Huc.	-	ENGINEERING, INC. PO BOX 925
Wood Construction	G	PO BOX 925 RAY, ME 04039 Page of
Item	Agency # (Qualif.)	Scope
1. Fabricator Certification/ Quality Control Procedures		Inspect shop fabrication and quality control procedures for truss plan?.
2. Material Grading	5RG Eng	
3. Connections	51G. ENG	
4. Framing and Details	SNG ZNG:	
5. Diaphragms and Shearwalls	(NA)	Inspect size, configuration, blocking and fastening & shear and diaphragms. Verifypanel grade and thickness.
6. Prefabricated Wood Trusses	(NA)	Inspect the fabrication d wood trusses.
7. PermanentTruss Bracing	(A)	
8. Other:	(NA)	

-

- **8.** A ten-inch water line is located under Presumpscot Street. A two-inch and a six-inch water line connection are proposed from Presumpscot Street to the proposed building.
- **9.** Access for fire department connections is located on the easterly side of the building adjacent to Presumpscot Street.
- 10. Access to the structure is on two sides with the option of a third side from Presumpscot Street.
- 11. The building will be constructed in conformance with NFPA 1 and all City of Portland Fire Department technical standards.

We are hopeful that we have provided the pertinent information for your approval of this project. If you should have any questions or comments while reviewing this project, please call. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

day S Blin

Adam S Bliss Design Engineer

ASB/SMF:asb/dlf

Enclosures.

cc: Patco Construction, Inc. J & H Properties, L.L.C.

She WA

Shawn M. Frank, P.E. Project Manager

PATCO CONSTRUCTION, INC. 1293 Main Street SANFORD, MAINE 04073

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

						JOB NO
		07)324-5		ATTE	NTION	
ГО	PORTLA	NO F.	re Dupt.	RE:		y
					Jos for	•
						ACK EDGE
				; 		
VE ARE S	SENDING YOU	Atta	ched	/er via		the following items:
	Shop draw					7 Specifications
	Copy of let	tter	7 Change order			
COPIES	DATE	NO.	<u></u>		DESCRIPTION	
2						
2		LSI	LIFESALETY PLAN			
			Enconren Philo	<u></u>		
THESE AR	LE TRANSMITTE	- - Das check	ed below:			
	For appro			bmitted	Resubmit	copies for approval
	For your	use				copies for distribution
	As reque	sted	□ Returned for co	orrections	L Return	corrected prints
	For review	w and comr	nent 🗆			
		5 DUE				ED AFTER LOAN TO US
REMARKS	3	Capt.	CASS .			
			Attatuted plea	ist fin	1 Z Copie	the form
		- Litz	sretety plan as	rd pr.	sporse le	tor form
		Deven	is Waters.			
			Dimes for 1 Cost of	(into	et in all	Druge Weter
		01	Por Moncion (Field	1 Supervis	ir) REGAR	lin this
					That	, Donni's Waters Ing this . h. You .
ΟΡΥ ΤΟ -					BILL K	2
				SIGNED	: BILL AU	DMAN

If enclosures are not as noted, kindly notify us at once.



Capt. Greg **Cass** Fire Prevention Officer Portland Fire Department Portland, Maine 04101

June 30,2006

Re: 352 Presumpscot Street

Dear Capt. Cass:

In response to your "building permit checklist" for plan review of the above mentioned project we submit the following response:

- 1. <u>Applicant</u>: Dennis Waters Patco Construction, Inc. 1293 Main Street Sanford, Maine 04073 (207) 324-5574
- 2. <u>Architect</u>: John Einsiedler, R.A. 148 Sea Road Kennebunk, Maine 04043 (207) 985-9760
- 3. <u>Use</u>: IBC Business Group B/Factory Industrial F-2 Low Hazard NFPA Business/Industrial
- 4. <u>Square Footage</u>: One story, 15,390 square feet
- 5. & 6. Fire Protection/Detection: A complete automatic fire suppression system will be installed for the entire structure. Engineered design drawings will be submitted to the City of Portland for approval prior to any installation. A supervisory detection/alarm system shall be installed. Design drawings will be submitted to the City of Portland for permit.

