agreement should be provided. Another parking resource is the new USM parking garage.

#### Pedestrian Circulation

The site plan indicates a new public sidewalk will be constructed along the entire street frontage of the site. Walkways are provided along the easterly and westerly edges of the property for access into the building. The interior plaza provides pedestrian circulation between the two buildings and from one end of the site to the other.

There has been discussion of providing a walkway along the rear property line of the office building as a short cut to Preble Street for residents walking to Hannaford Supermarket and USM. This is an important circulation route that should be addressed either in this review or in the review of the office building.

It is likely that a number of residents will walk to the USM campus. The most direct pedestrian route to the campus is crossing Preble Street by Hannaford Supermarket but unfortunately there is not a designated pedestrian crossing.

An indoor bicycle storage area is included within the retail space along Marginal Way.

#### Infrastructure

The site plan incorporates the recommendations of the Marginal Way Street Concept Design. These improvements include a sidewalk, esplanade, street lighting and on-street parking. Due to grading issues, part of the esplanade is on the building side adjacent to the on-street parking.

#### Stormwater Quality

The submission indicates that no stormwater quality treatment is proposed "as this project will result in the redevelopment of an existing parking lot that will become covered..." The parking garage must provide an appropriate sized water quality treatment unit. The existence of a parking lot undergoing redevelopment does not grandfather it from water quality treatment requirements.

#### Stormwater Management

Catch basins will be used to control stormwater prior to discharging into the municipal storm drain in Back Cove. No detention is proposed for the site since the stormwater will discharge quickly to the municipal storm drainage system and pass into Back Cove.

#### **Open Spaces**

Open space for residents is provided by an open air plaza that is sited between the two buildings on the second level of the complex. The court yard area provides a passive open space amenity for residents. The plaza is framed by landscaping along the edges of the

## MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

October 18, 2006

Mr. Richard Knowland, Senior Planner and Planning Board Members City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

#### **RE:** Bayside Village – A Student Housing Complex

Dear Rick and Board Members:

The following documentation has been prepared to address the proposed off-site leased parking spaces for the for the Bayside Village Student Housing Development. We have prepared a location plan and a pedestrian circulation plan to show the relationship of the off-site parking to the student housing development on Marginal Way.

The existing parcel is owned by Mr. Ross Furman and is located at 138 Kennebec Street. The parcel is a 15,170 S.F. (0.348 acre) gravel parking lot. Mr. Furman has leased parking spaces on this site and the city tax record has the property listed as a parking lot for tax purpose. Based on the lot configuration and the city dimensional requirements, forty (40) 9'x19' spaces can be located on the site.

The existing lot is located on the corner of Kennebec Street and Preble Street. Pedestrian access would be via Preble Street to Marginal Way. The walking distance from the student housing to the parking lot is approximately 1,200 feet or about a five minute walk. Realty Resources - Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC. has revised the letter of intent to lease 30 spaces with ability to lease up to 40 spaces from Mr. Furman, with reference to the maximum two year lease period with option to renew. We are requesting that the board consider, as a condition of approval that an executed lease be provided before issuance of any building permit. The revised letter of intent will be submitted separately.

Enclosed for your review are the following:

- Copy of Assessor Tax Record
- Tax Map Location Plan
- Aerial Plan locating parking lot and pedestrian route
- Photographs of proposed pedestrian route

THE STAPLES SCHOOL 70 CENTER STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 Telephone(207)774-4427Fax(207)874-2460Websitewww.mitchellassociates.biz

Mr. Richard Knowland and Planning Board Members Page #2

We trust this documentation addresses the board's comments and submission requirements. We look forward to the continued discussion with the board on October 24, 2006. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

2B |K Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosure

cc: Ed Marsh Tom Gorrill Ben Walter This page contains a detailed description of the Parcel ID you selected. Press the New Search button at the bottom of the screen to submit a new query.

Currer	t Owner Inform	ation		
	Card Number		1 of 1	
	Parcel ID		034 H001001	
	Location		138 KENNEBEC ST	
	Land Use		PARKING LOTS	
	Owner Address		FURMAN ROSS Y P C BOX TWO PORTLAND ME 04112	
	Book/Page		11555/314	
	Legal		34-H-1	
	-		KENNEBEC ST 138-15	2
			35170 SF	
	Company & Basan	مريح المراجع المراجع		
	Current Assess	sed valuatio	n	
	Land ¢130.600	Building	Total	0
	0130,000	Ģ 0.00	\$200100	
Building Info	Year Built	<pre># Units 0 Ft. Structure</pre>	Blág Sg. Ft. O Type	Identical Units O Building Name
0.348		10. 9-12000	- 2 5 -	
Exterior/inte	erior information			
Section	Levels	Size Use		
н	eight Walls	H 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	eating DNM DNE DNE DNE DNE DNE DNM DNM DNM DNM	R/C NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE
Ruilding	Other Features			
Line	Structure Type			Identical Units

Yard Improvements

Year Built Structure Type

# Units

Sales Information

Date 07/29/1994

 Price \$56,388 Book/Page 11555-314

Picture and Sketch

Click here to view Tax Roll Information.

Any information concerning tax payments should be directed to the Treasury office at 874-8490 or e-mailed.

New Search!



MARGINAL WAY LOOKING NORTHEAST FROM BANK



PREBLE ST. LOOKING SOUTHEAST FROM BANK



PREBLE ST. LOOKING SOUTHEAST



KENNEBEC ST. AT HANOVER ST. - EXISTING PARKING LOT



MARGINAL WAY LOOKING NORTHEAST FROM BANK



PREBLE ST. LOOKING SOUTHEAST FROM BANK



PREBLE ST. LOOKING SOUTHEAST



KENNEBEC ST. AT HANOVER ST. - EXISTING PARKING LOT

#### COPYRIGHT 1994, BALTIMORE AIRCOIL COMPANY

### BAC-16501A







1616 Stucco Texture

### Spec Formliners form liners Textured Pattern Drawings

# 22 1617 Crushed Stone V-groove 1621 Tasman Slate wet. 1626 Slate Fracture 3/4 Kleen Slate Maximum Relie u (yistan analar yi avinta' ∓−s si alamid

© 2005 by Spec Formliners, Inc.



# **Spec Formliners**

INCORPORATED

Pattern Number: 1608 Split Slate 1/4" deep split slate

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

**ATTACHMENT TO FORMWORK** – Liners are attached to the form using TEK screws through the face of the liner spaced 12" C-C. Optional form mounting T-nuts embedded through the plywood backing is available for Elastospec panels.

FORM RELEASE – Apply with low flow, wide angle, flat spray nozzle and wipe with a cloth to insure a complete even coat to the entire form liner surface. Do not over apply form release agent. Protect treated form liners from precipitation, dust, and debris. Do not apply to reinforcing steel. Dayton Superior Liner Coat? (J-4 LC) form release is approved for all form liner materials.

**TECHNICAL SUPPORT** – Contact Spec Formliners, Inc. 888 429-9550



Note: Plastic Liners may require additional backing

#### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Single Use High Impact Styrene

Property	ASTM	Rating		
Tensile	D638	3,700		
Izod Impact	D256	20		
Vicat Softening	D1525	212		
Material Weights - Ibs/ft <sup>2</sup>				
0.070 MIL	0.090 MIL	0.150 MIL		
0.393	0.506	0.843		

### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Multi-Use ABS Reusable Plastic

Property	ASTM	Rating	
Tensile	D638	5,300	
Flexural	D790	9,300	
Hardness	D786	105	
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>			
0.110 MIL	0.150 MIL	0.200 MIL	
0.621	0847	1 1 7 9	

ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> 100% Solid Urethane Bonded To 3/4" PLywood

Property	ASTM	Rating
Shore A	D2240	50-55
Tensile	D638	800 psi
Elongation	D638	600%
Tear Strength	D624	200 pli
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
Varies by Pattern		

ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Standard Panel Sizes: 48" x 96" or 48" x 120" Custom Sizes and Art Panels available ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Customized Panel Sizes and Art Panels



#### GENERAL INFORMATION

**ATTACHMENT TO FORMWORK** – Liners are attached to the form using TEK screws through the face of the liner spaced 12" C-C. Optional form mounting T-nuts embedded through the plywood backing is available for Elastospec panels.

FORM RELEASE – Apply with low flow, wide angle, flat spray nozzle and wipe with a cloth to insure a complete even coat to the entire form liner surface. Do not over apply form release agent. Protect treated form liners from precipitation, dust, and debris. Do not apply to reinforcing steel. Dayton Superior Liner Coat? (J-4 LC) form release, or equal, is approved for all form liner materials.

TECHNICAL SUPPORT – Contact Spec Formliners, Inc. 888 429-9550

#### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Single Use High Impact Styrene

Property	ASTM	Rating
Tensile	D638	3,700
Izod Impact	D256	20
Vicat Softening	D1525	212
Material Weights - Ibs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
0.070 MIL	0.090 MIL	0.150 MIL
0.393	0.506	0.843

### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Multi-Use ABS REUSABLE PLASTIC

Property	ASTM	Rating
Tensile	D638	5,300
Flexural	D790	9,300
Hardness	D786	105
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
0.110 MIL	0.150 MIL	0.200 MIL
0.621	0.8.47	1.129

# Note: Plastic Liners may require additional backing

#### ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> 100% Solid Urethane BONDED TO 3/4" PLYWOOD

Property	ASTM	Rating
Shore A	D2240	50-55
Tensile	D638	800 psi
Elongation	D638	600%
Tear Strength	D624	200 pli
Material Weights - Ibs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
Varies by Pattern		

ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Standard Panel Sizes: 48" x 96" or 48" x 120" Custom Sizes and Art Panels available ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Customized Panel Sizes and Art Panels



**Spec Formliners** 

INCORPORATED

# Pattern Number: 1615 Medium Bush Hammer

1/2" +/- bush hammer effect

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

**ATTACHMENT TO FORMWORK** – Liners are attached to the form using TEK screws through the face of the liner spaced 12" C-C. Optional form mounting T-nuts embedded through the plywood backing is available for Elastospec panels.

FORM RELEASE – Apply with low flow, wide angle, flat spray nozzle and wipe with a cloth to insure a complete even coat to the entire form liner surface. Do not over apply form release agent. Protect treated form liners from precipitation, dust, and debris. Do not apply to reinforcing steel. **Dayton Superior Liner Coat? (J-4 LC)** form release is approved for all form liner materials.

TECHNICAL SUPPORT – Contact Spec Formliners, Inc. 888 429-9550



Note: Plastic Liners may require additional backing

#### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Single Use High Impact Styrene

Property	ASTM	Rating
Tensile	D638	3,700
Izod Impact	D256	20
Vicat Softening	D1525	212
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
0.070 MIL	0.090 MIL	0.150 MIL
0.393	0.506	0.843

### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Multi-Use ABS Reusable Plastic

Property	ASTM	Rating
Tensile	D638	5,300
Flexural	D790	9,300
Hardness	D786	105
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
0.110 MIL	0.150 MIL	0.200 MIL

### ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> 100% Solid Urethane Bonded To 3/4" PLywood

Property	ASTM	Rating
Shore A	D2240	50-55
Tensile	D638	800 psi
Elongation	D638	600%
Tear Strength	D624	200 pli
Material Weights - Ibs/ft <sup>2</sup>		

Varies by Pattern

ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Standard Panel Sizes: 48" x 96" or 48" x 120" Custom Sizes and Art Panels available ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Customized Panel Sizes and Art Panels

0.8.47

1.129

0.621



## **Spec Formliners**

IN CORPORATED

# Pattern Number: 1602 Heavy Sandblast

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**ATTACHMENT TO FORMWORK** – Liners are attached to the form using TEK screws through the face of the liner spaced 12" C-C. Optional form mounting T-nuts embedded through the plywood backing is available for Elastospec panels.

**FORM RELEASE** – Apply with low flow, wide angle, flat spray nozzle and wipe with a cloth to insure a complete even coat to the entire form liner surface. Do not over apply form release agent. Protect treated form liners from precipitation, dust, and debris. Do not apply to reinforcing steel. **Dayton Superior Liner Coat? (J-4 LC)** form release is approved for all form liner materials.

TECHNICAL SUPPORT – Contact Spec Formliners, Inc. 888 429-9550



#### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Single Use HIGH IMPACT STYRENE

Property	ASTM	Rating
Tensile	D638	3,700
Izod Impact	D256	20
Vicat Softening	D1525	212
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
0.070 MIL	0.090 MIL	0.150 MIL
0 393	0.506	0.843

### ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Multi-Use ABS REUSABLE PLASTIC

Property	ASTM	Rating
Tensile	D638	5,300
Flexural	D790	9,300
Hardness	D786	105
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
0.110 MIL	0.150 MIL	0.200 MIL

# 0.110 MIL 0.150 MIL 0.200 MIL 0.621 0.8.47 1.129

# Note: Plastic Liners may require additional backing

#### ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> 100% Solid Urethane Bonded To 3/4" PLywood

Property	ASTM	Rating
Shore A	D2240	50-55
Tensile	D638	800 psi
Elongation	D638	600%
Tear Strength	D624	200 pli
Material Weights - lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>		
Varies by Pattern		

ThermoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Standard Panel Sizes: 48" x 96" or 48" x 120" Custom Sizes and Art Panels available ElastoSpec<sup>tm</sup> Customized Panel Sizes and Art Panels



# 800.426.7737 • WWW.UNACLAD.COM

## SC1 Exterior Sun Control Devices

SCI-INFO



Copyright © 2.14.06 by Firestone Metal Products/UNA-CLAD

#### DESCRIPTION DIMENSIONS LAMPS / BALLASTS

1 - 26W, 32W or 42W (4-pin triple tube CFU).

1 - 50W, 70W or 100W metal halide

(ED17/medium base).

C.U.L. listed for wet or damp exterior locations. Solid Bronze construction for all other finishes.

Hood and Back: NBZ, SGB, SGW, CC VG, SZ.

Standard - 4° J--86× or stucco ring.

Incandescent available 120V only. Electronic bailasts are standard for Ifuorescent or H.I.D. Quertz Restrike (QR)

Diffuser: Clear tempered refractive glass for HID lamping. White acrylic for incandescent and fluorescent lamping.

## SHAPER



### 695-WP-DB

- Half Pyramid Exterior Wal: Luminaire with Back Panel
- Direct illumination
- Wet Location æ

10' (25 cm)

(25 cm)

OPTN

 $\odot$  GR

120 UP.

FINISH

LI NBZ

⊎ SGB

O CC

🔾 VG

Q SZ

- Solid bronze construction ~
- Incandescent, MH and CFL
- lamp options
- 18" Half Pyramid



Incandescent: 1 - 60W max (A-19).

Fluorescent:

available for H.I.D.

MATERIALS / FIXTURE LOCATION

H.I.D.:

FINISHES

MOUNTING

#### DIMENSIONS

20. [512/0]

CAT#

46 car

Q CF/1/26 Q 277V

SAMPLE SPEC: 695-WP-DB-MH/100-277V-CC-QR

VOLTAGE

© CF/1/32 □ 347V(CFL only) □ SGW

🖯 120V

LAMP

⊒ CF/1/42

C MH/50

G MH/70

C MB/100

G 695-WP-DB G INC



8" Hood

697-WP

- Hood Downlight Exterior Wall
- Cast aluminum construction
- Incandescent, MH and CFL

COOPER LIGHTING
LoggedIn :Ok







# **ARCHITECT / ENGINEER SHORT FORM SPECIFICATION**

Pattern No. 16989 Split Slate		
Pattern Description	Process	Standard Part Size
Randon pattern 0.25" max. depth	GrayLastic Vac-U-Form	Vac-U-Form: 48"W x 120"H; GrayLastic: 60"W x 72"H, 48"W x 192"H
	ncrete Image	
Download C	Lad File   Photo	ograph

All concrete surfaces designated in the engineering plans or specifications as "textured architectural concrete" shall be formed using Fitzgerald pattern no. manufactured by:

# Fitzgerald Formliners<sup>TM</sup>

1341 East Pomona Street Santa Ana, Ca 92705.

No substitutions will be allowed without prior written approval from the project architect or engineer.

Click Here To Return To Previous Page

Fitzgerald Formliners, 1341 East Pomona Street, Santa Ana, Ca 92705 | Phone (714) 547-6710 | Fax (714) 547-7958

Home | Formliner Types | Distributors | Online Catalog | Site Map | Email Us | Request Information



1341 East Pomona Street, Santa Ana, Ca 92705 | Phone (714) 547-6710 | Fax (714) 547-7958



### 07415/MAP BuyLine 3043

# **PORCELAIN** - THE LIFETIME FINISH FOR SCHOOLS, HOSPITALS, AND HIGH-TRAFFIC AREAS

### **GRAFFITI RESISTANCE**

Because porcelain enamel is a vitreous glass finish that is fused to the base metal, it is nearly impervious to any graffiti and abuse. It can be cleaned to its original appearance simply and easily.

### COLORFAST

Porcelain is one of the most color-stable products ever developed. The color oxides become part of the finish and are fused to the base metal at temperatures in excess of 1,000 degrees F. That is why porcelain is known as the "permanent" finish.

### COLOR MATCHING

Porcelain finishes can be formulated to match almost any project design. Mapes has 24 standard colors and an inhouse ability to match custom colors quickly and accurately.

### ALUMINUM AND STEEL

Mapes porcelain panels are available in both textured aluminum and smooth steel surfaces. The unique textured porcelain on aluminum eliminates oil canning and will not rust or spall. Porcelain on smooth steel is also available for those applications where a ferrous product is required. Both finishes will not crack or craze when subjected to long-term harsh environments.





# SELECTION GUIDE

	:	TEXTU	₹E	STANDARD	CUSTOM	AVAILAB	LE WIDTHS	FINISH	
SKINS	THICKNESS	EMBOSSED S	Mooth	COLORS	COLORS	48"	60"	WARRANTY	
Porcelain on Aluminum	0.016	8 XX		24	* X 🕺	888 - <b>X</b> .	X	25	
Porcelain on Steel	0.014	1 . A . A	Х	. 8	х	. <b>X</b>	X	20	
Standard .032 Kynar	0.032	🕮 🗱 👘 berte	<b>X</b> 1994	18		<b>X</b>		20	
Custom Kynar	0.036 - 0.125	, <b>Х</b>	Х		• Х	х	Х	10	
Spandrel Glass	0.25	Nêrên Li der	X	8	<b>X</b>	X :	X	5. 785 <b>5</b> 8 (Marine	1
Coloriume E	0.012	X		6	: 	Х	х	5	
Colorlume S	0 022	(權法) 전문화	X	in 299 - 1	1980 - NG 1	1468 (S <b>X</b> -	X	子:"S\$素《数·音	į.
.025 Clear Anodized (Coil)	0.025		Х	1		X	· .		Ξ.
.025 Bronze Anodized (Coil)	0.025		X			×	18月截3年。	y na service	2
Class 1 Anodized	0.036 - 0.125	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х		
								I 糸葉別(A) 糸で(お)A	
0100TD 87ED	· .							LANNING CON	
SUBSTRATES					e elles des tra	a tanin a ta		WARRANTY	. 19 1
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard	0.125	MOST	ECONOM	GAL	n da john Nationali	occi x	or 🗴 ên i	WARRANTY	
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard	0.125 0.187	MOST	ECONOMI	CAL CAL		x	2 <b>X</b> 2 2 2	UARRANTY 25 25	· · · · ·
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard 1/2" Gypsum	0.125 0.187 0.5	MOST MOST FIRE	ECONOMI ECONOMI ERESISTAN	CAL CAL IT		x x x	c (* <b>*</b> 67.) <b>*</b>	UAMINATION WARRANTY 25 25 25 25	1.0.00 m
SUBSTRATES 176" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard 1/2" Gypsum Cement Board	0.125 0.187 0.5 4mm	MOST MOST FIRI WATER	ECONOMI ECONOMI RESISTAN (IMPACT R	CAL CAL IT ESISTANT		x x x x	こ - X 2日: X	UANNAATION WARRANTY 25 25 25 25	
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard 1/2" Gypsum Cement Board Corelite (H.D.P.E.)	0.125 0.187 0.5 4mm 4mm	Most Most Firi Water Water	ECONOMI ECONOMI RESISTAN (IMPACT RI R RESISTA	GAL CAL IT ESISTANT NT		X X X X X	CT X 60 1 X (11) 8 3 1 1 1 1 X 8 1	<b>WARRANTY</b> 25 25 25 25 25 5	
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard 1/2" Gypsum Cement Board Corelite (H.D.P.E.)	0.125 0.187 0.5 4mm 4mm	Most Most Firi Water Water	ECONOMI ECONOMI RESISTAN (IMPACT RI R RESISTA	CAL CAL IT ESISTANT INT		X X X X X	(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	LAMINATION WARRANTY 25 25 25 25	
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard 1/2" Gypsum Cement Board Corelite (H.D.P.E.) CORES 2# Density Polystrene	0.125 0.187 0.5 4mm 4mm	MOST MOST FIRE WATER WATER	ECONOMI ECONOMI RESISTAN IMPACT RI R RESISTA	CAL CAL IT SISTANT INT		X X X X X		LAMINATION WARRANTY 25 25 25 25 5	
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard 1/2" Gypsum Cement Board Corelite (H.D.P.E.) CORES 2# Density Polystyrene	0.125 0.187 0.5 4mm 4mm	MOST MOST FIRE WATER WATER WATE	ECONOMI ECONOMI RESISTAN IMPACT RI R RESISTA R RESISTA	CAL CAL IT SSISTANT NT ) 31 R-Value)		X X X X X	ローズ (日本 文 (日本) (本) (日本) (本) (日本) (本) (日本) (本) (日本) ( (日本) ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (	LAMINATION WARRANTY 25 25 25 25 5	
SUBSTRATES 178" Hardboard 3/16" Hardboard 1/2" Gypsum Cement Board Corelite (H.D.P.E.) CORES 2# Density Polystyrene Isocyanurate Micore	0.125 0.187 0.5 4mm 4mm 4mm most cost effe most insulatio	MOST MOST FIR WATER WATER WATE	ECONOMI ECONOMI RESISTAN IMPACT RI R RESISTA R RESISTA e (4.84 - 21 - 27,79 R-1	CAL CAL IT ESISTANT INT ) 31 R-Value) /alue)		X X X X		LAMMAATION WARRANTY 25 25 25 25 25 5	

CONSULT "SPEC BUILDER" AT MAPES.COM FOR COMPLETE DETAILS.

www.mapes.com

### **MAPES PANEL DESIGN ALTERNATIVES** Available in all architectural finishes: Porcelain / Kyner / Anodized / Baked Enamel











# **MAPES® INFILL PANELS**

Laminated glazing infill that improves energy efficiency, abuse resistance and design flexibility. · For use in all major window and curtainwall systems mapes.com/infill

### MAPESTOP®

Fire resistant infill panels designed to meet local code requirements. Class A rated

15-30 minute fire rated mapes.com/mapestop

### **MAPESOUND®**

Panels designed to improve the acoustical performance of window and curtainwall systems,

- STC up to 55 Available in all architectural finishes
  - mapes.com/mapesound

### **MAPESHAPE®**

Formed edge panels improve energy efficiency and design options.

- Butt glazing

### MAPESHIELD®

Impact resistant infill panels designed for coastal or high security areas.

- Large and small missile impact rated Explosion and bulletresistant glazing
  - mapes.com/mapeshield





#### Spandrel glass laminated into a unitized panel with an interior finish.

Single source factory laminated Reduces field labor

- and fabrication
  - mapes.com/mapespan

# CORELITE®

Laminated infill panels with corrugated high density

- polyethylene substrates.
  - Water resistant substrates Light weight
  - mapes.com/corelite

### MAPES® VENEER & GLAZING

Panels designed to replace standard glass for all glazing infill. \* Abuse resistant / unbreakable

- Fascia, soffit, wall facing applications
- mapes.com/veneer

# MAPES® CUSTOM PANELS

Laminated panels for a custom application.

- - · Interior wall panels
  - · Cafeterias / kitchens / clean rooms

mapes.com/custom

# MOLDINGS

#### 1/4" and 1" moldings. · Veneer applications Window replacement infill mapes.com/moldings

# WINDOW DETAIL Typical Panel exterior skin Installation interior skin











- mapes.com/mapeshape

Flush with frame glazing option









Available through your favorite local glass and glazing dealer. For a complete list of local manufacturer's reps, visit mapes.com.

Spec sheets are available for each of our panel types. Call toil-free, 800-228-2391

WHAL DRIVES. COM

# **Corelite**

# FEATURES

### Lightweight

- Field Fabrication
- Moisture Resistant
- · High Thermal Value
- Available In All Architectural Finishes

Name:		 	
Company:		 	
Address: _			
City:			
State:	Zip:		
Phone:			
Fax:		 	

For design and budget information, please visit www.mapes.com/corelite or fax this completed form back to Mapes Industries at (800) 737-6756.

# MOISTURE RESISTANT PANELS

Mapes Corelite panels are manufactured utilizing a corrugated high-density polyethylene (HDPE) substrate for the most demanding glazing applications. Corelite is available in both 1/4" veneer and insulated compositions. It is an ideal solution for window replacement, curtainwall infill, veneers and fascias. Corelite is available with all Mapes' standard finishes. Corelite panels are moisture resistant and designed for most infill and glazing projects.

# **APPLICATIONS**

Infill
 Transom
 Window
 Curtainwe

Spandrel

• Window • Curtainwall • High Moisture Environment



Mapes Industries, Inc. / P.O. Box 80069 (68501) 2929 Comhusker Highway / Lincoln, NE 68504 (800) 228-2391 / (800) 737-6756 fax sales@mapes.com / www.mapes.com



COOPER LIGHTING

723



CORPORATE OFFICES: Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Connecticut, Florida Operational offices throughout the U.S.

### MEMORANDUM

06-0125

- TO: Rick Knowland
- FROM: Dan Goyette, PE Development Review Coordinator, Woodard & Curran, Inc.

DATE: July 19, 2006

**RE:** Bayside Village, 120 Marginal Way.

Woodard & Curran has reviewed the Major Site Plan and Subdivision Review submission for the proposed project at 120 Marginal Way. This project requires developing a lot by two separate entities. The application is just for the Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC. The project involves constructing a 100 unit student housing complex with a parking facility and retail store on the ground floor.

### Documents Reviewed

- Cover letter addressed to Alexander Jaegerman, Director of the Portland Planning Division, dated July, 11, 2006, from Robert B. Metcalf, Mitchell & Associates.
- City of Portland Major Site Plan and Subdivision Review Application for Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC, 120 Marginal Way, dated July 11, 2006
- Stormwater Management Report prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated July 2006.
- Engineering plan set prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc., sheets 1-10, dated 7/11/06, signed and stamped by William C. Haskell, PE.

### 1. General Comments

- A. The applicant should confirm that the survey for the project coincides with approved City standards. The survey does the to the vertical datum of NGVD 1929. However, it should also the to the Maine State Plane Coordinate System (2-zone projection) and West Zone using the NAD 1983 (HARN) Datum.
- A. General notes on Sheet 6 call for the use of Casco Traps. A detail should be provided.
- B. A pavement repair detail should be provided for the areas of Marginal Way where pavement will be temporarily removed.
- C. Stormwater and sanitary sewer tie in details should be provided.
- **D.** It is unclear what type of sidewalk will be constructed in front of the housing complex (brick, concrete, concrete pavers). A clarification should be made.

DRG

203848

cc: File

### CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW APPLICATION PLANNING DEPARTMENT PROCESSING FORM ADDENDUM

Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC. Applicant 247 Commercial Street, Rockport, ME 04856 Applicant's Mailing Address

Consultant/Agent Applicant Ph: (207) 236-4067 Applicant Fax: 2072366307 Applicant or Agent Daytime Telephone, Fax

### 07/11/2006 Application Date

2006-0125

Application I. D. Number

Bayside Village - Student Housing Co Project Name/Description

120 - 120 Marginal Way, Portland, Maine Address of Proposed Site 34A 6001 Assessor's Reference: Chart-Block-Lot

Approval Conditions of Fire

- 1 Completed Site plan checklist
- 2 Plan detailing location of Detection system panel location Type of system
- 3 Required Fire flow usuing annex H of NFPA 1 and hydrant requirements usuing annex I
- 4 NFPA 101 compliance summery
- 5 Application requires State Fire Marshal approval.

# BAYSIDE VILLAGE - ARCHITECTURAL NARRATIVE

A Student Housing Complex

#### Marginal Way, Portland, Maine

### BIHLDING PROGRAM

Bayside Village is a five-level student housing community consisting of 100 four-bedroom apartment style residential units. In addition to the apartments, the building includes associated program spaces such as lobbies, offices, lounges, fitness rooms and meeting rooms. The building will also include a parking use, a retail use and building support spaces on the first level. A large, secure indoor bicycle "parking area" capable of accommodating 96 bicycles has been conveniently located directly off the Marginal Way sidewalk. The property's location is conveniently adjacent to Portland's extensive pedestrian/bicycle trail system.

### **BUILDING CONFIGURATION**

This single building is configured in two wings on the upper floors – one paralleling I-295 and the other paralleling Marginal Way. The Marginal Way facade will reinforce the streetscape with 5 story, broken street wall, which is in keeping with the Bayside plan and new urbanism principals.

### RESIDENTIAL DENSITY

At 1.328 acres, the sites residential density is 75 units per acre and 301 bedrooms per acre. This density is high for Portland but consistent with the objectives of sustainable new urban neighborhoods.

#### DESIGN CHARACTER

The building is proposed with a contemporary character and detailing that will help define downtown Portland's first and only 21st century neighborhood. The new Bayside, as a large urban redevelopment project, is ripe for development with a contemporary vocabulary that will contrast yet compliment the traditional vocabulary of old Portland. During pre-submission neighborhood meetings, the Bayside Neighborhood Association has indicated a strong desire to explore a more contemporary approach to design in lower Bayside. The discussion of this approach will continue through the formal neighborhood meeting process.

### EXTERIOR BUILDING CLADDING SYSTEM

The building's exterior cladding is proposed primarily of a variety of durable, long life and easily maintained Kynar 500/Hylar 5000 painted metal panels, copings, trim and sun control systems. Windows will be solid vinyl single hung type units. Architectural concrete panels are proposed along with an aluminum storefront system at the first floor retail façade and main entrance along Marginal way. See Exterior Material Schedule, attached.



- 1) The upper levels of the building is now proposed as "all metal" façade to provide a more unified façade character.
- 2) The lower level finish material has been changed from face brick to a combination of architecturally formed cast in place concrete panels and an aluminum storefront system to provide for a more unified contemporary façade character.

### Green Building Design Components

Bayside Village will be designed to incorporate many of the latest green building initiatives so as to result in a building that is energy efficient to operate and promote sustainability. As part of this project, the owner will pursue LEED certification of the project. Several of the unique green components proposed are:

- Tri-generation Mechanical/Electrical Plant The project will incorporate a gas fired system that will provide heat, hot water, cooling and electricity for the project. Though costly to install, this system is expected significantly reduce energy use for the building.
- Recycle excess heat from the tri-generation system to provide a no-energy cost sidewalk snow melt system at the second level courtyard area, thus providing a safe and usable walking surface in winter and reducing maintenance costs for this difficult to manage area.
- Metal Building Cladding Metal building cladding is considered highly advantageous as a green building system due to its high reflectivity of solar gain, high recycled material content, 100% recycle ability, light weight, durability, long life minimal maintenance requirements.
- Incorporate low-water plant materials and Xeriscape landscaping concepts at the courtyard patio deck in conjunction with raised planter boxes that will support larger and more varied plant materials.
- The wood frame modular units will incorporate numerous green components.
- The specification will call for Energy Star Rated Appliances and Materials.

### CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

In order to achieve occupancy on August 1, 2007, planning board approval must be secured by September 26, 2006, construction must begin no later than November 1, 2006.



434 Cumberland Avenue Portland, ME 04101-2325

Archileeis

Bayside Village - Case Studies: Metal Residential Buildings Page 2 of 2



The Denney Park Apartments, Seattle, Washington 50 Unit, Six Story Apartment Building Runberg Architecture Group, Seattle, Washington



The Denney Park Apartments, Seattle, Washington 50 Unit, Six Story Apartment Building Runberg Architecture Group, Seattle, Washington



Benham Building, Lowell, AR Atkins Benham Architects, Lowell, AK





The Adelaide, Seattle, Washington Mixed use with ground level commercial spaces and five stories of condominiums above. The building is contemporary in style with a palate of various metal siding and large glass bay windows. Runberg Architecture Group, Seattle, Washington



UC Santa Cruz Engineering Building, Santa Cruz, CA 4 Story Metal Clad Building CO Architects, Los Angeles, CA



The Gold Dust Apartments, Missoula, MT 18 Unit, Three Story Affordable Apartment Building MacArther, Means and Wells Architects, Missoula, MT

A r c h i t e 434 Cumberland Avenue Portland, ME 04101-2325 Architecture & Planning

Phone: 207.774.4441 Fax: 207.774.4016 Web: www.CWSarch.com

### BAYSIDE VILLAGE - CASE STUDIES: CONTEMPORARY METAL-CLAD RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

A Student Housing Complex

Marginal Way, Portland, Maine

# RECENT SHIFT OF METAL CLADDING FROM AN INDUSTRIAL TO A CONTEMPORARY MATERIAL

The use of metal cladding in contemporary architecture has become increasingly popular in recent years. In response to the rising cost or traditional construction materials, the metal industry has shifted its market focus from utilitarian industrial uses to buildings of all uses and types: Commercial Office, Residential; Retail, Recreational; Government, Institutional and more. As a result, the range of metal choices, quality of material coatings and attention to details have evolved to include options that can result it quality, attractive, long life developments.

### METAL AS A QUALITY 21ST CENTURY CONTEMPORARY MATERIAL

As a result of the above, the design community has embraced metal building cladding as a material that meets their cost, quality and aesthetic objectives. Hence, the use of metal as a preferred contemporary exterior cladding material has grown exponentially over the past five to 10 years. With better quality and more design option enhancements being introduced every year, metal siding will become more prevalent in coming years.

#### THE LASTING & "GREEN" QUALITIES OF METAL

Metal Cladding has come a long way in the past few decades. What was traditionally prone to paint system failures, corrosion and rusting has evolved, using 21st century technology, into a high quality, maintenance free architectural cladding material with a longevity rivaling that of brick and stone (with less maintenance). This has been achieved through the incorporation of corrosion resistant alloys and materials to create the panels and the use of high performance, durable coating systems with long color retention. As a result, a quality 21st metal siding system has the ability to perform structurally and aesthetically form many decades without significant maintenance and is considered a "Green" product. Also, when regular maintenance is schedule, it is less costly (and thus apt to be scheduled) than more traditional materials such as brick or wood siding.

#### **CASE STUDIES**

The following are case studies of multi-family residential buildings that have recently been developed around the country using metal-cladding systems. While relatively new to northern New England with its many traditional neighborhoods, the redevelopment of former industrial neighborhoods such as Bayside offer the opportunity to introduce a 21st century design vocabulary as a complementary, yet clearly contemporary sector of our cities.



Bear Creak Mountain Resort, Macungie, PA Ski Resort Hotel/Residence Peter Fillat Architects, Blatimore, MD



The Cate Apartments, Seattle, Washington 31 Units of Affordable Housing Runberg Architecture Group, Seattle, Washington



Condominium Building, San Francisco, CA



434 Cumberland Avenue Portland, ME 04101-2325 Architecture & Planning

Phone: 207.774.4441 Fax: 207.774.4016 Web: www.CWSarch.com

# BAYSIDE VILLAGE - EXTERIOR BUILDING MATERIAL SCHEDULE

A Student Housing Complex

### LOWER LEVEL

### (LOWER FLOOR ENTRY/RETAIL/PARKING/MECHANICAL)

The lower level of the development consists primarily of uses that serve and support the primary residential use of the building. Specifically, this include the building's primary entrance facing Marginal Way and secondary entrances, significant retail frontage facing Marginal Way, 102 spaces of parking accesses from the building's east side, a large indoor bike parking area, a solid waste management room and various mechanical and electrical support spaces. This portion of façade consists of a combination of an aluminum storefront window system with both clear glass and Mapes opaque architectural panels, cast-in-place concrete panels using a textured form liner system and painted ornamental steel ventilation grills. Additionally, this portion of the façade will incorporate a metal accent band with 6' sun control devises to accentuate entrance points, architectural building mounted lighting and building and retail tenant identification. They following are specification of proposed lower level materials:

**Storefront System:** Kawneer (or equal) aluminum insulated storefront system "clear anodized" finish with clear Low E glazing and Mapes CORELITE laminated insulated spandrel panels with aluminum UNA-CLAD Kynar 500/Hylar 5000 finish, "Charcoal Grey" finish (See attached cut sheets).

Cast In Place Concrete Panels: Cast in place concrete panels utilizing fextured Fitzgerald Formliners Pattern No. 16989 Split Slate (See attached cut sheets).

**Metal Accent Band:** Firestone UNA-CLAD fluorocarbon aluminum panels, custom broken to profile indicated, with KYNAR 500 / HYLAR 5000 exterior finish, "Charcoal Grey".

Ornamental Metal Ventilation Grills (South, East and West Elevations) : Custom fabricated steel grills, epoxy coated, color to match "Charcoal Grey", see drawings.

Garage Screening (Interstale 295): Black vinyl coated galvanized chain link fence, span between garage columns.

Building Mounted Lighting: Shaper 695-WP-DB MH/50 SZ, Satin Zinc finish.

Building and Retail Tenant Signage: Self-illuminated stand-off signage, size and location as indicated.

### UPPER LEVELS

### (FIRST FLOOR (2<sup>ND</sup> LEVEL) THROUGH FOURTH FLOOR (5<sup>TH</sup> LEVEL) RESIDENTIAL AND SUPPORT SPACES)

The upper levels of the development consists primarily of residential uses. This portion of façade consists of a combination of vertically and horizontally oriented aluminum panels of various detail and design, solid vinyl windows, sun control devices and building signage. They following are specification of proposed lower level materials:

Metal Panel Siding Systems: Firestone UNA-CLAD fluorocarbon aluminum panels with KYNAR 500 / HYLAR 5000 exterior finish as scheduled below:

VR-Classic Omega Panel, horizontal orientation w/matching corner trim, "Cityscape"

UC-500 Wall Panel, vertical orientation w/matching corner trim, "Charcoal Grey"

UC-501 Wall Panel, horizontal orientation w/matching corner trim, "Hemlock Green"

**Solid Vinyl Windows:** Kohler solid vinyl single hung units and pairs with UNA-CLAD trim to coordinate with color field.

Sun Control Devises: UNA-CLAD clear anodized aluminum custom designed as per drawing details.

Building Signage: Self-illuminated stand-off signage, size and location as indicated.

Archilecrs





Find a Dealer nan the sum of their parts. To us, windows ecret passageway, a work of art or a breath

and doors are post of fresh air. And because we see the possibilities, we also see the need for constant innovation. We look at windows and doors differently so that your possibilities can become a reality.

For over 25 years, Peter Kohler Windows has been crafting windows and doors for our Atlantic Canadian climate. With innovation that makes us the envy of the industry, and value that makes us the choice of more homeowners than any other window in Atlantic Canada. Windows by Peter Kohler Windows. Outstanding.™

eter Kohler Windows News

#### Product Innovations

To us, windows an



Peter Kohler Windows Introduces Outstanding Colour! At Peter Kohler Windows & Entrance Systems, we believe in choice. That's why we offer a choice of window styles, a choice of glass, a choice of options. And now, a choice of exterior colours. >



Heritage Replacement Window Featuring a turn-of-the-century design and solid vinyl frame, the Heritage Replacement is able to deliver optimal weather resistance. >



Ratings

Loewen Award Peter Kohler, P.Eng. honoured with prestigious C.P. Loewen Award. >



Build your own Entrance System We know you want to see all of the possibilities before choosing your



new entrance system. > Peter Kohler Windows **TV Spots** 





લેલેન્ડ્રોસનોલીસિસ્ટ

10 Mile House Constructed as a traveler's inn in 1792, Ten Mile House in Bedford, Nova Scotia, was named for its distance from Halifax. Click the arrow learn more ... >

This site is intended for use by our Atlantic Canadian customers. Outside of Atlantic Canada, please visit www.Kohltech.com.

Peter Kohler Windows & Entrance Systems - Supreme Single Hung

http://www.peterkohler.com/index.php?option=com\_content&task=...



Supreme Window Family Supreme Casement <u>Supreme Single Hung</u> Supreme Double Hung Supreme Awning Supreme (Double) Glider

< View other Peter Kohler Windows Families

#### Window Styles

- > Casement
- > Single Hung
- > Double Hung
- > Awning
- > Glider

### Trends

Peter Kohler

Introduces Outstanding Colour! At Peter Kohler Windows & Entrance Systems, we believe in choice. That's why we offer a choice of window styles, a choice of glass, a choice of options. And now, a choice of exterior colours.

# Supreme Single Hung

Beautiful and practical, the Supreme Single Hung window is crafted by Peter Kohler Windows to be one of the finest windows in its class.

It's loaded with standard features like perimeter weather-stripping, security night lock, cam operated lock, and a stainless steel coil spring balance system. The Supreme Single Hung is the right choice for perfor



The Supreme Single Hung is the right choice for performance and quality.

### Features:

Hardware • Coil Balance • Spirat Balance • Nightlock	Exterior Moulding • Plain Frame (no-j-trim, brick mold or nail flange) • Nail Fin Only • 1 1/2" Brick Mold & J-trim • 1 1/2" Brick Mold & sill	Grills • Georgian Simulated Divided Grills (SDL)
Interior Jam Extensions • Vinyl Clad • Primed	Glass • LoE, LoE/argon, Energlas® • Gray or Broze Tint Glass (Extended Lead times Required)	Exterior Trim • Wide Brick Mold (3 1/2")
Interior Drywall • 1/2" Add on Drywall Return • 3/4" Add on Drywall Return	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Available Grills:



SARTS INF I A I ARE PRAR

# Firestone Attal PRODUCTS UNA-CLAD

# 800.426.7737 • WWW.UNACLAD.COM

# UC-500 Flush Panel System

# UC500-INFO





# 800.426.7737 • WWW.UNACLAD.COM

# **Omega Panel Profiles**

# UR/HR/VR-INFO



From:	"Dan Goyette" < DGoyette@woodardcurran.com>
To:	"Rick Knowland " <rwk@porflandmaine.gov></rwk@porflandmaine.gov>
Date:	07/31/2006 11:41:10 AM
Subject:	Bayside Village Student Housing

Rick, Here is my review memo. I should have sent it earlier.

Daniel Goyette, PE

41 Hutchins Drive Portland, Maine 04102 Phone: 800-426-4262 Fax: 207-871-0724 Email: dgoyette@woodardcurran.com \_\_\_\_\_

# Rick Knowland - Bayside Village - A Student Housing Complex

From:	Bob Metcalf bmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz>
To:	"'wk@portlandmaine.gov" <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	09/08/2006 3:54 PM
Subject:	Bayside Village- A Student Housing Complex
CC:	"'emarsh@realtyresourcesgroup.com'" <emarsh@realtyresourcesgroup.com></emarsh@realtyresourcesgroup.com>

Good afternoon Rick,

In response to your discussions with Ed Marsh of Southern Maine Housing LLC/Realty Resources concerning the designation of the proposed student housing project we have prepared the following response. To address the requested zoning clarification for the proposed use we are changing the classification to a lodging and boarding use. The appropriate revisions will be made to the application documents to conform with the change in use designation.

Bob Metcalf

 $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ 

Protected by a Spam Blocker Utility. Click here to protect your inbox from Spam.

# Rick Knowland - Bayside Village - A Student Housing Complex

From:	Bob Metcalf bmetcalf@mitchellassoclates.biz>
To:	"'rwk@portlandmaine.gov"' <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	09/08/2006 3:54 PM
Subject:	Bayside Village- A Student Housing Complex
CC:	"'emarsh@realtyresourcesgroup.com"' <emarsh@realtyresourcesgroup.com></emarsh@realtyresourcesgroup.com>

### Good afternoon Rick,

In response to your discussions with Ed Marsh of Southern Maine Housing LLC/Realty Resources concerning the designation of the proposed student housing project we have prepared the following response. To address the requested zoning clarification for the proposed use we are changing the classification to a lodging and boarding use. The appropriate revisions will be made to the application documents to conform with the change in use designation.

**Bob Metcalf** 

Protected by a Spam Blocker Utility. Click here to protect your inbox from Spam.

Inf	frastructure Financial Contribution Form
	Obtain an Account Number from Paul Colpitts, Chief Acct., (ext. 8665) prior to the distribution of this form.
Amount: \$90,000.00	City Account Number: 710-0000-236-70-00
Project Name:	Bayside Village Student Housing
Application ID #: (from Site Plan Application Form)	#2006-0125
Project Location:	120 Marginal Way
Project Description:	Bayside Village Student Housing
Funds intended for:	Transportation Demand Management Fund
Applicant's Name:	Bayside Village Student Housing, LLC.
Applicant's Address:	247 Commercial Street, Rockport, ME 04856-5964
Expiration:	
If funds are not expended or en , fun said date.	cumbered for the intended purpose by ds, or any balance of remaining funds, shall be returned to contributor within six months of
X Funds shall be permanently reta	ained by the City.
Other (describe in detail)	
Form of Contribution:	
Escrow Account	
X Cash Contribution	
Interest Disbursement: Interest on fur	nds to be paid to contributor only if project is not commenced.
Terms of Draw Down of Funds: The which form shall specify use of City Ac	City shall periodically draw down the funds via a payment requisition from Public Works, count # shown above.
Date of Form: 11-14-07 Planner: Richard Knowland	Person Completing Form: Richard Knowland
<ul> <li>Attach the approval letter, condition</li> <li>The original form, copy of the check, compared to the check of the</li></ul>	of approval or other documentation of the required contribution. copy of report of receipts and all attachments shall be given to Debbie Marquis.

The original check, copy of this form, and all attachments shall be filed by the Planning Division Office Manager. .

A copy of all of the above documents shall be given to the following people: 0

Peggy Axelson (Finance), Michael Bobinsky (Public Works), Michael Farmer (Public Works), Kathi Earley (Public Works), Alexander Jaegerman (Planning), Barbara Barhydt (Planning), Planner for project and Applicant.

# CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE PLANNING BOARD

November 20, 2006

Kevin Beal, Chair Michael Patterson, Vice Chair Bill Hali Lee Lowry III Shalom Odokara David Silk Janice E. Tevanian

Mr. Ed Marsh Realty Resources (Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC) 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856

RE: Bayside Village Student Housing; Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC, (Applicant); 120 Marginal Way; #2006-0125; CBL- 034A-B-001

Dear Mr. Marsh:

The Planning Board considered the proposal by Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC to create a 400 bed student lodging house in the vicinity of 120 Marginal Way and voted on the motions described in this letter.

On October 10, 2006, the Planning Board voted 5-0 (Hall and Tevanian absent) that the Bayside Village housing development was in conformance with the Conditional Use (parking garage) Standards of the Land Use Code.

On November 14, 2006, the Planning Board voted 5-2 (Silk and Tevanian opposed) that the Bayside Village housing development was in conformance with the Site Plan Ordinance of the Land Use Code, including Traffic Movement Permit. The approval was granted for this project with the following conditions:

- i. That the Applicant shall revise the plan and implement the recommendations contained in Tom Errico's (Traffic Review Consultant) memo dated October 20, 2006, except that the Applicant shall not be required to operate a car-share program.
- ii. The Applicant shall contribute \$90,000 towards a Transportation Demand Management Fund to be established by the City of Portland to implement Transportation Demand Management measures in Bayside. The parking monitoring study of the site, which will be performed by the Applicant as noted in Mr. Errico's memo, will be used to guide the use of those funds. For the purposes of conducting the monitoring study full occupancy shall be considered 90% of the beds.
- iii. That the pedestrian easement for the easterly side of the building shall be submitted for City staff review and approval.
- iv. That all exterior signs shall be subject to Planning staff review and approval.
- v. That the project lighting shall be subject to Planning staff review and approval.
- vi. That final details and materials of the building façade shall be subject to Planning staff review and approval.
- vii. In the event that the project changes from student housing as presented by the Applicant to another use, the Applicant shall submit such changes to the Planning Board for review and approval.
- viii. The project is approved for a maximum of 400 beds with one occupant per bed.
- iv. Applicant shall provide a binding lease agreement for off-hours parking at 84 Marginal Way.

O:\PLAN\DEVREVW\marginalway120baysidevillage\approvalvoteltr10-10-06 11-14-06.doc

The approval is based on the submitted site plan and the findings related to site plan and conditional use review standards as contained in Planning Report 52-06 and Planning staff memo dated for November 14,2006.

Please note the following provisions and requirements for all site plan approvals:

- 1. Where submission drawings are available in electronic form, the Applicant shall submit any available electronic Autocad files (\*.dwg), release 14 or greater, with seven (7) seta of the final plans.
- 2. A performance guarantee covering the site improvements as well as an inspection fee payment of 2.0% of the guarantee amount and 7 final sets of plans must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Division and Public Works prior to the release of the building permit. If you need to make any modifications to the approved site plan, you must submit a revised site plan for staff review and approval.
- 3. The site plan approval will be deemed to have expired unless work in the development has commenced within one (1) year of the approval or within a time period agreed upon in writing by the City and the applicant. Requests to extend approvals must be received before the expiration date.
- 4. A defect guarantee, consisting of 10% of the performance guarantee, must be posted before the performance guarantee will be released.
- Prior to construction, a pre-construction meeting shall be held at the project site with the contractor, development review coordinator, Public Work's representative and owner to review the construction schedule and critical aspects of the site work. At that time, the site/building contractor shall provide three (3) copies of a detailed construction schedule to the attending City representatives. It shall be the contractor's responsibility to arrange a mutually agreeable time for the pre-construction meeting.
- If work will occur within the public right-of-way such as utilities, curb, sidewalk and driveway construction, a street opening permit(s) is required for your site. Please contact Carol Merritt at 874-8300, ext. 8828. (Only excavators licensed by the City of Portland are eligible.)

The Development Review Coordinator must be notified five (5) working days prior to date required for final site inspection. The Development Review Coordinator can be reached at the Planning Division at 874-8632. <u>Please</u> make allowances for completion of site plan requirements determined to be incomplete or defective during the inspection. This is essential as all site plan requirements must be completed and approved by the Development Review Coordinator prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. <u>Please</u> schedule any property closing with these requirements in mind.

If there are any questions, please contact Richard Knowland at 874-8725.

Sincerely,

Kevin Beal, Chair Portland Planning Board

 Lee D. Urban, Planning and Development Department Director Alexander Jaegerman, Planning Division Director Sarah Hopkins, Development Review Services Manager Richard Knowland, Senior Planner Jay Reynolds, Development Review Coordinator Marge Schmuckal, Zoning Administrator Jeanne Bourke, Inspections Division Michael Bobinsky, Public Works Director Eric Labelle, City Engineer Bill Clark, Public works Jim Carmody, Transportation Manager Jeff Tarling, City Arborist Penny Littell, Associate Corporation Counsel Captain Greg Cass, Fire Prevention Assessor's Office Approval Letter File Robert Metcalf, Mitchell Associates, 70 Center Street, Portland, ME 04103

O:\PLAN\DEVREVW\marginalway120baysidevillage\approvalvoteltr10-10-06 11-14-06.doc

0.

### Rick Knowland - Bayside Village

From:	"Thomas Errico" <terrico@wilbursmith.com></terrico@wilbursmith.com>
To: '	"'Rick Knowland '" <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	10/20/2006 12:53 PM
Subject: ]	Bayside Village
CC:	"James Carmody" < JPC@portlandmaine.gov>

Rick -

The following summarizes my comments and approval conditions for the above project.

### Traffic Movement Permit

The proposed project meets requirements for the Traffic Movement Permit subject to the following conditions:

- o The applicant shall be responsible for the implementation of roadway improvements along Marginal Way in the area of their site frontage as illustrated on Conceptual Roadway Improvement Plans B and C prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. included in their October 4, 2006 submission. I would note that the plans are conceptual in nature and some minor modifications (see comments in next bullet) may be necessary during the development of final design plans. I would like to note that the improvement plans include the removal of the flush concrete island in Marginal Way and the installation of a new crosswalk at the Chestnut Street intersection. Additionally, I would note that improvement plans provide acceptable conditions assuming the Bayside Village project proceeds independent of 84 Marginal Way and can be integrated with adjoining improvements on Marginal Way that may occur as part of 84 Marginal Way or other developments that may happen to the east.
- o Some comments that will need to be addressed during the development of final design plans for 0. Marginal Way and Preble Street improvements under the full implementation of improvements with both Bayside Village and 84 Marginal Way. These are NOT the responsibility of this applicant, but are necessary for improvements in the area that the applicant will be contributing financially:
  - 1. Lane widths on Preble Street southbound should not exceed 12 feet and the bicycle lane should be 5 feet. The curb on the westside of Preble Street will need to be relocated accordingly.
  - 2. The plans should note that the improvement plan will include all necessary lane assignment signs and traffic signal modifications.
  - 3. Pavement markings guiding the double left from Preble Street should be provided. Commentary on whether the turning area for this double left is different from current conditions.
  - 4. The plans indicate that a minor curb adjustment is required on the south side of Marginal Way near Wild Oats. I would suggest that the curb not be moved at this time (it seems wasteful to move it now and adjust it later as implementation of the master plan improvements take place) and the island width be reduced to allow for appropriate roadway receiving width.
  - 5. The plan should depict the lanes on all approaches such that we can confirm acceptable lane alignment can be provided through the intersection.

- 6. Radii at the Marginal Way/Preble Street intersection should be minimized as much as possible.
- 7. The left-turn entry into 84 Marginal Way off Preble Street may need to be modified to account for City maintenance requirements. Additionally, it is suggested that the 12-foot lane be reduced to 11-feet and a two foot concrete rumble strip be provided to separate left-turn movements into the site from northbound Preble Street traffic.
- 8. The painted transition area in advance of the left-turn lane into 84 Marginal Way : a should be a stamped material to be determined during the design process.
- The applicant shall be responsible for the implementation of a new crosswalk with supplemental features on Preble Street as illustrated on Conceptual Roadway Improvement Plan E prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. included in their October 4, 2006 submission. I would note that the plan will need to be modified such that bicycle lanes can be provided in the area of roadway widening for the raised median island. I would note that this plan is for the stand alone implementation of the crosswalk. The design of the crosswalk will be significantly different as compared to plans being review for 84 Marginal Way. Both crosswalk designs are acceptable to the City, although some minor modifications may be necessary as the plans are further developed for construction.
- o In an effort to offset traffic, bicycle, and pedestrian impacts to the Marginal Way corridor, the applicant shall contribute \$43,500.00 towards the implementation of the Marginal Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan improvement concepts.
- In an effort to relieve traffic pressure to left-turn movements from Preble Street to eastbound Marginal Way the applicant shall contribute \$9,000.00 towards the extension of Somerset Street. The City seeks implementation of Somerset Street as soon as possible to offer travel alternatives to Marginal Way.

### <u>Site Plan</u>

The following presents an update to my September 8, 2006 comments.

1. The secondary driveway should be designed to be compatible with anticipated future conditions (right-turn entry/exit only).

The plans have been revised and I find them acceptable. I would ask that the applicant install appropriate signage that reinforces turn restrictions. This should be coordinated with the City Traffic Engineer.

- The applicant should provide documentation that METRO has reviewed the proposed plans for a bus stop and bus shelter and approve the location and design elements.
   <u>No further comment.</u>
- 3. The primary driveway entrance opposite Chestnut Street should be redesigned to allow for optimal approach capacity. Based upon future development opportunities, including the Miss Portland Diner and an AMTRAK Rail Station, it is suggested that two approach lanes be provided. The public right-of-way on this approach is 36 feet and it is my recommendation that this space consist of two 10-foot approach lanes, one 11-foot entry lane, and one 5-foot sidewalk.

The plans have been revised to reflect this suggestion.

4. I would suggest that Eric Labelle closely review the plans as it relates to geometric modifications

	D(c) R Strengthening a Rema	arkable City, Building a	SN N Community for Life	nur Santa Marine Sov	Revised 7/26/2007
REPO	DRT	OFF	REC.	BUPT	S
To the Director of Finance, City of Portland From the Planning & Deve	, Maine <i>lopment</i>		Wednesday, No	ovember 14, 2007	Control # 240000018
For Period: 5	Fiscal Year: 2008	Month: Nov '07	] H.T.E. C	escription (15 chars): [	
DETAILS	Amount	Revenue/Expense	or Short Code	Project	
Application #2007-0177	\$85.50	115	·····		LAND MAINE Teasury
Bayside Village Student Housing					N TE MAY
ck #1049, (mailed) Application #2006-0125					PAID
	\$43,500.00	/10-0000-236-68-00		<u> </u>	Check Other
Bayside Village Student Housing ck #1050 (mailed)					
Application #2006-0125				TOTAL REC	EIPT COMPRISED OF
Intrastructure Contribution	\$9,000.00	710-0000-236-69-00		ACH Cash:	585.50 M
Bayside Village Student Housing	1434 - A MARINAL BARA - M 1			Checks:	\$142,598.00
ck #1048 (mailed)		T*IIGUAL		Credit Card:	
Application #2006-0125				Notes:	and an International Internati
Infrastructure Contribution	\$90,000.00	710-0000-236-70-00	THE CO	Offsite Dep:	506 60 A
NOTE: TOTAL	\$142,585,50			TOTAL;	\$142,509.00
The Authorized Agent certifies that this is a true, collections made since the date of their last repo	complete report of all rt.	Authorized Agent:	Jennifer Dorr	Office Manager	Phone#: 874-8719
Distribution: Original t	MIRANISSI SALOMAN		aumorized user, Use	r Hue externe (grette statistic) z	Sol Atoresit
O:\PLAN\officeprocedures\ROR Ongoing\ROR i	¥18	Master Control		Printed or	n 11/14/2007 at 3:18 PM

BAY	SIDE VILLAGE STUDEN 247 COMMERCIAL				1048
PAY	A I D I	10-030 <del>4</del>	DATE	4-5-07	52-60/112 57024
	City of Purtla	und		<b>\$</b>	30,080 /10
A litety	thousand			100	DOLLARS 🛱 🚟
Ô-	KeyBank National Association Caniden, Maine 04843		1.1.10	nA	r de la companya de la
FOR	sportiof on Demand	Myet Find _	Wille	MALLA	ife .
₩Q <b>O</b>	10480	081: 190244	0594.70		

-

,

.

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Traffic and Civil Engineering Services

September 11, 2006

PO Box 1237 15 Shaker Rd. Gray, ME 04039

207-657-6910 FAX: 207-657-6912 E-Mail:mailbox@gorrillpaimer.com

Mr. Rick Knowland City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

RE: Response to Comments Traffic Impact Study Review Bayside Village

Dear Rick:

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. is pleased to respond to the review comments made by Tom Errico dated September 8<sup>th</sup> following his review of the traffic and parking study for the proposed Bayside Village. For ease of review, each comment has been repeated below followed by our response. It is our understanding that responses to the site plan comments will be provided by Mitchell and Associates.

**Comment** 1 – The study indicates that traffic generation from the existing parking lot is greater than future traffic projections for the project. I'm surprised at the level of traffic measured and would ask that additional information be provided about current traffic usage at the parking lot.

**Response** – This parking lot currently serves several uses. It is utilized for a park-and-ride, hosts a skate park, and as a recycling operation. In addition, this location is also utilized in the winter for vehicle impoundment during snow emergencies. Based on site observations, on occasion vehicles will use this parking lot as a cut-through to get to and from Preble Street Extension. While any single one of these uses does not generate significant traffic on its own, when combined, the trip generation exceeds that of the proposed use.

**Comment 2** – The traffic analyses at the Marginal Way/Preble Street intersection assumes a change in traffic signal phasing to "protected/permitted" left-turn phasing on Marginal Way. I need to review this change with Jim Carmody and I will provide my opinion in the future.

**Response** – MaineDOT currently permits protected/permitted phasing for left turns against a single lane of through traffic. Based on our analyses, providing a protected left turn phase only results in much less efficiency, either requiring more green time for the left turn protected phase or additional delay for the movement. Our office recommends implementation of this phase structure.

Mr. Rick Knowland September 11, 2006 Page 2 of 6

**Comment 3** – The applicant should summarize specific queue lengths for all movements at the study intersections following build-out of the project.

**Response** – The queue lengths are provided on the following tables for both the existing and proposed post-development conditions. It should be noted that the southbound approach of Preble Street Extension has been revised to provide a three lane approach consisting of an exclusive left turn lane, an exclusive through lane, and a shared through/right turn lane as specified in Comment 4.

### **Existing** Conditions

	AM Postdevelopment Peak Hour						
Group	Available (ft)		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile (ft)		Average (ft)		
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	
Marginal Way EB – L	50	N/A	25	20	5	5	
Marginal Way EB – T	N	JA	85	120	40	55	
Marginal Way WB - LT	90 (to near edg	ge of Preble St.)	50	60	20	20	
Hanover St. NB – LR	N	J/A	80	120	45	60	

### Queuing for Hanover Street at Marginal Way

### Queuing for Hanover Street at Marginal Way

	PM Postdevelopment Peak Hour								
Group	Available (ft)		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile (ft)		Aver	age (ft)			
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed			
Marginal Way EB – L	50	N/A	85	75	40	30			
Marginal Way EB – T	N	I/A	95	150	45	85			
Marginal Way WB – LT	90 (to near edge of Preble St.)		55	70	20	15			
Hanover St. NB – LR	N	N/A		535	130	420			

### Queuing for Chestnut Street at Marginal Way

_	AM Postdevelopment Peak Hour								
Group	Available (ft)		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile (ft)		Average (ft)				
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed			
Marginal Way EB – L(T)	N/A		35	30	10	10			
Marginal Way WB – L(T)	N/A		35	30	10	5			
Chestnut St. NB - L	N/A		40	35	15	10			
Chestnut St. NB - TR	N/A		45	40	15	15			
Site Dr. SB - LTR	N	J/A	55	60	35	35			

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Mr. Rick Knowland September 11, 2006 Page 3 of 6

Group	PM Postdevelopment Peak Hour								
	Available (ft)		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile (ft)		Average (ft)				
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed			
Marginal Way EB – L(T)	N/A		90	60	35	30			
Marginal Way WB – L(T)	N/A		35	55	5	20			
Chestnut St. NB – L	-	N/A		30	10	10			
Chestnut St. NB – TR	N/A		45	50	15	20			
Site Dr. SB - LTR	P	N/A		75	30	35			

# Queuing for Chestnut Street at Marginal Way

# Queuing for Preble Street at Marginal Way

	AM Postdevelopment Peak Hour								
Group	Avai	lable (ft)	95 <sup>th</sup> Per	centile (ft)	Average (ft)				
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed			
Marginal Way EB – L	90 (to near ed	ge of Hanover St.)	55	50	25	20			
Marginal Way EB – TR	90 (to near ed	ge of Hanover St.)	65	60	50	50			
Marginal Way EB - R	90 (to near edg	ge of Hanover St.)	N/A	40	N/A	15			
Marginal Way WB – L	150	N/A	160	145	100	85			
Marginal Way WB – T	660 (to near e	edge of site drive)	120	210	70	120			
Marginal Way WB – R	1	200	60	95	40	45			
Preble St. NB – L		350	95	80	50	40			
Preble St. NB - TR	e	N/A	105	75	55	35			
Preble St. SB - L	225	160	165	215	110	160			
Preble St. SB – TR		N/A	175	320	100	160			

# Queuing for Preble Street at Marginal Way

11	PM Postdevelopment Peak Hour									
Group	Availa	able (ft)	95 <sup>th</sup> Per	centile (ft)	Average (ft)					
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed				
Marginal Way EB – L	90 (to near edg	e of Hanover St.)	60	65	50	50				
Marginal Way EB – TR	90 (to near edg	e of Hanover St.)	65	60	50	55				
Marginal Way EB – R	90 (to near edge	e of Hanover St.)	N/A	35	N/A	10				
Marginal Way WB – L	150 N/A		100	185	50	65				
Marginal Way WB – T	660 (to near e	dge of site drive)	145	745	95	375				
Marginal Way WB – R	2	200		285	90	180				
Preble St. NB - L	3	50	230	275	140	155				
Preble St. NB - TR	N/A		335	440	225	285				
Preble St. SB - L	225	160	210	310	150	185				
Preble St. SB - TR	N	NA	170	505	100	290				

Mr. Rick Knowland September 11, 2006 Page 4 of 6

**Comment 4** – The analyses at the Marginal Way/Preble Street intersection needs to revised to account for the following:

- The current Marginal Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan recommends that the Preble Street southbound approach consist of one left lane, one through lane, and one shared through/right lane.
- > An alternative analysis should be conducted such that the Preble Street southbound approach consists of a left turn lane, a shared left/through lane, and a shared through/right lane. Split phasing signal operations will need to be incorporated.

**Response** – The requested analyses are enclosed with this letter. As can be seen by the alternative analysis, the split phase approach results in acceptable levels of service. Our office would note that this method results in the need for two receiving lanes headed eastbound on Marginal Way, one of which would need to drop in accordance with the Marginal Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan. This may result in poor lane utilization on whichever lane is dropped. The level of service results are shown on the following tables:

Approach/Movement	2007 AM Peak Hour				2007 PM Peak Hour				
	Predevelopment		Postdevelopment		Predevelopment		Postdevelopment		
	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	
Preble NB – L	43	D	43	D	46	D	48	D	
Preble NB – TR	26	С	26	С	46	D	61	E	
Preble SB – L	52	D	51	D	50	D	54	D	
Preble SB – TR	17	В	18	В	21	С	22	С	
Marginal EB – L	26	С	25	C	42	D	35	D	
Marginal EB – T	35	С	33	C	41	D	43	D	
Marginal EB – R	28	С	27	С	32	С	31	С	
Marginal WB – L	32	С	29	С	31	С	31	С	
Marginal WB – T	31	С	32	С	45	D	44	D	
Marginal WB - R	27	С	26	С	34	С	34	С	
Overall Performance	31	С	30	С	41	D	46	D	

LOS Results for Marginal Way and Preble Street: Proposed

Mr. Rick Knowland September 11, 2006 Page 5 of 6

Approach/Movement	2007 AM Peak Hour				2007 PM Peak Hour			
	Predevelopment		Postdevelopment		Predevelopment		Postdevelopmen	
	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
Preble NB – L	29	С	30	С	33	С	33	С
Preble NB – TR	30	С	31	С	51	D	52	D
Preble SB – L	38	D	39	D	36	D	37	D
Preble SB – LTR	34	С	35	C	33	С	33	С
Marginal EB – L	29	С	29	C	34	C	35	С
Marginal EB – T	40	D	38	D	38	D	44	D
Marginal EB – R	31	С	31	С	30	C	30	С
Marginal WB – L	42	D	38	D	30	С	31	С
Marginal WB – T	35	С	37	D	44	D	45	D
Marginal WB – R	30	С	30	С	33	С	33	С
Overall Performance	35	D	35	D	40	D	41	D

### LOS Results for Marginal Way and Preble Street: Alternative

**Comment 5** – The City will be accelerating the construction of Somerset Street extension between Preble Street and Elm Street such that motorists originating from Preble Street Extension, with destinations to the Bayside area, have alternatives to using Marginal Way. The applicant will be asked to make a monetary contribution to this improvement.

Response - No response required.

**Comment 6** – I continue to review the parking information provided. On-site parking will not be sufficient for the anticipated parking demand. The applicant will need to provide documentation on how they will specifically meet parking needs.

**Response** – Some of the parking demand can be met at the USM parking garage, as discussed in a letter from USM enclosed with the traffic impact and parking study. It is our understanding that the Applicant is seeking an agreement with 84 Marginal Way (also in the approvals process) to obtain off-peak parking.

**Comment 7** – The conceptual improvement plan needs to incorporate other aspects of the Marginal Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan in the vicinity of the Marginal Way/Preble Street intersection. 63 Marginal Way is currently implementing improvements along their frontage. The Preble Street southbound approach will consist of a three-lane approach. It is my suggestion that the conceptual improvement plan include Marginal Way between Forest Avenue and Franklin Arterial. Gorrill-Palmer has prepared such a plan (for another project) and I would suggest that it Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Mr. Rick Knowland September 11, 2006 Page 6 of 6

be required for this project. The Applicant may be asked to make a monetary contribution to other Marginal Way improvements.

**Response** – Enclosed with this letter is a copy of the requested improvement plan of Marginal Way from Forest Avenue past the project's site frontage.

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to respond to these comments and looks forward to your review of our responses. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Jeremiah J. Bartlett, P.E. Project Engineer

Copy: Tom Errico, Wilbur Smith Associates Jim Carmody, City Traffic Engineer Bob Metcalf, Mitchell and Associates Ed Marsh, Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC Randy Dunton, MaineDOT Division 1

Enclosure

JJB/JN1361/KnowlandC&R09-11-06.doc
### Summary of All Intervals

Run Number	0	<b>2</b> 5.2	3	4-	5.	Avg	
Start Time	6:55	6:55	6:55	6:55	6:55	6:55	
End Time	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8.00	8:00	
Total Time (min)	65	65	65	65	65	65	
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	<b>60</b> 😚	60	60	
# of intervals	2	2	2	2	2	2	
# of Recorded Intvis	1	1	1	1111月20日	1	1	
Vehs Entered	1982	2054	2007	2075	1972	2020	
Vehs Exited	1970	2058	1981	2072	1963	2009	ant da sur
Starting Vehs	35	48	23	36	31	30	
Ending Vehs	47	<i>44</i>	49	39	40	41	
Denied Entry Before	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Denied Entry After	4	1	0	1	0	1 -	
Travel Distance (mi)	633	669	640	663	632	647	•
Travel Time (hr)	. 36.8	39.0	37.5	39.3	37.0	.37.9	
Total Delay (hr)	15.5	16.6	15.9	16.9	15.7	16.1	
Total Stops	1492	1600	1516	1625	1520	1550	
Fuel Used (gal)	77.3	92.6	80.7	82.3	79.8	82.5	
Interval #0 Informa	ition Seeding						
Start Time	6:55						$(d_{i}) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \{1, i, j, i\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$
End Time	7:00						
Total Time (min)	5		1				n a grenetike et tit til som s Stagens
Volumes adjusted by C	Growth Factors.						·
No data recorded this i	interval.					· . ·	
Interval #1 Informa	ition Recordin	g					
Start Time	7:00						
End Time	8:00						·
Total Time (min)	60			n Antoni ya			
Volumes adjusted by C	Growth Factors.						
Run Number	S	2		4	5	Ava	
Vehs Entered	1982	2054	2007	2075	1972	2020	ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang
Vehs Exited	1970	2058	1981	2072	1963	2009	
Starting Vehs	35	48	23	36	31	30	
Ending Vehs	47	44	49	39	40	41	ng alang signi si si sing ta
Denied Entry Before	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Denied Entry After	4	1	0	1	Ũ	1	a system i s
Travel Distance (mi)	633	669	640	663	632	647	• •
Travel Time (hr)	36.8	39.0	37.5	39.3	37.0	37.9	
Total Delay (hr)	15.5	16.6	15.9	16.9	15.7	16.1	
Total Stops	1492	1600	1516	1625	1520	1550	
Fuel Used (gal)	77.3	92.6	80.7	82.3	79.8	82.5	

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Post\_Existing Conditions.sy7

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

1.1

Intersection: 1: Marginal Way & Hanover St.

Movement PAR Sector	EB	EB	EB	(B7)	WB.	NB	B5, s			
Directions Served	Т	T	TR	Ţ	LT	LR	Т			
Maximum Queue (ft)	45	97	60	35	55	101	14			
Average Queue (ft)	3	36	9	1	16	44	0			
95th Queue (ft)	23	82	38	15	50	78	10			
Link Distance (ft)	32	32	32	19	41	72	169			
Upstream Blk Time (%)	2	20	3	0	1	2				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	Ó	Ó	0	2	0				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)								가 가 편의 	사망 전 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
Storage Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)		1. <sup>20</sup> 1. 1								

### Intersection: 8: Marginal Way & Site Drive

Movement	EB	WB	NB	NB 44	SB					
Directions Served	LT	LT	L.	TR	LR					
Maximum Queue (ft)	58	58	47	48	60			8 - A 6		
Average Queue (ft)	8	6	11	14	31					
95th Queue (ft)	35	34	37	44	52	n. A stranger		erder bed		
Link Distance (ft)	335	1060	260	260	172					
Upstream Blk Time (%)		•			÷ 1.					
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		÷.,	s singe		영원 같은		g in the			e de constitue
Storage Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)	· · ·		•	e dise N					a galandara Astronomia s	

Intersection: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

Movement	EB 😏	EB	EBR	WB	<b>WB</b>	WBW	WB.	NB	NB.	NB	SBN	SE
Directions Served	<u>.</u>	Т	TR	L	Т	Т	R	l.	T	TR	L	Ļ
Maximum Queue (ft)	51	52	54	170	132	110	71	111	120	127	188	188
Average Queue (ft)	23	47	41	98	66	50	38	49	55	47	91	108
95th Queue (ft)	53	58	65	160	116	93	60	92	97	101	155	164
Link Distance (ft)	41	41	41		263	263	263		452	452		
Upstream Blk Time (%)	8	36	18									
Queuing Penalty (veh)	6	29	14							-		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	n an	1942.4		150	1991 (1968) 1997 - Series A.			350			225	225
Storage Blk Time (%)				2	0						0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				2	0						194 <b>0</b> -51	

Intersection: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

Movement	SB	SB							
Directions Served	T	TR							
Maximum Queue (ft)	194	207	ing prosesje	物物力		학교 실수	y the dealer		
Average Queue (ft)	89	99							
95th Queue (ft)	157	173						e de la constant de l La constant de la cons	
Link Distance (ft)	422	422							
Upstream Blk Time (%)			· .·		5. 		· .		N 1.
Queuing Penalty (veh)									
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		1. A.	1999 - 1999 -	Ser Recht in der					et strange
Storage Blk Time (%)	0								
Queuing Penalty (veh)	Ő					$\{ f_{i} \}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in [0, \infty)$			11.1

Nework Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty. 54

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Post\_Existing Conditions.sy7

SimTraffic Report Page 4

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Total Stops

Fuel Used (gal)

Summary of All Inter	rvals							
Run Number		- 2- 1	3	· · · · 4	5.	Avg	44 - A	
Start Time	4:55	4:55	4:55	4:55	4:55	4:55		
End Time	6:00	6:00	6:00	6:00	6:00	6:00		
Total Time (min)	65	65	65	65	65	65		
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	6 <b>0</b> - 6	a 60. a	60	60		
# of Intervals	2	2	2	2	2	2		
# of Recorded Intvis	1	1	18		station (	1		e e o de la mage Contrage Angel II.
Vehs Entered	3216	3144	3246	3168	3197	3193	•	
Vehs Exited	3187	3139	3225	ି 3191 ି	3163	3181		
Starting Vehs	50	75	65	84	43	61		
Ending Vehs	79	80	86	61	77	77		ti se je s
Denied Entry Before	2	2	3	2	1	1		
Denied Entry After	18	3	14	San (a. <b>3</b> n. 1	<b>. 59</b> /	- 19 · ·		
Travel Distance (mi)	1001	1001	1011	998	1011	1005		
Travel Time (hr)	81.9	71.4	73.0	67.1	88.5	76.4	de <sup>lan</sup> e d'Ale	
Total Delay (hr)	47.7	37.5	38.6	33.3	54.2	42.2		

#### Interval #0 Information Seeding

2717

126.2

121.2

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Start Time	4:55	
End Time	5:00	
Total Time (min)	5	이 문화가 가지 않는 것이 많이
Volumes adjusted by Gre	owth Factors.	
No data recorded this int	erval.	a an an the second s

2642 2703 2656

114.2

122.2

2697

125.8

2681

121.9

. . . .

#### Interval #1 Information Recording

Start Time	5.00	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	the second second	174 - 1 1411 -		14.00
End Time	6.00			1. N. C.		1.115.116.5
Total Time (min)	60.00	and the terms of the second second			بالمعرومة المعار	
Volumes adjusted by Grow	th Factors		· ·		a factor da	

Run Number	es 105	,i. 2∛°	R. 3	CA -	50.22	Avg		
Vehs Entered	3216	3144	3246	3168	3197	3193		
Vehs Exited	3187	3139	3225	3191	3163	3181	가 주말 같은	National de la composition de
Starting Vehs	50	75	65	84	43	61		
Ending Vehs	79	80	86	61	77	77		
Denied Entry Before	2	2	3	2	1	1		
Denied Entry After	18	. 3	14	3	59	.19	1. J.	÷-,
Travel Distance (mi)	1001	1001	1011	998	1011	1005		
Travel Time (hr)	81.9	71.4	73.0	67.1	. 88.5	76.4	(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	
Total Delay (hr)	47.7	37.5	38.6	33.3	54.2	42.2		
Total Stops	2717	2642	2703	2656	2697	2681	a ta shi a sa	
Fuel Used (gal)	126.2	121.2	122.2	114.2	125.8	121.9		

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Post\_Existing Conditions.sy7

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Intersection: 1: Marginal Way & Hanover St.

Movement	EB.	EB R	EB	B	S B7	WB.	S NB &	B5,	
Directions Served	Ţ	Т	TR	T	Т	LT	LR	T	
Maximum Queue (ft)	93	95	85	71 .	39	55	151	199	
Average Queue (ft)	37	45	29	9	1	17	129	112	
95th Queue (ft)	84	92	73	42	18	52	174	244	·····································
Link Distance (ft)	32	32	32	455	455	41	72	169	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	24	22	13			1	76	31	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0	0			4	0	Ö	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)								3 ( <sup>1</sup>	
Storage Blk Time (%)									
Queuing Penalty (veh)									目的な素質的な影響

### Intersection: 3: Marginal Way & Site Drive

Movement	EB	紫EB <sup>28</sup>	WB	NB'	NB .	SB	
Directions Served	LT	TR	LT	Ļ	TR	LTR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Maximum Queue (ft)	124	94	79	38	44	62	
Average Queue (ft)	35	4	16	7	15	26	
95th Queue (ft)	86	35	59	28	41	51	
Link Distance (ft)	332	332	1046	179	179	171	
Upstream Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				옷옷	an (an shi		
Storage Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)				)의 의견된 19일이 원진			

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Post\_Existing Conditions.sy7

Intersection: 92; Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

Movement:	EB	EB	EB4	SWB:	WBX	WB	WB S	<b>NB</b>	<b>NB</b>	NB NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	T	T	R	L	T	TR	L	· [
Maximum Queue (ft)	51	60	59	117	168	169	188	264	350	387	223	230
Average Queue (ft)	47	48	48	50	94	77	89	140	207	221	132	146
95th Queue (ft)	59	61	64	96	143	133	155	226	310	334	199	210
Link Distance (ft)	41	41	41		263	263	263		452	452		
Upstream Blk Time (%)	50	35	37	이 사망 등의 가지 같이 많은 것이 같은 것							() 注意法	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	69	48	51									
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	1 A L			150				350		, 1984 (j. 1	225	225
Storage Blk Time (%)				0	0				0		0	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)				Q.	07 ( <b>0</b> 7 (				0		0	1

# Intersection: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St, Ext.

Movement	SB	SB	
Directions Served	T	TR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	174	193	
Average Queue (ft)	70	96	
95th Queue (ft)	- 145	166	· 동네 사람이 있는 것은 사람은 바람을 다 나라는 것을 것을 수 있다.
Link Distance (ft)	421	421	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			- 동네 전에 가지 않는 것을 것을 가입니다. 그는 것 가지만 말을 못했다.
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

#### Nework Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 174

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Post\_Existing Conditions.sy7

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

#### Summary of All Intervals

Run Number	1	2	8.1.1	4	5	Avg	
Start Time	6:55	6:55	6:55	6:55	6:55	6:55	<u> </u>
End Time	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	
Total Time (min)	65	65	65	65	65	65	
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	ije (da e <b>60</b> .) te	60	60	
# of Intervals	2	2	2	2	2	2	
# of Recorded Intvis	1996 - <b>1</b> 997 - <b>1</b> 99	1	1		1	89. de 1 <b>1</b> -	
Vehs Entered	2051	1990	1957	1981	2014	1999	
Vehs Exited	2050	1996	1938	1996	1997	1995	
Starting Vehs	42	40	36	46	33	36	
Ending Vehs	43	34	55	31	50	41	
Denied Entry Before	3	0	1	1	0	1	
Denied Entry After	2	2	0	0 N	3	· <b>. 1</b> ·	I
Travel Distance (mi)	678	651	637	641	663	654	
Travel Time (hr)	41.0	37.8	37.4	36,4	39.4	38.4	
Total Delay (hr)	18.1	15.9	16.0	14.9	17.2	16.4	
Total Stops	1681	1581	1555	1537	1657	1602	
Fuel Used (gal)	84.9	83.1	76.7	85.8	78.0	81.7	

### Interval #0 Information Seeding

Start Time	6:55				
End Time	7:00				
Total Time (min)	5	· · · · · ·			
Volumes adjusted by Growth	n Factors.				
No data recorded this interva	al. in a finite for	· · ·	· · ·	 1. 2. C. 1.	