7. <u>Life Safety Plan</u>: Attached find "Life Safety Plan" (Original Floor Plan drawing A-1 with life **safety** items marked up and highlighted.)

If **you** have additional questions, give us a call.

Sincerely,

DemMIN

Dennis **M.** Waters Vice President Patco Construction, Inc.

Enclosure

SebagoTechnics

Engineering Expertise You Can Build On

seoagotechnics.com

One Chaoot Street P.O. Box 1339 Westbrook. Maine 04098-1339 Ph. 207-856-0277 Fax 856 2206

May 24,2006 02237

Margaret Schmuckal, Zoning Administrator Code Enforcement Department City of Portland 389 Congress Street, 3rd Floor Portland, ME 04101

<u>Proposed Office/Warehouse Building – Tax Map 422, Block B, Lot 8</u> <u>Minor Site Plan Application – 352 Presumpscot Street, Patco Construction, Inc.</u>

Dear Marge:

On behalf of Patco Construction, Inc. and Pack Edge, Inc. we are pleased to submit (nine) 9 copies of the enclosed plans and associated information for a minor site plan application of a proposed office/warehouse building at 352 Presumpscot Street. The existing parcel is 1.61 acres in size and is located in the I-L Zoning District. On June 6, 2003, the Portland Planning Authority granted approval for a similar 14,000 s.f. building. That project was never developed and Pack Edge, Inc. has since acquired the parcel. It is the intent of Patco Construction to construct the proposed building for Pack Edge, Inc.

The development proposal consists of constructing a new 15,379 s.f. building containing approximately 1,300 s.f. of office space and approximately 14,079 s.f. of warehouse space. Five loading docks are proposed along the rear of the building with one drive-in access door along the side. Improvements to the site include new parking areas, vehicular circulation areas, landscaping, an upgrade of the existing private pump station that currently services the abutting Collins Insect Control building. Site lighting is proposed that conforms to the site lighting standards set forth in the city ordinance. No on-site detention is proposed due to the large box culvert crossing Presumpscot Street and the proximity of this site to the tidal waters. Less than ten cubic yards of solid waste is expected per week and is handled and stored internally.

A thirty foot access and utility easement currently encumbers the property to access the abutting Collins Insect Control building located at 336 Presumpscot Street. A twenty foot utility easement also encumbers the property to allow for water service to the Collins building, and use of the private pump station that provides sanitary sewer service to the two properties.

Lighting is conducted using low-level wall-paks over entrances and loading dock areas for safety and security. Cut-sheets of the proposed light are included within the site plan application. Landscaping incorporates street trees along Presumpscot Street and foundation plantings along the front of the building and abutting parking area. A sign is proposed to be installed at the project entrance as shown on the plan. Water service will connect to the existing main within Presumpscot Street. Two connections are proposed to provide domestic and sprinkler service. A pump station currently exists on-site to collect sanitary sewage from the Collins Insect Control building and pump it into the municipal system in Presumpscot Street to the south via a 2 inch force main. This station will be upgraded as required to service both buildings. The Collins building is currently serviced by overhead electric lines. These lines will be relocated on new utility poles as shown on the plans. The proposed building will be serviced via underground lines from the first new utility poles. Access to the site will be obtained via the existing curb cut which will be upgraded to accommodate both businesses. Curbing and sidewalk are proposed along the Presumpscot Street frontage in accordance with ordinance requirements.