### Interval #1 Information Recording

	the second s								
Start Time		7:00	a statistica (			ман на 1997 г. – 1997 г. – 1 1997 г. – 1997 г. – 1	N		· ·
End Time		8:00							
Total Time (min)		60		na di mari	1. M. 1. 74				•
Volumes adjusted	by Growth	Factors.							
		enerate a constant a subscript of	un an	en Landatas de la comunida entre servicio de	- INFRANCES IN STREET	ocourtes and the second	n neest a nave	VALUER MANAGEMENT	and streets set at a

Run Number	<u>)</u>	<u> </u>	ःः <b>द</b> 3ः ः		5	Avg 👘	
Vehs Entered	2051	1990	1957	1981	2014	1999	
Vehs Exited	2050	1996	1938	1996	1997	1995	•
Starting Vehs	42	40	36	46	33	36	
Ending Vehs	43	34	55	31	50	41	
Denied Entry Before	3	0	1	1	0	1	
Denied Entry After	2	2	0	0	3	1	•
Travel Distance (mi)	678	651	637	641	663	654	
Travel Time (hr)	41.0	37.8	37.4	36.4	39.4	38.4	
Total Delay (hr)	18.1	15.9	16.0	14.9	17.2	16.4	
Total Stops	1681	1581	1555	1537	1657	1602	
Fuel Used (gal)	84.9	83.1	76.7	85.8	78.0	81.7	

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Postdevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7

Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Intersection: 1: Marginal Way & Hanover St.

Movement	EB	EB	B7.5	WB	NB					40763	
Directions Served	Т	Ţ	T	LT	LR						
Maximum Queue (ft)	12	133	41	70	166		11. s		te te l'		•
Average Queue (ft)	0	54	2	20	59						
95th Queue (ft)	6	120 -	25	62	113					÷ .	
Link Distance (ft)	84	84	313	47	416						
Upstream Blk Time (%)		5.		. 2.	an an an Tagairtí		1.11	a Arran	· · ·	· ·	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0		7							
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				· ·	-		· · · · ·				
Storage Blk Time (%)											
Queuing Penalty (veh)	· . ·	· · ·		· · ·			'			•	

### Intersection: 2: Marginal Way & Site Drive

Movement	EB	EB	₩B	NB	NB	SB					
Directions Served	Ļ	TR	L.	L	TR	LR					
Maximum Queue (ft)	36	4	49	54	39	78		.· ·		e gale de la	
Average Queue (ft)	7	Ó	5	9	13	33					
95th Queue (ft)	. 28	3	26	35	- 38	58	· ·				•
Link Distance (ft)		673		215	215	123					
Upstream Blk Time (%)				1	÷ .	•					
Queuing Penalty (veh)											
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	290		150		an a		ine Ville i zet A			The second second	· .
Storage Blk Time (%)											
Queuing Penalty (veh)	t de la co			n An Anna an Airtí			1990 - A.		•		· .

#### Intersection: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

Movement	EB	EB	EB	∞ WB s	WB:		NB	NB	NB 🛛	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Ł	Т	R	L	· T	R	L	Т	TR	L	T	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	51	65	43	168	255	132	94	108	105	186	323	253
Average Queue (ft)	20	50	15	82	120	45	38	44	35	157	159	103
95th Queue (ft)	49	60	40	143	209	93	76	82	75	214	319	200
Link Distance (ft)	47	47	47		673			448	448		433	433
Upstream Blk Time (%)	3	42	0	· · ·	1 . i	.:	· · · ·					0
Queuing Penalty (veh)	2	33	0									0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		÷ .	1.11	. 300		200	350		- ÷ - 1	160		
Storage Blk Time (%)				•	1	0				16	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)			÷		13	0				38	0	
Nework Summary												

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 84

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Postdevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7

### Summary of All Intervals

Run Number	Sec. 1	2.5 <b>2</b> .5 1	S3 ~.	4	5.	Avg		
Start Time	4:10	4:10	4:10	4:10	4:10	4:10		
End Time	5:15	5:15	5:15	5:15	5.15	5:15		
Total Time (min)	65	65	65	65	65	65		
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60	60	60	14	· . · .
# of intervals	2	2	2	2	2	2		
# of Recorded Intvis	1	1	1	1999 <b>- 1</b> 997	1	1	h da la	an a
Vehs Entered	3137	3123	3055	3159	3229	3140		
Vehs Exited	3104	3101	3019	3136	3211	3113	• •	
Starting Vehs	77	99	47	88	65	74		
Ending Vehs	110	121	83	111	83-	101	· .	
Denied Entry Before	5	3	2	Д,	0	1		
Denied Entry After	89	87	67	58	50	69		
Travel Distance (mi)	1021	1014	972	1023	1025	1011		
Travel Time (hr)	135.3	141.6	101.7	127.1	128.0	126.7		$\epsilon_{\rm e} = - t$
Total Delay (hr)	100.7	107.2	68.7	92.6	93.1	92.5		
Total Stops	3401	3410	2915	4088	3568	3476	· .	
Fuel Used (gal)	149.1	156.5	125.5	146.0	145.1	144.4		

### Interval #0 Information Seeding

Interval #0 Inform	nation Seeding		
Start Time	4:10		
End Time	4:15		
Total Time (min)	5	그는 제품은 제품법이 소문되었다.	
Volumes adjusted by	y Growth Factors.		
No data recorded th	is interval.		

### Interval #1 Information Recording

Start Time many sector 4:15 million of the state of the sector of the se	
End Time 5:15	
Total Time (min)	
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.	

30 S (1886)	see, s <b>2</b>	ಷ್ಟಾನ 3 ಕಂಗ	a a 4	5	Avg	
3137	3123	3055	3159	3229	3140	
3104	3101	3019	3136	3211	3113	
77	99	47	88	65	74	·
110	121	83	111	83	101	
5	3	2	4	0	1	
89	87	67	58	50	69	
1021	1014	<u>972</u>	1023	1025	1011	
135.3	141.6	101.7	127.1	128.0	126.7	
100.7	107.2	68.7	92.6	93.1	92.5	
3401	3410	2915	4088	3568	3476	
149.1	156.5	125.5	146.0	145.1	144.4	
	1 3137 3104 77 110 5 89 1021 135.3 100.7 3401 149.1	1         2           3137         3123           3104         3101           77         99           110         121           5         3           89         87           1021         1014           135.3         141.6           100.7         107.2           3401         3410           149.1         156.5	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Postdevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7

Intersection: 1: Marginal Way & Hanover St.

Movement	EB	) EB	B7	⇒WB-	NB							
Directions Served	Т	T	Т	LT	LR							
Maximum Queue (ft)	87 -	138	123	78	450			• • •			:	•
Average Queue (ft)	29	83	15	27	416							
95th Queue (ft)	75	147	69	71	531	Yan Kun			n seta in a Seta	• •		
Link Distance (ft)	65	65	331	47	416							
Upstream Blk Time (%)	4	20		2	84				1 <sup>1</sup> .			 ·. ·
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0		15	0							
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			14.				÷., .	2 E		· .		
Storage Blk Time (%)				·								
Queuing Penalty (veh)						· · · ·	· · · ·	÷	1			

# Intersection: 2: Marginal Way & Site Drive

Movement	<b>₽₿</b> ≋	EB	WB	₩B	NB	NB 🗄	SB				
Directions Served	L	ŤR	L.	TR	L	TR	LTR				
Maximum Queue (ft)	61	8	79	397	34	57	92	* .			
Average Queue (ft)	28	0	16	76	7	17	33				
95th Queue (ft)	56 -	4	51	341	27	46	71	·. ·	· ·	1.1	
Link Distance (ft)		673		1146	215	215	123				
Upstream Blk Time (%)			2				1	· · · · ·	· .	9	
Queuing Penalty (veh)							0				
Storage Bay Dist (ft) 2	90		150						ut List		
Storage Blk Time (%)				6							
Queuing Penalty (veh)		• •	1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997	1							

#### Intersection: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

Movement	ЕВ	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB.	NB	NB-	NB	SB≫	SB	SB
Directions Served	Ļ	٠T	R	L	т	R	L.	Т	TR	L	Ť	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	57	65	43	229	702	226	319	422	439	190	423	375
Average Queue (ft)	47	51	10	62	374	180	153	264	283	181	286	127
95th Queue (ft)	63	57:	34	184	744	281	275	419	439	206	505	290
Link Distance (ft)	47	47	47		673			448	448		433	433
Upstream Blk Time (%)	49	54	0		9	est, s		<u>1</u>	2		4	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)	67	74	0		67			0	0		0	0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				300		200	350	·	1.11	160	· · · ·	
Storage Blk Time (%)					26	3	0	4		39	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				4 - 1 <sup>- 1</sup>	125	10	0	8 .		48	0	

#### Nework Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 416

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Postdevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7

JN1361					
92: Marginal	Way	&	Preble	St.	Ext

	and the		<u></u>	<b>F</b> <sup>ann</sup>			*	Å	e and the second	la series and the series of th	Ļ	and the second s
Movement	© EBL⊗	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ħ	Ą	ø	¥.	Ą.	¥	<b>%</b>	<u>ቅ</u> ይ		k	<b>4</b> L	
Ideal Flow (vohpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	<u>ា១០០ំ</u>	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frit	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97	te de la des	1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	1776.	1830	1694	1766	1708	1656	3244		1711	3352	
Fit Permitted	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.36	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	959	1776	1830	648	1766	1708	1656	3244		1711	3352	
Volume (vph)	29	191	24	152	182	153	52	156	41	346	473	69
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0:80	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.87
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	239	30	179	214	180	61	184	48	398	544	79
RTOR Reduction (vph)	. 0	0	23	0	0	136	0	22	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	239	7	179	214	44	61	210	0	398	613	. 0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	7%	0%	3%	4%	4%	9%	7%	11%	2%	2%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt	<u>i</u>	Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Prot			Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8	ang sa sa	5	. 2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Actuated Green, G (s)	22.0	19.1	19.1	26.2	21.2	21.2	6.1	24.2	the first state	22.8	40.9	]
Effective Green, g (s)	24.0	20.1	20.1	28.2	22.2	22.2	7.1	25.2		23.8	41.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.31	0.24	0.24	0.08	0.28		0.26	0.46	м <u>,</u> н
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	286	392	404	269	430	416	129	897		447	1542	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.13		c0.04	0.12		0.04	0.06		c0.23	c0.18	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03		0.00	c0.16		0.03						·;
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.61	0.02	0.67	0.50	0.11	0.47	0.23	e dige e la	0.89	0.40	1. j
Uniform Delay, d1	25.3	32.0	27.8	26.3	29.7	26.7	40.2	25.5		32.4	16.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	· . ]
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	2.7	0.0	6.1	0.9	0.1	2.7	0.6		19.4	0.8	,
Delay (s)	25.5	34.7	27.8	32.4	30.6	26.9	42.9	26.1	• • • •	51.8	17.0	
Level of Service	С	С	С	С	С	C	D	C		D	В	
Approach Delay (s)		32.9			30.0			29.6			30.6	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control D	Delay	·	30.6	<b>۲</b>	ICM Le	vel of Si	ervice_		<u> </u>			
HCM Volume to Capacit	ty ratio		0.64						· . · · · : .	- 	1. P	• :
Actuated Cycle Length (	(s)		91.1	5	Sum of I	ost time	(S)		12.0			
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		56.6%	<b> </b>	<u>CU Lev</u>	el of Ser	vice	· · · ·	В	<u></u>		х.
Analysis Period (min)			15						· · ····			
c Critical Lane Group			· .									

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

	arread the		S.	Star and a star		A CONTRACTOR	and the second s	Å	1 and the second	and the second	ļ	all and the second
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	S NBL *	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	er der førde	None	Max	
Walk Time (s)		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	•	10.0			10.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	4	15.0	15.0	승규는 것이 같이 많이	15.0	15.0	1.1	12.0			12.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		4	4		2	2		0			1	
Act Effct Green (s)	24.1	17.9	17.9	25.6	22.2	22.2	8.3	24.1		23.8	41.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.20	0.20	0.29	0.25	0.25	0.09	0.27		0.27	0.48	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.66	0.08	0.59	0.48	0:32	0.40	0.25		0.86	0.39	·
Control Delay	21.6	41.2	10.3	32.6	33.2	6.1	47.1	23.4		51.5	17.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.6	41.2	10.3	32.6	33.2	6.1	47.1	23.4		51.5	17.0	
LOS	C	. D	В	С	С	Ā	D	C		D	В	
Approach Delay		35.8			24.5			28.3			30.4	
Approach LOS		D			С			С			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	14	123	0	75	108	0	32	45		209	115	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	30	171	17	119	165	41	72	78		#393	177	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		34			678			419			406	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		· · · · · ·	an a	300		.200	350			160		
Base Capacity (vph)	297	535	572	304	556	660	165	912		467	1608	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	· · · 0	0	e se tos	0	· 0 <sup>,</sup>	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	. • j <b>0</b>	0	·. 0.	0 . 171	· 0.	0.	0	0		· • 0	0	n sheet
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.12	0.45	0.05	0.59	0.38	0.27	0.37	0.25		0.85	0.39	
Intersection Summary			S. S. S. S					: 4. (SE				
Area Type: O	ther											
Cycle Length: 100	ata it		5.1		îs		1. 1. A.		et des de			
Actuated Cycle Length: 8	37.8											
Natural Cycle: 90	i en la segui		1.1.1.1						an en ser	anti, a	<u>_</u> 4	
Control Type: Actuated-L	Incoor	dinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86	i se			·	1777 a (j. s	an da si		: + <u>)</u>				
Intersection Signal Delay	: 29.3			l	nterseci	tion LOS	S: C					
Intersection Capacity Util	lization	56.6%		1997 - 19 <b>1</b> 0	CU Lev	el of Sei	vice B					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volum	ne exce	eds cap	pacity, q	lnene w	iay be k	onger.			per diri	1. 1. j.		

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

<b>№</b> ø1	<b>≜</b> ∎ @2	<b>∳</b> @3	
28 \$ 10 \$ 10 \$	28.5	103	34 s
≪ <b>1</b> ø5 🕨 ø6		<b>•</b> 07	<b>4</b> ∕ 08
13 s 🛛 🖌 🖌 43 s		105 🖉	34.5.4

JN1361				
92: Marginal Way	&	Preble	St.	Ext

	anna	anna affigs	Ŵ	and the second	4	A. C.	atter a	Å	1 <sup>80</sup>	, Mar	Ŷ	af a constant of the second se
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR.	. WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL .	<u>NBT</u>	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	×.	ŕ	r	×.	<b>A</b>	14	ሻ	朴		×	<b>≜</b> ₿	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.98	14 m 11 1
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	1776	1830	1694	1766	1708	1656	3248		1711	3352	
Fit Permitted	0.43	1.00	1.00	0.39	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	787	1776	1830	690	1766	1708	1656	3248		1711	3352	
Volume (vph)	29	186	24	153	231	175	52	156	39	321	473	69
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0,85	0.87	0.87	0.87
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	232	30	180	272	206	61	184	46	369	544	79
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	· · 0.1	23	i, ing <b>0</b>	0	153	· · · 0	21	0	: <b>O</b>	10	· 0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	232	7	180	272	53	61	209	0	369	613	.0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	7%	0%	3%	4%	4%	9%	7%	11%	2%	2%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Prot			Prot		
Protected Phases	- 7	4		3	· . · 8.		5	2	- 1.	. 1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Actuated Green, G (s)	22.9	20.1	20.1	27.5	22.4	22.4	6.0	24.5	ъ	21.4	39.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	24.9	21.1	21.1	29.5	23.4	23.4	7.0	25.5		22.4	40.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.27	0.23	0.23	0.32	0.26	0.26	0.08	0.28	• •	0.25	0.45	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	- <b>3.0</b> ,	S. 3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	8 G. C
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	255	411	424	291	454	439	127	909		421	1505	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.13	••••	c0.04	0.15		0.04	0.06		c0.22	c0.18	. <sup>1</sup>
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03		0.00	c0.16		0.03						
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.56	0.02	0.62	0.60	0.12	0.48	0.23		0.88	0.41	÷
Uniform Delay, d1	24.8	30.9	27.0	25.0	29.7	26.0	40.3	25.2		33.0	16.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	6.65 A.	1.00	1.00	• •
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	1.8	0.0	3.9	2.1	0.1	2.8	0.6		18.1	0.8	
Delay (s)	25.0	32.7	27.0	28.9	31.9	26.1	43.2	25.8		51.1	17.7	
Level of Service	С	С	С	С	С	С	D	С		D	B	
Approach Delay (s)	· · ·	31.2	(n,1) = (n,1)		29.2	· ·		29.5			30.2	· ·
Approach LOS		С			C			C			С	
Intersection Summary					P.C.A					50K (P.)		
HCM Average Control D	elay		29.9	F	HCM Le	vel of Se	ervice		С			
HCM Volume to Capacit	ty ratio		0.62			${\cal A}_{1} \stackrel{\rm def}{=} {\cal A}_{1}$	ran Article		. <sup>1</sup> .		· .	· · · ·
Actuated Cycle Length (	s)		91.1		Sum of I	lost time	(s)		12.0			
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	, n	54.9%	· [	CU Lev	el of Sei	rvice	· .	A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group		· ·.		· ·	· · ·	:						۰.

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Postdevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

JN1	361					
92:	Marginal	Way	&	Preble	Sť.	Ext.

	Å		Solution and the second	¢.		٩.,	A CANA	Î	p	17. N.	*	- Contraction
Lane Group.	< EBL?	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR.	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	Å	7	×	ķ	Ţ.	197. 197	<b>A</b> 15		¥	<b>4</b> 1.	
Ideal Flow (vphpi)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	: 1900 <sup>°</sup> .	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Grade (%)	•	: 0%	1.1.1.1.1		0%			0%	·		0%	•
Storage Length (ft)	0		Ö	300		200	350		0	160		0
Storage Lanes	1	•. •.	1	: <b></b>		1	1		Ō	1	· .	0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Leading Detector (ft)	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	• .	50	50	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	Ũ	0	0	0	0		0	0	<b>-.</b>
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15	· . · ·	. 9	.15	· . ·	9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	· .	111 117		a, att	1. (H 22)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · .		
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.970			0.981	·
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950	1.11		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	1776	1830	1694	1766	1708	1656	3248	0	1711	3352	0
Flt Permitted	0.425			0.484	A. S.	er Pari	0.950	7.61		0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	776	1776	1830	863	1766	1708	1656	3248	0	1711	3352	0
Right Turn on Red		<u>.</u>	Yes	e di sede	1.12	Yes	ter al		Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			30			206		29			19	
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.04	1.04	0.88	1.00	1.00	0.96	1.04	1.04	1.00
Link Speed (mph)		35			35			30			35	
Link Distance (ft)	• •	114	1		758	2. 1. A. A. A. A.		499	- X		486	· · .
Travel Time (s)		2.2	·····		14.8			11.3			9.5	:
Volume (vph)	29	186	24	153	231	175	52	156	39	321	473	69
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		·										
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	·						·····	· · ·	· .	· .	1 : tr	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.87
Growth Factor	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	7%	0%	3%	4%	4%	9%	7%	11%	2%	2%	3%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	G
Parking (#/hr)												
Mid-Block Traffic (%)	×	0%	·•,		0%			0%			0%	
Adi, Flow (vph)	36	232	30	180	272	206	61	184	46	369	544	79
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	232	30	180	272	206	61	230	0	369	623	0
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Prot			Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	· · · ·					<u> </u>
Detector Phases	7		4	3	8	8	5	2		. 1	6	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	34.0	34.0	9.0	34.0	34.0	9.0	28.0	<u>,</u> ,	9.0	35.0	
Total Split (s)	10.0	34.0	34.0	10.0	34.0	34.0	13.0	28.0	0.0	28.0	43.0	0.0
Total Split (%)	10.0%	34.0%	34.0%	10.0%	34.0%	34.0%	13.0%	28.0%	0.0%	28.0%	43.0%	0.0%
Maximum Green (s)	5.0	29.0	29.0	5.0	29.0	29.0	8.0	23.0		23.0	38.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	ī
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lad	Lao	Lead	Lao	Lao	Lead	Lao		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes	Yes	<u> </u>
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	·········	.3.0	3.0	· ]
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	i

....

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Postdevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

JN1361				
92: Marginal	Wav &	Preble	St.	Ext

	and the second	oreacu fign	~~~	-	4	<b>A</b> .,		40 Mariana	, der	5	ļ	-All Carlos
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL-	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	e karan
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ó.O		0.0	0.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	e frances	None	Max	1.1.1
Walk Time (s)		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0			10.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	21 421	15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	i e e Celo	12.0			12.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	•	4	4		2	2		0			1	
Act Effct Green (s)	25.1	18.9	18.9	26.8	23.4	23.4	8.3	24.2	·.	22.4	40.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.27	0.22	0.22	0.31	0.27	0.27	0.09	0.28		0.26	0.47	
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.61	0.07	0.56	0.58	0.34	0.40	0.25		0.84	0.40	
Control Delay	21.2	38.0	9.9	30.7	34.8	5.7	48.2	24.2		51.2	17.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	e e 0.0°	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	• •
Total Delay	21.2	38.0	9.9	30.7	34.8	5.7	48.2	24.2		51.2	17.9	
LOS	C	D	A	· · · · C ·	C C	A	D	С		Ď	В	
Approach Delay		33.1			24.6			29.2			30.3	
Approach LOS		C	ser estas		C	ana ang Nangangkana		С	· · ·		С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	14	119	0	76	143	0	33	46		195	121	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	30	164	17	119	209	42	73	81	199 - P	#369	187	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		34			678			419			406	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		n de seus Sent de s		300		200	350		÷ .• ·	160	· .	
Base Capacity (vph)	275	542	579	320	564	686	165	918		462	1571	
Starvation Cap Reductn	: <b>0</b> :-	<b>0</b> -	0	: • • • <b>0</b> ″	0	0	19 a 1 <b>0</b> a	0	· · ·	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	· · · 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>.</b> 0	· · · ·	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.05	0.56	0.48	0.30	0.37	0.25		0.80	0.40	
Intersection Summary						n na sei e						
Area Type: C	)ther											
Cycle Length: 100					. ••		· . · .					•
Actuated Cycle Length:	87.8											
Natural Cycle: 90	n de la composition Notas de la composition	: 	n na Start Start Star			11.5		i <sup>.</sup>		÷.,		
Control Type: Actuated-	Uncoor	dinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84	4 • • • • •					i de la come			 	. • •		÷
Intersection Signal Delay	y: 28.9			I	ntersec	tion LOS	S: C					
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	54.9%	6	i di <b>l</b>	CU Lev	el of Sei	vice A	÷.				1 (J.)
Analysis Period (min) 15	i											
# 95th percentile volur	ne exce	eds ca	pacity, o	queue n	nay be l	onger.	tas i s	6 (1) 1	<b></b> .	100 A.S.		
Queue shown is max	imum a	after two	o cycles		·							

Splits and Phases: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

v ∞1	a 02	<b>∳</b> ø3	 ₩ <b>0</b> 4
28 \$	285 285 285 285	10.86%	34 54 54 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
<b>4</b> ø5	ac ac	e7	ag ∲ α8
1238882 8	43 states and a state of the second states and the	10 30	34 \$

.

-,-----

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

9/11/2006

	and the second		Na	and the second	*ZŽanan			Å	J.	4	ţ.	all and the
Movement		EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Ň	*	ř	٣	4	Ĩ	¥۳.	朴庐		<b>*</b>	朴诤	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt de la service de la	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1,00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1759	1752	3453		1728	3285	
Flt Permitted	0.31	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	573	1863	1830	591	1766	1759	1752	3453		1728	3285	
Volume (vph)	113	231	19	61	264	432	212	628	184	421	250	134
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	124	254	21	62	267	436	247	730	214	458	272	146
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	17	0	0	347	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	23	0	0	- 59	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	124	254	4	62	267	89	247	921	0	458	359	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	0%	4%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Prot			Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2 (a <b>2</b> )		i 1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Actuated Green, G (s)	22.3	19.3	19.3	22.3	19.3	19.3	18.1	28.4		28.8	39.1	1.11
Effective Green, g (s)	24.3	20.3	20.3	24.3	20.3	20.3	19.1	29.4		29.8	40.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.30	Venini destri Venini	0.30	0.40	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	10	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	188	380	373	188	360	359	336	1020		518	1324	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.03	0:14	1.191.19	0.01	c0.15	· ···	0.14	c0.27		c0.27	0.11	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.13		0.00	0.07		0.05						
v/c Ratio	0.66	0.67	0.01	0.33	0.74	0.25	0.74	0.90		0.88	0.27	
Uniform Delay, d1	33.7	36.5	31.6	29.9	37.1	33.2	37.8	33.7		33.2	19.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	·	1.00	1.00	•
Incremental Delay, d2	8.1	4.4	0.0	1.0	8.0	0.4	8.1	12.7		16.3	0.5	
Delay (s)	41.8	40.9	31.6	31.0	45.1	33.6	45.9	46.4		49.5	20.4	· ·
Level of Service	D	D	C	С	Ď	С	D	D		D	С	
Approach Delay (s)		40.7	1. T. K.		37.4			46.3	· ·		35:6	•
Approach LOS		D			D			D			D	
Intersection Summary			ander Tradit (Kris Spanner Verse Spanner Verse					a te				
HCM Average Control D	Pelay		40.6	ł	HCM Le	vel of S	ervice		D			
HCM Volume to Capacil	ty ratio		0.85				an shi sa		na anala	an n'		• •
Actuated Cycle Length (	(s)		99.5		Sum of	lost time	e (s)		16.0			
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	$   \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{i}   = 1$	80.0%		CU Lev	el of Se	rvice		D		s 1.	
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group	· ·	nter Antonio de Conte	an a		za sjele st	a a chaile an			· ·			

JN1	361					
92:	Marginal	Wav	&	Preble	St.	Ext

9/11/2006

	- and the		Second	1		<b>A</b>	*	Ť	A			-Read
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٣	Ą.	ř	ĥ	Ą	ř	¥	<b>牛</b> 搻		۴	朴ら	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Grade (%)	, Second	0%			0%			0%	nie prie		0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	300		200	350		0	160		0
Storage Lanes	1	9	1	1		1	<b>1</b>		0	1		0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Leading Detector (ft)	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50		50	50	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	)
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15	11:10	9	15	. '	· 9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor							· · · · .					
Frt			0.850	,		0.850		0.966	<u></u>		0.948	
Fit Protected	0.950		· .	0.950			0.950			0.950	· ·	
Satd, Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1759	1752	3453	0	1728	3287	0
Flt Permitted	0.352			0.375		<u></u>	0.950			0.950		· ]
Satd. Flow (perm)	656	1863	1830	662	1766	1759	1752	3453	0	1728	3287	
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes	- in in in in it.		Yes			Yes
Sate Flow (RTOR)		· :	21	· · · · · ·	<u></u>	436	<u> </u>	33			99	
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	0.85	1 04	1.04	0.88	1.00	1 00	0.96	1 04	1 04	1 00
Link Speed (mph)		35	0.00	1.01.	35	0.00		30	0.00		35	
Link Distance (fft)	at in the	114	<u>, 1987 i</u>		758		· · .	499	a na tut	and and	486	
Travel Time (s)		22	·		14.8	··	·	11.3	· · · ·		9.5	
Volume (voh)	113	231	19	61	264	432	212	628	184	421	250	134
Confl Peds (#/hr)											200	
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				y tana di ay	va tiku ta							<u></u>
Peak Hour Eactor	0.91	<u>n 91</u>	0.91	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.92	0.92	0.92
Growth Factor	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	0%	4%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	<u>- 70</u>	0	<u> </u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Parking (#/hr)			. 5			<u> </u>						· • •;
Mid-Block Traffic (%)		0%		n ingri in	0%			0%	1		0%	
Adi Elow (vph)	124	254	21	62	267	436	247	7.30	214	458	272	146
Lane Group Flow (vph)	124	254	21	62	267	436	247	944	0	458	418	<u></u>
Turn Type	nm+nt		Perm	nm+nt	201	Perm	Prot		••••••	Prot		. 0
Protected Phases	<u>pinipi</u> 7	4		3	8		5	2		1 100	6	
Permitted Phases	, 		<u> </u>	8		8	······································	<b>A</b>		·		
Detector Phases	7	74	<u>_</u>	3	8		5	2	· · · · ·	1	6	]
Minimum Initial (s)	40	40	40	<u> </u>	40	4.0	4 0	40		4 0	40	· ·
Minimum Solit (s)	<u>v</u> an	30.0	··· 30.0	<u> </u>	20.0		- 9.0	30.0		 0 / 1	35.0	
Total Split (s)	<u> </u>	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	20.0	32.0	0.0	30.0	42.0	<u> </u>
Total Split (%)	8.0	27.2%	27 2%	8.2%	27.3%	27 2%	28.0	20 10	0.0	35.5%	29.20%	0.0
Maximum Green (c)	0.2.70	27.570	27.370	<u> </u>	<u>21.370</u>	21.370	20.470	23.170	0.076	34.0	30:270	0.070
Vollow Time (a)	- 20	20.0	20.0	20	20.0	20.0	27.0	27.0		20	20	
All Red Time (s)	- <u>.</u> .	3.0	3.0	<u>3.0</u> 2.0	<u>3.0</u> 2.0	0.0 0 C	3.0 2.0	3.U 2 A		3.U 2.0	20	
Airreu Hille (S)	2.0	2.0	2.U	2.0	Z.U	2.0	2.0	2.0	e en ante ante ante ante ante ante ante	2.0	2.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	<u> </u>		v	Lag V		.∷.raĝ V∽∽	······	- Fead	Lag Var	
Lead-Lag Oplimize?	res	105	105	18	res	res	185	185	-	res	res	]
Venicle Extension (S)	3.0	3,0	3.0	<u> </u>	3.0	<u> </u>	3.0	3.0		3.0	<u> </u>	
minimum Gap (s)	3.0	ა.ს	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.U	3.0		3.0	3.0	

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Predevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

361
361

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

	and the	**************************************		¢	i Agamaan		atter and	Å	P	1		Å
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	- WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1.1	0.0	0.0	
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	이 가 있었는	None	Max	
Walk Time (s)		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0			10.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	· · · .	15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0		15.0	· · · · ·		15.0	· . · ·
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		4	4		2	2		0			1	
Act Effct Green (s)	24.1	20.3	20.3	24.1	20.3	20.3	19.1	29.4		29.9	40.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.30		0.30	0.41	
v/c Ratio	0.58	0.66	0.05	0.30	0.74	0.62	0.73	0.90		0.88	0.30	•
Control Delay	41.1	46.1	13.6	30.8	50.5	7.6	51.5	47.3		52.4	17.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0		0.0	0.0	·
Total Delay	41.1	46.1	13.6	30.8	50.5	7.6	51.5	47.3		52.4	17.9	
LOS	Ď	D	В	С	o D	A	. D.	D		D	: - B	(1, 2, 2, 2, 2)
Approach Delay		42.8			24.4			48.2			35.9	
Approach LOS		- D			ି 🕻		e et la plata. Est	D			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	64	159	0	31	171	0	159	~342		286	76	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	112	245	21	63	261	81	232	#463		#469	127	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		34			678			419			406	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		·		300		200	350			160		· .
Base Capacity (vph)	214	470	478	210	445	770	425	1054		589	1396	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	11 <b>0</b>	0	<b>O</b> -	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	20 N 0	0		- ,: <sup>1</sup> − <b>0</b> ."	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.58	0.54	0.04	0.30	0.60	0.57	0.58	0.90		0.78	0.30	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: C	ther											
Cycle Length: 110	e sestere -	· · .	49 <sup>2</sup>				and the second	· .		·. · .		
Actuated Cycle Length: !	38.7											
Natural Cycle: 95							2					
Control Type: Actuated-	Uncoor	dinated				·						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90	)	to the state	e di ser				i i se i se i	1.1.1.1				
Intersection Signal Delay	1: 38.6			<b>.</b> .	ntersec	tion LOS	): D					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.0% and a second ICU Level of Service D												
Analysis Period (min) 15												
<ul> <li>Volume exceeds cap</li> </ul>	pacity, «	queue is	theore	tically in	finite.		ng Bor Balan Article			•		
Queue shown is max	imum a	atter two	cycles.		and a second			, ,				
# 95th percentile volur	ne exce	eds cap	pacity, c	luene u	ay be li	onger.		S		·		
Queue shown is max	imum a	after two	cycles.									

Splits and Phases: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.



T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Predevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

JN1361					
92: Marginal	Wav	&	Preble	St.	Ext

	and the second	11 <b>2</b> 300		<b>F</b>		and the second s	-	Î	P	i kanggar	Ļ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT.	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٣	f	ř	ЯК.	Ą	ř	ሻ	飰		Ň	朴Ъ	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900 ·	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	· · · · ·
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt. Barnet at the second	1.00	1.00	0.85	_1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1759	1752	3452	<u></u>	1728	3285	
Flt Permitted	0.29	1.00	1.00	0.28	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	543	1863	1830	497	1766	1759	1752	3452	·····	1728	3285	
Volume (vph)	113	280	19	60	279	425	212	628	186	438	250	134
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	124	308	21	61	282	429	247	730	216	476	272	146
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	: . <b>0</b>	16	0	0	335	0	25	0	0	61	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	124	308	5	61	282	94	247	921	0	476	357	. 0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	0%	4%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	:0%
Turn Type	om+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Prot			Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		<b>3</b>	<b>.</b>		5	2	an an	- 1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Actuated Green, G (s)	26.6	22.6	22.6	24.8	21.7	21.7	18.6	27.3		30.3	39.0	· · · · ·
Effective Green, g (s)	28.6	23.6	23.6	26.8	22.7	22.7	19.6	28.3		31.3	40.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.28	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.27		0.30	0.39	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	·
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	210	426	418	176	388	387	332	946		524	1272	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.03	c0.17		0.01	0.16		0.14	c0.27		c0.28	0.11	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.14		0.00	0.08		0.05						
v/c Ratio	0.59	0.72	0.01	0.35	0.73	0.24	0.74	0.97	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.91	0.28	
Uniform Delay, d1	30.9	36.8	30.8	30.1	37.4	33.2	39.5	37.1		34.6	21.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1,00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	: ]
Incremental Delay, d2	44	6.0	0.0	1.2	6.7	0.3	8.7	23.6		19.4	0.6	
Delay (s)	35.3	42.8	30.8	31.3	44.1	33.6	48.2	60.7		54.1	22.3	
Level of Service	D	D	С	С	D	С	D	E		D	С	
Approach Delay (s)		40.2			37.2	n da serie Rise de la composición		58.1			39.2	
Approach LOS		D			D			Ē			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control D	elay		45.7	ł	ICM Le	vel of Se	ervice		D			
HCM Volume to Capacit	y ratio	·	0.83	78 78			(名法や	i and a state of the	1			
Actuated Cycle Length (s	s)		103.3	9	Sum of I	ost time	(s)		12.0			
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		81,8%		CU Lev	el of Ser	vice	la de c	en de la <b>D</b> e	1.1	1 N. A. A.	
Analysis Period (min)			15	· · ·								
c Critical Lane Group		· .	· · · ·	· · ·				an an	1.1.1		· . ·	

.

JN1361 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

	and the second	mnotige	, M	<b>F</b>	4	×.	and the second s	1	P	a a grant a construction of the second se		-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<b>%</b>	Å	<u></u>	<b>%</b>	<u>*</u>	nanonan M	<u>eccesciana</u> K	<b>ቅ</b> ኬ		×.	<b>ቆ</b> ሴ	1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 199
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Grade (%)	na j p	0%			0%			0%			0%	· · ·
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	300		200	350	. 474	0	160		0
Storage Lanes				<u></u>		 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ें 1	· · · .	Ő
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	40	4 0	4.0	40	40	40
Leading Detector (ft)	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50		50	50	
Trailing Detector (ft)	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		<u> </u>	15		<u> </u>	15		g
Lane Util, Factor	1 00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1 00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor					<u></u>	n di bergi						
Frt		·····	0.850			0.850		0.966			0.948	;
Flt Protected	0.950		0.000	0.950		0.000	0.950		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.950		·. ·
Satid Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1750	1752	3453	0	1728	3287	0
Fit Permitted	0 325	1000	1000	0.270		1700	0.950	0-00		0.950	0207	
Sate Flow (porm)	605	1863	1830	10213	1766	1750	1750	2452	<u>^</u>	1728	2727	
Right Turn on Red		1000	Vee	433		Vee	11.02	3400	Vee	1720	52.01	Voe
Sate Flow (RTOR)	····		21			103		3/	1.03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		100
Badway Easter	1.00	1:00	0.25	- 1:04	1 04	429 0 0 99	0.0		0.06	1 04	99	1.00
Link Speed (mpb)	· 1.00	1.00	0,00.	20 <b>91-04</b> 2	25	0.00	1.00	20	0.90	1.04	1.04	1.00
Link Opeeu (mpn)		144		George -	30	ala tengen di ka	an dan dan d	00				
	:	- (14) 			14.0		a shire e si	455			400	<u>.</u>
Volume (unh)	113	2.2	10.	60	270	125	010	6.628	186	128	3,J 250	124
Confl Rods (#/br)	<u>, 110</u>	200	13	00	Z10	420	on ( <b>2 12</b> 00	020	0.5 j <b>100</b> ,	400	200	1.04
Confl. Pikos (#/hr)		· · · ·			An face of the	a taga	11	de la com	·	•		
Dook Hour Easter	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	000	0 00	<u> 28 N</u>	<u> </u>	0.86	0.02	0.02	0.02
Crowth Easter	0.91	10.91	10.91	1000	10.99	1000/	10.00	4000/	1000/	0.92	10.92	1009/
Hogy (Vobialog (%)	0070	00/70	0076	100 70	A 0/	100.70	20/	10070	100.70	100.70	100.70	100%
Rup Ricekogoo (#/br)	Z 70	2.70	078	-470	4 70	1.70	376 S. S. S	1 70	170	. 0	+ 70	070
Dus blockayes (#/iii)	. 0.	U	0	U.	0	. U	U	···· (0)	0	. 0	0	U
Mid Block Troffic (94)		· 00/-	·			· · . · 11					· 00/	··
Mid-Block Hamic (76)	400	200	24	61	1070	420	247	720	046	470	070	140
Auj. Mow (vpn)	124	300	21	01	202	429	241	100	210	4/0	ZIZ *40	140
Lane Group Flow (vph)	124	. 300	Darea	01	202	429	241	940	U	4/0 Drot	410	· . · V
Turn Type	pm+pt	· 8	Penn	pm+pt		Perm	PIOL			P101		
Protected Phases	<u> </u>			<u>_</u>	· 0.	0	<b></b>	<u> </u>			0	
Permitted Phases	4	· A	4	<u>0</u>		ö	C					
Detector Phases	- 1	4	4	3	0	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			6	<u>e 1</u>
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4,0		4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	26.0	26.0	9:0	26.0	20.0	<u>:, 9,0</u>	30.0		9.0	35.0	·
Total Split (s)	9.0	30.0	30.0	9.0	30.0	30.0	29.0	32.0	0.0	39.0	42.0	0.0
l otal Split (%)	8.2%	27.3%	27.3%	8.2%	27.3%	27.3%	26.4%	29.1%	0.0%	35.5%	38.2%	0.0%
Maximum Green (s)	4.0	25.0	25.0	4.0	25.0	25.0	24.0	27.0	<u> </u>	34.0	37.0	
rellow Lime (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	<u> </u>	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	<b>,</b>
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Postdevelopment\_09-08-06.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

	- Alexand									
Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT	SBR									
Time Before Reduce (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.										
Time To Reduce (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	•									
Recall Mode Mone None None None None None None Max	9 y.									
Walk Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 10.0										
Flash Dont Walk (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0										
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) 4 4 2 2 0 1										
Act Effct Green (s) 27.5 23.6 23.6 26.5 21.4 21.4 19.7 28.3 31.3 40.0	3									
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.27 0.23 0.23 0.25 0.21 0.21 0.19 0.28 0.31 0.39										
v/c.Ratio 0.56 0.72 0.05 0.33 0.76 0.61 0.73 0.97 0.97 0.90 0.90 0.31										
Control Delay 40.1 48.1 13.5 32.2 52.7 7.4 52.8 58.7 56.0 18.4										
Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.										
Total Delay 40.1 48.1 13.5 32.2 52.7 7.4 52.8 58.7 56.0 18.4										
LOS – 1911 – Beneral Desse (D) milj (B. (Brigg), Cisi in (D) in (A) Administrating Erick and Ericky B										
Approach Delay 44.3 25.9 57.5 38.4										
Approach LOS all state for the lot of Draces (2012) and 202 Creater for Section Early (2012) and a section of Drace	`÷									
Queue Length 50th (ft) 64 200 0 30 182 0 161 ~360 306 77										
Queue Length 95th (#) 112 299 21 63 277 80 232 #464 464 #498 127										
Internal Link Dist (ft) 34 678 419 406										
Turn Bay Length (ft)										
Base Capacity (vph) 220 466 474 183 432 754 409 980 573 1345										
Starvation Cap Reduction 0 0.1 and 0 1.4 0 and 0 1.4 0 and 0 1.4 0 and	111									
Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										
Storage Cap Reduction 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.56 0.66 0.04 0.33 0.65 0.57 0.60 0.97 0.83 0.31										
Intersection Summary										
Area Type: Other										
Cycle Length: 110										
Actuated Cycle Length: 102.3										
Natural Cycle: 90 segments and an anti-segment set of selection of the second										
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated										
Maximum VC. Ratio: U.97. and the prevent of the entropy and the entropy and the prevent of the entropy and the										
Intersection Signal Delay: 43.2 Intersection LOS: D										
Analysis Daried (min) 15										
Adaiysis Feriou (min) to										
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.	• •									
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.										

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.



JN1	361					
92:	Marginal	Way	&	Preble	St.	Ext.

	and the		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Frank	-68g	S. Contraction	and the second s		, Aller	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Ļ	- Bear
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	//NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<b>8</b>	Ą	7	ħ	ŕ	ř	ሻ	作序		ή	412	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		0.91	0.91	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	in 1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.98	ere j
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	1776	1830	1694	1766	1708	1656	3244		1557	3202	
FIt Permitted	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.34	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	911	1776	1830	615	1766	1708	1656	3244	Heren in the	1557	3202	
Volume (vph)	29	191	24	152	182	153	52	156	41	346	473	69
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.87
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	239	30	179	214	180	61	184	48	398	544	79
RTOR Reduction (vph)	·· 0	0	24	0	. 1	138	0	21	0	0	- 8	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	239	6	179	214	42	61	211	0	331	682	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	7%	0%	3%	4%	4%	9%		11%	2%	2%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Split	·····	******	Split		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	Saud 8	'	2	. 2	2 <sup>1</sup> 2	6	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Actuated Green, G (s)	23.3	20.4	20.4	27.5	22.5	22.5	25.1	25.1		30.1	30.1	
Effective Green, g (s)	25.3	21.4	21.4	29.5	23.5	23.5	26.1	26.1		31.1	31.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.21	0.21	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.26		0.31	0.31	et et en
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	en e	3.0	· , ·3.0	પ્રાયત્વના
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	261	378	389	245	413	399	430	842		481	990	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.13	÷	c0.04	0.12		0.04	c0.07		0.21	c0.21	:
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03		0.00	c0.17		0.02						·
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.63	0.02	0.73	0.52	0.11	0.14	0.25	and the second	0.69	0.69	tin bilin.
Uniform Delay, d1	28.9	36.0	31.3	31.3	33.6	30.3	28.6	29.5		30.5	30.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	3.4	0.0	10.7	1.1	0.1	0.7	0.7		7.8	3.9	
Delay (s)	29.1	39.5	31.3	42.0	34.7	30.4	29.3	-30.2		38.3	34.4	• •
Level of Service	C	D	С	Ď	С	С	С	С		D	С	
Approach Delay (s)		37.4	1997 - 1999 - 1999 - 1997 - 19		35.6	- 1		30.0	н те.,	ing al	35.7	
Approach LOS		D			D			Ċ			D	
Intersection Summary												635763
HCM Average Control D	elay		35.2		HCM Le	vel of S	ervice		D			
HCM Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.59		an an Mirat	· · ·		:		·	1	
Actuated Cycle Length (	s)		100.6		Sum of	lost time	e (s)	•	16.0	••		
Intersection Capacity Ut	lization		54.3%		ICU Lev	el of Se	rvice		А	: •		÷.,
Analysis Period (min)			15			···						
c Critical Lane Group			· · ·									

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Predevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

	-A		and the second sec	an a	digaaaa	Å.,	-	1	P	s.	-	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Ϋ́	Å	ø	<u>**</u>	Å	ř	ሻ	<b>Å</b> b		ች	វាង	
Ideal Flow (volupi)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Grade (%)		0%		The second second	0%			.0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	300		200	350		0	160		0
Storage Lanes	1			1			1	·	0	1		Ō
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Leading Detector (ft)	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50.		50	50	·
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Turning Speed (mph)	15	· · · · ·	9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	· · · ·											
Frt			0.850			0.850	<u>.</u> `	0.969	••		0.983	J
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			.0.950		· .	0.950	0.995	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	1776	1830	1694	1766	1708	1656	3244	0	1557	3202	
Flt Permitted	0:490			0.451			0.950		· .	0.950	0.995	
Satd Flow (perm)	895	1776	1830	804	1766	1708	1656	3244	0	1557	3202	
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes		0202	Yes
Sate Flow (RTOR)			30			180		28			12	
Headway Factor	1 00	1.00	0.85	1 04	1 04	0.88	00 t	1 00	0.96	1 04	1 04	1.00
Link Speed (mph)		35			35	. 0.00		30	0.00	1.01	35	
Link Distance (ff)		114			758			499			486	
Travel Time (s)		22			14.8			11.3			9.5	·]
Volume (vph)	29	191	24	152		153	52	156	41	346	473	69
Confl Peds (#/hr)									,,			
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · ·			. :		
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.87
Growth Factor	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	7%	0%	3%	4%	4%	9%	7%	11%	2%	2%	3%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	0	÷ 0	. : 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parking (#/hr)		-	<u> </u>		• •	. •		. 4	Ť.	·····		
Mid-Block Traffic (%)		0%	e ne sta	••••••	0%		tagi na si	0%			0%	
Adi, Flow (vph)	36	239	30	179	214	180	61	184	48	398	544	79
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	239	30	179	214	180	61	232	0	331	690	<u> </u>
	to+ma		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Solit			Split		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		2	. 2	· .	6	6	
Permitted Phases	4	· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	8							-	
Detector Phases	7	4	4	3	8	8	2	2	· · ·	6	6	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4 0		4 0	4.0	<u></u>
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	34.0	34.0	9.0	34.0	34.0	. 27.0	27.0	······································	35.0	35.0	
Total Split (s)	10.0	35.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	35.0	35.0	<u> </u>
Total Split (%)	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%	27.3%	27.3%	0.0%	31.8%	31.8%	0.0%
Maximum Green (s)	5.0	30.0	30.0	5.0	30.0	30.0	25.0	25.0	0.070	30.0	30.0	0.073
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	30	3.0	·	310	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2 0	20	20	2 0	2.0		2.0	2.0	ز
lead/Lag	l ead	l an	an	l ead	2.0	1.20		2.0	• •			<u> </u>
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yee	Yes	Yee	Yee	Yee	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>`.</u>			]
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3 0	30	3.0	30	3.0		3.0	3.0	
		0.0				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>, v.</u> v	<u></u>				

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Predevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

	and the second			Sec. and	an a	All and a second	-	1	fter.	No. of the second s	Ļ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· <sup>2</sup>	0.0	0.0	···.
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max		Max	Max	
Walk Time (s)		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	12.0	12.0		12.0	12.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		4	4		2	2	0	0		1	1	
Act Effct Green (s)	25.4	19.3	19.3	27.0	23.5	23.5	26.1	26.1		31.1	31.1	es. Second
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.26		0.32	0.32	
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.69	0.08	0.65	0.51	0.33	0.14	0.26		0.67	0.68	
Control Delay	25.7	47.3	11.4	40.9	38.3	6.6	30.5	26.9		38.5	33.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	n di të kon	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	25.7	47.3	11.4	40.9	38.3	6.6	30.5	26.9		38.5	33.6	
LOS	. C	D	В	D	D	A	С	С		D.	C C	
Approach Delay		41.2			29.2			27.7			35.2	
Approach LOS	. t. t	D	s di Pres	i e	C		÷	C	e .	•	D	••
Queue Length 50th (ft)	16	140	0	88	123	Ó	29	52		196	201	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	34	191	19	135	184	44	64	87		321	285	in the second
Internal Link Dist (ft)		34			678			419			406	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		· · · ·		300		200	350			160	1.2012	
Base Capacity (vph)	271	501	537	274	518	628	439	880		491	1019	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	1. je <b>0</b> .	· 0	0	0	1940 <b>(</b> )	0 1		Q	· 0	· .
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0		0	0	· · ·
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.13	0.48	0.06	0.65	0.41	0.29	0.14	0.26		0.67	0.68	
Intersection Summary										(SSA)	<u> Tress</u>	
Area Type: O	)ther											
Cycle Length: 110		· · ·	· · ·	n de l								an a
Actuated Cycle Length: 9	98.5											
Natural Cycle: 105		· · · .		•					14 C			· · ·
Control Type: Actuated-	Uncoor	dinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69	€		· · · .	1						· · · ·	· . · ·	•
Intersection Signal Delay	y: 33.4			I	ntersec	tion LOS	i: C					
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	54.3%			CU Lev	el of Ser	vice A					•
Analysis Period (min) 15	;								•			
•												

Splits and Phases: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.



JN 130
--------

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

9/11/2006

	and the second			\$		A.,		1	P	e a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	all and a second	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL.	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	Ť	ř	ň	ł	r	ň	朴ト		Ň	ብ î>	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		0.91	0.91	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.98	•
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	1776	_ <u>1830</u> _	1694	1766	1708	1656	3248		1557	3204	
Flt Permitted	0.39	1.00	1.00	0.37	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	721	1776	1830	665	1766	1708	1656	3248		1557	3204	
Volume (vph)	29	186	24	153	231	175	52	156	39	321	473	69
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.80:	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.87
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	232	30	180	272	206	61	184	46	369	544	79
RTOR Reduction (vph)	. 0	:; Û	23	0	0	156	0 L O -	: 19.	0	0	. 8	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	232	7	180	272	50	61	211	0	322	662	Ó
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	7%	0%	3%	4%	4%	9%	7%	11%	2%	2%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt	<u> </u>	Perm	Split			Split		
Protected Phases	7	4	an air an	. 3	8	Jage 1	at . 2.	2		6	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8			•			
Actuated Green, G (s)	24.8	21.9	21.9	29.0	24.0	24.0	25.1	25.1		30.1	30.1	
Effective Green, a (s)	26.8	22.9	22.9	31.0	25.0	25.0	26.1	26.1		31.1	31.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.30	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.26		0.30	0.30	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	ania <b>3.0</b> 1	3.0	3.0	· .	3.0	3.0	
Lane Gro Cap (vph)	228	398	410	262	432	418	423	830		474	976	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.13		C0 04	0.15		0.04	C0.06	5	c0.21	0.21	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04	0.10	0.00	c0 17	0.70	0.03	.00.1	00.00		00.21	J.27	
v/c Ratio	0.16	0.58	0.02	0.69	0.63	0.12	0.14	0.25	· .	0.68	0.68	e de la C
Uniform Delay, d1	28.6	35.3	30.8	30.8	34.4	30.0	29.4	30.2		31.1	31.1	
Progression Factor	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1 00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	22	0.0	7.3	29	0.1	0.7	0.7		76	3.8	
Delay (s)	29.0	37.5	30.8	38.1	37.3	36.1	30.1	31.0		38.8	34.9	
Level of Service	Č	D	с.	00.1 D	07.0 D	C	С.	С., С		00.0 D	СС	
Approach Delay (s)	0	35.8			35.3			··· 30 8		: .	36 2	
Approach LOS		D			D			C			D	
Intersection Summan/		1223-132										
HCM Average Control [	<u>nelav</u>	an a	35 1	<u>n wante waarde di</u>	HCM 1 a	vel of S	onvico	enter en	n <u>na serencia</u>	assaille an	00960306666652555	atta ana ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an
HCM Volume to Consol	ity ratio		0.57			veruru						
Actusted Cycle Longth	nyiana∪ (e∖		102.1	ć	Sum of	act time	· (e)		16.0			
Intersection Consolity 11	(3) filization		102.1 53.69/	C 1.	CHE		- (S) Dùon		10.0			
Analysis Period (min)	unzauon	-	15.070	···		ei ui de	INCO		. A,			
- Critical Long Group			15									
C Chacar Lane Group												

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Postdevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

JN1361					
92: Marginal	Way	8	Preble	St.	Ext.

. . . . . . . .

	R. Martin		and the second se	and the second sec	an a	-afferra	*	Ť	p	1. 		A.
Lane Group	EBL	© EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ħ	个	7	۴	个	7	ሻ	<b>4</b> 14		×	414	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Grade (%)		0%		· . ·	0%		•••	0%	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		0%	· · · · ·
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	300		200	350		0	160		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1	·	<b>1</b>	1	· · · ·	0	.1		0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Leading Detector (ft)	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50		50	50	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	Õ	0	0	Ö	0		0	0	
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15	- <u>-</u>	9	15	·	9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.95
Ped Bike Factor					•.	Sec. 1					/	1
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.970			0.982	
Fit Protected	0.950			0:950	1.112.21	Hara Maria	0.950	1.4 te la		0.950	0.997	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	1776	1830	1694	1766	1708	1656	3248	0	1557	3205	. 0
Fit Permitted	0.400		• • •	0.462			0.950		1.1	0.950	0.997	. : '
Satd, Flow (perm)	731	1776	1830	824	1766	1708	1656	3248	0	1557	3205	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes		· .	Yes	1. 1	•	Yes
Satd, Flow (RTOR)			30			206		26			12	
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.04	1.04	0.88	1.00	1.00	0.96	1.04	1.04	1.00
Link Speed (mph)		35			35			30			35	
Link Distance (ft)		114			758			499	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		486	· · · ·
Travel Time (s)		2.2	:		14.8			11.3			9.5	
Volume (vph)	29	186	24	: 153	231	175	52	156		321	473	69
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	.,	·										
Confi, Bikes (#/hr)			. 5 .		i nationale de la compañía de la com				1. 20 L	· 1, :		
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.87
Growth Factor	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	7%	0%	3%	4%	4%	9%	7%	11%	2%	2%	3%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	0	• 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parking (#/hr)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Mid-Block Traffic (%)		0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0%	<u> </u>	• • •	0%			0%	
Adi, Flow (vph)	36	232	30	180	272	206	61	184	46	369	544	79
ane Group Flow (vph)	36	232	30	180	272	206	61	230	0	322	670	0
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	ta+ma		Perm	Split	+	· •	Split		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	. 8		2	. 2		6	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		. 8	–			-		
Detector Phases	7	4	4	3	. 8	8	2.	2		6	6	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	35.0	35.0	9.0	35.0	35.0	29.0	29.0		35.0	35.0	
Total Split (s)	10.0	35.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	35.0	35.0	0.0
Total Split (%)	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%	91%	31.8%	31.8%	27.3%	27.3%	0.0%	31.8%	31.8%	0.0%
Maximum Green (s)	5.0	30.0	30.0	5.0	30.0	30.0	25.0	25.0	0.070	30.0	30.0	0.070
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	30	3.0	30	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		30	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	. ·	2.0	2.0	-
lead/Lag	   ead	2.0	2.5 1 ari	l ead	1 20	   an	2.0			<u> </u>		
Lead-Lag Ontimize?	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee						••
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	30	30	30	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	· .
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	•	3.0	3.0	

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_AM Postdevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

UIN IUU K	JN	11	36	1
-----------	----	----	----	---

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

	arreading			a construction of the second sec	เป็นการเอ	Alter and the second se	-	-	/*			-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBE	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	te ve goden	0.0	0.0	
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max		Max	Max	
Walk Time (s)		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0	· ·	15.0	15.0	12.0	12.0	: . ·	12.0	12.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		4	4		2	2	0	0		1	1	
Act Effct Green (s)	26.9	20.7	20.7	28.4	25.0	25.0	26.1	26.1		31.1	31.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.28	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.26		0.31	0.31	
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.63	0.07	0.63	0.62	0.35	0.14	0.26		0.66	0.67	
Control Delay	25.3	43.8	10.9	38.7	40.8	6.2	31.9	28.2		39.3	34.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	·
Total Delay	25.3	43.8	10.9	38.7	40.8	6.2	31.9	28.2		39.3	34.3	
LOS	С	D	B.	Ð	D	A	C.	Ċ		· · D	5 C	· .
Approach Delay		38.2			29.4			28.9			35.9	
Approach LOS		, D	é, s	·	С		·	С	1 - E	•	D	· · .
Queue Length 50th (ft)	16	135	0	88	163	0	30	54		196	201	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	34	183	19	134	232	45	66	91	1. 18 <sup>1</sup> 8	327	290	•
Internal Link Dist (ft)		34			678			419			406	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	• •			300	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	200	350	•		160		
Base Capacity (vph)	247	501	537	286	518	646	432	868		485	1006	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	· . 0	0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	1 O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		· · · 0	. 0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.15	0.46	0.06	0.63	0.53	0.32	0.14	0.26		0.66	0.67	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: C Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: Natural Cycle: 110 Control Type: Actuated-0	other 100 Uncoor	dinated					·.					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67	7		• •				•					
Intersection Signal Delay Intersection Capacity Uti Analysis Period (min) 15	7: 33.4 Ilization	53.6%			ntersec CU Lev	tion LOS el of Sen	: C vice A	· .	1	· .		

Splits and Phases: 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

<b>A</b> <sub>ø2</sub>	<b>↓</b> <sub>ø6</sub>		*	ø3		· ø4	
30 s	35 8	经投资的财产的	103	× 1	35 s		
				ø7		ø8	
	 		 10s	200 <b>-</b>	35 s		

JN1361					
92: Marginal	Way	&	Preble	St.	Ext.

\_ .....

	Marria Marrie		<b>*</b>	Harry Contract	-		-	Å	e alter	and the second sec		- Carton Carton
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	8	4	7	ĥ	Å	7	Ŗ	<b>4</b> 15		R	416	<u>aanaatta aatta aa</u>
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		0.91	0.91	J
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.96	· · ·
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1759	1752	3453		1572	3149	. ļ
Fit Permitted	0.30	1.00	1.00	0.37	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.99	
Satd. Flow (perm)	565	1863	1830	652	1766	1759	1752	3453	÷ .	1572	3149	
Volume (vph)	113	231	19	61	264	432	212	628	184	421	250	134
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.99	0,99	0.99	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	124	254	21	62	267	436	247	730	214	458	272	146
RTOR Reduction (vph)	· 0	: * • <b>0</b>	16	0	0	346	· · . 0	27	0	· 0	30	· 0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	124	254	5	62	267	90	247	917	0	286	560	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	0%	-4%	4%	1%	3%	- 1%	1%	1%	1%	0%)
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Split			Split		
Protected Phases	7.5	4		°∵		19 - <sup>10</sup> - 10	2	2	en de la	6	- 6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Actuated Green, G (s)	24.2	20.2	20.2	22.6	19.4	19.4	27.0	27.0	tere i tere	. 28.0	28.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	26.2	21.2	21.2	24.6	20.4	20.4	28.0	28.0		29.0	29.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.27	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.21	0.21	0.28	0.28		0.29	0.29	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	212	401	394	207	366	365	499	983		463	928	
V/s Ratio Prot	c0.03	0.14		0.01	c0.15	·	0.14	c0.27	•	c0.18	0.18	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.13		0.00	0.06		0.05						
v/c Ratio	0.58	0.63	0.01	0.30	0.73	0.25	0.49	0.93	5 . 1 <sup>1</sup>	0.62	0.60	
Uniform Delay, d1	29,8	35.1	30.4	29.1	36.4	32.6	29.3	34,3		29.9	29.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	4.1	3.3	0.0	0.8	7,1	0.4	3.5	16.4		6.1	2.9	
Delay (s)	33.9	38.3	30,4	29.9	43.5	32.9	32.8	50.7		36.0	32.7	
Level of Service	C	D	<u> </u>	C	D	С	С	D		D	С	
Approach Delay (s)		36.5			36.4		ti est List	47.0			33.8	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			С	
Intersection Summary	Yuqoto i											
HCM Average Control [	Delay		39.6	ŀ	ICM Le	vel of Se	ervice		D			
HCM Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.75	. •		the second		·		· .		
Actuated Cycle Length	(s)		98.4		Sum of I	ost time	(s)		16.0			
Intersection Capacity U	tilization		72.3%		CU Lev	el of Sei	vice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C			·
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group		: •_						·· .				

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Predevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

JN1361 92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext. PM Predevelopment\_Alternative 9/11/2006

	Constanting of the second			<b>H</b>		1. S.	-Alexandre	Î	e dan serie dan ser	80 g	All and a second	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	ŧ	ř	×.	r.	ř	Y	<b>≜</b> ₿		٦	4	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	· 1900.;	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%	- 1		0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	300		200	350		0	160		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1		1	1	. ·	, <sup>6</sup> - 1 - 0 -	1		0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Leading Detector (ft)	50	50	50	50	50	50	- 50	50		50	50	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Turning Speed (mph)	15		· 9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.95
Ped Bike Factor		· .	· • ·			· · ·	• :					
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.966			0.963	
Flt Protected	0.950	5 - F		0.950	÷.,	· · · .	0.950	· .		0.950	0.986	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1759	1752	3453	0	1572	3150	0
Fit Permitted	0.336			0.361			0.950	•	1990 - 19900 - 19900	0.950	0.986	·
Satd. Flow (perm)	626	1863	1830	638	1766	1759	1752	3453	0	1572	3150	0
Right Turn on Red		1.21.2	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			21			436		38			42	
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.04	1,04	. 0.88	1.00	1.00	0.96	1.04	1.04	1.00
Link Speed (mph)		35			35			30			35	
Link Distance (ft)	4 <sup>1</sup> .	114	ter i i	· . · · · .	758		- 11 <u>-</u>	499	21 1		486	
Travel Time (s)		2.2			14.8			11.3			9.5	
Volume (vph)	113	231	19	61	264	432	212	628	184	421	. 250'	134
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)												
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	· .	1 - 1 - 1	1. 1. 11.						$1^{10} \le 8^{10} 1$	• .		
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.92	0.92	0.92
Growth Factor	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%.	100%	100%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	0%	4%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	· · · · . 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	· 0		0	0	0
Parking (#/hr)												
Mid-Block Traffic (%)	21. 1. j.	0%			0%			-0%			0%	
Adj. Flow (vph)	124	254	21	62	267	436	247	730	214	458	272	146
Lane Group Flow (vph)	124	254	21	62	267	436	247	944	. 0	286	590	· 0
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Split			Split		
Protected Phases	7	. 4	14 J.	3	. 8		2	2.		.6	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Detector Phases	7	4	4	3	8	8	2	2		6	6	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	26.0	26.0	9.0	26.0	26.0	30.0	30.0	÷.,	30.0	30.0	
Total Split (s)	9.0	26.0	26.0	9.0	26.0	26.0	32.0	32.0	0.0	33.0	33.0	0.0
Total Split (%)	9.0%	26.0%	26.0%	9.0%	26.0%	26.0%	.32.0%	32.0%	0.0%	33.0%	33.0%	0.0%
Maximum Green (s)	4.0	21.0	21.0	4.0	21.0	21.0	27.0	27.0		28.0	28.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3:0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lao	Lag	Lead	Lad	Lag				· . *	:	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		•				
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Predevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

.

	and the second	~~~~~ <b>}</b> @!	¥	<b>W</b>		it is a start where the start	a the second	Ale and a second se	P	a a start	No.	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT.	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	e de Alize	Max	Max	
Walk Time (s)		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	•	11.0	.11.0		11.0	11.0	15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		4	4		2	2	0	0		1	1	
Act Effct Green (s)	25.2	21.2	21.2	24.4	19.3	19.3	28.0	28.0		29.0	29.0	· '
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.29	0.29		0.30	0.30	
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.63	0.05	0.30	0.76	0.62	0.49	0.92		0.61	0.61	
Control Delay	38.1	42.8	13.1	29.1	51.7	7.7	33.4	48.6		36.6	30.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	38.1	42.8	13.1	29.1	51.7	7.7	33.4	48.6		36.6	30.8	
LOS	D	• D'	В	C	D.	A	C	D		. D	С	
Approach Delay		39.8			24.8			45.4			32.7	
Approach LOS		D			С		•	D			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	58	147	0	28	157	0	132	299		173	165	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	105	231	19	60	246	79	198	#394		273	228	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		34			678			419			406	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			. <sup>1</sup> .22	300	tin sprif	200	350	e de la composición de		160		
Base Capacity (vph)	221	419	428	209	389	727	504	1021		469	968	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0.		0	0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	. 0	0	0 ° 10	0	0	· · · 0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.56	0.61	0.05	0.30	0.69	0.60	0.49	0.92		0.61	0.61	
Intersection Summary		N. S.		4145 H.	NG SS							
Area Type: Of	ther											
Cycle Length: 100			+_+* * *			· · ·						. *
Actuated Cycle Length: 9	7.4											
Natural Cycle: 95	н Настан		• • •	··· ·		•						
Control Type: Actuated-U	Incoor	dinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92		· · · · ·	- 1-1		н. 1. 1. н. н. н.			· ·				
Intersection Signal Delay	: 36.4			li li	ntersect	tion LOS	: D					
Intersection Capacity Util	lization	72.3%		` <b> </b> (	CU Lev	el of Ser	vice C					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volum	ie exce	eds ca	bacity, c	jueue m	ay be lo	onger.		÷ .	- 14 			
Queue shown is maxi	mum a	after two	cycles.									
Splits and Phases: 92:	Maroi	nal Wav	/ & Pret	ole St. E	xt.							

<b>1</b> a2	<b>A</b> 66	<b>€</b> ø3	 ▶ ∞4
32.5	83 s	938	26 s.
		ø7	<b></b> ∑ ø8
		988 🖉	26 s

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Predevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

JN1361					
92: Marginal	Way	&	Preble	St.	Ext.

	and the second			Ę	a Standard	<u>.</u>		-	A	and the second s		- Algood
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	ŧ	7	×	4	ř	×	朴ß		×	41»	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	. * *
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		0.91	0.91	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97	· · · ·	1.00	0.96	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1,00		0.95	0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1759	1752	3452	· ·.	1572	3149	
FIt Permitted	0.28	1.00	1.00	0.27	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	527	1863	1830	475	1766	1759	1752	3452		1572	3149	
Volume (vph)	113	280	19	60	279	425	212	628	186	438	250	134
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	124	308	21	61	282	429	247	730	216	476	272	146
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	16	0	0	338	0	27	. 0	0	28	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	124	308	5	61	282	91	247	919	0	292	574	. 0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	0%	4%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Split			Split		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8	· . · .	2	2		6	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8						
Actuated Green, G (s)	24.8	20.8	20.8	23.2	20.0	20.0	27.0	27.0	• •	28.0	28.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	26.8	21.8	21.8	25.2	21.0	21.0	28.0	28.0		29.0	29.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.27	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.21	0.21	0.28	0.28	t di se	0.29	0.29	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	205	410	403	172	375	373	496	976		460	922	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.03	c0.17		0.02	0.16		0.14	¢0.27		c0.19	0.18	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.13		0.00	0.08	· _ ·	0.05						
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.75	0.01	0.35	0.75	0.24	0.50	0.94		0.63	0.62	
Uniform Delay, d1	29.8	36.1	30.2	29.2	36.6	32.4	29.6	34.7		30.4	30.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	5.0	7.6	0.0	1.3	8.3	0.3	3.5	17.7		6.5	3.2	
Delay (s)	34.8	43.6	30.2	30.5	44.8	32.7	33.2	52.4		36.9	33.4	
Level of Service	С	D	С	С	D	С	С	D		D	С	
Approach Delay (s)		40.6	a da esta		37.0	t e di	e de la composición d	48.4	· · · .		34.6	. '
Approach LOS		D			D			D			C	
Intersection Summary		2505 A			798 - C	200 (15)						
HCM Average Control [	Delay		40.9	ł	ICM Le	vel of Se	ervice		D			
HCM Volume to Capac	ity ratio		0.74				1.1.1.1					· ]
Actuated Cycle Length	(s)		99.0	9	Sum of I	ost time	: (s)		12.0			
Intersection Capacity U	tilization		73.5%	1. j. 1. j.	CU Lev	el of Sei	rvice		. D			
Analysis Period (min)	,		15	· · ·								
c Critical Lane Group							• : .• .	· .				

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

ve 006

PM	Postdevelopment_	_Alternati
		9/11/20
and the second se		leest consumption and the construction of the

	1 1001						C				and and an and an and an and an	400000000000000000000000000000000000000
	and the second second	<b>Reality of the second s</b>	7-0-0 <b>2</b>	<b>Harry</b>	Mg-mensis	<b>&amp;</b>	*	4	a for the second se	e de la constante de la consta		-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	×.	<b></b>	7	ř	<u></u>	ř	۴	朴志		ሻ	<b>.</b>	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	. 1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	16	11	11	15	12	12	13	11	11	12
Grade (%)		0%		· · · ·	··· 0%		- : -	0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	300		200	350		0	160		0
Storage Lanes	1	· . ·	1	1		1	1		0	. 1		0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Leading Detector (ft)	50	50	50	50	. 50	50	50	50		50	50	
Trailing Detector (ft)	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15	t sta ja	a a <u>g</u> i	15		. 9	15	•	9
Lane Util Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.95
Ped Bike Factor										0.01	0.01	
Frf			0.850			0.850	• •	0.966			0.964	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950	·		0.950			0.950	0.985	
Sate Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1830	1678	1766	1759	1752	3453	n	1572	3150	ó
Elt Permitted	0.307	.000		0.258			0.050		Ŭ	0.950	n 985	U
Sate Flow (perm)	572	1863	1830	456	1766	1750	1752	3453	0	1572	3150	n
Right Turn on Red	<b>VI</b> 2	1000	- Yes		1100	Vée	11.52		Vae	10/2	5150	Vec
Sate Flow (RTOR)			21			. 103		38	. 168		40	105
Headway Eactor	1 00	1.00	ິ <u>ດ 85</u>	1 04	1.04	0.88	1.00	1 00	0 06	1 04	1 0/	1 00
Link Speed (mph)	1.00	35	0.00	1.04	25	0.00		1.00	0.80	1.04	1.04	1.00
Link Dietonce (fft)		.114.	· .		759			00			100	
Travel Time (s)		2.2	·.		1/100	· .		. 433. 11 2			400	
Volume (uph)	112	∠.∠ ∵່າ໑∩່	10	60	- 1 <del>4</del> .0 ∜ ⊡070	105		609	100	430	9.0	434
Confl Dode (#/br)	(ID	200	. 19	. 00	219	420	~ <u>~</u> 12	020	100	400	ZộU	134
Confl. Picos (#/hr)												
Dock Hour Footor	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00
Crowth Easter	4000/	10.91	10.00	40000	40.99	4000	10.00 10.00	0.00	40000	40.92	40000	40000
Growin Pacios	0076	00%	100%	.100%	. 100,70	100%	200	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Run Blocksman (*/hr)	270	2%	0%	4%	4%	170	3%	170	1%	1%	1%	0%
Bus blockages (#/III)	0	· U	U	0	. U	; U	0	· · U	0	U	0	U
Parking (#/nr)			·		007		a she				0.07	
IVIId-BIOCK I Famic (%)	404	0%	191. A 4		0%	400	047	0%	040		0%	
Adj. Flow (Vpn)	124	308	21	61	282	429	247	/30	216	476	272	146
Lane Group Flow (vpn);	124	308	21	: 61	282	429	247	946	• • • • • 0	292	602	0
Turn Type	pm+pr		Perm	pm+pt		Perm	Split			Split	<u> </u>	
Protected Phases	1. 1	4		. 3	, S		a ga <b>z</b>	2		6	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	. 8		. 8		~			~	
Detector Phases	1	4	4	3	8	8	2	. 2		6	6	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	26.0	26.0	9.0	26.0	26.0	30.0	-30.0		30.0	30.0	
Total Split (s)	9.0	26.0	26.0	9.0	26.0	26.0	32.0	32.0	0.0	33.0	33.0	0.0
Total Split (%)	9.0%	26.0%	26.0%	9.0%	26.0%	26.0%	32.0%	32.0%	0.0%	33.0%	33.0%	0.0%
Maximum Green (s)	4.0	21.0	21.0	4.0	21.0	21.0	27.0	27.0		28.0	28.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	- 3.0	<b>3.0</b> j	- 3.0	~ 3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Lime (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag		1.1				
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3,0	·. ·	3.0	3.0	
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Postdevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.

JN1	361
-----	-----

.

92: Marginal Way & Preble St. Ext.

	and the second		and the second se	Ť	-		*	4	and the second	°	Ļ	al a
Lane:Group	EBL	EBT.	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	i
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max		Max	Max	
Walk Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	e e qui	15.0	15,0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		4	4		2	2	0	0		1	1	
Act Effct Green (s)	25.8	21.8	21.8	25.0	19.9	19.9	28.0	28.0		29.0	29.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.29	0.29		0.30	0.30	
v/c Ratio	0.59	0.74	0.05	0.35	0.79	0.61	0.49	0.93		0.63	0.63	
Control Delay	39.6	48.4	13.1	30.8	53.3	7.5	33.7	49.9		37.4	31.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	:	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	39.6	48.4	13.1	30.8	53.3	7.5	33.7	49.9		37.4	31.5	
LOS	D	D	В	С	D	Ä	) C	D		<sup>-</sup> D	С	
Approach Delay		44.3			26.1			46.5			33.4	
Approach LOS	· · ·	D			С	에 앉아?	· · .	D	1 (n. 12). 1 (n. 12)		° C	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	58	184	0	28	168	0	132	300		178	171	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	105	#304	19	59	#279	78	198	#396		280	234	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		34			678			419			406	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	, in the sta	5 E S		300		200	350		··	160		
Base Capacity (vph)	211	422	430	175	389	722	501	1015		466	961	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	et 0	÷ 0.	. 0	0	0	. 0	. 0		· 0	.0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	.0	0	0	0	. 🕤 🕛	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.59	0.73	0.05	0.35	0.72	0.59	0.49	0.93		0.63	0.63	
Intersection Summary								i de la compañía de la Compañía de la compañía				
Area Type: C	)ther											
Cycle Length: 100	김 고려											
Actuated Cycle Length: 9	98											
Natural Cycle: 95			and the			n de la composición d	·. ·.·	· · · ·				
Control Type: Actuated-I	Uncoor	dinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0,93	3	·	19.14	ter e			ч. Ма	·. <sup>·</sup>				
Intersection Signal Delay	y: 37,9			1	ntersec	tion LOS	: D					
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization	73.5%	ta yina s	اليخار والترا	CU Lev	el of Sen	vice D				• •	
Analysis Period (min) 15	,											
# 95th percentile volum	ne exce	eeds ca	pacity, o	queue n	hay be l	onger.	· ·	• •	1 · · · . ·	:		
Queue shown is max	imum a	after two	cycles	•								

Splits and Phases:	92: Marginal Way & Preble St	_ Ext.	
<b>≪</b> ø2	<b>₩ 2</b> 6	<b>6</b> 3	 
32 . 5	23.5		26 s
			<b>4</b> € √ ø8
		9 s	26.

T:\1361\Aug Synchro\JN1361\_PM Postdevelopment\_Alternative.sy7 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc.



The Staples'School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 P: 207.774.4427 F: 207.874.2460 www.mitchellassociatec.biz

October 29, 2007

Mr. Richard Knowland, Senior Planner City of Portland Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

#### RE: Bayside Village - A Student Housing Complex Diminimus Change Request

Dear Rick:

The following documentation and responses have been prepared to address comments concerning the diminimus change request submitted on September 6, 2007.

**Garage Lighting:** Enclosed is the manufacture cut sheet for the garage light fixture proposed as a replacement for the previous florescent fixture to address concerns with the visibility and glare. The fixture will be suspended from the recessed area of the garage/roof deck. The optical configuration shields the lamp from normal viewing angles by the reflector section of the luminare.

**Trash Enclosure:** In response to staff concerns with the proposed relocation of solid waste management, we offer the following:

The Owner has met with several trash removal companies to discuss the internal trash room configuration as proposed during the approval process. In each instance the same issues concerning liability and safety were raised regarding use of role out containers. To address these concerns, the use of the proposed "pak-trainer" - which incorporates a compactor and significantly reduced the size of the container - was recommended as the most sensitive option to contain refuse and maintain as low of an impact as is feasible.

Regarding location, the only option to locate an external trash enclosure is on the northeast side of the site within the city R.O.W. as shown on the submitted plan. The

Mr. Rick Knowland, Senior Planner Page 2

area between the Bayside Village and 84 Marginal (Intermed) properties contain the chiller unit for the student housing project and an emergency generator for 84 Marginal Way leaving no room for an enclosure. We have studied the orientation options for the gate access and circulation requirements. Due to turning and access requirements, the proposed location and orientation is necessary for vehicle access. In addition, site grading and drainage requirements to accommodate run off from I-295 restrict access options.

CWS Architects, in response to your comments concerning integration of the enclosure into the building architecture, have prepared the enclosed annotated elevations for your review. The operational requirements of emptying the container require a vertical overhead lifting process that precludes the opportunity to provide a roof covering for the structure. The revised sketch proposes a more contemporary form with sloped masonry walls that match the building's façade materials and align below the building's accent band. The gates to the enclosure have been squared-off to tie in with the contemporary elements of the design and will be painted dark green to coordinate with the color of other accent materials on the site.

**Noise Level, Chiller Unit:** We have assembled documentation regarding the proposed unit sound rating and wanted to meet with Marge Schmuckal to review the data. Unfortunately Marge, as you know, has been on vacation. As soon as possible upon Marge's return, we will meet with her to address the issue.

Enclosed for your review are the following:

- Garage light fixture cut sheets
- Trash enclosure elevations

We trust these responses and supporting documents address your concerns. Should you any questions or additional comments, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

EEB METCALF

Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosure

cc: Dan Noblet Ben Walter



PGL4 Parking Garage Luminaires revision 9/28/07 • pgl4.pdf

Type:			Approvals:
Catalo	g number:		
PGL4	1175 PMH 277	1 DL-W/QS/LAMP ILLELOBE	
Fixture	Electrical Module See page 2	Options See pages 3-4	
			Date: Page: 1 of 4





- For Free conduit pedant mount, use a standard 4" junction box with a centered pendant entry.
- For Free-swinging pendant mount, use the optional PB2 Balanced Pendant Juction Box (page 3).
- For all pendant installations where bird shroud protection is desired, use the optional **PB2** Balanced Pendant Junction Box (page **3**).

NUBBELL

Hubbell Lighting, Inc. **Speed Mount:** Formed steel, electro-zinc plated for mounting to standard 4" junction box or mud box. Hooks are designed to hang fixture, freeing both hands for field wire connections. Allows tool-free fixture mounting to junction box, with integral anti-theft locking device.

**Ballast Housing:** Die-cast, low copper (<0.6% Cu) aluminum alloy for direct mounting to the Kim Speed Mount. Wire entry is sealed with a silicone grommet.