We are hopeful that we have provided the required information to allow this project to proceed through the permitting process. Upon your review of the enclosed material, however, please call with any questions or if you require additional information. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

Shawn M. Frank, P.E. Project Manager

SMF:dlf

cc: Jeff Freeman Dennis Waters



Letter of Certification

Contact: Jason Gardner or Bill Rud

Name: Patco Construction Address: 1293 a: Street

, State: Sanford, ui: 04073 Country: United States Project: Pack Ed Builder PO # 2705 Jobsite 352 Presumpscott St

City, State Portland, Maine 04104 County, Country Cumberland, United States

This is to certify that the above referenced VP BUILDINGS project has been designed for the applicable portions of the following Building Code and in accordance with the order documents which have stipulated the following applied environmental loads and conditions

Roof Covering + Second Dead Load Varies

Frame Weight (assumed for seismic) 2 50 psf

Snow Exposure Category (Factor): 2 Partially

Thermal Category (Factor): Heated (1.00)

Ground Snow Load: 60.00 psf

Snow Importance: 1.000

Design Snow (Sloped): 42.00 psf

Ground / Roof Conversion: 0.70

% Snow Used in Seismic: 20.00

Seismic Snow Load: 8.40 psf

Unobstructed, Slippery Roof

Snow Load

Exposed (1.00)

Overall Building Description

Shape	Overall	Overall	Floor Area	Wall Area	Roof Area	Max Eave	Min Eave	Max Roof	Min Roof	Peak
_	Width	Length	(sq. ft.)	(sq. A.)	(sq. ft.)	Height	Height 2	Pitch	Pitch	Height
main	135/0/0	128/0/0	15390	11403	15403	22/0/0	22/0/0	0.500:12	0.500:12	24/9/12

Loads and Codes - Shape: main

Dead and Collateral Loads

Wind Exposure (Factor) B (0 701)

Parts Wind Exposure Factor 0 701

Collateral Gravity 3 00 psf Collateral Uplift: 0.00 psf

Wind Speed 100 00 mph

Wind Enclosure Enclosed

Topographic Factor 1 0000

Hurricane Prone Region

Base Elevation 0/0/0

Wind Importance Factor 1 000

NOT Windborne Debris Region

Primary Zone Strip Width 17/7/3

Parts / Portions Zone Strip Width 8/9/10 Basic Wind Pressure 1524 psf

Wind Load

City: Portland County: Cumberland Building Code: 2003 International Building Code Building Use: Standard Occupancy Structure

State: Maine Built Up: 89AJSC Cold Form: 01AJSI **Country:** United States Rainfall: 4.00 inches per hour

Live Load 20 00 psf Not Reducible

Seismic Load

Mapped Spectral Response - Ss:37.36 %g Mapped Spectral Response - S1:9.98 %g Seismic Hazard / Use Group: Group 1

Seismic Importance: 1.000 Seismic Performance / Design Category: C System NOT detailed for Seismic Framing Seismic Period: 0.3320 Bracing Seismic Period: 0.2032 Framing R-Factor: 3.0000 Bracing R-Factor: 3.0000 Soil Profile Type: Stiff soil (D, 4) Frame Redundancy Factor: 1.0000 Brace Redundancy Factor: 1.0000 Frame Seismic Factor (Cs): 0.1246 Brace Seismic Factor (Cs): 0.1246

Per Article 2.9 in the Builder Agreement, VP Buildings assumes that the Builder has called the local Building Official or Project Engineer to obtain all code and loading information for this specific building site.

The steel design is in accordance with VP BUILDINGS standard design practices, which have been established based upon pertinent procedures and recommendations of the following organizations :

- American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI) American Welding Society (AWS) American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Canadian Standards Association CSA W59-Welded Steel Construction Limit State Design of Steel Structures Metal Building Manufacturers Association (**MBMA**) VP Buildings is certified by: • AISC-MB Certified (Design and Manufacturing) • CSA A660 Certified (Design and Manufacturing)
- IAS Approved Fabricator
- Canadian Welding Bureau Div. 1 Certified

VP Buildings has designed the structural steel components of this building in accordance with the Building Code, Steel Specifications, and Standards indicated above. Steel components are designed utilizing the following steel grades unless noted otherwise:

3 Plate members fabricated from plate, bar, strip steel or sheets

ASTM A529, A572, A1011 - All Grade 50ksi Hot Rolled Shapes (W, S, C, Angles, etc)