**Optical Housing:** One-piece, injection molded UV stabilized polycarbonate (minimum wall thickness .125<sup>°</sup>) with clear uplight window. Reflector has vertical facets to prevent reflected light from passing through the lamp envelope. Uplight window contains two prismed sections to spread additional light downward in the direction of parking stalls. Optical Housing is secured to Electrical Housing with full silicone gasketing around the perimeter.

**Uplight Reflector and Socket:** One-piece hydroformed aluminum with Alzak<sup>®</sup> surface facing prisms and diffuse white surface facing uplight window areas. Socket is 4KV pulse rated medium base. Reflector is removed by loosening three screws allowing access to the electrical components.

**Downlight Window:** One-piece clear injection molded UV stabilized high temperature acrylic (polycarbonate optional). Attached to optical housing with a "no-tool" quick release spring hinge and three captive phillips-head "shoulder" type screws to prevent overtightening (tamper-resistant hex socket screws optional). Perimeter is fully gasketed with silicone. Convex shape yields maximum light transmission.

**HID Electrical Components:** High power factor ballasts for -20°F. starting, rigidly mounted inside electrical housing and prewired with leads extended out top of housing.

**Induction Fluorescent Lamp Electrical Components:** Complete FIF generator and induction lamp system is furnished. Induction lamp system is high power factor, rated for -4° starting.

Optical Configuration: In the direction of the driving lanes, luminaire downlight is of the "cutoff" classification with uplight provided for illuminating ceiling and beams. In the direction of parking stalls, luminaire is a "semi-direct" type with partial uplight refracted downward for additional fill-light in the parking stalls. In all directions, lamp is shielded from normal viewing angles by the reflector section of the luminaire.

**Finish: PGL4:** Platinum Silver color on ballast housing only. Super TGIC thermoset polyester powder coat paint over titanated zirconium conversion coating. Clear optical housing.

**CAUTION:** Fixtures must be grounded in accordance with national, state and/or local electrical codes. Failure to do so may result in serious personal injury.

	Listings an	d Ratings	
UL cUL 15981			40C Ambient
IP66 Rated			ISO 9001:2000

'Suitable for wel locations

KIM LIGHTING RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CHANGE SPECIFICATIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.

© 2007 KIM LIGHTING INC. • P.O. BOX 60080, CITY OF INDUSTRY, CA 91716-0080 • TEL: 626/968-5666 • FAX: 626/369-2695


PGL4 Parking Garage Luminaires revision 9/28/07 • pgl4.pdf

Page: 2 of 4

Туре:

Job:

**Standard Features** Cat. No. PGL4 Fixture Contemporary garage luminaire. Dic-cast, low copper (<0.6% Cu) aluminum alloy ballast housing with Platinum Silver Super TGIC powder coat paint over titanated zirconium conversion coating, one-piece clear optical housing. Downlight optical reflector visible through optical housing material. Cat. Nos. for Electrical Modules available: **Electrical Module** PMH = Pulse StartPulse Start Metal Halide Metal Halide 🗌 175PMH120 📋 200PMH120 100PMH120 150PMH120  $MH = Metal Halide^{1}$ 175PMH208 200PMH208 150PMH208 100PMH208 **HPS** = High Pressure 200PMH240 175PMH240 Sodium 100PMH240 C 150PMH240 **IF** = Induction 100PMH277 150PMH277 X175PMH277 200PMH277 Fluorescent 100PMH347 150PMH347 175PMH347 200PMH347 150PMH480<sup>2</sup> 175PMH480<sup>2</sup> 200PMH480<sup>2</sup> **'NOTE:** Refer to ANS! Type 100PMH480<sup>2</sup> for proper lamp. ED-17, Clear ED-17, Clear ED-17, Clear ED-17, Clear Lamp Socket Medium Base Medium Base Medium Base Medium Base Lamp Lamp Line ANSI Ballast M-90 M-102 M-137 M-136 Watts Type Volts Type 175 MH 277 Induction **High Pressure Sodium** Metal Halide Fluorescent **CAUTION:** All manufacturers of metal halide lamps T100HPS120 150HPS120 175MH120 1 85IF120 recommend turning them off 175MH208 100HPS208 1150HPS208 🗋 85IF208 for 15 minutes once per week 🗌 175MH240 100HPS240 150HPS240 85)F240 when under continuous operation. This will reduce □ 150HPS277 175MH277 100HPS277 851F277 the risk of arc tube rupture at 100HPS347 150HPS347 175MH347 end of life. Also, color 150HPS4802 175MH480<sup>2</sup> 100HPS480<sup>2</sup> temperature may differ between manufacturers of ED-17, Clear ED-17, Clear 85 Watt Lamp ED-17, Clear metal halide lamps. See lamp Medium Base Medium Base manufacturer's specification Socket ! Medium Base sheets. S-54 S-55 ANSI Ballast M-57 fype | All fixtures are available

pre-lamped by Kim. Consult representative for pricing. <sup>2</sup>480 volt with medium base sockets may require approval of local building code authority.

© 2007 KIM LIGHTING INC. . P.O. BOX 60080, CITY OF INDUSTRY, CA 91716-0080 . TEL: 626/968-5666 . FAX: 626/369-2695



Type:

Job:

Page: 3 of 4

	<b>Optional Features</b>	
Narrow Downlight Optics Cat. No. DL-N No Option	Two-piece hydroformed aluminum reflector with Alzak finish. Reflector fills optical housing and provides minimal uplight distribution. Symmetric downlight distribution is narrower than standard symmetric pattern, with increased intensity.	
Wide Downlight Optics Cat. No. XDL-W No Option	Two-piece hydroformed aluminum reflector with Alzak finish. Reflector fills optical housing and provides minimal uplight distribution. Symmetric downlight distribution is similar to standard symmetric pattern, with increased intensity.	
Polycarbonate Downlight Lens Cat. No. LS No Option	One-piece clear injection molded UV stabilized polycarbonate Downlight Lens replaces the standard acrylic. <b>CAUTION:</b> Use only when fixture vandalism is anticipated within the parking garage. Service life is reduced by UV discoloration from Metal Halide lamps. High Pressure Sodium lamps are recommended to promote full service life.	Polycarbonate Downlight Lens
Cat. No. <b>PSH</b>	Conceals the down-light optical housing reflector. The color is matched to the electrical housing.	Painted Optical Housing
Quartz Standby Cat. No. XQS No Option	Integral current sensing relay energizes a T-4 mini-can socket during lamp warm-up and after power interruption. Socket de-energizes prior to the H.I.D. lamp reaching full brightness. T-4 mini-can halogen lamp by others; 100 watt maximum. <b>NOTE: Input amps will increase by .80 with this</b> <b>option.</b> Not available on 85IF.	Quartz Standby
Tamper-Resistant Lens Screws Cat. No. <b>TS</b> <b>No Option</b>	Captive hex socket (allen) shoulder screws provided for a phillips-head screws.	downlight window instead of standard



PGL4 Parking Garage Luminaires revision 9/28/07 • pgl4.pdf

Type: Job:

Page: 4 of 4

	Optional Features
Fusing (internal only): Cat. No. (see chart at right) I No Option	High temperature fuse holders factory installed inside the fixture housing.   Fuse is included.   Line Volts: 120V 208V 240V 277V 347V 480V   Cat. No.: Image: SF
Lamp Included Cat. No. No Option	To order fixtures with lamp included and installed, add " <b>lamp included</b> " suffix to catalog number. Will also include <b>QS</b> option lamp (when specified).
Balanced Pendant Junction Box and Bird Shroud Cat. No. <b>PB2</b> <b>No Option</b>	Cast aluminum, finished to match fixture body. Offset 34" NPT pendant entry to balance fixture in free-swinging installation. For fixture mounting, Kim Speed Mount attaches directly to Balanced Pendant Junction Box (PB2).



becomes important to migate that impact. The plan has been changed so that gate is not visible from Chestnut Street (which is good) but it is still going to be visible from the public that will be using the public

parking lot (train stop).

The dumpster issue was not discussed at wednesdays staff review meeting. It will be discussed at next wednesdays meeting so if there is

any further info that would be helpful.

>>> "Bob Metcalf" <rmetcalf@mitcheliassociates.biz> Wednesday, November 14, 2007 >>> Hi Rick,

Could you give me a call when you get a chance, I need to review the trash enclosure fro Bayside Village to see where we are in the review.

Bob

From:	Rick Knowland
To:	rmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz
Date:	Fri, Sep 21, 2007 3:40 PM
Subject:	Fwd: marginal way student housing projecy

>>> "Rick Knowland " <RWK@portlandmaine.gov> Friday, September 21, 2007 >>> Bob, This email is intended to summarize staff comments received to date regarding revisions to the Marginal Way Student Housing Project. The revisions are descibed in submissions with cover letters dated 9-07-07 and 9-18-07.

Noise...Marge Schmuckal indicates that the air cooler chiller does not meet the B-7 noise standards. Further documentation will need to be submitted on how this standard will be addressed.

Signage Plan...The signage plan along Marginal Way is acceptable. Obviously a sign permit will be required. In terms of the BV signs, I'll need to have more internal discussion on this. Why are 3 BV signs needed? If this were a hotel (but it is not)l could understand some of the signs. Has there been consideration to just having one sign facing 1-295?

Engineering .... I've talked to Mike Farmer of Public Works and he didn't have any concerns with the revisions.

Shaper Lighting Fixture... This fixture looks familiar. Please confirm that it is nonglaring and deflected downward.

Dumpster...I have received a variety of thoughts regarding the dumpster enclosure. These comments are precipitated by the fact that the dumpster is proposed on city land and is at the terminating view of Chestnut Street. I understand the statements in the earlier submission regarding the dumpster location but I think other options need to be explored further. If after exhausting all other options and this site is the only one available, I would suggest that the architect modify the design of the dumpster so it looks more like an appendage of the building. I offer no solutions but perhaps the walls could be raised higher and follow the design plane of the first floor of the building. The doorway of the proposed dumpster would normally be ok if fucked way at the rear of a property somewhere but in this case its at the terminating view of Chestnut Street. Any other design alternatives? It is my understanding that the location of dumpster was driven by a conversation with a trash hauler operator. Perhaps a conversation with another trash hauler would yield another alternative.

Building Elevations...Unless we have further questions, we are ok with the building elevations. I talked to Ben and he answered several questions.

Landscaping...Jeff looked at the plan and his ok with it.

Bob, These are the comments received to date. Should there be others I will forward them to you accordingly.

From:Rick KnowlandTo:rmetcalf@mitcheliassociates.bizDate:11/2/2007 12:14:14 PMSubject:student housing

Hi Bob, See attached comments on student housing project. Lused the wrong email address initially. >>> <Mailer-Daemon@smtp.portlandmaine.gov> Wednesday, October 31, 2007 >>> The message that you sent was undeliverable to the following:

bmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz (550 5.1.1 User unknown)

Possibly truncated original message follows:

From:	"Rick Knowland " <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
To:	<pre><bmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz></bmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz></pre>
Date:	10/31/2007 3:31:01 PM
Subject:	student housing project

Bob, At Wednesday's staff review meeting we discussed the dumpster/compactor issue. After looking at the plan there isn't any enthusiasm among staff for a dumpster enclosure on city owned land and its location at the terminus of Chestnut Street. I appreciate the fact that this use will generate alot of waste but this solution doesn't have any support.

I don't know what to say other than to seek another alternative. Perhaps may be a smaller trash (low tech) hauler out there that does not have as sophisticated equiptment as larger haulers that could accommodate their needs. I suppose if the applicant doesn't like staff's answer on the revision this could be referred to the planning board. Someone from City whether it be the City Manager's Office or the City Council will presumably need to approve a license for the dumpster on City land.

**CC:** "Alex Jaegerman " <AQJ@portlandmaine.gov>, "Barbara Barhydt" <BAB@portlandmaine.gov>



May 14, 2008

Rick Knowland Senior Planner City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

Re: Bayside Village Student Housing Complex, 132 Marginal Way, Portland, ME

Dear Rick:

This letter represents a follow-up to your job site visits were we reviewed the metal panel revisions at the Bayside Village Project. The following items are revisions to the original approval by the Planning Board on November 14, 2006:

1) Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete (GFRC) Parapets and Accent Band. During the approval process with the City, GFRC was approved for the parapets and accent band around the building. During the design review process of the building it was discovered that GFRC is not a valid product for a wood building application. Prefabricated GFRC should be anchored to structural steel and not wood. Therefore, the design team went back to the original plan of metal panels (as shown on the rendering drawing attached for your use) for the parapets and accent band accent band around the building. The product being used is the same material as the silver metallic Firestone Una-Clad UC-501 vertical oriented metal siding wall panels in the courtyard, except bent at a different profile. Attached for your use is the cut sheet on the UC-501 panel system and two elevations showing the dimensions of the parapet and accent band layout. Please note that the panels will only be approximately 20" wide in order to minimize the chance of any "oil canning." The original rendering showed metal panels approximately 40" wide.

2) Citadel ProCore Prefinished Architectural Panels. The ProCore panel that was approved by the City was a two-piece reveal molding system shown on the attached detail 1B System Isometric, Option 2. The ProCore panel that we are looking to install at the Bayside project is a two-piece extruded molding system shown on the attached detail 1A System Isometric, Option 1. The extruded molding system was ordered and delivered to the jobsite instead of the approved reveal molding. The issue we have is the moldings have a custom coating on them, and it will take seven to eight weeks for the new moldings. With this fast track project this delay would be detrimental to finishing on time. The panels between the moldings are the same. The only difference is the moldings that surround each panel. The reveal molding (option 2) has a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" gap in the cover plate, while the extruded molding (option 1) has a flat cover plate. The rest of the metal siding systems around the perimeter of the building all have flat moldings which would match option 1. Both moldings cost the same, have the same finish, have the same performance, and have the same warranty. The original reveal molding has the possibility of collecting debris and insects in the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" gap where the extruded molding system is a flat cover plate.

131 Presumpscot Street, Portland, ME 04103 207-874-2323 www.pizzagalli.com May 14, 2008 Mr. Rick Knowland Page 2

The project next door (84 Marginal Way) is also using the same extruded moldings on their approved panels as we are proposing for Bayside. This would give both adjacent buildings the same look.

Both of the above items are time sensitive, and we would appreciate anything the City can do to approve the proposed materials by Tuesday May 20, 2008. Thank you for your help in finalizing the metal panel system for the Bayside Village Student Housing Project.

Sincerely, Pizzagalli Construction Company

Daniel P. Noblet Project Manager

Attachments





Copyright @ 2.14.06 by Firestone Metel Products/JNA-OLAD

1001 Lund Boulevard, Anoka, Minnesota 55303

800/428-7737

<u>806</u>





#### Citadel Architectural Products, Inc.

ProCore™





The Staples School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 64101 P: 207.774.4427 F: 207.874.2460 www.millchellassociates.biz

October 29, 2007

Mr. Richard Knowland, Senior Planner City of Portland Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

### RE: Bayside Village - A Student Housing Complex Diminimus Change Request

Dear Rick:

The following documentation and responses have been prepared to address comments concerning the diminimus change request submitted on September 6, 2007.

**Garage Lighting:** Enclosed is the manufacture cut sheet for the garage light fixture proposed as a replacement for the previous florescent fixture to address concerns with the visibility and glare. The fixture will be suspended from the recessed area of the garage/roof deck. The optical configuration shields the lamp from normal viewing angles by the reflector section of the luminare.

**Trash Enclosure:** In response to staff concerns with the proposed relocation of solid waste management, we offer the following:

The Owner has met with several trash removal companies to discuss the internal trash room configuration as proposed during the approval process. In each instance the same issues concerning liability and safety were raised regarding use of role out containers. To address these concerns, the use of the proposed "pak-trainer" - which incorporates a compactor and significantly reduced the size of the container - was recommended as the most sensitive option to contain refuse and maintain as low of an impact as is feasible.

Regarding location, the only option to locate an external trash enclosure is on the northeast side of the site within the city R.O.W. as shown on the submitted plan. The

Mr. Rick Knowland, Senior Planner Page 2

area between the Bayside Village and 84 Marginal (Intermed) properties contain the chiller unit for the student housing project and an emergency generator for 84 Marginal Way leaving no room for an enclosure. We have studied the orientation options for the gate access and circulation requirements. Due to turning and access requirements, the proposed location and orientation is necessary for vehicle access. In addition, site grading and drainage requirements to accommodate run off from I-295 restrict access options.

CWS Architects, in response to your comments concerning integration of the enclosure into the building architecture, have prepared the enclosed annotated elevations for your review. The operational requirements of emptying the container require a vertical overhead lifting process that precludes the opportunity to provide a roof covering for the structure. The revised sketch proposes a more contemporary form with sloped masonry walls that match the building's façade materials and align below the building's accent band. The gates to the enclosure have been squared-off to tie in with the contemporary elements of the design and will be painted dark green to coordinate with the color of other accent materials on the site.

**Noise Level, Chiller Unit:** We have assembled documentation regarding the proposed unit sound rating and wanted to meet with Marge Schmuckal to review the data. Unfortunately Marge, as you know, has been on vacation. As soon as possible upon Marge's return, we will meet with her to address the issue.

Enclosed for your review are the following:

- Garage light fixture cut sheets
- Trash enclosure elevations

We trust these responses and supporting documents address your concerns. Should you any questions or additional comments, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

EEB METCALF

Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosure

cc: Dan Noblet Ben Walter





representative for pricing.

PGL4 Parking Garage Luminaires revision 9/28/07 • pgl4.pdf

Туре:

Job:

### Page: 2 of 4



<sup>2</sup>480 volt with medium base sockets may require approval of local building code authority.



PGL4 Parking Garage Luminaires revision 9/28/07 • pgl4.pdf

Type: Job:

Page: 3 of 4

	<b>Optional Features</b>	
Narrow Downlight Optics Cat. No. DL-N No Option	Two-piece hydroformed aluminum reflector with Alzak finish. Reflector fills optical housing and provides minimal uplight distribution. Symmetric downlight distribution is narrower than standard symmetric pattern, with increased intensity.	
Wide Downlight Optics Cat. No. XDL-W No Option	Two-piece hydroformed aluminum reflector with Alzak finish. Reflector fills optical housing and provides minimal uplight distribution. Symmetric downlight distribution is similar to standard symmetric pattern, with increased intensity.	
Polycarbonate Downlight Lens Cat. No. U LS No Option	One-piece clear injection molded UV stabilized polycarbonate Downlight Lens replaces the standard acrylic. <b>CAUTION:</b> Use only when fixture vandalism is anticipated within the parking garage. Service life is reduced by UV discoloration from Metal Halide lamps. High Pressure Sodium lamps are recommended to promote full service life.	Polycarbonate Downlight Lens
Painted Optical Housing Cat. No.	Conceals the down-light optical housing reflector. The color is matched to the electrical housing.	Painted Optical Housing
Quartz Standby Cat. No. XQS No Option	Integral current sensing relay energizes a T-4 mini-can socket during lamp warm-up and after power interruption. Socket de-energizes prior to the H.I.D. lamp reaching full brightness. T-4 mini-can halogen lamp by others; 100 watt maximum. <b>NOTE: Input amps will increase by .80 with this</b> <b>option.</b> Not available on 851F.	Quartz Standby
Tamper-Resistant Lens Screws Cat. No. □ TS □ No Option	Captive hex socket (allen) shoulder screws provided for ophillips-head screws.	downlight window instead of standard



PGL4 Parking Garage Luminaires revision 9/28/07 • pgl4.pdf

Туре:

Job:

Page: 4 of 4

	Optional Features
Fusing (internal only): Cat. No. (see chart at right) No Option	High temperature fuse holders factory installed inside the fixture housing.   Fuse is included.   Line Volts: 120V 208V 240V 277V 347V 480V   Cat. No.: Image: SF Image: DF Image: DF Image: SF Image: DF
Lamp Included Cat. No. Lamp Included	To order fixtures with lamp included and installed, add "lamp included" suffix to catalog number. Will also include QS option lamp (when specified).
Balanced Pendant Junction Box and Bird Shroud Cat. No.	Cast aluminum, finished to match fixture body. Offset %" NPT pendant entry to balance fixture in free-swinging installation. For fixture mounting, Kim Speed Mount attaches directly to Balanced Pendant Junction Box (PB2).







Strengthening a Remarkable City, Building a Community for Life \* www.portlandmaine.gov

Planning and Development Department Lee D. Urban, Director

July 19, 2007

Planning Division Alexander Jaegerman, Director

> Erica Martin Pizzagalli Construction Co. 131 Presumpscot Street Portland, Maine 04103

**RE**: Bayside Village Site Activity

Dear Erica,

This letter is to confirm that the Planning Division is authorizing preliminary site activities on the Bayside Village site. These site activities are limited in scope and shall constitute only the following activities:

- 1. Remove the pavement on site
- 2. Remove and store the curbing on site
- 3. Clear and grub next to Marginal Way
- 4. Clear and grub the 295 side
- 5. During the week of July 23, 2007, the building corners and baseline may be laid out, but no foundation work of any kind may be commenced.

This letter is not a building permit and does not authorize any other site activity or work. The performance guarantee and all permits must be secured prior to any other work being performed on site.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter please call either myself or Phil DiPierro, Development Review Coordinator.

Sincerely,

a

Alexander Jaegerman Planning Division Director

O:\PLAN\DEVREVW\marginalway120(baysidevillage)\July 19-Letter to Pizzagalli.doc

CC:

Barbara Barhydt, Development Review Services Manager Philip DiPierro, Development Review Coordinator Jeanie Bourke- Inspections Division Director Richard Knowland, Senior Planner Dan Nobler, Pizzagalli Construction Co., 131 Presumpscot Street, Portland, ME 04103 Ryan Leavitt, Realty Resources, 247 Commercial Street Ste. A, Rockport, ME 04856



June 18, 2007

City of Portland Planning Department 4th floor, Portland City Hall 389 Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

#### Re: Bayside Village Student Housing, LLC

To Whom It May Concern:

KeyBank National Association (hereinafter called the "Bank") has committed to make a loan to Bayside Village Student Housing in the amount of \$20,825,000 for the acquisition of land located at 120 Marginal Way in Portland, Maine and construction of 100 fully furnished 4-bedroom suite-style units of student housing, a 102-space parking garage and approximately 3,600 square feet of retail space on terms and conditions set forth in our commitment letter which has been issued and accepted.

We anticipate an initial closing by the end of this month.

We have successfully completed a variety of projects with Joseph Cloutier, the principal, and look forward to participating in this project.

Sincerel

W. Scott Fox, Senior Vice President Community Development Lending



Peter G. Moore 75 Market Street, Suite 305 Portland, ME 04101

E/ pmoore@cfaw.com T/ 207.772.2221 F/ 207.772.2227

June 25, 2007

Richard Knowland Senior Planner Planning Department City of Portland Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

Re: Bayside Village Student Housing Project

Dear Richard,

I am writing in my capacity as financial advisor to Joseph M. Cloutier and Southern Maine Student Housing LLC.

I understand that your office has requested an explanation of the need to amend the various City approvals for Southern Maine Student Housing LLC (SMSH)to a new entity named Bayside Village Student Housing LLC. (BVSH)

Bayside Village Student Housing LLC is the new entity formed by the joint investment into the project by Joseph Cloutier's development entity Southern Maine Student Housing LLC (the current property owner of record) and the outside investor group headed by Lexvest Bayside Partners LP, a Lexington, Massachusetts based private investment group. Together their capital is providing funding for the project and they will own the project under the BVSH entity. See diagram below:



The necessity of this arrangement is to properly and legally facilitate all joint venture arrangements, including but not limited to bank borrowing, construction contracts, management of the property and ongoing operations after construction is completed.

MERGERS, ACQUISTIONS AND CAPITAL RESOURCES SINCE 1956

If there are any questions you or your staff have regarding this arrangement and its necessity please contact me immediately.

Thank you for your ongoing support for this important project in Portland.

Sincerely

Am

Peter G. Moore Managing Director

CC: Joseph M. Cloutier, Southern Maine Student Housing LLC John Kaminski, Esq. Eric D. Shapiro, Lexvest Bayside Partners, LLC



Bayside Village Student Housing

Transmittal 00987

# 5/22/2008

i ransmital 10					. In ransmilla	II From				
Rick Knowland City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, MAINE 04101					David Ma Pizzagalli 120 Marg Portland,	nz Construction C inal Way ME 04101	Company	فيريد		
T: 207-874-6	8725	F	: 207-756	-8258	1: 2077	61-1535	F: 207-842-9196			
WE ARE SE	NDING:		······································	SUBMITTED F	OR:		ACTION TAKEN:	. ·		
Shop Drawin	igs	Letie	:/	X Approval			Approved as Submitted			
Prints		Cha	nge Order	Your Use	Your Use Approved as Noted					
Plans		🔲 Spe	cifications	As Requested	As Requested Returned After Loan					
Samples		7130-04047777CA7373AH904043A	*****	Review and Comment Resubmit						
X Other: Ren	derings		******		00/00010/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/0	Submit				
Reference:				SENT VIA:			Returned			
Bayside Renderir	ngs			X Attached			Returned for Corrections			
				Separate Cover	Via:		Due Date:			
ITEM NO.	COPIES	DATE	ITEM	NUMBER	REV. NO.	DESCRIPTIC	N	STATUS		
01 1	i	5/22/2008				Original appr Village	oved rendering of Bayside	NEW		
02 1	1	5/22/2008				Revised para	pet proposed rendering	NEW		
03 1	1	5/22/2008				CWS's revise	ed parapet approval e-mail	NEW		
Remarks										

## Noblet, Daniel

From:	Benedict B. Walter [BWalter@cwsarch.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:17 PM
To:	Noblet, Daniel
Cc:	ddouglass@cwsarch.com
Subject:	FW: BAYSIDE MODIFIED RENDERING - PARAPET
Attachments:	Marginal Way Rendering5-21-08 11X17.jpg

Dan: Attached is the updated rendering you asked for showing the 20" panels aligned over the 40" panels below. It is my opinion that this approach would be aesthetically attractive and in keeping with the original design approach. If you need a large printout, please let Dave Douglass (cc) know and he can arrange to have it printed and delivered. Ben

Ben Walter AIA, Vice President **CWS Architects | Portland, Maine** T: 207-774-4441 F: 207-774-4016

From: Dave Douglass [mailto:ddouglass@cwsarch.com] Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:10 PM To: 'Benedict B. Walter' Subject: BAYSIDE MODIFIED RENDERING - PARAPET

Dave Douglass, AIA

**CWS Architects** 434 Cumberland Ave Portland, Maine t. 207.774-4441 f. 207.774.4016



The Staples School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 P: 207.774.4427 F: 207.874.2460 www.mitchellassociates.biz

June 9, 2008

Mr. Richard Knowland, Senior Planner City of Portland Division of Planning and Development 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

## RE: Bayside Village A Student Housing Complex Conditions of Diminimus Change Approval

Dear Rick:

In accordance with the City approval letter, dated March 27, 2008, for the diminimus change request for the proposed air cooled chiller, we have prepared the enclosed Exhibit A, dated June 9, 2008. In accordance with the approval letter, we have provided additional plantings in front of the proposed fence. We have added three (3) Wichita Blue Juniper (upright) and seven (7) Bearberry (ground cover) to supplement the original proposed Red Maple. We trust that these additional plantings will address the condition of approval.

The general contractor needs to provide the landscape contractor with a list of additional material as soon as possible. Once Jeff Tarling has reviewed the proposed planting, please notify us so that we may notify the general contractor.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

OB MOTTALF

Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosure

cc: Ryan Leavitt Dan Noblet Erica Martin Ben Walter



Bayside Village Student Housing

construction company Transmittal 00633

.

12/7/2007

uensinuer no			ALCONSTITUCES (ALCONSTITUCE)		
Marge Schmuckal City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, MAINE 04101			Erica Martin Pizzagalli Construction 131 Presumpscot Stree Portland, ME 04103	i Company et	
T: 207-874-8695	F: 207-874	-8716	T: 207-874-2323	F: 207-874-2727	
				a da antina	
WE ARE SENDING		SUBMITTED	FOR:	ACTION TAKEN:	
Shop Drawings	Letter	Approval		Approved as Submitted	
Prints	Change Order	Your Use		Approved as Noted	
Plans	Specifications	As Requested		Returned After Loan	
Samples		Review and C	omment	Resubmit	
Other:				Submit	
Reference:		SENT VIA:		Returned	
Chiller Acoustical Calculations		Attached		Returned for Corrections	
		Separate Cove	er Vla:	Due Date:	
TEM NO. COPIES D/	ATE ITEM	NUMBER	REV. NO. DESCRIPT	ION	STATUS
001 1 12	2/6/2007		Bayside Vil Calculation	lage Chiller Acoustical s	NEW

DEC 7 2007



Remarks



Pizzagalli Construction Attn: Erica Martin 131 Presumpscot Street Portland, ME 04103

Re: Bayside Village Chiller Acoustical Calculations



Dear Ms. Martin:

The following is a report of my acoustical evaluation of the impact of the new chiller for the Bayside Village Project on the neighboring residential zone.

As well as my calculations, I personally visited the site with you from 11:00 AM to 12:00 PM on Wednesday, December 5, 2008 to observe the site conditions and determine if there were any extenuating circumstances that might affect these calculations.

### Proposed Equipment:

After reviewing the chiller submittal I noted that a rotary screw compressor was selected for this project. This is much preferable to a reciprocating compressor chiller for noise criteria. Also, it was marked that a low sound package was being utilized.

The Portland Noise Ordinance states:

"In addition to the sound level standards established above, all uses located within this zone <u>shall employ best practicable sound abatement</u> <u>techniques</u> to prevent tonal sounds and impulse sounds or, if such tonal and impulse sound cannot be prevented, to minimize the impact of such sounds in residential zones."

I believe that these selections meet the requirements of employing the "best practicable sound abatement techniques".

### **Existing Conditions:**

The chiller is to be located outside near the I295 roadway and essentially behind the line of two large buildings but aligned with an open driveway between. Across the adjacent Marginal Way is a parking area with a metal working yard adjacent. At the end of the parking lot is a broken line of evergreen trees. Another block further is a row of two story buildings. On the next block is a row of three story buildings with discontinuous 4<sup>th</sup> story mechanical penthouses above. Finally, across Lancaster Avenue, 1270 feet away, is the closet residential development.

Sound pressure level (SPL) measurements at the edge of the parking lot nearest the trees was 62 dBA at 11:30 AM. Measurements at the edge of the Residential area were never measured at less than 52 dBA at 11:55 AM. The source of these sounds were primarily traffic noise, much of which will diminish in frequency of occurrence during later hours when traffic volume decreases, but not in overall loudness.

### Calculations:

See attached sheet. The first calculation is a worst case, first order approximation based solely on distance. Under these conditions, SPL decreases 6 dB for each doubling of distance. Because the chiller is located on the ground and not suspended in free air, all of the sound radiates into one hemisphere, increasing the SPL in that direction by 6 dB. I am assuming, with substantial areas of pavement, a worst case scenario that the reflection is 100% although in reality some fraction of the sound would be absorbed by the soil covered areas. This would likely reduce the SPL by 1 or 2 dB. With this simple calculation, the predicted SPL is 46.9 dBA easily meeting the <50dBA requirement of the Ordinance.

The second calculation is a more rigorous analysis by octave band including the loss due to direct attenuation by the atmosphere. I am again figuring the worst case scenario of a hot humid day when the air is thinner and has less absorption.

Other factors such as the obstruction by two rows of buildings would further attenuate the sound but because the buildings are much more than a quarter of the distance towards the residential zone the calculations are non trivial and for the purposes of this analysis are not necessary. The resulting predicted SPL can be no more than 43.5 dBA and most likely would be 10 dB lower than that if all other factors were included. The resulting predicted 43.5 dBA is at least 6.5 dB below the allowed maximum hence providing a significant safety factor.

### Verification:

The only possible method to measure the actual chiller SPL in the residential zone would be to wait for a dead calm night with absolutely no wind and minimal traffic on I295 and in the city. The chiller would have to be turned on and off to verify the source of the noise with a corresponding increase and decrease of SPL reading on the sound level meter. Because of the multiple sources of noise in the range of 50 dBA or more and an expected real SPL of less than 35 dB for the chiller, any attempted measurement will very likely be overwhelmed by ambient background noise.

### **Recommendations:**

The acoustical fence around the chiller is unnecessary for this project. As demonstrated in the preceding calculations, the project easily meets the requirements of the ordinance without an enclosure. In order for an acoustical fence to be effective, it would need to be 3 to 4 feet higher than the 9' chiller package and spaced relatively close to the chiller. This potentially jeopardizes the chiller operation and definitely increases energy consumption because of possible short circuiting of ventilation exhaust air with the intake to the condensing coils. With the optional low sound package included, the chiller enclosure itself acts as a fence, effectively blocking more sound than a fence.

If you have further questions please feel free to call me at (207) 276-5350.

Sincerely,

Dwight M. Lampher, P.E. Electrical Engineer, President enc.

cc. MacFile: 2007-078 Cover letter email: emartim@pizzagalli.com



email: dlanpher@lanpherassociates.com web: http://www.lanpherassociates.com



December 7, 2007

Architect / Client: **Pizzsgall Construction** Contact: **Erica Martin, Proj Engineer** Project Number: **LA 2007-064** Project Name: **Bayside Village Chiller Acoustical Calcs** 

Area Served: 132 Marginal Way

Northeast Harbor, ME 04662 Tel: (207)276-5350 Fax: 276-4067

Lanpher Associates, Inc.

Dwight M. Lanpher, P.E. PO Box 472, One Summit Road

dlanpher@lanpherassociates.com

SPL	=	-20 log (di	stance	in feet.	/refere	nce dis	tance)				
Charles & makers	-	-20 log (14	270750	9 		2201					
Simple Analysis	-	-28.1	de atte	enuatio	n at 14	270		ANTHONY	inning OF 1	NEXESSIENCE.	
Given SPL for Chiller:	4	69	dBA at	50		5 I			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1.A. A.	
Gain for radiating into 1 m steradians:	+ -	0	an (ao	ubles li		2 spner	e)	ື ເງັ <sub>ຍ</sub> ັ	DW IGH1	1	
Net SPL at 1270		40,9	UDA						MARTIN ANPHEI 5387 WSKI ONAK	2 . CAR	
Octave band, full analysis:								/ **** 12	<sup>Magaann</sup> 7-20	,007 077	
Attenuation due to atmosphere:							V				
Atmospheric Pressure:		14.7	PSI								
Conditions:		90	°F					l.			
		50	% RH					·	No. of Concerns, or other states of the stat		.p.c.*'- *
Frequency in hertz:		31	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	
dB Attenuation per kilometer:		-0.02	-0.1	-0.3	-1.2	-3.6	-7.6	-12.6	-24.8	-70.6	dB/KM
Distance in KM: 0.387	Х.	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	KM
Calculated attenuation:	,	-0.01	-0.03	-0.13	-0.46	-1.40	-2.94	-4.88	-9.61	-27.3	dΒ
(Distance in Feet: 1270	)										Total
		31	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	dRA
Chiller dBA SPi at 50'		19	36	51	57	62	65	61	57	45	69
Gain for radiating into $1\pi$ steradians:	+	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Distance attenuation:	-	-28.1	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28	
Loss from simple barrier:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Loss from Buildings:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Loss from attenuation of atmosphere	-	-0.01	-0.03	-0.13	-0.46	-1.40	-2.94	-4.88	-9.61	-27.3	
		-3.1	13.9	28.8	34.4	38.5	40.0	34.0	25.3	-4.4	dB
Adding dB Add to		L	120	ا م	I	I	l	1	l	0	
When 2 dB's differ by Higher		0	13.9	I	35.4	2.5	42.5	I	35		
			35	35 /			12 5	13.0			
2 01 5 2 4 to 8 1			33	55.4			42.5	10.0			
9 or more 0				38.4			42.5	0			
			-			<b></b>					
				/44	Î	43.5					
Net SPL at 1270'	:	3 43.5	dBA								

Lanpher Associates, Inc. Design Services

Acoustical Qualifications for Dwight M. Lanpher, P.E.:

B.S. Electrical Engineering University of Maine at Orono 1977 Specific acoustical coursework completed:

EE31	Elements of Communications
	Instructor: Dr. Waldo M. Libbey
EE190	Senior Project: 1/3 Octave Band Acoustical Analyzer
	Instructor: Dr. E.M. Fields
EE196	Electro Acoustics
	Instructor: Dr. Waldo M. Libbey
EE197	Environmental Noise Control
	Instructor: Dr. Waldo M. Libbey

Instructor for OSHA noise training at Jackson Laboratory 1979-1984 in cooperation with Laboratory Safety Officer Arden Peach Certified Professional Engineer, State of Maine 1986

Test Equipment:

The following test equipment are owned by Dwight M. Lanpher. All units have been factory calibrated with equipment with calibration traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. All equipment is recalibrated at a certified laboratory within twelve months prior of readings.

Sound Level Meter: Quest Model 215, Serial Number M8100013 Meets ANSI standard S1.4-1983 for type 2 Sound level meters and IEC-651 type II standards.

Octave Band Analyzer: Quest Model OB45, Serial Number N8090038 Meets ANSI standard S1.11-1971 for type II octave band units.

Peak Hold Meter: Quest Model PH-35, Serial Number P9100003 Meets ANSI standard S1.4-1983 for type II peak hold meters. IEC impact response position meets IEC 651 specifications.

Calibrator: Quest Model CA-12B, Serial Number U8100069 Meets ANSI S1.40-1984. 110 dB fixed level.

Weather Measurement:

Weather temperature and humidity data is taken with a Bacharach sling psychrometer.

Air Pressure is measured with a Thommen 27,000' Altimeter calibrated daily against a mercury column barometer.
From:	Rick Knowland
To:	Bob Metcalf
Date:	10/30/2007 8:28:44 AM
Subject:	student housing

Bob, Thanks for the updated info on the student housing projecy received yesterday. We'l review this material at tomorrows staff meeting. Could you tell the dimensions and height of the "pak-trainer" versus the size of the previous trash compactor? Does this change the dimensions of the enclosure? Thanks.



The Staples Schoo: 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 F: 207.774.4427 F: 207.874.2460 www.mitchellassociates.biz

June 9, 2008

Mr. Richard Knowland, Senior Planner City of Portland Division of Planning and Development 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

# RE: Bayside Village A Student Housing Complex Diminimus Change Request

Dear Rick,

The following request is being made to address changes in the roof deck garden design that we discussed on May 2, 2008 and a change in pavement material for the sidewalk between Bayside Village and 84 Marginal Way and between Bayside Village and Miss Portland Diner. As we discussed, there is a significant cost factor associated with the construction of the roof deck as designed and approved. We are proposing the following changes to the roof deck that we believe do not impact the integrity of the design:

- Reduced the number of shrub planter boxes from 8 to 6. A total reduction of 2 shrub planter boxes.
- Reduced the number of tree planter boxes from 10 to 9. A total reduction of 1 tree planter box.
- Reduced the number of shrubs from 74 to 62. A total reduction of 12 shrubs.
- Reduced the number of trees from 14 to 13. A total reduction of 1 tree.
- Reduced the number of herbaceous plant material from 2029 to 2003. A total reduction of 26 herbaceous plants (included change in species).
- Eliminated a small planting bed (56 SF) and replaced with pavers.

Mr. Richard Knowland; Senior Planner Page 2

We are also requesting a change in sidewalk paving material for the on-site sidewalk between Bayside Village Student Housing and 84 Marginal Way (Intermed) from concrete to bituminous concrete. The sidewalk for 84 Marginal Way from the Preble Street Extension that connects to the Bayside sidewalk was approved as bituminous.

The other pavement change request is for the on-site brick sidewalk, which runs along the Miss Portland Diner side of the site to bituminous concrete. The proposed sidewalk on the Miss Portland side of the access drive is bituminous.

We have provided the enclosed plan defining the areas of change. The proposed changes are being requested to address significant cost factors associated with the project. We do not believe that these changes have a negative impact on the design of the project and are consistent with adjacent development currently under construction.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosure

cc: Ryan Leavitt Dan Noblet Erica Martin Ben Walter



The Staples School 70 Center Street Portlanc, Maine 0410 F: 207.774.4427 F: 207.874.2460 www.mitchellassociates.tsiz

June 9, 2008

Mr. Richard Knowland, Senior Planner City of Portland Division of Planning and Development 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

# RE: Bayside Village A Student Housing Complex Conditions of Diminimus Change Approval

Dear Rick:

In accordance with the City approval letter, dated March 27, 2008, for the diminimus change request for the proposed air cooled chiller, we have prepared the enclosed Exhibit A, dated June 9, 2008. In accordance with the approval letter, we have provided additional plantings in front of the proposed fence. We have added three (3) Wichita Blue Juniper (upright) and seven (7) Bearberry (ground cover) to supplement the original proposed Red Maple. We trust that these additional plantings will address the condition of approval.

The general contractor needs to provide the landscape contractor with a list of additional material as soon as possible. Once Jeff Tarling has reviewed the proposed planting, please notify us so that we may notify the general contractor.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

23 MBTGAF

Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosure

cc:

Ryan Leavitt Dan Noblet Erica Martin Ben Walter





Rick Knowland - Fwd: Bayside village street improvement plans

From:	Rick Knowland
To:	RNorwood@gorrillpalmer.com
Date:	12/26/2007 9:39:50 AM
Subject:	Fwd: Bayside village street improvement plans

Ralph, Attached are comments from Mike Farmer of Public Works regarding the traffic improvements for Bayside Village. Previously you received comments from Tom Errico.

The plans should show a handicap ramp on the easterly (northerly side) of the Bayside Village/Miss Portland Diner common driveway since there will be a crosswalk across Marginal Way as well as the westerly slide of the driveway.

I am awaiting further input from Tom and Jim on the striping of the crosswalk across Marginal Way which I will forward to you when I receive those comments.

Should you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

>>> Michael Farmer Wednesday, December 19, 2007 >>> I have the following comments based on the plans revised as of Nov. 30, 2007.

The City's minimum requirements for pavement structure in a street of this classification include the following.

2" thickness of surface course asphalt pavement

3" thickness of base course asphalt pavement

3" thickness of aggregate base course crushed grave! (Type A)

18" thickness of aggregate subbasae course gravel (Type D)

The total thickness of new pavement should equal the greater of the existing pavement depth or 5 inches.

Michael Farmer, Project Engineer Dept. of Public Works 55 Portland Street Portland, ME 04101 phone: 207-874-8845 fax: 207-874-8852

From:	"Rick Knowland " <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
To:	<pre>  fometcalf@mitchellassociates.biz&gt;</pre>
Date:	10/31/2007 3:31:01 PM
Subject:	student housing project

Bob, At Wednesday's staff review meeting we discussed the dumpster/compactor issue. After looking at the plan there isn't any enthusiasm among staff for a dumpster enclosure on city owned land and its location at the terminus of Chestnut Street. I appreciate the fact that this use will generate alot of waste but this solution doesn't have any support.

I don't know what to say other than to seek another alternative. Perhaps may be a smaller trash (low tech) hauler out there that does not have as sophisticated equiptment as larger haulers that could accommodate their needs. I suppose if the applicant doesn't like staff's answer on the revision this could be referred to the planning board. Someone from City whether it be the City Manager's Office or the City Council will presumably need to approve a license for the dumpster on City land.

CC: "Alex Jaegerman " <AQJ@portlandmaine.gov>, "Barbara Barhydt" <BAB@portlandmaine.gov>

Rick Knowland - RE: Bayside Village

From:	"Bob Metcalf" <rmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz></rmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz>
To:	"Rick Knowland " <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	11/16/2007 10:01:43 AM
Subject:	RE: Bayside Village

Thanks Rick

-----Original Message-----From: Rick Knowland [mailto:RWK@portlandmaine.gov] Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 8:02 AM To: Bob Metcalf Subject: RE: Bayside Village

Bob, I thought we were in good shape. I'll re-look at your list that you prepared. I should be able to get back to you today or Monday at the latest.

>>> "Bob Metcalf" <rmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz> Thursday, November 15, 2007 >>> Rick.

Thank you for the response. I will review these comments with the owner

and design team and get back to you as soon as possible so that you may

have something to review at your next staff meeting. In regards to the

other diminimus change request, are there any outstanding issues?

Bob

-----Original Message-----From: Rick Knowland [mailto:RWK@portlandmaine.gov] Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2007 8:57 AM To: Bob Metcalf Cc: Alex Jaegerman ; Barbara Barhydt Subject: Re: Bayside Village

Bob, Since our meeting with J.Cloutier last week I have not talked to Alex about the dumpster enclosure. One comment at the meeting was whether the dumpster could be pushed closer to or into the building. The

enclosure intrudes 4 feet into the driveway right of way. Can it be reduced further?

The other issue discussed at the meeting was the material of the dumpster doorway. Wood fence doors typically get smashed by the trash truck. J. Cloutier suggested a metal frame gate with plastic slats. I suspect the same thing will probably happen. Another alternative would be a custom metal gate that has a creative design to it that would be sturdier and improve the aesthetics of the situation.

This all goes back to the fact there is an intrusion of the enclosure onto city land and so the intrusion should either disappear and if not possible mitigate the physical appearance of it. The design of the gate

From:	Rick Knowland
To:	rmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz
Date:	11/21/2007 1:25:27 PM
Subject:	bayside student housing

Bob, We discussed the dumpster enclosure for the student housing project at todays staff meeting. There is no support for approving a plan that has the dumpster or dumpster enclosure on city property. We will not be able to approve that site revision.

I signed off on the student housing project this morning so that a permit can be issued. Don't know if it can be issued today because of limited staff today. Monday a better bet. There are a bunch of conditions on my sign-off related to the planning board conditions of approval.

On another note I hope you have a Happy Thanksgiving.

From:	Rick Knowland
To:	rmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz
Date:	11/21/2007 1:39:24 PM
Subject:	Fwd: Re: student housing

Bob, This email lists all the conditions of approval from Planning that were communicated to the Building Inspection Office that will be going on the building permit. Obviously a few details to follow-up on.

.....

. .. ..... ......

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . ...

1. The dumpster recycling addition has not been approved. Subject to Planning Division review and approval.

2. Air cooler chiller unit/electric generator etc. subject to review and approval by the Zoning Administrator regarding noise issues. Applicant shall submit appropriate noise information to the Zoning Administrator.

3. Signage plan shall be subject to Planning Division review and approval. The previously submitted plan was not acceptable.

4. Applicant is subject to the requirements outlined in Tom Errico's memo dated 10-20-06. Roadway improvement plans shall be submitted to the Planing Division by 11-30-07 for review and approval by Tom Errico. A Travel Demand Management Plan shall be submitted to the Planning Division for review and approval prior to the issuance a Certificate of Occupancy.

5. Project is subject to the Planning Board approval letter for this project dated November 20, 2006 with 9 conditions of approval.

CC: Rick Knowland

PLANT LIST KEY QTY BOTANICAL A. RA 1 ACER RUBE A. UU 7 ARCTOSTA	I MEA (2) I MEA (2) I MAME RUM 'ARMSTRONG' PHYLOS UVA-URSI	COMMON NAME ARMSTRONG RED N BEARBERRY	SIZ 1APLE 2 1 #1	E 1/2"-3" CAL
A. RA 1 ACER RUB SHRUBS	2UM 'ARMSTRONG'	ARMSTRONG RED 1	1APLE 21	1/2"-3" CAL
A. UU 7 ARCTOSTA J. WB 3 JUNIPERUS	PHYLOS UVA-URSI SCOPULORUM 'WICHITA BLUE'	BEARBERRY WICHITA BLUE JUNIF	#1 PER #7	
Mitchell & Associates Landscape Architects 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 (207) 774-4427	Title:DE MINIMIS CHAN AIR CHILLER LANDate:JUNE 9, 2008Project:BAYSIDE VILLAGE	NGE REQUEST DSCAPING Scale: AS NOTED	North:	A



Strengthening a Remarkable City, Building a Community for Life ' www.portlandmaine.gov

Planning and Development Department Lee D. Urban, Director

Planning Division Alexander Jaegerman, Director

January 29, 2008

Mr. Robert Metcalf Mitchell Associates 70 Center Street Portland, ME 04101

RE: Bayside Village; 120 Marginal Way #2006-0125; CBL: 34A-B-1

Dear Bob,

This letter is to confirm that the Portland Planning Authority has reviewed and approved the relocation of a dumpster enclosure within the property lines of the Bayside Village development. The revision is described in a cover letter dated 12-24-07 and a plan dated 12-21-07. The approval is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The dumpster enclosure exterior doors shall be closed at all times except for when the dumpster is removed or replaced.
- 2. The pavement in front of the dumpster enclosure is concrete. If a license from the City is required, the applicant shall submit a license request to the City.
- 3. The driveway width in front of the dumpster shall be a minimum of 24 feet wide.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please call Rick Knowland at 874-8725.

Sincerely, alexande,

Alexander Jaegerman Planning Division Director

#### **Electronic Distribution**

ec: Lee D. Urban, Planning and Development Department Director Alexander Jaegerman, Planning Division Director Barbara Barhydt, Development Review Services Manager Richard Knowland, Senior Planner



The Stoppes School 79 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 P: 207.774.4427 F: 207.874.2460 www.mitchellassociates.bb

December 24, 2007

Mr. Richard Knowland, Senior Planner City of Portland Division of Planning and Development 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

### RE: Bayside Village A Student Housing Complex Diminimus Change Request

Dear Rick,

We have revisited options to address the design and location of the proposed trash enclosure and have prepared the attached exhibits for your review. The enclosure area has been redesigned to be entirely within the boundary of the student housing parcel. The enclosure extends eight (8) feet from the face of the main structure. The access gate will be parallel with the façade of the building and not visible from Marginal Way. Structural changes were made to permit the trash and recycling area to extend into the garage. The enclosure will be constructed of the same masonry block used in the main building. The gate will be wood clad to conceal the unit from the Miss Portland Dinner site. Recycling units will be contained within an enclosure in the garage with access from the exterior for removal. The contractor needs direction as soon as possible as they are nearing that end of the structure,

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely, Mitchell & Associates

PMERDUP

Robert B. Metcalf

Enclosure

Cc Ryan Leavitt Dan Noblet Erica Martin Ben Walter





From:	Rick Knowland
To:	James Carmody; TERRICO@wilbursmith.com
Date:	12/19/2007 2:56:10 PM
Subject:	84 marginal way-bayside village comments

Tom and Jim, I forwarded the Marginal Way improvement plan (84 marginal way and bayside viillage) to G-P today and said that further comments from engineering and myself would be forwarded shortly. I do have a few questions that I'd be interested in your feedback on which I can pose to G-P depending on your thoughts on the matter.

1. What type of pedestrian crosswalk striping are they proposing at the Marginal Way-Preble Street intersection? In the Marginal Way Master Plan (August 18, 2006) I see a heavy duty very wide crosswalk (not unlike the one on the famous Beatle's album cover) with large painted blocks. My vote would be for a wide one. We are trying to create a safe pedestrian environment but despite our best efforts the crossing distances will still be a challenge for many pedestrians. I would also beef up the pedestrian crossing striping for the crosswalk by Hannaford's and the crosswalks on Marginal Way by Chestnut Street.

2. And the one last question (I've asked Tom this numerous times and I can't let go). The radius on the corner of Marginal Way and Preble Street by 84 Marginal Way seems larger than the radii on the other corners? Can it be reduced?

Thanks for your thoughts on these questions.

CC: Alex Jaegerman

From:	Rick Knowland
To:	RNorwood@gorrilipalmer.com
Date:	12/21/2007 3:56:49 PM
Subject:	Fwd: 84 Marginal Way project - Street improvement plans by Gorrill Palmer

Ralph, I am forwarding comments from Mike Farmer of Public Works regarding 84 Marginal Way. I have posed some questions to Tom Errico and Jim Carmody regarding striping of cross walks and one other issue which I hope to receive input on soon so these are not definitive comments yet.

>>> Michael Farmer Wednesday, December 19, 2007 >>> I am submitting the following comments regarding the recently submitted street improvement plans.

1. The typical pavement structure cross sections on sheet 2 should be consistent with City standards. The City's minimum requirements for pavement structure in a street of this classification include the following.

2" thickness of surface course asphalt pavement

3" thickness of base course asphalt pavement

3" thickness of aggregate base course crushed gravel (Type A)

18" thickness of aggregate subbasae course gravel (Type D)

The total thickness of new pavement should equal the greater of the existing pavement depth or 5 inches.

2. The City sidewalk materials policy calls for concrete sidewalks on Preble Street extension and Brick on Marginal Way. One of the cross sections in the plans shows an asphalt pavement sidewalk. I question whether or not Asphalt sidewalks have been approved anywhere on this project.

3.The raised concrete island detail calls for a concrete thickness of 4"-8". What thicknesses apply to what areas on the plans? I could not find any notes on the plans stating where the 4" concrete depth would be used, where the 8" depth would be used, and where any depth between 4" and 8" would be used. I think 8" is fine. I question whether 4", or anything less than 8" is acceptable.

4. Should the concrete in the medians be Class LP? Should the reinforcing be epoxy coated?

5. The granite curb layout should show that the granite curbing continues across the bottoms of the sidewalk ramps.

6. Curb section 153-154 should be at least 4 feet long since the City does not generally accept individual granite curb pieces shorter than 4 feet.

7. Note 12, sheet 3 should state that traffic control plans are subject to review and approval by the City Transportation Engineer.

8. Note 15 (sheet 3, I recall) does not allow two way traffic. I question whether this note should be changed. Generally, the City wants two-way traffic. What we do not want are lane closures.

9. Should note 35 be changed to say that truncated dome pavers are required to contrast in color compared to the standard sidewalk brick. In other words, do we want red brick sidewalk next to red truncated dome pavers?

10. On sheet 6, the depth of the concrete island(s) should be stated.

Michael Farmer, Project Engineer Dept. of Public Works 55 Portland Street Portland, ME 04101 phone: 207-874-8845 fax: 207-874-8852

From:	Rick Knowland
To:	Gorrill, Thomas; Metcalf, Bob
Date:	11/20/2007 10:42:10 AM
Subject:	RE: Bayside Village

Tom, Thank you for the update. In order to save time could copies of the plan be delivered to Tom Errico and Jim Carmody directly? I'll need 4 additional copies also. Thanks and have a great Thanksgiving.

>>> "Thomas Gorrill" <TGorrill@gorrillpalmer.com> Tuesday, November 20, 2007 >>> Hi Rick:

Bob Metcalf requested that we provide you an estimated schedule for submitting the review plans for the offsite improvements for 84 Marginal Way as well as Bayside. The review plans for 84 Marginal Way offsites will be submitted to your office sometime on Monday the 26th. The plans for the Bayside offsites will be submitted to your office by next Friday the 30th.

Thomas L. Gorrill,PE,PTOE Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc PO Box 1237 15 Shaker Road Gray, Maine 04039 Tel 657-6910 Fax 657-6912

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from

any computer

From: Bob Metcalf [mailto:rmetcalf@mitchellassociates.biz] Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2007 10:09 AM To: Thomas Gorrill Subject: Bayside Village

Hi Tom,

I just spoke with Rick Knowland and he requested that an email from you documenting the schedule to submit the plans for the road improvements. He also asked about 84 Marginal and I indicated yopu were looking at either this week or next week to submit their plans as well. Would you provide Rick with an email for his files and so that he can discuss this at staff meeting tomorrow.

From:	"Errico, Thomas A" <terrico@wilbursmith.com></terrico@wilbursmith.com>
To:	"Rick Knowland " <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	12/14/2007 11:19:58 AM
Subject:	Bayside Village - Roadway Improvement Plan

Rick -

The following summarizes my initial comments related to a review of the Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineering, Inc. plans transmitted on December 4, 2007. Please note that my review focused on traffic engineering items.

1. Note 15 on Sheet 2 should indicate that construction cannot begin until the traffic control plan has been reviewed and approved by the City of Portland.

2. Note 19 should include accordance with City of Portland standards.

3. The typical sections on Sheet 3 should be revised to note a minimum bicycle lane width of 5 feet.

4. The applicant shall provide backup traffic information that supports the length of the two lanes from Preble Street towards Chestnut Street, before tapering to one lane.

5. The traffic and parking divisions should review and approve the sign types and conformance with City standards, particularly signs 16 and 17.

6. The applicant should provide the width dimension at the beginning of the two-way left turn lane near station 15+50.

7. The crosswalk at Chestnut Street is proposed as two parallel lines. The City should confirm that a higher level of design is not warranted.

8. Sign 14 for westbound motorists should be located a close to the proposed crosswalk as possible.

9. If there is a future possibility of a crosswalk on Marginal Way east of Chestnut Street, I would suggest that the handicapped ramp design be modified for this future condition.

10. In areas where the shoulder width is substantial, the City has provided an additional painted line to distinguish the bicycle lane (an example is Auburn Street). The City should provide guidance use of this layout for this project.

11. On Marginal Way in the westbound direction, two lanes transition to one within the project limits. The plans should include the appropriate pavement marking and signage changes to account for the transition.

12. On Marginal Way in the eastbound direction, the transition to existing roadway lane, shoulder, and bicycle lane has not been accounted for. The plans should be revised to ensure the proposed changes match existing conditions east of the improvement area.

If you have any questions, please contact me.















el com

May 14, 2008

Rick Knowland Senior Planner City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

Re: Bayside Village Student Housing Complex, 132 Marginal Way, Portland, ME

Dear Rick:

This letter represents a follow-up to your job site visits were we reviewed the metal panel revisions at the Bayside Village Project. The following items are revisions to the original approval by the Planning Board on November 14, 2006:

1) Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete (GFRC) Parapets and Accent Band. During the approval process with the City, GFRC was approved for the parapets and accent band around the building. During the design review process of the building it was discovered that GFRC is not a valid product for a wood building application. Prefabricated GFRC should be anchored to structural steel and not wood. Therefore, the design team went back to the original plan of metal panels (as shown on the rendering drawing attached for your use) for the parapets and accent band around the building. The product being used is the same material as the silver metallic Firestone Una-Clad UC-501 vertical oriented metal siding wall panels in the courtyard, except bent at a different profile. Attached for your use is the cut sheet on the UC-501 panel system and two elevations showing the dimensions of the parapet and accent band layout. Please note that the panels will only be approximately 20" wide in order to minimize the chance of any "oil canning." The original rendering showed metal panels approximately 40" wide.

2) Citadel ProCore Prefinished Architectural Panels. The ProCore panel that was approved by the City was a two-piece reveal molding system shown on the attached detail 1B System Isometric, Option 2. The ProCore panel that we are looking to install at the Bayside project is a two-piece extruded molding system shown on the attached detail 1A System Isometric, Option 1. The extruded molding system was ordered and delivered to the jobsite instead of the approved reveal molding. The issue we have is the moldings have a custom coating on them, and it will take seven to eight weeks for the new moldings. With this fast track project this delay would be detrimental to finishing on time. The panels between the moldings are the same. The only difference is the moldings that surround each panel. The reveal molding (option 2) has a ½" gap in the cover plate, while the extruded molding (option 1) has a flat cover plate. The rest of the metal siding systems around the perimeter of the building all have flat moldings which would match option 1. Both moldings cost the same, have the same finish, have the same performance, and have the same warranty. The original reveal molding has the possibility of collecting debris and insects in the ½" gap where the extruded molding system is a flat cover plate.

131 Presumpscot Street, Portland, ME 04103

May 14, 2008 Mr. Rick Knowland Page 2

The project next door (84 Marginal Way) is also using the same extruded moldings on their approved panels as we are proposing for Bayside. This would give both adjacent buildings the same look.

Both of the above items are time sensitive, and we would appreciate anything the City can do to approve the proposed materials by Tuesday May 20, 2008. Thank you for your help in finalizing the metal panel system for the Bayside Village Student Housing Project.

Sincerely, Pizzagalli Construction Company

Daniel P. Noblet Project Manager

Attachments





UL-90 RATED (STEEL & AUXAINUM) ASTM-8330-90 STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE TESTING ASTM-8283 AIE INFLUERATION TEST ASTM-8331 WARER PENETKATION TEST

NOTES:

). HEAVIER GAUGES, MARROWER WIDTHS, AND EMBOSSING MINIMIZE FOIL CAMELING."

2. OIL CANRING IS NOT A CAUSE FOR REJECTION,

3. AN EXTERSIVE SELECTION OF ASSOCIATED PANEL PLASHINGS AND THINS ARE AVAILABLE.

4. CONTACT UNACLAD FOR UP-TO-DATE TECHNICAL INFORMATION AND HADEMAN LIMITATIONS.

5, ALL SYSTEMS WITH TESTING HUST BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ASSEMBLY AS TESTED.

Copyrigh: © 2/14.06 by Frestone Metal Products/UNA-GLAD

300/426-7737

003





The Staples School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 P: 207.774.4427 F: 207.874.2460 www.mitchelkassociates.blz

# MEMORANDUM

TO: Rick Knowland

**FROM:** Bob Metcalf

DATE: October 21, 2008

RE: Bayside Village Student Housing

Rick,

Enclosed are two copies of an executed license agreement for the roadway lighting on Marginal Way in front of Bayside Village Student Housing. The Owner has signed the license agreement. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

cc Terry Turner



#### LICENSE AGREEMENT

FOR VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the CITY OF PORTLAND, a Maine body corporate and politic, with a mailing address of City Hall, 389 Congress Street, Portland, Maine 04101 (the "City"), hereby GRANTS to Bayside Village Student Housing LLC, a Maine limited liability company with a place of business in Portland, Maine and mailing address c/o Bayside Village Student Housing LLC, 247 Commercial Street, Suite A, Rockport, Maine 04856 (hereinafter the "Licensee"), a revocable license to occupy portions of land owned by the City on or near Marginal Way in Portland, Cumberland County, Maine, which City land abuts the property of Licensee described in deeds from the City dated January 30, 2007 and recorded in the Cumberland County Registry of Deeds in Book 24806, Page 230 ("Licensee's Property"), the foregoing license being for the purpose of allowing encroachments on or over the City's land by the placement, within the City's right-of-way, of street lights owned and powered by Licensee, more particularly described and depicted on **Exhibit A** attached hereto and made a part hereof. The license granted in this license agreement is subject to the following conditions:

1. Licensee, its successors and assigns shall indemnify the City, its officers, agents, and employees from any and all claims which arise out of its use, or the use of others, of the City's land pursuant to this license agreement.

2. Licensee shall procure and maintain liability insurance in an amount of not less than Four Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$400,000) combined single limit, covering claims for bodily injury, death and property damage and shall either name the City as an additional insured with respect to such coverage or shall obtain a contractual liability endorsement covering the obligations of Licensee under the terms of this license agreement.

3. This license agreement is assignable to any subsequent owners of Licensee's Property.

4. This license agreement may be revoked upon six (6) months written notice by the City.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this license agreement to be executed this \_\_\_\_ day of October, 2008.

#### CITY OF PORTLAND

By:

Joseph E. Gray, Jr. City Manager

**BAYSIDE VILLAGE STUDENT HOUSING LLC** By: Joseph\Cloutier Its Manager

STATE OF MAINE CUMBERLAND, ss.

, 2008

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named Joseph E. Gray, Jr., City Manager of the City of Portland as aforesaid, and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his free act and deed in his said capacity and the free act and deed of the City of Portland.

Before me,

Notary Public/Attorney at Law Print name: My commission expires:

#### STATE OF MAINE CUMBERLAND, ss.

October , 2008

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named Joseph Cloutier-, Manager of Bayside Village Student Housing LLC as aforesaid, and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his free act and deed in his said capacity and the free act and deed of said company.

Before me,

Michaele M Est Notary Public/Attorney at Law

My commission expires: August 6, 2011

O:\OFFICE\PENNY\license\63 Marginal Way.doc


#### LICENSE AGREEMENT

FOR VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the CITY OF PORTLAND, a Maine body corporate and politic, with a mailing address of City Hall, 389 Congress Street, Portland, Maine 04101 (the "City"), hereby GRANTS to Bayside Village Student Housing LLC, a Maine limited liability company with a place of business in Portland, Maine and mailing address c/o Bayside Village Student Housing LLC, 247 Commercial Street, Suite A, Rockport, Maine 04856 (hereinafter the "Licensee"), a revocable license to occupy portions of land owned by the City on or near Marginal Way in Portland, Cumberland County, Maine, which City land abuts the property of Licensee described in deeds from the City dated January 30, 2007 and recorded in the Cumberland County Registry of Deeds in Book 24806, Page 230 ("Licensee's Property"), the foregoing license being for the purpose of allowing encroachments on or over the City's land by the placement, within the City's right-of-way, of street lights owned and powered by Licensee, more particularly described and depicted on **Exhibit A** attached hereto and made a part hereof. The license granted in this license agreement is subject to the following conditions:

1. Licensee, its successors and assigns shall indemnify the City, its officers, agents, and employees from any and all claims which arise out of its use, or the use of others, of the City's land pursuant to this license agreement.

2. Licensee shall procure and maintain liability insurance in an amount of not less than Four Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$400,000) combined single limit, covering claims for bodily injury, death and property damage and shall either name the City as an additional insured with respect to such coverage or shall obtain a contractual liability endorsement covering the obligations of Licensee under the terms of this license agreement.

3. This license agreement is assignable to any subsequent owners of Licensee's Property.

4. This license agreement may be revoked upon six (6) months written notice by the City.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this license agreement to be executed this \_\_\_\_ day of October, 2008.

#### CITY OF PORTLAND

By:

Joseph E. Gray, Jr. City Manager

		and the second s	
BAYSIDE	VILLA	GĘ STUDĖŅT	HOUSING LLC
	By:		and the second s
	-	Joseph Cloutier	•
		Its Manager	

STATE OF MAINE CUMBERLAND, ss.

, 2008

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named Joseph E. Gray, Jr., City Manager of the City of Portland as aforesaid, and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his free act and deed in his said capacity and the free act and deed of the City of Portland.

Before me,

Notary Public/Attorney at Law Print name: My commission expires:

#### STATE OF MAINE CUMBERLAND, ss.

Clober 7, 2008

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named Joseph Cloutier-, Manager of Bayside Village Student Housing LLC as aforesaid, and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his free act and deed in his said capacity and the free act and deed of said company.

Before me,

Notary Public/Attorney at Law

Notary Public/Attorney at Law Print name: Michelle M. Eabo My commission expires: Hugast 6, 2011

O:\OFFICE\PENNY\license\63 Marginal Way.doc



### CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE PLANNING BOARD

November 20, 2006

Kevin Beal, Chair Michael Patterson, Vice Chair Bill Hall Lee Lowry III Shalom Odokara David Silk Janice E. Tevanian

Mr. Ed Marsh Realty Resources (Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC) 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856

RE: Bayside Village Student Housing; Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC, (Applicant); 120 Marginal Way; #2006-0125; CBL- 034A-B-001

Dear Mr. Marsh:

The Planning Board considered the proposal by Southern Maine Student Housing, LLC to create a 400 bed student lodging house in the vicinity of 120 Marginal Way and voted on the motions described in this letter.

On October 10, 2006, the Planning Board voted 5-0 (Hall and Tevanian absent) that the Bayside Village housing development was in conformance with the Conditional Use (parking garage) Standards of the Land Use Code.

On November 14, 2006, the Planning Board voted 5-2 (Silk and Tevanian opposed) that the Bayside Village housing development was in conformance with the Site Plan Ordinance of the Land Use Code, including Traffic Movement Permit. The approval was granted for this project with the following conditions:

- i. That the Applicant shall revise the plan and implement the recommendations contained in Tom Errico's (Traffic Review Consultant) memo dated October 20, 2006, except that the Applicant shall not be required to operate a car-share program.
- ii. The Applicant shall contribute \$90,000 towards a Transportation Demand Management Fund to be established by the City of Portland to implement Transportation Demand Management measures in Bayside. The parking monitoring study of the site, which will be performed by the Applicant as noted in Mr. Errico's memo, will be used to guide the use of those funds. For the purposes of conducting the monitoring study full occupancy shall be considered 90% of the beds.
- iii. That the pedestrian easement for the easterly side of the building shall be submitted for City staff review and approval.
- iv. That all exterior signs shall be subject to Planning staff review and approval.
- v. That the project lighting shall be subject to Planning staff review and approval.
- vi. That final details and materials of the building façade shall be subject to Planning staff review and approval.
- vii. In the event that the project changes from student housing as presented by the Applicant to another use, the Applicant shall submit such changes to the Planning Board for review and approval.
- viii. The project is approved for a maximum of 400 beds with one occupant per bed.
- iv. Applicant shall provide a binding lease agreement for off-hours parking at 84 Marginal Way.

The approval is based on the submitted site plan and the findings related to site plan and conditional use review standards as contained in Planning Report 52-06 and Planning staff memo dated for November 14,2006.

Please note the following provisions and requirements for all site plan approvals:

- 1. Where submission drawings are available in electronic form, the Applicant shall submit any available electronic Autocad files (\*.dwg), release 14 or greater, with seven (7) seta of the final plans.
- 2. A performance guarantee covering the site improvements as well as an inspection fee payment of 2.0% of the guarantee amount and 7 final sets of plans must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Division and Public Works prior to the release of the building permit. If you need to make any modifications to the approved site plan, you must submit a revised site plan for staff review and approval.
- 3. The site plan approval will be deemed to have expired unless work in the development has commenced within one (1) year of the approval or within a time period agreed upon in writing by the City and the applicant. Requests to extend approvals must be received before the expiration date.
- 4. A defect guarantee, consisting of 10% of the performance guarantee, must be posted before the performance guarantee will be released.
- Prior to construction, a pre-construction meeting shall be held at the project site with the contractor, development review coordinator, Public Work's representative and owner to review the construction schedule and critical aspects of the site work. At that time, the site/building contractor shall provide three (3) copies of a detailed construction schedule to the attending City representatives. It shall be the contractor's responsibility to arrange a mutually agreeable time for the pre-construction meeting.
- 6. If work will occur within the public right-of-way such as utilities, curb, sidewalk and driveway construction, a street opening permit(s) is required for your site. Please contact Carol Merritt at 874-8300, ext. 8828. (Only excavators licensed by the City of Portland are eligible.)

The Development Review Coordinator must be notified five (5) working days prior to date required for final site inspection. The Development Review Coordinator can be reached at the Planning Division at 874-8632. <u>Please</u> make allowances for completion of site plan requirements determined to be incomplete or defective during the inspection. This is essential as all site plan requirements must be completed and approved by the Development Review Coordinator prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. <u>Please</u> schedule any property closing with these requirements in mind.

If there are any questions, please contact Richard Knowland at 874-8725.

Sincerely, King Herel

Kevin Beal, Chair Portland Planning Board

 cc: Lee D. Urban, Planning and Development Department Director Alexander Jaegerman, Planning Division Director Sarah Hopkins, Development Review Services Manager Richard Knowland, Senior Planner Jay Reynolds, Development Review Coordinator Marge Schmuckal, Zoning Administrator Jeanne Bourke, Inspections Division Michael Bobinsky, Public Works Director Eric Labelle, City Engineer Bill Clark, Public works Jim Carmody, Transportation Manager Jeff Tarling, City Arborist Penny Littell, Associate Corporation Counsel Captain Greg Cass, Fire Prevention Assessor's Office Approval Letter File Robert Metcalf, Mitchell Associates, 70 Center Street, Portland, ME 04103

\_\_\_\_

. . . . .

....



Peter G. Moore 75 Market Street, Suite 305 Portland, ME 04101

E/ pmoore@cfaw.com T/ 207.772.2221 E/ 207.772.2227

June 25, 2007

Richard Knowland Senior Planner Planning Department City of Portland Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

Re: Bayside Village Student Housing Project

Dear Richard,

I am writing in my capacity as financial advisor to Joseph M. Cloutier and Southern Maine Student Housing LLC.

I understand that your office has requested an explanation of the need to amend the various City approvals for Southern Maine Student Housing LLC (SMSH)to a new entity named Bayside Village Student Housing LLC. (BVSH)

Bayside Village Student Housing LLC is the new entity formed by the joint investment into the project by Joseph Cloutier's development entity Southern Maine Student Housing LLC (the current property owner of record) and the outside investor group headed by Lexvest Bayside Partners LP, a Lexington, Massachusetts based private investment group. Together their capital is providing funding for the project and they will own the project under the BVSH entity. See diagram below:



The necessity of this arrangement is to properly and legally facilitate all joint venture arrangements, including but not limited to bank borrowing, construction contracts, management of the property and ongoing operations after construction is completed.

If there are any questions you or your staff have regarding this arrangement and its necessity please contact me immediately.

Thank you for your ongoing support for this important project in Portland.

Sincerely

Noon

Peter G. Moore Managing Director

CC: Joseph M. Cloutier, Southern Maine Student Housing LLC John Kaminski, Esq. Eric D. Shapiro, Lexvest Bayside Partners, LLC



June 18, 2007

City of Portland Planning Department 4th floor, Portland City Hall 389 Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

#### Re: Bayside Village Student Housing, LLC

To Whom It May Concern:

KeyBank National Association (hereinafter called the "Bank") has committed to make a loan to Bayside Village Student Housing in the amount of \$20,825,000 for the acquisition of land located at 120 Marginal Way in Portland, Maine and construction of 100 fully furnished 4-bedroom suite-style units of student housing, a 102-space parking garage and approximately 3,600 square feet of retail space on terms and conditions set forth in our commitment letter which has been issued and accepted.

We anticipate an initial closing by the end of this month.

We have successfully completed a variety of projects with Joseph Cloutier, the principal, and look forward to participating in this project.

Sincere

W. Scott Fox, Senior Vice President Community Development Lending



Strengthening a Remarkable City, Building a Community for Life - non-portlandmature.go.

Planning and Development Department Lee D. Urban, Director

Planning Division Alexander Jaegerman, Director

June 29, 2007

Tom Ketterrer Drummond Woodsom and Macmahon 245 Commercial Street Portland, Maine 04101

Re: Bayside Village Student Housing; 120 Marginal Way; #2006-0125; CBL-034A-B-001

Dear Mr. Ketterer,

This letter is to confirm that the Portland Planning Authority has received a letter from Peter Moore of Corporate Finance Associates (dated June 25, 2007) regarding a change in the developer entity for the Bayside Village Student housing project from Southern Maine Student Housing LLC to Bayside Village Student Housing LLC. A letter has also been received from W. Scott Fox of Key Bank (dated June 18, 2007) regarding the financial capacity of Bayside Village Student Housing LLC.

The above information has been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Morali Alex Jaegerman/

Planning Division Director

cc: Lee Urban, Director of Planning and Development Barbara Barhydt, Development Services Manager Richard Knowland, Senior Planner Jeanne Bourke, Inspections Division Penny Littell, Associate Corporation Counsel

# City of Portland Department of Planning and Development Planning Division 389 Congress Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Portland ME 04101 (207)874-8721 or (207)874-8719 Fax: (207)756-8258



FAX

To:	TED KELESHEN	######################################
Company:	۵. محمد المعالم معرف معرف المعرف ا المعرف المعرف	
Fax #:	·	
Date:	(495,75 DNUT	
From:	NICK KNOWMOD	_
You should receiv	e page(s) including this cover sheet.	ngggalanaan ikan inorang panggi 1921 bilana an a <sup>mg</sup> alani soong uggalana a
Comments:		· .
TED-	AJ REGUESTRO ATTACHES IS TOM	Ennices
find ( in	0 01- 10-20-06	

RICK KNOWMMO

 $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ 

11

÷u

ił

1.

along the Marginal Way curb line. <u>No further comment.</u>

- The City does not mark parking stalls. The site plan should be modified accordingly. <u>No further comment.</u>
- A flush concrete surface currently exists on Marginal Way in the vicinity of the project. I would suggest that it be removed.
   The Gorrill-Palmer plans require removal of the concrete.
- 7. The dimensions on the site plan for the Marginal Way cross-section and those depicted on a conceptual plan included in the traffic study are different. The plans should be consistent.
  <u>The plans are acceptable although as noted above, some adjustment may be necessary as we proceed to final design.</u>
- It is unclear on what is being proposed by this project for physical improvements to Marginal Way and along Preblc Street. No further comment.
- 9. A crosswalk should be provided across Marginal Way on the west side of Chestnut Street. This will require an ADA compliant HC ramp.

#### The applicant has included a crosswalk at this location.

#### Parking

In an effort to better quantify parking needs, I suggested that the applicant conduct a parking survey at the existing Portland Hall Dormitory located on Congress Street in downtown Portland. Portland Hall was surveyed for each hour on Thursday September 28, 2006 between 6:00am and 7:00pm. According to the survey, the peak parking demand occurred between 7:00am and 8:00am, where 87 vehicles were parked. Based upon a housing demand of 300 students, Portland Hall experiences a parking demand of 0.29 parking spaces per student. Follow-up surveys were conducted in October and indicted 97 cars were parked (0.32 spaces per bed). Some noteworthy information obtained in a telephone conversation with Alisha Menard, the manager of the facility, includes:

- Approximately 130 parking spaces are provided on-site. Approximately 120 spaces are dedicated to students with the remaining assigned to staff and handicapped drivers.
- 0 A waiting list for an on-site parking space is approximately 35.
- The occupants are students from several area schools.
- Parking spaces cost \$125.00 per semester.
- For students that do not receive on site parking, they are eligible for City of Portland resident parking permits. Staff provides a letter to the City documenting resident status of students.
- 0 Shuttle bus service is provided between Portland Hall and the Portland campus every 1/2 hour.
- The issuance of permits is based upon returning students and a lottery system.
- 0 Weekend parking demand is lower than weekday conditions.
- Summer time periods have significantly lower parking demands as compared to typical school periods.
- It was not totally clear why the parking lot was not full during the survey period when considering a waiting list for parking permits. Alisha noted that the characteristics of tenants are such that: some have unusual work schedules; some pay for a parking permit but don't utilize

ii G

5

- 6. Radii at the Marginal Way/Preble Street intersection should be minimized as much as possible.
- 7. The left-turn entry into 84 Marginal Way off Preble Street may need to be modified to account for City maintenance requirements. Additionally, it is suggested that the 12-foot lane be reduced to 11-feet and a two foot concrete rumble strip be provided to separate left-turn movements into the site from northbound Preble Street traffic.
- 8. The painted transition area in advance of the left-turn lane into 84 Marginal Way of should be a stamped material to be determined during the design process.
- The applicant shall be responsible for the implementation of a new crosswalk with supplemental features on Preble Street as illustrated on Conceptual Roadway Improvement Plan E prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. included in their October 4, 2006 submission. I would note that the plan will need to be modified such that bicycle lanes can be provided in the area of roadway widening for the raised median island. I would note that this plan is for the stand alone implementation of the crosswalk. The design of the crosswalk will be significantly different as compared to plans being review for 84 Marginal Way. Both crosswalk designs are acceptable to the City, although some minor modifications may be necessary as the plans are further developed for construction.
- In an effort to offset traffic, bicycle, and pedestrian impacts to the Marginal Way corridor, the applicant shall contribute \$43,500.00 towards the implementation of the Marginal Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan improvement concepts.
- In an effort to relieve traffic pressure to left-turn movements from Preble Street to eastbound Marginal Way the applicant shall contribute \$9,000.00 towards the extension of Somerset Street. The City seeks implementation of Somerset Street as soon as possible to offer travel alternatives to Marginal Way.

#### <u>Site Plan</u>

The following presents an update to my September 8, 2006 comments.

1. The secondary driveway should be designed to be compatible with anticipated future conditions (right-turn entry/exit only).

The plans have been revised and I find them acceptable. I would ask that the applicant install appropriate signage that reinforces turn restrictions. This should be coordinated with the City Traffic Engineer.

- The applicant should provide documentation that METRO has reviewed the proposed plans for a bus stop and bus shelter and approve the location and design elements.
   <u>No further comment.</u>
- 3. The primary driveway entrance opposite Chestnut Street should be redesigned to allow for optimal, approach capacity. Based upon future development opportunities, including the Miss Portland Diner and an AMTRAK Rail Station, it is suggested that two approach lanes be provided. The public right-of-way on this approach is 36 feet and it is my recommendation that this space consist of two 10-foot approach lanes, one 11-foot entry lane, and one 5-foot sidewalk. The plans have been revised to reflect this suggestion.
- 4. I would suggest that Eric Labelle closely review the plans as it relates to geometric modifications

- 6. Radii at the Marginal Way/Preble Street intersection should be minimized as much as possible.
- 7. The left-turn entry into 84 Marginal Way off Preble Street may need to be modified to account for City maintenance requirements. Additionally, it is suggested that the 12-foot lane be reduced to 11-feet and a two foot concrete rumble strip be provided to separate left-turn movements into the site from northbound Preble Street traffic.
- 8. The painted transition area in advance of the left-turn lane into 84 Marginal Way a should be a stamped material to be determined during the design process.
- The applicant shall be responsible for the implementation of a new crosswalk with supplemental features on Preble Street as illustrated on Conceptual Roadway Improvement Plan E prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. included in their October 4, 2006 submission. I would note that the plan will need to be modified such that bicycle lanes can be provided in the area of roadway widening for the raised median island. I would note that this plan is for the stand alone implementation of the crosswalk. The design of the crosswalk will be significantly different as compared to plans being review for 84 Marginal Way. Both crosswalk designs are acceptable to the City, although some minor modifications may be necessary as the plans are further developed for construction.
- In an effort to offset traffic, bicycle, and pedestrian impacts to the Marginal Way corridor, the applicant shall contribute \$43,500.00 towards the implementation of the Marginal Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan improvement concepts.
- In an effort to relieve traffic pressure to left-turn movements from Preble Street to eastbound Marginal Way the applicant shall contribute \$9,000.00 towards the extension of Somerset Street. The City seeks implementation of Somerset Street as soon as possible to offer travel alternatives to Marginal Way.

#### <u>Site Plan</u>

The following presents an update to my September 8, 2006 comments.

1. The secondary driveway should be designed to be compatible with anticipated future conditions (right-turn entry/exit only).

The plans have been revised and I find them acceptable. I would ask that the applicant install appropriate signage that reinforces turn restrictions. This should be coordinated with the City Traffic Engineer.

- The applicant should provide documentation that METRO has reviewed the proposed plans for a bus stop and bus shelter and approve the location and design elements.
   <u>No further comment.</u>
- 3. The primary driveway entrance opposite Chestnut Street should be redesigned to allow for optimal, approach capacity. Based upon future development opportunities, including the Miss Portland Diner and an AMTRAK Rail Station, it is suggested that two approach lanes be provided. The public right-of-way on this approach is 36 feet and it is my recommendation that this space consist of two 10-foot approach lanes, one 11-foot entry lane, and one 5-foot sidewalk. The plans have been revised to reflect this suggestion.
- 4. I would suggest that Eric Labelle closely review the plans as it relates to geometric modifications

à

 $\hat{U}$ 

έ¢.

Й

along the Marginal Way curb line. <u>No further comment.</u>

- 5. The City does not mark parking stalls. The site plan should be modified accordingly. <u>No further comment.</u>
- A flush concrete surface currently exists on Marginal Way in the vicinity of the project. I would suggest that it be removed.
   <u>The Gorrill-Palmer plans require removal of the concrete.</u>
- 7. The dimensions on the site plan for the Marginal Way cross-section and those depicted on a conceptual plan included in the traffic study are different. The plans should be consistent. <u>The plans are acceptable although as noted above, some adjustment may be necessary as we proceed to final design.</u>
- It is unclear on what is being proposed by this project for physical improvements to Marginal Way and along Preblc Street. <u>No further comment.</u>
- 9. A crosswalk should be provided across Marginal Way on the west side of Chestnut Street. This will require an ADA compliant HC ramp.

#### The applicant has included a crosswalk at this location.

#### Parking

In an effort to better quantify parking needs, I suggested that the applicant conduct a parking survey at the existing Portland Hall Dormitory located on Congress Street in downtown Portland. Portland Hall was surveyed for each hour on Thursday September 28, 2006 between 6:00am and 7:00pm. According to the survey, the peak parking demand occutred between 7:00am and 8:00am, where 87 vehicles were parked. Based upon a housing demand of 300 students, Portland Hall experiences a parking demand of 0.29 parking spaces per student. Follow-up surveys were conducted in October and indicted 97 cars were parked (0.32 spaces per bed). Some noteworthy information obtained in a telephone conversation with Alisha Menard, the manager of the facility, includes:

- Approximately 130 parking spaces are provided on-site. Approximately 120 spaces are dedicated to students with the remaining assigned to staff and handicapped drivers.
- A waiting list for an on-site parking space is approximately 35.
- The occupants are students from several area schools.
- Parking spaces cost \$125.00 per semester.
- For students that do not receive on site parking, they are eligible for City of Portland resident parking permits. Staff provides a letter to the City documenting resident status of students.
- 0 Shuttle bus service is provided between Portland Hall and the Portland campus every 1/2 hour.
- The issuance of permits is based upon returning students and a lottery system.
- Weekend parking demand is lower than weekday conditions.
- Summer time periods have significantly lower parking demands as compared to typical school periods.
- It was not totally clear why the parking lot was not full during the survey period when considering a waiting list for parking permits. Alisha noted that the characteristics of tenants are such that: some have unusual work schedules; some pay for a parking permit but don't utilize

12

. e.,

ŧT

ŝ.

2

١S

the space every week; some may prefer to leave their car on-campus; Thursday's can have lower parking needs, because some students do not have Friday classes and leave early; and some pay for the space for comfort of availability for limited use.

Assuming Bayside Village functions similar to Portland Hall, 132 parking spaces should be provided (129 residential spaces and 3 retail employee spaces). It is recommended that the following be required of the applicant.

- Provision of 132 parking spaces for tenants of the project (As an alternative, I would consider the creation of a TDM fund for the Bayside area that would require the applicant to make a financial contribution in lieu of providing the 30 off-site parking spaces. The fund would be used to implemented TDM measures and the City would be responsible for addressing parking deficits at the project site following the results of the monitoring study).
- During the first year, students housed in the project will not be allowed to obtain a Portland Resident Parking Permit. The applicant will operate a car-share program on-site such that parking demand is minimized. The details of the program (number of vehicles to be provided on-site) shall be coordinated with the City Traffic Engineer. The applicant may request modifications following results of the monitoring study.
- o A parking monitoring program shall be performed with the following requirements:
  - The study shall be conducted 3 and 12 months after full occupancy of the building (or at times appropriate for a university housing project, subject to approval by the City). Subsequent studies may be required.
  - The study shall include a physical survey of parking facilities used by project tenants and a survey of residents about parking characteristics and needs shall be conducted. The details of the work shall be approved by the City Traffic Engineer.
  - If parking problems are identified, the applicant will be fully responsible for identification and implementation of necessary enhancements to mitigate parking problems.
- A Travel Demand Management (TDM) Program shall be implemented that may comprise of some of the above elements (e.g. car-share program), but may include a shuttle bus program and expanded METRO service. It is suggested that the details of the program be identified by the applicant and the program is subject to an annual review by the City.
- The applicant shall develop a management plan that addresses peak traffic and parking problems associated with student drop-off and pick-up at the beginning and end of the school year.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me.

Best Regards,

Thomas A. Errico, P.E. Senior Transportation Engineer Wilbur Smith Associates 59 Middle Street Portland, Maine 04101 (207) 871-1785 Phone (207) 871-5825 Fax

#### Rick Knowland - Bayside Village

From:	"Thomas Errico" <terrico@wilbursmith.com></terrico@wilbursmith.com>
To:	"'Rick Knowland " <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	10/20/2006 12:53 PM
Subject:	Bayside Village
CC:	"'James Carmody'" <jpc@portlandmaine.gov></jpc@portlandmaine.gov>

Rick -

The following summarizes my comments and approval conditions for the above project.

#### Traffic Movement Permit

00

The proposed project meets requirements for the Traffic Movement Permit subject to the following conditions:

- o The applicant shall be responsible for the implementation of roadway improvements along Marginal Way in the area of their site frontage as illustrated on Conceptual Roadway Improvement Plans B and C prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. included in their October 4, 2006 submission. I would note that the plans are conceptual in nature and some minor modifications (see comments in next bullet) may be necessary during the development of final design plans. I would like to note that the improvement plans include the removal of the flush concrete island in Marginal Way and the installation of a new crosswalk at the Chestnut Street intersection. Additionally, I would note that improvement plans provide acceptable conditions assuming the Bayside Village project proceeds independent of 84 Marginal Way and can be integrated with adjoining improvements on Marginal Way that may occur as part of 84 Marginal Way or other developments that may happen to the east.
- o Some comments that will need to be addressed during the development of final design plans for <u>o</u>. Marginal Way and Preble Street improvements under the full implementation of improvements with both Bayside Village and 84 Marginal Way. These are **NOT** the responsibility of this applicant, but are necessary for improvements in the area that the applicant will be contributing financially:
  - 1. Lane widths on Preble Street southbound should not exceed 12 feet and the bicycle lane should be 5 feet. The curb on the westside of Preble Street will need to be relocated accordingly.
  - 2. The plans should note that the improvement plan will include all necessary lane assignment signs and traffic signal modifications.
  - 3. Pavement markings guiding the double left from Preble Street should be provided. Commentary on whether the turning area for this double left is different from current conditions.
  - 4. The plans indicate that a minor curb adjustment is required on the south side of Marginal Way near Wild Oats. I would suggest that the curb not be moved at this time (it seems wasteful to move it now and adjust it later as implementation of the master plan improvements take place) and the island width be reduced to allow for appropriate roadway receiving width.
  - 5. The plan should depict the lanes on all approaches such that we can confirm acceptable lane alignment can be provided through the intersection.

- 6. Radii at the Marginal Way/Preble Street intersection should be minimized as much as possible.
- 7. The left-turn entry into 84 Marginal Way off Preble Street may need to be modified to account for City maintenance requirements. Additionally, it is suggested that the 12-foot lane be reduced to 11-feet and a two foot concrete rumble strip be provided to separate left-turn movements into the site from northbound Preble Street traffic.
- 8. The painted transition area in advance of the left-turn lane into 84 Marginal Way so should be a stamped material to be determined during the design process.
- The applicant shall be responsible for the implementation of a new crosswalk with supplemental features on Preble Street as illustrated on Conceptual Roadway Improvement Plan E prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. included in their October 4, 2006 submission. I would note that the plan will need to be modified such that bicycle lanes can be provided in the area of roadway widening for the raised median island. I would note that this plan is for the stand alone implementation of the crosswalk. The design of the crosswalk will be significantly different as compared to plans being review for 84 Marginal Way. Both crosswalk designs are acceptable to the City, although some minor modifications may be necessary as the plans are further developed for construction.
- In an effort to offset traffic, bicycle, and pedestrian impacts to the Marginal Way corridor, the applicant shall contribute \$43,500.00 towards the implementation of the Marginal Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan improvement concepts.
- In an effort to relieve traffic pressure to left-turn movements from Preble Street to eastbound Marginal Way the applicant shall contribute \$9,000.00 towards the extension of Somerset Street. The City seeks implementation of Somerset Street as soon as possible to offer travel alternatives to Marginal Way.

#### <u>Site Plan</u>

The following presents an update to my September 8, 2006 comments.

- The secondary driveway should be designed to be compatible with anticipated future conditions (right-turn entry/exit only).
   <u>The plans have been revised and I find them acceptable</u>. I would ask that the applicant install appropriate signage that reinforces turn restrictions. This should be coordinated with the City Traffic Engineer.
- The applicant should provide documentation that METRO has reviewed the proposed plans for a bus stop and bus shelter and approve the location and design elements.
   <u>No further comment.</u>
- 3. The primary driveway entrance opposite Chestnut Street should be redesigned to allow for optimal approach capacity. Based upon future development opportunities, including the Miss Portland Diner and an AMTRAK Rail Station, it is suggested that two approach lanes be provided. The public right-of-way on this approach is 36 feet and it is my recommendation that this space consist of two 10-foot approach lanes, one 11-foot entry lane, and one 5-foot sidewalk. <u>The plans have been revised to reflect this suggestion</u>.
- 4. I would suggest that Eric Labelle closely review the plans as it relates to geometric modifications

 $\Omega$ 

\$1

Ì٩.

ÌŤ

along the Marginal Way curb line. <u>No further comment.</u>

- 5. The City does not mark parking stalls. The site plan should be modified accordingly. No further comment.
- 6. A flush concrete surface currently exists on Marginal Way in the vicinity of the project. I would suggest that it be removed. The Gorrill-Palmer plans require removal of the concrete.
- 7. The dimensions on the site plan for the Marginal Way cross-section and those depicted on a conceptual plan included in the traffic study are different. The plans should be consistent. The plans are acceptable although as noted above, some adjustment may be necessary as we proceed to final design.
- It is unclear on what is being proposed by this project for physical improvements to Marginal Way and along Preble Street. No further comment.
- 9. A crosswalk should be provided across Marginal Way on the west side of Chestnut Street. This will require an ADA compliant HC ramp.

The applicant has included a crosswalk at this location.

#### Parking

In an effort to better quantify parking needs, I suggested that the applicant conduct a parking survey at the existing Portland Hall Dormitory located on Congress Street in downtown Portland. Portland Hall was surveyed for each hour on Thursday September 28, 2006 between 6:00am and 7:00pm. According to the survey, the peak parking demand occurred between 7:00am and 8:00am, where 87 vehicles were parked. Based upon a housing demand of 300 students, Portland Hall experiences a parking demand of 0.29 parking spaces per student. Follow-up surveys were conducted in October and indicted 97 cars were parked (0.32 spaces per bed). Some noteworthy information obtained in a telephone conversation with Alisha Menard, the manager of the facility, includes:

- Approximately 130 parking spaces are provided on-site. Approximately 120 spaces are dedicated to students with the remaining assigned to staff and handicapped drivers.
- 0 A waiting list for an on-site parking space is approximately 35.
- The occupants are students from several area schools.
- Parking spaces cost \$125.00 per semester.
- For students that do not receive on site parking, they are eligible for City of Portland resident parking permits. Staff provides a letter to the City documenting resident status of students.
- 0 Shuttle bus service is provided between Portland Hall and the Portland campus every 1/2 hour.
- The issuance of permits is based upon returning students and a lottery system.
- Weekend parking demand is lower than weekday conditions.
- Summer time periods have significantly lower parking demands as compared to typical school periods.
- O It was not totally clear why the parking lot was not full during the survey period when considering a waiting list for parking permits. Alisha noted that the characteristics of tenants are such that: some have unusual work schedules; some pay for a parking permit but don't utilize.

3

ë 8.

j.

12

15

the space every week; some may prefer to leave their car on-campus; Thursday's can have lower parking needs, because some students do not have Friday classes and leave early; and some pay for the space for comfort of availability for limited use.

Assuming Bayside Village functions similar to Portland Hall, 132 parking spaces should be provided (129<sup>-</sup> residential spaces and 3 retail employee spaces). It is recommended that the following be required of the applicant.

- Provision of 132 parking spaces for tenants of the project (As an alternative, I would consider the creation of a TDM fund for the Bayside area that would require the applicant to make a financial contribution in lieu of providing the 30 off-site parking spaces. The fund would be used to implemented TDM measures and the City would be responsible for addressing parking deficits at the project site following the results of the monitoring study).
- During the first year, students housed in the project will not be allowed to obtain a Portland Resident Parking Permit. The applicant will operate a car-share program on-site such that parking demand is minimized. The details of the program (number of vehicles to be provided on-site) shall be coordinated with the City Traffic Engineer. The applicant may request modifications following results of the monitoring study.
- A parking monitoring program shall be performed with the following requirements:
  - The study shall be conducted 3 and 12 months after full occupancy of the building (or at times appropriate for a university housing project, subject to approval by the City), Subsequent studies may be required.
  - The study shall include a physical survey of parking facilities used by project tenants and a survey of residents about parking characteristics and needs shall be conducted. The details of the work shall be approved by the City Traffic Engineer.
  - If parking problems are identified, the applicant will be fully responsible for identification and implementation of necessary enhancements to mitigate parking problems.
- A Travel Demand Management (TDM) Program shall be implemented that may comprise of some of the above elements (e.g. car-share program), but may include a shuttle bus program and expanded METRO service. It is suggested that the details of the program be identified by the applicant and the program is subject to an annual review by the City.
- The applicant shall develop a management plan that addresses peak traffic and parking problems associated with student drop-off and pick-up at the beginning and end of the school year.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me.

Best Regards,

Thomas A. Errico, P.E. Senior Transportation Engineer Wilbur Smith Associates 59 Middle Street Portland, Maine 04101 (207) 871-1785 Phone (207) 871-5825 Fax

#### Rick Knowland - Bayside Village

From:	"Thomas Errico" <terrico@wilbursmith.com></terrico@wilbursmith.com>
To:	"'Rick Knowland " <rwk@portlandmaine.gov></rwk@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	10/20/2006 12:53 PM
Subject:	Bayside Village
CC:	"James Carmody" <jpc@portlandmaine.gov></jpc@portlandmaine.gov>

Rick-

The following summarizes my comments and approval conditions for the above project.

#### Traffic Movement Permit

The proposed project meets requirements for the Traffic Movement Permit subject to the following conditions:

- The applicant shall be responsible for the implementation of roadway improvements along Marginal Way in the area of their site frontage as illustrated on Conceptual Roadway Improvement Plans B and C prepared by Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. included in their October 4, 2006 submission. I would note that the plans are conceptual in nature and some minor modifications (see comments in next bullet) may be necessary during the development of final design plans. I would like to note that the improvement plans include the removal of the flush concrete island in Marginal Way and the installation of a new crosswalk at the Chestnut Street intersection. Additionally, I would note that improvement plans provide acceptable conditions assuming the Bayside Village project proceeds independent of 84 Marginal Way and can be integrated with adjoining improvements on Marginal Way that may occur as part of 84 Marginal Way or other developments that may happen to the east.
- Some comments that will need to be addressed during the development of final design plans for Marginal Way and Preble Street improvements under the full implementation of improvements with both Bayside Village and 84 Marginal Way. These are **NOT** the responsibility of this applicant, but are necessary for improvements in the area that the applicant will be contributing financially:
  - 1. Lane widths on Preble Street southbound should not exceed 12 feet and the bicycle lane should be 5 feet. The curb on the westside of Preble Street will need to be relocated accordingly.
  - 2. The plans should note that the improvement plan will include all necessary lane assignment signs and traffic signal modifications.
  - 3. Pavement markings guiding the double left from Preble Street should be provided. Commentary on whether the turning area for this double left is different from current conditions.
  - 4. The plans indicate that a minor curb adjustment is required on the south side of <sup>10</sup> Marginal Way near Wild Oats. I would suggest that the curb not be moved at this time (it seems wasteful to move it now and adjust it later as implementation of the<sup>t</sup>. master plan improvements take place) and the island width be reduced to allow for appropriate roadway receiving width.
  - 5. The plan should depict the lanes on all approaches such that we can confirm acceptable lane alignment can be provided through the intersection.

0

The approval is based on the submitted site plan and the findings related to site plan and conditional use review standards as contained in Planning Report 52-06 and Planning staff memo dated for November 14,2006.

Please note the following provisions and requirements for all site plan approvals:

- 1. Where submission drawings are available in electronic form, the Applicant shall submit any available electronic Autocad files (\*.dwg), release 14 or greater, with seven (7) seta of the final plans.
- 2. A performance guarantee covering the site improvements as well as an inspection fee payment of 2.0% of the guarantee amount and 7 final sets of plans must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Division and Public Works prior to the release of the building permit. If you need to make any modifications to the approved site plan, you must submit a revised site plan for staff review and approval.
- 3. The site plan approval will be deemed to have expired unless work in the development has commenced within one (1) year of the approval or within a time period agreed upon in writing by the City and the applicant. Requests to extend approvals must be received before the expiration date.
- 4. A defect guarantee, consisting of 10% of the performance guarantee, must be posted before the performance guarantee will be released.
- Prior to construction, a pre-construction meeting shall be held at the project site with the contractor, development review coordinator, Public Work's representative and owner to review the construction schedule and critical aspects of the site work. At that time, the site/building contractor shall provide three (3) copies of a detailed construction schedule to the attending City representatives. It shall be the contractor's responsibility to arrange a mutually agreeable time for the pre-construction meeting.
- 6. If work will occur within the public right-of-way such as utilities, curb, sidewalk and driveway construction, a street opening permit(s) is required for your site. Please contact Carol Merritt at 874-8300, ext. 8828. (Only excavators licensed by the City of Portland are eligible.)

The Development Review Coordinator must be notified five (5) working days prior to date required for final site inspection. The Development Review Coordinator can be reached at the Planning Division at 874-8632. <u>Please</u> make allowances for completion of site plan requirements determined to be incomplete or defective during the inspection. This is essential as all site plan requirements must be completed and approved by the Development Review Coordinator prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. <u>Please</u> schedule any property closing with these requirements in mind.

If there are any questions, please contact Richard Knowland at 874-8725.

Sincerely,

Kevin Beal, Chair Portland Planning Board

cc: Lee D. Urban, Planning and Development Department Director Alexander Jaegerman, Planning Division Director Sarah Hopkins, Development Review Services Manager Richard Knowland, Senior Planner Jay Reynolds, Development Review Coordinator Marge Schmuckal, Zoning Administrator Jeanne Bourke, Inspections Division Michael Bobinsky, Public Works Director Eric Labelle, City Engineer Bill Clark, Public works

O:\PLAN\DEVREVW\marginalway120baysidevillage\approvalvoteltr10-10-06 11-14-06.doc

Jim Carmody, Transportation Manager Jeff Tarling, City Arborist Penny Littell, Associate Corporation Counsel Captain Greg Cass, Fire Prevention Assessor's Office Approval Letter File Robert Metcalf, Mitchell Associates, 70 Center Street, Portland, ME 04103

· · v

O:\PLAN\DEVREVW\marginalway120baysidevillage\approvalvoteltr10-10-06 11-14-06.doc

6/27/07

To. RICK KNOWL WD

FROM: RYAN LEAVIT

RE COST ESTIMATE OF IMPROFIMENTS TO BE COST ESTIMATES BY PERFORMENTS

AHACHED IS THE ABOVE LISTED FORM

PLEASE REVIEW. I WILL CALL ON FRIDAY.

Thanks, By Jewitt

#### **Planning and Development Department** SUBDIVISION/SITE DEVELOPMENT

#### COST ESTIMATE OF IMPROVEMENTS TO BE COVERED BY PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE

Date: June 19, 2007

Name of Project:	Bayside	Village	Student	Housing
------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Address/Location: 120 Marginal Way, Portland, Maine 04101

**Bayside Village Student Housing LLC** Developer:

Form of Performance Guarantee:

Type of Development: Site Plan (Major/Minor)

TO BE FILLED OUT BY THE APPLICANT:

			PUBLIC			PRIVATE	
Iter	<u>n</u>	Quantity	Unit Cost	Subtotal	Quantity	Unit Cost	Subtotal
1.	STREET/SIDEWALK Road/Parking Areas Curbing Sidewalks Esplanades Monuments Street Lighting Street Opening Repairs Other	<u>_603 sy</u> _ <u>335 sy</u>   	<u>\$55.</u> <u>\$35.67</u> 	<u>\$33,165</u> . <u>\$11,950</u> .  	<u>_576 sy</u> <u>299 sy</u> <u>10,644 sy</u> 	<u>\$55.</u> <u>\$35.67</u> <u>\$6.56</u>	<u>\$31,680</u> . <u>\$10,665</u> . <u>\$69,823</u> .
2.	EARTH WORK Cut Fill						
3.	SANITARY SEWER Manholes Piping Connections Main Line Piping House Sewer Service Piping Pump Stations Other	<u>1</u> <u>42 lf</u> 	<u>\$1,800.</u> <u>\$75.</u>	<u>\$1,800.</u> <u>\$3,150.</u>			
4.	WATER MAINS	49 lf	<u>\$75.</u>	_\$3,675	<u>36 lf</u>	\$75	_\$2,700
5.	STORM DRAINAGE Manholes Catchbasins Piping Detention Basin Stormwater Quality Units Other	<u>3</u> <u>2</u> 75	<u>\$1,800</u> . <u>\$1,800</u> <u>\$43.80</u> 	<u>\$5,400.</u> <u>\$3,600</u> <u>\$3,285</u>	_2 _5 	<u>\$1,800</u> . <u>\$1,800</u> . <u>\$39.20</u>	<u>\$3,600</u> <u>\$9,000</u> <u>\$38,930</u>

6.	SITE LIGHTING				Lights	<u>4 ea</u>	<u>\$9,600</u>	\$38,400
7.	EROSION CONTROL Silt Fence Check Dams Pipe Inlet/Outlet Protection Level Lip Spreader Slope Stabilization Geotextile Hay Bale Barriers Catch Basin Inlet Protection				Service	<u> </u>		
8.	RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE AMENITIES							
9.	LANDSCAPING (Attach breakdown of plant materials,quantities, and unit costs)					_20,080	2.49	\$50,000
10.	MISCELLANEOUS*	<u>223 lf</u>	\$55.56	\$12,39	<u>90</u>			
	TOTAL:	\$10	7,215			\$327		170 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	GRAND TOTAL:	\$434	,899				y My Martin Martin Start St	

#### **INSPECTION FEE (to be filled out by the City)**

		PUBLIC	PRIVATE	TOTAL
A:	2.0% of totals:			
	<u>or</u>			
B:	Alternative Assessment:			
	Assessed by:	(name)	(name)	

\* Includes concrete steps and ramps, gas service, telephone & cable and electrical.







#### L. Erosion Control Measures and Site Stabilization

The primary simplicals of the erosion/sedimentation control plan to be implemented for the Infrastructure construction is as follows: Development of a correlution sequence. Rapid revegetation of denuded areas to minimize the period of sol exposure. Rapid soblication of drainage paths to ovel or ill and guily areasian. The use of an-site measures to copture sediment (sit fance, check dams, etc.).

The following temporary and permanent eration and sediment control devices will be Implemented as part of the site development. These devices shall be installed as indicated on the plans or cas described within this report. For further reference, see the Maine Erasion and "Sediment Control Handbook for Construction: Best Management Practices."

A. Temporary Erosion Control Measures

The following measures are planned as temporary erosion/sedimentation control measures during construction:

1. Utilize the existing entrance to the site closest to Chestnut Street to access the site during construction until the proposed access driveways have been constructed.

2. Sticling force or wood wasts compast berns shall be installed downstream of disturbed onces to tray out wasts compast berns shall be installed downstream of disturbed onces to tray out wasts compast berns shall be has obsurved. The all is not add/or the wood wasts compast berns shall be installed per the decide of works provides and inspected immediately after sects round and at least doub works provides and inspected immediately after there are signs of encounting at the canater or the adge fance or bern line. If there are signs of undercuring at the canater or the adge fance or bern line. If works is weler behind fance or being the shall be stone of the two works and the stone of the adge of the stone of the two works and the stone of the stone of the adge of the stone of the two be left undisturbed.

dread that are to be left-undiblurbed.

Temporary stockplies of stumps, grubbings, or common excavation will be teated as follows:

(a) Temporary stockpiles shall not be located within 100 feet of any weilands that are to be left undisturbed and ony slopes exceeding 15%.

(b) Stockpiles shall be stablized within 7 days by either temporarily seeding the stockpile. with a hydroseed method containing an emulsified mulch tackfiler or by covering the stockpile with mulch.

c). Stockpiles shall be surrounded by silt fence or wood-waste compost berms at

4.1 devided areas within 100 feet of an undisturbed wetland that have been rough spread and are not located within a roodway subbase area shall receive mulch or revealen control mesh fabric within 2 days of initial soil disturbance. All areas within 30 feet of undisturbed wetland area shall be mulched prior to any predicted fabric wetland area shall be any fabric disturbance and areas the time period may be national for days of the 2-day window. In other areas, the time period may be national of days. All disturbance acceled within 10 feet of a protected national resource must be protected with a double row of sediment barriers.

6...För work conducted between September 15th and April 15th of any colendar year, all devided areas will be covered with hay mulch applied at twice the normal graphication rate and anchered with fabric netting. The time period for applying insulch as noted in Paragraph LAS shall be imited to 7 days for all areas.

7. Marginal Way shall be swept to control off-tracking of mud, debris, and dust as

8. ¿During grubbing operations stone check dams will be installed at any evident concentrated flow discharge points.

9. Sit fencing with a maximum stake spacing of 6 feet should be used, unless fence is supported by wire fence reinforcement of minimum 14 gauge and with a maximum mean fence may be spaced a maximum of 10 feet apart. The battom of the fence should be anchored.

10. Wood waste compost/bank berms may be used in lieu of situation fencing. Berms thill be removed and upread hat a larger not to acceed 3" thick mast preserve and the start of vagetation is atthoned. Wood waste arbain tubes may doe be used for perimeter sediment central or check dood waste arbain tubes registria. These tubes may be created by filling rithrax mash tubes to reprove the used may and and end an

The implementation measures shall be implemented for all catch basins located with the disturbed construction area: Measures shall be maintained regularly and shall not provide flooding in public right—of-ways.

12: Water shall be furnished and applied in accordance with MDOT specifications Section 637 - Dust Control.

12. Loam and seed is intended to serve as the primary permanent revegetative for all denided areas not provided with other ension control measures such as improp. Application rates are provided in Attachment A of this section. Seeding shall not occur.over mow.

B Permanent Erosion Control Measures

The following permanent erosion control measures have been designed as part of the Frosion and Sedimentation Control Plan:

1. All grees disturbed during construction but not subject to other restoration (building; poving, riprop. etc.) shall be loarned, limed, fertilized, mulched, and deseed. Footnoted with stagets shall be ploced over the mulch in unclinhated welling to the share of the stage o

Implementation Schedule

#### The following construction sequence shall be required to insure that the effectiveness of the grant and sedimentation control measures is optimized;

Note: - For all grading activities, the contractor shall exercise extreme coution not to overexpose the site

install perimeter slitation fence and/or wood waste berms prior to grubbing respective areas

2. Clear and grub area as necessary for construction.

3. Remove existing pavement within work limits.

 $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$  . During grubbing operations, install stone check doms at any evident concentrated flow discharge

5. Commence earthwork operations for proposed driveways and opartment foundations

6. Continue grading to subgrade as necessary.

7. Commence installation of underground utilities.

8. Complete remaining earthwork operations

3. Instell subbase and base course gravels for driveways.

10. Complete installation of utility appurtenances

11. Install surface course gravels for the driveways,

12. Loam, time, fertilize, seed, and mulch remaining disturbed areas

13. Remove accumulated sediment from ahead of any sediment barriers as necessary.

14. Once the site is stabilized and a 90% catch of vegetation has been obtained, remove all temporary estimation from the state of the 15: Touch up loam and seed.

Note: All denuded areas not subject to final paying, riprop, or gravel shall be revege

Prior to construction of the project, the contractor shall submit to the owner a schedule for the completion e work, which will satisfy the following criteria:

The above construction sequence shall generally be completed in the specified order: however, several separate items may be constructed simultaneously. Work must also be scheduled or phosed to proved for sufficient eracise appoad crace to specified below. The intert of the above sequence is provide for sufficient eracise on section above. The intert of the above sequence sequence is fence and construction entrance in place below large large data of land are dataded.

The work shall be conducted in sections which will:

a) Limit the amount of exposed area to those areas in which work is expected to be undertaken during the proceeding 30 days.

b) Revegatota disturbad areas as rapidly as passible. All areas shall be permonently stabilized within 7 days of final grading or before a starm event, or temporarily stabilized within 7 days af the days for the days of the stabilized within 100 feet of an undisturbed welland area and within 14 days for the days of the days o

#### III. Winter Stabilization Plan

If a summer/fall construction schedule is not possible and construction is necessary between September 15th and April 15th of any calendar year, the contractor shall submit a schedule, which will satisfy the following criterian

The extent of exposed area shall be limited to those areas in which work is expected to be derived during the proceeding 15 days and can be mulched in the event of a predicted snow

All disturbed areas shall be covered with mulch within 7 days of final grading. Mulch shall not be placed over snow.

Once final grade has been established, the contractor may choose to domnant seed the disturbed areas prior to placement of mulch and placement of stople-anchored fabric netting.

If dormant seeding is used for the site, all disturbed areas shall receive 6" of loam and seed at application rate of 5 ths, per 1000 s.f. Seeding shall not occur over snow.

i arcas sacked during the winter months shall be inspected in the spring for adequate catch I arcas insufficiently regatated (less than 80% catch) shall be revegetated by replacing any seed, and mulch as necessary to cathew 80% catch.

b. If dormant seeding is not used for the site, all disturbed areas shall be revegetated in the soring.

The area of denuded non-stabilized construction area shall be limited to the minimum orea solutions. An area shall be considered denuded until the subbase gravel is installed or the solution of the darm and seed in four invalched at a rate twice that each seed in much of the area trate the state that each in the seeding plan (e.g. 115 lbs, per 1,000 s.f., x 2 = 230 ibs, per 1,000 s.f.). practicable. oreas of fu specified in

5. The above schedule shall be subject to the approval of the Owner.

The Contractor shall install any added measures that may be necessary to control erosion and sedimentation from the site dependent upon the actual site and weather conditions.

## The Contractor shall note that no areas within 100 fest of an undisturbed waitand shall remain denuded for longer than 7 days before being temporarily stabilized. All other areas shall be stabilized within 14 days. For construction between September 15th and April 15th of any celendar year, all areas shall be temporarily stabilized within 7 days.

IV. Inspection and Maintenance

The following inspection and maintenance standards shall be required to insure the effectiveness of the erosion and sedimentation control measures are optimized during construction.

For further reference, see the Maine Department of Environmental Protection Chapter 500 Ston Management Rules and the Maine Construction General Permit (MCGP) requirements.

Inspect disturbed and impervious areas, erasion control measures, materials storage areas supposed to precipitation and locations where whickes enter or exit the site. Inspection should occur at least once a week as well as before and ofter a storm event, and prior to completing permonent stabilization measures.

Maintain all erasion and stormwater control measures until areas are permanently stabilized. Jantenance, madification, and/or installation of additional best management practices (BMPs) e necessary, implementation must be completed within 7 colendar days and prior to any storm ore neces

The following standards shall be required. For further reference, see the Maine Department of Environmental Protection Chapter 500 Stormwater Management Rules.

Spill prevention controls must be utilized to prevent pollutants from being discharged from materials opelie

During construction, liquid petroleum products and other hozordous meterials with the potential to contaminate groundwater may not be stored or handled in areas of the site draining to an infiltration area or adjacent to be stormwater orath basis and drain macheles.

Action must be taken to ensure activities do not result in naticeable erosion of soils or fugitive t emissions during or after construction.

Litter, construction debris, and chemicals exposed to stormwater must be prevented from becoming a pollutant source.

5. Water collected as a result of trench dewatering must be spread through natural wooded buffers or removed to areas that are specifically designed to collect the maximum amount of sediment possible, like a cofferdam sedimentation basin. Avoid-allowing the water to flow over disturbed areas of the site.

6. Identify and prevent contamination by non-stormwater discharges.







Prepared For: Applicant: SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING, LLC 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856 Tel: (207) 236-4067 Prepared By: MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES Landscape Architects The Staples School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 0410 Tel: (207) 774-4427 04101 Maine COMPLEX Portland, AGE VILL G SIN  $\square$ SIDE ΟH TUDENT Way >4 Marginal  $\tilde{\Omega}$  $\triangleleft$ 120 Date: JULY 11, 2006 Issued For: PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN AND SUBDIVISION REVIEW Revisions Reproduction or reuse of this document without the expressed written consent of Mitchell & Associates is prohibit Title:

> LAYOUT AND LIGHTING PLAN







'ProjectDrawings2\84MarginalWay\MarginalWay-SitePlan\_06-07-08.dwg, 7/11/2006 11:35:27 Aiv








Erosion Control Measures and Site Stabilizati

The primary emphasis of the erosion/sedimentation control plon to be implemented for the infrastructure construction is as follows: Development of a coreful construction sequence. Ropid revegetation of denuded areas to minimize the period of soil exposure. Ropid stabilization of denuded areas to ovid rill and gully erosion. The use of on-site measures to capture sediment (silt fence, check dams, etc.).

The following temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control devices will be implemented as part of the site development. These devices shall be installed as indicated on the plans or as described within this report. For further reference, see the Maine Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Construction: Best Management Practices.

A. Temporary Erosion Control Measures

The following measures are planned as temporary erosion/sedimentation control measures during construction:

1. Utilize the existing entrance to the site closest to Chestnut Street to access the site during construction until the proposed access driveways have been constructed.

Sump construction with the projects access diversity have been constructed.

3. Strew or hay much including hydroseding is intended to provide cover for denuded or seeded areas until revegetation is established. Much placed between April 15th and September 15th on slopes of less then 15 percent shall be anahored be covered by a fabria netting and anahored with staples in accordance with moundacturer's recommendation. Much placed between September 15th and April 15th on slopes or steeper than 16 percent shall be covered by a fabria netting and anahored with staples in accordance with a fabric 15th on slopes steeper than 51 and April 15th on slopes equal to or steeper than 16 percent shall be covered with a fabric netting and anchored with staples in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Slopes steeper than 31 and the drainage swale located in the L295 Right-of-Way that are to be revegetated shall receive Curtax blankets by American Excelsior ar Engineer approved equivalent. Much application rates are provided in Attachment A of this section. Much shall not be placed over snow.

Temporary stockpiles of stumps, grubbings, or common excavation will be protected as follows:

a) Temporary stockpiles shall not be located within 100 feet of any wetlands that are to be left undisturbed and any slopes exceeding 15%.

b) Stockpiles shall be stabilized within 7 days by either temporarily seeding the stockpile with a hydroseed method containing an emulsified mulch tackifier o by covering the stockpile with mulch.

c) Stockpiles shall be surrounded by silt fence or wood-waste compost berms a the time of formation.

5. All denuded areas within 100 feet of an undisturbed wetland that have been rough graded and are not located within a roadway subbase area shall receive mulch or erasian control mesh fabric within 7 days of hitfal soil disturbance. All loress within 50 feet of undisturbed wetland area shall be mulched prior to any predicted rain event regardiess of the 7-day window. In other areas, the time period may be extended to 14 days. All disturbed areas located within 700 feet of a protected natural double row of sediment barriers.

6. For work conducted between September 15th and April 15th of any calendar year, all denuded areas will be covered with hay mulch applied at twice the normal application rate and anchored with fabric netting. The time period for applying mulch as noted in Peragraph I.A.5 shall be limited to 7 days for all areas.

7. Morginal Way shall be swept to control off-tracking of mud, debris, and dust as necessary.

8. During grubbing operations stone check dams will be installed at any evident concentrated flow discharge points.

9. Silt fencing with a maximum stake spacing of 6 feet should be used, unless fence is supported by wire fence reinforcement of minimum 14 gauge and with a maximum mesh spacing of 6 inches, in which case stakes may be spaced a maximum of 10 feet oport. The bottom of the fence should be anchored.

10. Wood waste compost/bark berms may be used in lieu of sittation fencing. Berms shall be removed and spread into a layer not to exceed 3" thick once upstream areas are completed and a 90% catch of vegetation is attained. Wood waste ension tubes may also be used for perimeter sediment control or check dams, or to reduce slope lengths. These tubes may be created by filling Filtexx mesh tubes or opporved equivalent with wood woste material ad staking the tube to the ground where the control is necessary.

Inlet Protection measures shall be implemented for all cotch basins located with the disturbed construction area. Measures shall be maintained regularly and shall not cause floading in public right-of-ways.

12. Water shall be turnished and applied in accordance with MDOT specifications - Section 637 - Dust Control.

13. Loarn and seed is intended to serve as the primary permanent revegetative measure for all denuded areas not provided with other erosion control measures such as riprap. Application rates are provided in Attachment A of this section. Seeding shall not occur over snow.

B. Permanent Erosion Control Measures

The following permanent erosion control measures have been designed as part of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan:

All areas disturbed during construction but not subject to other restoration (building, poving, riprop, etc.) shall be loamed, limed, fertilized, mulched, and seeded. Fobrin cetting anchored with staples shall be placed over the mulch in areas as noted in Paragraph 1.A.3. All disturbed areas within 100 feet of an undisturbed welland area shall be mulched prior to any predicted rain event regardless of the 7-day window. Notive topsail shall be stockpiled and reused for findin restoration if deemed to be of sufficient quality.

#### II. Implementation Schedule

The following construction sequence shall be required to insure that the effectiveness of the erosion and sedimentation control measures is optimized:

For all grading activities, the contractor shall exercise extreme caution not to overexpose the site ting the disturbed area.

1. Install perimeter siltation fence and/or wood waste berms prior to grubbing respective areas

- 2. Clear and grub area as necessary for construction
- Remove existing payement within work limits.

During grubbing operations, install stone check dams at any evident concentrated flow discharge points.

5. Con e earthwork operations for proposed driveways and apartment foundations

6. Continue grading to subgrade as necessary.

7. Commence installation of underground utilities

- 8. Complete remaining earthwork operation
- 9. Install subbase and base course gravels for driveways
- 10. Complete installation of utility appurtenance
- 11. Install surface course gravels for the driveways
- 12. Loam, lime, fertilize, seed, and mulch remaining disturbed areas
- 13. Remove accumulated sediment from ahead of any sediment barriers as necessary
- 14. Once the site is stabilized and a 90% catch of vegetation has been obtained, remove all temporary

Note: All denuded areas not subject to final paving, riprap, or gravel shall be revegetated.

Prior to construction of the project, the contractor shall submit to the owner a schedule for the completion

the work, which will satisfy the following criteria:

1. The above construction sequence shall generally be completed in the specified order; however, several separate items may be constructed simultaneously. Work must also be scheduled or phased to prevent the sectent of the sopced areas as specified blow. The intent of the above sequence is prevent the sectent of the software sequence is prevent the sectent of the software sequence is prevent the sectent of the above sequence is prevent the sectence of the set of denuder.

2. The work shall be conducted in sections which will;

a) Limit the amount of exposed area to those areas in which work is expected to be undertaken during the proceeding 30 days.

b) Revegetate disturbed areas as rapidly as possible. All areas shall be permanently stabilized within 7 days of final grading or before a storm event, or temporarily stabilized within 7 days of initial disturbance of sail for areas within 100 feet of an undisturbad wetland area and within 14 days for all other oreas. Areas within 100 feet of an undisturbad wetland shall be mulched prior to any predicted rain event regardless of the 7-day window.

#### III. Winter Stabilization Plan

If a summer/fail construction schedule is not possible and construction is necessary between September 15th and April 15th of any calendar year, the contractor shall submit a schedule, which will satisfy the following criteria:

The extent of exposed area shall be limited to those areas in which work is expected to be undertaken during the proceeding 15 days and can be mulched in the event of a predicted snow event.

 $2. \ \ \, \mbox{All disturbed areas shall be covered with mulch within 7 days of final grading. Mulch shall not be placed over snow.$ 

Once final grade has been established, the contractor may choose to dormant seed the disturbed areas prior to placement of mulch and placement of staple-anchored fabric netting.

a. If dormant seeding is used for the slte, all disturbed areas shall receive 6" of loarn and seed at an application rate of 5 lbs. per 1000 s.f. Seeding shall not occur over snow.

All areas seeded during the winter months shall be inspected in the spring for adequate catch All areas insufficiently vegetated (less than 80% catch) shall be revegetated by replacing loam, seed, and mulch as necessary to achieve 80% catch.

b. If dormant seeding is not used for the site, all disturbed areas shall be reveaetated in the

4. The area of denuded non-stabilized construction area shall be limited to the minimum area practicable. An area shall be considered denuded until the subbase gravel is installed or the areas of future loam and seed have been loamed, seeded, and mulched at a rate twice that specified in the seeding plan (e.g. 115 lbs. per 1,000 s.f.  $\times$  2 = 230 lbs. per 1,000 s.f.).

5. The above schedule shall be subject to the approval of the Owner

The Contractor shall install any added measures that may be necessary to control erosion and sedimentation from the site dependent upon the actual site and weather conditions.

The Contractor shall note that no areas within 100 fest of an undisturbed wetland shall remain denuded for longer than 7 days before being temporarily stabilized. All other areas shall be stabilized within 14 days. For construction between September 15th and April 15th of any calendar year, all areas shall be temporarily stabilized within 7 days.

IV. Inspection and Maintenance

The following inspection and maintenance standards shall be required to insure the effectiveness of the erosion and sedimentation control measures are optimized during construction.

For further reference, see the Maine Department of Environmental Protection Chapter 500 Stor Management Rules and the Maine Construction General Permit (MCGP) requirements.

Inspect disturbed and impervious areas, erosion control measures, materials storage areas exposed to precipitation and locations where vehicles enter or exit the site. Inspection should occur at least once a week as well as before and after a storm event, and prior to completin permanent stabilization measures.

Maintain all erosion and stormwater control measures until areas are permanently stabilized. If maintenance, modification, and/or installation of additional best management practices (BMPs) are necessary, implementation must be completed within 7 calendar days and prior to any storm event.

#### V. Housekeeping

The following standards shall be required. For further reference, see the Maine Department of Environmental Protection Chapter 500 Stormwater Management Rules.

Spill prevention controls must be utilized to prevent pollutants from being discharged from materials onsite.

During construction, liquid petroleum products and other hozardous materials with the potential to contaminate groundwater may not be stored or handled in areas of the site draining to an infiltration area or adjacent to the starmwater acith basins and drain manholes.

3. Action must be taken to ensure activities do not result in noticeable erosion of soils or fugitive dust emissions during or after construction.

Litter, construction debris, and chemicals exposed to stormwater must be prevented from becoming a pollutant source.

5. Water collected as a result of trench devotaring must be spread through natural wooded to a removed to areas that or specifically designed to collect the maximum anoaut of sadiment possible, like a cofferdam sedimentation basin. Avoid allowing the water to flow over disturbed areas of the site.

6. Identify and prevent contamination by non-stormwater discharges.









ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN AND SUBDIVISION REVIEW



7/11/2006 9:41:12 AM

1

B-Z

ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN AND SUBDIVISION REVIEW



B-3



	F.H.W.A.	SHEET TOTAL
	REG. NO. STATE PROJEC	ST NUMBER NO. SHEETS   PN 9815.99 8 32
	PURILARD, MARGINAL WAY	-
	CURBING LEGEND	
	VERTICAL GRANITE CURB	
	BITUMINOUS CURB	
	STRIPING LEGEND	
	SIGNAGE, STRIPING AND PAVEMENT MARKING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUAL ON INVERTIGATION OF THE DECLARACE (JUSCE) 2003	
	EDITION, REARDING SIZE, INSTALLATION, LOCATION & REFLECTIVITY.	
	24"SL - 24" WIDTH STOP LINE 4"SWLL - 4" WIDTH SOLD WHITE LANE LINE 4"DVCI - 4" WIDTH DOLIBLE VELOW CENTERLINE	
	4"DWLL - 4" WIDTH DASHED WHITE LANE LINE	
	NOTES: 1. ALL EXISTING PAVEMENT MARKINGS	
	WHICH CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED SHALL BE REMOVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MAINEDOT SPECIFICATIONS.	
	2. ALL SIGNS GREATER THAN 36" IN WIDTH SHALL HAVE TWO POSTS	
0 0 1	S° O'	
22+0	0 23+00 24+0024+25	
· ·		
0. 0.	+6	
		12.5 25 50
	1 inc	h = 25 ft.
Tempora	v Sidewalk Locations	Drawing No.
WARGINAL	WAT, FURTLAND, MAINE	

Atlantic Bayside Trust, LLC 50 Portland Pier, Suite 400, Portland, ME 04101 MAINEDOT PIN 9815.99

PORTLAND, MARGINAL WAY





	EXISTING	PROPOSED
RM DRAIN	ESD	5D
BINED SEWER	ECS-	
CTRIC SERVICE	EE	E
SERVICE	EG	G
EPHONE AND LE SERVICE		T+C
RHEAD WIRES	EOHW-	
LIGHTING ELECTRIC		E
CTRIC TRANSORMER		ET
PHONE PAD		T
LE PAD		C
T FIXTURE - STREET		-0
T FIXTURE - SITE		••
T FIXTURE - BUILDING		
3		





# E-6 HOUSING ΓT J OU Ö FIGURE SEF 3 ç £ <del>NG</del> M. RGINAL WAY MATCHLINE 12 AP THE WHOLE GROCEI R 100 1 1 inch = 50 ft. Figure No. Conceptual Roadway Improvements Plan $\dot{B}$

BAYSIDE VILLAGE-A STUDENT HOUSING COMPLEX PORTLAND. MAINF



	P	$\cap$	c











MODIFICATIONS TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY 63 MARGINAL WAX ۵. FIGURE-SEE 1 inch = 50 ft. Figure No. Conceptual Roadway Improvements Plan A



















Rev. Date Revision









# BAYSIDE VILLAGE A STUDENT HOUSING COMPLEX

# Portland, Maine **Cumberland** County

#### APPLICANT AND DEVELOPER

SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING, LLC 247 COMMERCIAL STREET ROCKPORT, MAINE 04856

#### PREPARED BY:

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES 70 CENTER STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 PHONE: 207.774.4427 FAX: 207.874.2460 FAX: CONTACT: BOB METCALF E-MAIL: RMETCALF@MITCHELLASSOCIATES.BIZ

CIVIL AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERS: GORRILL-PALMER CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. P.O. BOX 1237 15 SHAKER ROAD 15 SHAKER ROAD GRAY, MANE 64039 PHONE 207.857.6910 FAX: 207.857.6910 CONTACT: WILL HASKELL (CIVIL ENGNEER) CONTACT: WILL HASKELL (CIVIL ENGNEER) CONTACT: TOM GORRILL (TRAFFIC ENGNEER) CONTACT: TOM GORRILL (TRAFFIC ENGNEER) E-MAL: TGORBILL@GORBILPALMER.COM

ARCHITECT: CWS ARCHITECTS 434 CUMBERLAND AVENUE PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 TEL: 207.774.4011 FAX: 207.774.4016 CONTLATE DELI MULTED TEL: 207.774.4441 FAX: 207.774.4016 CONTACT: BEN WALTER E-MAL: BWALTER@CWSARCH.COM

SURVEYOR OWEN HASKELL, INC. 16 CASCO STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 PHONE: 207.774.0424 FAX: 207.774.0511 FAX: 207.774.0511 CONTACT: JOHN SWAN

GEOTECHNICAL: S.W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. 286 PORTLAND ROAD GRAY, MAINE 04039 207.657.2866 207.657.2840 TEL: FAX: CONTACT: ANDREW R. SIMMONS, P.F.

102

## UTILITIES:

ELECTRIC: CENTRAL MAINE POWER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION ENGINEERING 162 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 PHONE: 207.8422367 CONTACT: JAME COUGH E-MAIL: JAMES.COUGH@CMPCO.COM

TELEPHONE: VERIZON ENGINEERING, FLOOR 2 5 DAVIS FARM ROAD 5 DAVIS FARM ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 PHONE: 207.797.1842 FAX: 207.797.1098 CONTACT: SUE SARRETTE E-MAIL: SUESANRETTE@VERIZON.COM

WATER: PORTLAND WATER DISTRICT 225 DOUGLASS STREET P.O. BOX 3553 PORTLAND, MAINE 04104-3553 PHONE: 207.761.8310 FAX: 207.879.5837 CONTACT: JIM PANDISCIO E-MAIL: JPANDISCIO@PI . WD 086

SEWER: CITY OF PORTLAND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 55 PORTLAND STREET PORTLAND, MANE 04/01 PHONE: 207.874.8832 FAX: 207.874.8836 CONTACT: FRANK BRANCELY

CABLE: TIME WARNER CABLE OF MAINE P.O. BOX 8180 PORTLAND, MAINE 04102 TEL: 207.253.2325 CONTACT: COLIN CHASE E-MAIL: COLIN.CHASE@TWCABLE.COM

NATURAL GAS: NORTHERN UTILITIES 325 WEST ROAD P.O. BOX 508 PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03802-0508 TEL: 800.552.3047 x5377 CONTACT: PAT DYER E-MAII · PDYERONISOLIBCE COM

### PERMITS:

TYPE OF PERMIT: SUBDIVISION APPLICATION

SITE PLAN APPLICATION

TRAFFIC MOVEMENT PERMIT

CITY OF PORTLAND	
389 CONGRESS STREET	
PORTLAND, MAINE 04101	
TEL: 207.874.8725	
CONTACT: RICK KNOWLAND, SENIOR PLANNER	
E-MAIL: RWK@PORTLANDMAINE.GOV	
CITY OF PORTLAND	
389 CONGRESS STREET	
PORTLAND, MAINE 04101	
TEL: 207.874.8725	

CITY OF PORTLAND 389 CONGRESS STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101

RWK@PORTLANDMAINE.GO

COVERNING RODY

F-MAN ·

TEL: 207.871.1785 CONTACT: TOM ERRICO, REVIEW ENGINEER E-MAR -

## STATUS: SUBMITTED: SUBMITTED: JULY 11, 2006 PLANNING BOARD WORKSHOP: JULY 25, 2006 PLANNING BOARD WORKSHOP: SEPT. 12, 2006 SUBMITTED: JULY 11, 2006 PLANNING BOARD WORKSHOP: JULY 25, 2006 PLANNING BOARD WORKSHOP: SEPT. 12, 2006 SUBMITTED: SCOPING MEETING: JULY 11, 2006 AUG. 17, 2006 SHEET

CP	COVER S
1	EXISTING
2	LAYOUT
3	GRADING
4	PLANTING
5	EROSION
6	SITE DET
7	RESERVE
8	UTILITY
9	UTILITY
10	UTILITY
11	EROSION
A101	OVERALL
A102	OVERALL
A103	OVERALL
A3.01	OVERALL
A3.02	OVERALL
A3.03	OVERALL

SHEET INDEX:



NOT TO SCALE

ATTACHNENT A-1 Prepared For Applicant: SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING, LLC 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856 Tel: (207) 236-4067 Prepared By: MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES Landscape Architects The Staples School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 Tel: (207) 774-4427 Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. Traffic and Civil Engineering Services 15 Shaker Road 207-657-6910 Gray, ME 04039 FAX: 207-657-6912 Maine LEX OMP ortland, AG Ũ VILL ã ப SIN  $\square$  $\circ$ E H AYSID Way STUDENT Marginal р 120  $\triangleleft$ Date: JULY 11, 2006 Issued For: PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN AND SUBDIVISION REVIEW Revisions: August 22, 2006 - Per Planning Board Comments Reproduction or reuse of this document without the expressed written consent of litchell & Associates is prohibit COVER PAGE Scale: North: Sheet No .: 

SHEET AND LIGHTING PLAN , DRAINAGE AND UTILITIES PLAN G PLAN AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN ED AND DRAINAGE DETAILS AND DRAINAGE DETAILS AND DRAINAGE DETAILS

AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL DETAILS AND NOTES FLOOR PLAN - FLOWER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - FREST FLOOR FLOOR PLAN - FREST FLOOR FLOOR PLAN - SECOND FLOOR (TYPICAL OF FLOORS 2 THRU 4) BULDING ELEVATIONS BUILDING ELEVATIONS OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATION





A-2

CCRD 1797/446

2. PARCEL IS SHOWN AS LOT 1 BLOCK B ON CITY OF PORTLAND ASSESSORS TAX MAP 34 A.

3. THE UNDERGROUND UTLITES SHOWN HAVE BEEN LOCATED FROM FIELD SURVEY INFORMATION AND EXISTING DRAWINGS. THE SURVEYOR MARES NO GUARNITES THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTLITES SHOWN COMPRISE ALL SUCH UTLITES IN THE AREA. EITHER IN SERVICE OR ABANDONED. THE SURVEYOR FURTHER DOES NOT WARRANT THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTLITES SHOWN ARE IN THE EXACT LOCATION INDICATED ALTHOUGH HE DOES CERTIFY THAT THEY ARE LOCATED AS ACCURATELY AS POSSIBLE FROM INFORMATION AVAILABLE. THE SURVEYOR HAS NOT PHYSICALLY LOCATED THE UNDERGROUND UTITIES. CALL 1-868-DICSAFE AT LEAST THREE BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE PERFORMING ANY CONSTRUCTION.

5. OWEN HASKELL. NG. SURVEY DOES NOT INCLUDE DATA BEHIND CURBLINE TO THE SOUTH OF MARGINAL WAY AND TO THE WEST OF PREBLE STREET EXTENSION. THIS DATA IS APPROXIMATE AND HAS BEEN ADDED TO THESE SITE PLANS FOR CONTEXT INFORMATION.

1. BOUNDARY SURVEY OF PROPERTY ALONG MARGINAL WAY AND PREBLE STREET PREPARED BY PORTLAND DPW ENGINEERING SECTION. DATED DECEMBER 10, 2003.

2. 'BOUNDARY + TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY' ON MARGINAL WAY. PORTLAND MAINE MADE FOR MITCHELL + ASSOCIATES, DATED MARCH

OWEN HASKELL INC. HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT THIS PLAN IS BASED ON. AND THE RESULT OF, AN ON THE GROUND FIELD SURVEY AND THAT TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE. INFORMATION AND BELIEF, IT CONFORMS TO THE BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS CURRENT STANDARDS OF PRACTICE.

JOHN W. SWAN, PLS NO. 1038 4 LIMIT OF WORK CHAIN LINH •4" BUSH Ca) N/F CITY OF PORTLAND TAX MAP 442 BLOCK A LOT 1 EMOVE EMERGI WOODEN CANOPY ON CONC. PAD FREERICY BOX CITY TO REMOVE WOODEN CANOPY WALK REMOVE NO. - -----#13\$ ¥135 74 30\* R14=9.76 15"N=1.36 CLINV.=1.28 CMP TO REMOVE M NAIL IN #12 =10.62 CHESTNUT STREET GRÂN N/F FIVE LIVER CO. TAX MAP 25-BLOCK A LOT 5 BOOK 22284 PAGE 59












	A-7
HOLLANDSTONE PAVER SCORE DY DURACON. TO BE LIGHT CODE LIGHT CAND BRCK BITURINCUS PAVEPENT AND BRCK I SLOPE 112 AN CURB CRUNTE CURB GRANTE ECOURED	Prepared For:         Applicant:         SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT         HOUSING:         LLC         247 Commercial Street         Rockport, Maine 04856         Tel: (207) 236-4067         Prepared By:         MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES         Landscape Architects         The Staples School         Torticat Street Oxig01         Tel: (207) 774-4427
	Gorrill-Palmer Consulting Engineers, Inc. Traffic and Vord Registering Services 15 Shaher Rend Cray, ME 04039 FAX: 207-557-6912
AS SPECIFED NOTE: AL FOR REAS SLOCATED IN ALL FOR REAS SLOCATED IN ALL FOR REAS SLOCATED IN ALL FOR REAS SLOCATED IN ALL FOR REAS STORE ALL FOR ALL REAS TO BE MONTED FLUGSH WITH PAVENENT. SPECIFED BY TO REAS TO REAS TO BE TO REAS TO REAS TO BE TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO BE TO REAS TO REAS TO BE TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO BE TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO ALL REGISTRON FOR INTERIOR TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO REAS TO ALL REGISTRON FOR INTERIOR TO TO WALL TO BE OF TO ADDITION OF SIGN. SON' WHERE REQUERD NOTE: ALL REGISTRON FOR INTERIOR SON' WHERE REQUERD SON' WHERE REQUERD	BAYSIDE VILLAGE A STUDENT HOUSING COMPLEX 120 Marginal Way Portland, Maine
ICN VARES HORTAR FOR SDEWALK SONG TUBE- ALTERNATE ISS OKT WITH APPROVAL HAY DE AN DRAVIL FT IN CACUMD.	Date: JULY 11, 2006 Issued For: PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN AND SUBDIVISION REVIEW Revisions:
	Reproduction or reuse of this document without the expressed written consent of Mitchell & Associates is prohibited. Title: SITE DETAILS
E KGROUND WHITE BACKGROUND TERING BLACK LETTERING	North: Sheet No.:







and the second second

### Erosion Control Measures and Site Stabilization

- The primary emphasis of the erosion/sedimentation control plan to be implemented for the infrastructure construction is as follows: Development of a coreful construction sequence. Rapid revegetation of denuded areas to minimize the period of soil exposure. Rapid solization of denuded areas to avoid rill and guily erosion. The use of on-site measures to capture sediment (sit fence, check dams, etc.).

The following temporary and permanent erasion and sediment control devices will be implemented as part of the site development. These devices shall be installed as indicated on the plans or as described within this report. For further reference, see the Maine Erasion and Sediment Control Handbook for Construction: Best Management Practices.

A. Temporary Erosion Control Measures

The following measures are planned as temporary erosion/sedimentation control measures during construction:

Utilize the existing entrance to the site closest to Chestnut Street to access the site during construction until the proposed access driveways have been constructed.

Can structure unit the proposed access an everys have been constructed.
2. Sitchin fance or wood waste compost berms shall be installed downstream of any disturbed areas to trap runoff borne sadiments until adequate catch (90% or greater) has accurred. The site fance and/or the wood waste compost berms shall be installed particular the datals provided in this package and inspected immediately after there are signs of areasity or sadiments until adequates catch (90% or greater) there are signs of understifting at the catter or the edges or more think. If there are signs of understifting at the catter or the edges or more mins. If there are signs of understifting at the catter or the edges or more mins. If one catter would be accessed on the same signs of accessive and the same signs of accessive accessive and the same signs of accessive and the same signs of accessive accessive and the same signs of accessive acceessive accessive accessive acceessive acce

3. Strew or hay mulch including hydrosseding is intended to provide cover for denuded or seeded areas until revegetation is established. Mulch placed between April 15th and September 15th on slopes of less than 15 percent shall be anchered b applying water, mulch placed on slopes of equal to or stepper than 15 percent should ready the stepper stepper stepper stepper stepper to the platest manufacturer's recommendation. Mulch placed between Septemor 15th with plat 15th on slopes equal to or stepper than 8 percent shall be covered with a fabric recommendations. Slopes steper than 3:1 and the drainage swale located in the 1-25 Right-Or-Way that or to be revegetated shall receive Curke blankets by American Excelsion or Engineer approved equivalent. Mulch application rates area provided in Attachment A of this section. Mulch shall not be placed over snow.

Temporary stockpiles of stumps, grubbings, or common excavation will be protected as follows:

a) Temporary stockpiles shall not be located within 100 feet of any wetlands that are to be left undisturbed and any slopes exceeding 15%.

b) Stockpiles shall be stabilized within 7 days by either temporarily seeding the stockpile with a hydroseed mathod containing an emulsified mulch tackifier or by covering the stockpille with mulch.

c) Stockpiles shall be surrounded by silt fence or wood-waste compost berms at the time of formation.

5. All denuded areas within 100 feet of an undisturbed wetland that have been rough graded and are not located within a roadway subbase area shall receive mulch or evaluation timesh fabric within 7 days of initial soil disturbance. All areas within 50 feet of undisturbed wetland area shall be mulched prior to any predicted rain event regardless of the 7-day window. In other areas, the time period may be standed to 14 days. All disturbed areas located within 10 feet of a protected natural to duble row of selment barbards.

6. For work conducted between September 15th and April 15th of any colendar year, all denuded areas will be covered with hay mulch applied at twice the normal application rate and anchered with fabric netting. The time period for applying mulch as noted in Paragraph LA.5 shall be limited to 7 days for all areas.

7. Marginal Way shall be swept to control off-tracking of mud, debris, and dust as necessary.

8. During grubbing operations stone check dams will be installed at any evident concentrated flow discharge points.

9. Sit fencing with a maximum stake spacing of 6 fest should be used, unless fence is supported by wire fence reinforcement of minimum 14 gauge and with a maximum mesh spacing of 6 inches, in which case stakes may be spaced a maximum of 10 fest aport. The bottom of the fence should be anchored.

10. Wood waste compost/bark berms may be used in lieu of siltation fencing. Berms shall be removed and spread into a layer not to exceed 3" thick once upstream areas are completed and a 90% catch of vegetation is attained. Wood waste evolution since the wood wood evolution of the state state of the s

cessary. Inlet Protection measures shall be implemented for all catch basins located with the disturbed construction area. Measures shall be maintained regularly and shall not cause floading in public right-of-ways.

Water shall be furnished and applied in accordance with MDOT specifications Section 637 - Dust Control.

13. Loom and seed is intended to serve as the primary permanent revegetative measure for all denuded areas not provided with other erosion control measures such as riprap. Application rates are provided in Attachment A of this section. Seeding shall not occur over snow.

B. Permanent Erosion Control Measures

II. Implementation Schedule

- All areas disturbed during construction but not subject to other restoration (building, powing, riprop, etc.) shall be loarned, limed, fertilized, mulched, and seeded. Fobire netting anchored with stagies shall be placed over the mulch in areas an noted in Paragraph IA.3. All disturbed areas within 100 feet of an understand setting area shall be mulched pirot to any practicate ania went official setting. All disturbed areas within 100 feet of an understand setting area shall be mulched pirot to any practicate ania went find restoration if deemed to be of sufficient quality.

The following construction sequence shall be required to insure that the effectiveness of the erosion and sedimentation control measures is optimized: Note: For all grading activities, the contractor shall exercise extreme caution not to overexpose the site by limiting the disturbed area.

install perimeter siltation fence and/or wood waste berms prior to grubbing respective areas.

During grubbing operations, install stone check dams at any evident concentrated flow discharge points.

5. Commence earthwork operations for proposed driveways and apartment foundations

13. Remove accumulated sediment from ahead of any sediment barriers as necessary.

14. Once the site is stabilized and a 90% catch of vegetation has been obtained, remove all temporary erosion control measures.

- The following permanent erosion control measures have been designed as part of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan:

2. Clear and grub area as necessary for construction

3. Remove existing pavement within work limits.

6. Continue grading to subgrade as necessary

8. Complete remaining earthwork operations.

15. Touch up loarn and seed

7. Commence installation of underground utilities

10. Complete installation of utility appurtenances 11. Install surface course gravels for the driveways

9. Install subbase and base course gravels for driveway

12. Loam, lime, fertilize, seed, and mulch remaining disturbed area

6. Identify and prevent contamination by non-stormwater discharges

7. Additional requirements may be applied on a site-specific basis.

5. Water collected as a result of tranch devataring must be spread through natural wooded buffers or removed to arres: that are specifically designed to callect the maximum annual of sediment possible, like a conferdam sedimentation basin. Avoid allowing the water to flow over disturbed areas of the site.

Note: All denuded areas not subject to final paving, riprap, or gravel shall be revegetated

the work, which will satisfy the following criteria:

III. Winter Stabilization Plan

4. The area of denuded no

IV. Inspection and Maintenance

V. Housekeeping

2. The work shall be conducted in sections which will;

Prior to construction of the project, the contractor shall submit to the owner a schedule for the completion

The above construction sequence shall generally be completed in the specified order; however, several separate items may be constructed simultaneously. Work must also be scheduled or phased to prevent the extent of the exposed areas as specified below. The intent of the above sequence is to provide for sufficient erasion and sedimentation control and to have structural measures such as sit fence and construction entrance in place before large areas of land are denuded.

a) Limit the amount of exposed area to those areas in which work is expected to be undertaken during the proceeding 30 days.

b) Revegetate disturbed areas as rapidly as possible. All areas shall be permanently stabilized within 7 days of final grading or before a storm event, or temporarily stabilized within 7 days of initial disturbance of soil for areas within 100 feet of an undisturbed wetland area and within 14 days for all other areas. Areas within 100 feet of an undisturbed wetland shall be mulched prior to any predicted rain event regardless of the 7-day window.

If a summer/full construction schedule is not possible and construction is necessary between Septembr 15th and April 15th of any calendar year, the contractor shall submit a schedule, which will satisfy the following criterio:

The extent of exposed area shall be limited to those areas in which work is expected to be lertaken during the proceeding 15 days and can be mulched in the event of a predicted snow

All disturbed areas shall be covered with mulch within 7 days of final grading. Mulch shall not be placed over snow.

Once final grade has been established, the contractor may choose to dormant seed the disturbed areas prior to placement of mulch and placement of staple—anchored fabric netting.

a. If dormant acceding is used for the site, all disturbed areas shall receive 6" of loam and seed of an opplication rate of 5 lbs. per 1000 s.f. Seeding shall not occur over snow.

All areas seeded during the winter months shall be inspected in the spring for adequate catch. All areas insufficiently vegetated (less than 80% catch) shall be revegetated by replacing loam, seed, and muich as necessary to achive 80% catch.

b. If dormant seeding is not used for the site, all disturbed areas shall be revegetated in the

• The area of derivated non-stabilized construction area shall be limited to the minimum a practicable. An area shall be considered deruded until the subbase gravel is installed or the areas of future loarn and seed have been loarned, seeded, and mulched at a rate twice that specified in the seeding plan (e.g. 115 Bac, per 1,000 at, x 2 = 230 bac, per 1,000 at, ).

The Contractor shall install any added measures that may be necessary to control erosion and sedimentation from the site dependent upon the actual site and weather conditions.

The following inspection and maintenance standards shall be required to insure the effectiveness of the erosion and sedimentation control measures are optimized during construction.

Inspect disturbed and impervious areas, erosion control measures, materials storage areas exposed to precipitation and locations where vehicles enter or exit the site. Inspection should occur at least once a week as well as before and after a storm event, and prior to completin permanent stabilization measures.

Maintain all erosion and stormwater control measures until areas are permanently stabilized. maintenance, madification, and/or installation of additional best management practices (BMPs) are necessary, implementation must be completed within 7 calendar days and prior to any storm event.

The following standards shall be required. For further reference, see the Maine Department of Environmental Protection Chapter 500 Stormwater Management Rules.

Spill prevention controls must be utilized to prevent pollutants from being discharged from materials onsite.

During construction, liquid petroleum products and other hazardous materials with the potentic to contaminate groundwater may not be stared or handled in areas of the site draining to an infiltration areas or adjocent to the stormwater catch basins and drain manches.

Action must be taken to ensure activities do not result in noticeable erosion of soils or fugitive dust emissions during or after construction.

For further reference, see the Maine Department of Environmental Protection Chapter 500 Stormwater Management Rules and the Maine Construction General Permit (MCGP) requirements.

The Contractor shall note that no areas within 100 feet of an undisturbed wetland shall remain denuded for longer than 7 days before being temporarily stabilized. All other areas shall be stabilized within 14 days. For construction between September 15th and April 15th of any calendar year, all areas shall be temporarily stabilized within 7 days.

5. The above schedule shall be subject to the approval of the Owner

- CATCH BASIN GRATE

Ð

SILTSACK BY ACF ENVIRONMENTAL OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT GEOTEXTILE FABRIC INLET FILTER

#11 DR HEAVIER STEEL VIRE

11/2

NDIE STAPLE SPACING AT 3' C/C ALDNG MESH EXCEPT AT 4' DVERLAP WHICH SHALL BE AT 1 1/2' C/C

WIRE STAPLE

2-5- Mark 2-31 2-10 Mark 31

STAPLE AT

FILL SLOPE

FENCE

POSTS

NOTE

STAPLES -----

ં

A 230

INLET PROTECTION

N.T.S.

ANCHOR SLOT AT BEGINNING AND END OF MESH

4'-2"

CIRCULAR DITCHES

STAPLES AT EDGES, AT QUARTER POINTS, AT 4" DVERLAP

- EROSION CONTROL

2: R=12.71 R=16.25 AL

EROSION CONTROL MESH

N.T.S.

1. THE WOOD WASTE COMPOST/BARK MIX SHALL CONFORM TO THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

2. THE COMPOST BERM SHALL BE PLACED, UNCOMPACTED, ALONG A RELATIVELY LEVEL CONTOUR.

3. THE WOOD WASTE COMPOST/BARK FILTER BERM MAY BE USED IN LIEU OF SILTATION FENCE, AT THE TOE OF SHALLOW SLOPES, ON FROZEN GROUND, LEDGE OUT CROPS, VERY ROOTED FORESTED AREA OR AT THE EDGE OF GRAVEL PARKING RAEAS.

4. BERMS SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL UPSTREAM AREA IS COMPLETED OR 70% CATCH OF VEGETATION IS ATTAINED. BERMS SHALL BE REMOVED BY SPREADING SUCH THAT NATIVE EARTH CAN BE SEEN BELOW.

WOOD WASTE COMPOST/BARK

FILTER BERM

N.T.S.

-EXISTING GROUND

RUNOFE

BERM SHALL BE KEYED A MIN. OF 4" INTO EXISTING GROUND

A. MOSTURE CONTENT - 30-60% B. pH - 5.0 - 8.0. C. SCREED SZE - 100% LESS THAN 3", MAX. 70% LESS THAN 1". D. NO LESS THAN 40% ORGANIC MATERIAL (DRY WEIGHT) BY LOSS OF IGNITION. E. NO STONES LARGER THAN 2" N DIAMETER. F. SILTS, CLAYS OR SUGAR SANDS ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE IN THE MIX.

5. WOOD WASTE COMPOST/BARK FILTER BERM SHALL NOT BE USED IN WETLAND AREAS.

3-6

STAPLE AT -

to

TAMP FIRMLY

EXIST. GROUND

EROSION CONTROL

SAME AS ADJACENT

11. 3.0°

Re185 0 Re16.25

NOTES:

STAPLE AT

80

Litter, construction debris, and chemicals exposed to stormwater must be prevented from becoming a pollutant source.







– <u>UNIT 113</u> TYPE "A"

> C A3.01

B-2 CWS Architects Architecture Space Planning Value Design 🔲 434 Cumberland Avenue Portland, NE 04101 Phone: (207)774-4441 Fox: (207)774-4016 www.CWSarch.com 🛛 Owner: SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING LLC 247 COMMERCIAL STREET ROCKPORT, MAINE 04856 TEL: (207) 236-4067 Contractor: PIZZAGALLI CONSTRUCION 100 FODEN RD WEST, SUITE 300 SOUTH PORTLAND, ME 04106 TEL: (207) 847-2323 FAX: (207) 874-2727 **BAYSIDE VILLAGE** - A STUDENT HOUSING COMPLEX 120 MARGINAL WAY PORTLAND, MAINE Project No: 2006-425.BSV Drawing Title: OVERALL FLOOR PLAN - FIRST FLOOR 1/16" : 1'-0 Scale: Date: Progress 08/22/2006 Revisions: Plan North Actual North  $|\Delta|$ Draving Number: A1.02



8-3 CWS Architects 🔲 Architecture Space Planning Value Design 🔲 434 Cumberland Avenue Portland, NE 04101 Phone: (207)774-4441 Fox: (207)774~4016 www.CWSarch.com 🔲 🛛 Owner: SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING LLC 247 COMMERCIAL STREET ROCKPORT, MAINE 04856 TEL: [207] 236-4067 Contractor: PIZZAGALLI CONSTRUCION 100 FODEN RD WEST, SUITE 300 SOUTH PORTLAND, ME 04106 TEL: (207) 847-2323 FAX: (207) 874-2727 **BAYSIDE VILLAGE** - A STUDENT HOUSING COMPLEX 120 MARGINAL WAY Portland, Maine - <u>UNIT 213</u> TYPE "A" Project No: 2006-425.BSV C A3.01 Drawing Title: OVERALL FLOOR PLAN - SECOND FLOOR Scale: 1/16" : 1'-0" Progress 08/22/2006 Date: **Revisions**:  $\triangle$  $|\Delta|$ Plan North Actual North Drawing Number: A1.03

ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN REVIEW

0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1\\ 0.1 \ 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.0 

14 BOLLARDS

1=20

12 BOLLARDS

= 20

10 BOULAEDS

1=20

# BAYSIDE VILLAGE A STUDENT HOUSING COMPLEX

## Portland, Maine **Cumberland** County

## APPLICANT AND DEVELOPER

SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING, LLC 247 COMMERCIAL STREET ROCKPORT, MAINE 04856

### PREPARED BY:

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES 70 CENTER STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 PHONE: 207.774.4427 FAX: 207.874.2460 CONTACT: BOB METCALF E-MAIL: RMETCALFEMTCHELLASSOCIATES.BIZ

CIVIL AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERS: GORRILL-PALMER CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. P.O. BOX 1237 15 SHAKER ROAD E-MAIL: TGORRILL@GORRILLPALMER.COM

ARCHITECT: CWS ARCHITECTS 434 CUMBERLAND AVENUE PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 TEL: 207.774.4441 FAX: 207.774.4018 CONTACT: BEN WALTER E-MAL: BWALTER@CWSARCH.COM

SURVEYOR OWEN HASKELL, INC. 16 CASCO STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 PHONE: 207.774.0424 FAX: 207.774.0511 CONTACT: JOHN SWAN

GEOTECHNICAL: S.W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. 286 PORTLAND ROAD GRAY, MAINE 04039 TEL: 207.657.2866 FAX: 207.657.2840 CONTACT: ANDREW R. SMMONS, P.E.

## **UTILITIES:**

ELECTRIC: CENTRAL MANE POWER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION ENGINEERING 182 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 PHONE: 207.842.2367 CONTACT: JAME COUGH E-MAIL: JAMES.COUGH@CMPCO.COM

TELEPHONE: VERIZON ENGINEERING, FLOOR 2 5 DAVIS FARM ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 PHONE 207.797.1842 FAX: 207.797.1098 CONTACT: SUE SARRETTE E-MAIL: SUSANMSARRETTE@VERIZON.COM

WATER: PORTLAND WATER DISTRICT 225 DOUGLASS STREET P.O. BOX 3553 PORTLAND, MAINE 04104-3553 PHONE: 207.7618310 FAX: 207.879.5837 CONTACT: JM PANDISCIO E-MAIL: JPANDISCIO@PWD.ORG

SEWER: CITY OF PORTLAND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 55 PORTLAND STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 PHONE: 207.874.8832 FAX: 207.874.8816 FAX: 207.874.8816 CONTACT: FRANK BRANCELY

CABLE: TIME WARNER CABLE OF MAINE P.O. BOX 8180 PORTLAND, MAINE 04102 TEL: 207.253.2325 CONTACT: COLIN CHASE E-MAIL: COLINCHASE@TWCABLE.COM

NATURAL GAS: NORTHERN UTILITIES 325 WEST ROAD PO. BOX 508 PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03802-0508 TEL: 800.652.3047 x5377 CONTACT: PAT DYER E-MAIL: PDYER@NISOURCE.COM

## PERMITS:

TYPE OF PERMIT: SUBDIVISION APPLICATION

SITE PLAN APPLICATION

TRAFFIC MOVEMENT PERMIT

GOVERNING BODY: CITY OF PORTLAND 389 CONGRESS STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 207.874.8725 TEL: CONTACT: RICK KNOWLAND, SENICR PLANNER E-MAIL: RWK@PORTLANDMAINE.GOV

CITY OF PORTLAND 389 CONGRESS STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 TEL: 207.874.8725 CONTACT: RICK KNOWLAND, SENIOR PLANIER E-MAL: RWK@PORTLANDMAINE.GOV

CITY OF PORTLAND 389 CONGRESS STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 TEL: 207.8711785 TEL: 207.8711785 CONTACT: TOM ERRICO, REVIEW ENGINEER E-MAIL: TERRICO@WILBERSMITH.COM

STATUS: SUBMITTED: JULY 11, 2006 PLANNING BOARD WORKSHOP: JULY 25, 2006

SUBMITTED: SUBMITTED: JULY 11, 2006 PLANNING BOARD WORKSHOP: JULY 25, 2006

SUBMITTED: JULY 11, 2006 SCOPING MEETING







NOT TO SCALE

- A	TRACHMENE A.
771	Prepared For: Applicant: SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING, LLC 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856 Tel: (207) 236-4067
	Prepared By: MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES Landscape Architects The Staples School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 Tel: (207) 774-4427
	VILLAGE USING COMPLEX Portland, Maine
LAN DETAILS AND NOTES PLAN	BAYSIDE A STUDENT HO 120 Marginal Way
	Date:
	Issued For: PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN AND SUBDIVISION REVIEW
State Parts	Reproduction or reuse of this document without the expressed written consent of Mitchell & Associates is prohibited. Title: COVER PAGE
na co co	Scale:
	North: Sheet No.:

SHEET INDEX:

COVER SHEET EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOLITION PL LAYOUT AND LIGHTING PLAN GRADING, DRAINAGE AND UTILITIES PLAN PLANTING PLAN SITE DETALLS UTILITY AND DRAINAGE DETALLS UTILITY AND DRAINAGE DETALLS UTILITY AND DRAINAGE DETALLS ENOSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL F OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATIONS OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATIONS OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATIONS OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATION





Prepared For Applicant: SOUTHERN MAINE STUDENT HOUSING, LLC 247 Commercial Street Rockport, Maine 04856 Tel: (207) 236-4067

Prepared By: MITCHELL & ASSOCIATES Landscape Architects The Staples School 70 Center Street Portland, Maine 04101 Tel: (207) 774-4427