DAT	M DESIGNER: JUNE 5, 2006	/
	P to the	
	Name: <u>TACK EDGE</u>	
Addr		MPSCOTT DT.
	2003 International Construction project was designed accordin	
	1 0 -	
Build	ing Code and Year 2003 18C Use Gro	oup Classification(s) <u>10 / 1 E</u>
. 1	of Construction VB	•
	e Structure have a Fire suppression system in Accordance	
	Structure mixed use? YES_ if yes, separated or non sep	
Superv	visory alarm system? YES Geotechnical/Soils report r	equired /(See Section 1802.2) - D.Y LANC
~	STRUCTURAL DESWN CALCULATIONS	Live load reduction (1603.1.1, 1807.9, 1607.10)
	Submitted for all structural members (106.1, 106.1.1)	Roof live loads (1803.1.2, 1807.11)
t	DESIGN LOADS ON CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS	Roof snow loads (7603.7.3,1608)
		Groundsnow load, Pg (16082)
1	Uniformity distributed floor live loads (7603.11, 1807) Floor Area Use Ioads Shown	IF Pp > 10.psf, flat-roof snow load, Pr (1608.3)
		if Pg > 10 pel, snow exposure factor, C (Table 1608.3.1)
		If $F_g > 10 \text{ psf}$, show load importance
171		factor, la (Table 1804.5)
בא לוא בקבוא נו		Roof thermal factor, Cr (Table 1608.3.2)
2		
13		Selamio design category (1618.3)
マヨ	Wind loads (1803.1.4, 1809)	Basic selamic-force-realizing system (Table 1617.6.2)
RC	Design option utilized (1609.1. 1, 1609.6) Basic wind speed (1809.3)	Response modification coefficient, R, and deflection amplification factor, Ca
S.	Building category and wind importance	(Table 1617.6.2)
	factor, /w (Table 1604.6, 1609.5) Wind exposure category (1609.4)	Analysis procedure (1876.6, 1617.5)
	internal pressure coefficient (ASCE 7)	· · · · ·
3	Component and cladding pressures	Flood loads (1803.1.6, 1612) Floodhazard area (1612.3)
PRUPER	(1609.1.1; 1609.6.2.2) Main force wind pressures (7603.1. 1,	Elevation of structure
ad	1609.8.2.1)	Other loads
Q	Earthquake design data (1803.1.5, 1614 - 1623)	Concentrated loads (1607.4)
VARCO	Design option utilized (1814.1)	Partition bads (1607.5)
<u>ا</u> گ ا	Selemic use group ("Category") (Table 1604.5, 1616.2)	Impact loads (1607,8)
8		Misc. loads (Table 1607.8, 1607.81,

	CITY OF PORTLAND BUILDING CODE CERTIFICATE 389 Congress St., Room 315 Portland, Maine 04101
• • •	Designer: JOHN KLEINSIEDLOR, KA.
• • •	Address of Project: <u>352</u> PRESUMP SCOTT ST Nature of Project: <u>PACK EDGE</u>
ж ²	

The technical submissions covering the proposed construction work as described above have been designed in compliance with applicable referenced standards found in the Maine Human Rights Law and Federal Americans with Disability Act.

	Signature:
	Title: ARCIHITET
(SEAL)	Firm: JOHN W. EINSIEDLER, R.A.
SENSED ARCHINE	Address: 148 SEA Ramo
JOHN W. EINSIEDLER	KENNEBUNK, ME
No. 1862	Phone: <u>985-9760</u>
STATE OF MAINT	

NOTE: If this project is a new Multi Family Structure of 4 units or more, this project must also be designed in compliance with the Federal Fair Housing Act. On a separate submission, please explain in narrative form the method of compliance.

.



CITY OF PORTLAND BUILDING CODECERTFICATE 389 Congress St., Room 315 Portland, Maine 04 101

TO:Inspector of Buildings City of Portland, Maine
Department of Planning & Urban Development
Division of Housing & Community Service

FROM JOHN W. EINSIEDLER, R.A.

RE: <u>Certificate of Design</u>

DATE: JUNE 5, 2006

These plans and/ or specifications covering construction work on:

PACK EDGE SHEETS AI-AG

Have been designed and drawn up by the undersigned, a Maine registered Architect/ Engineer Cordination and Building Code and local amendments. JOHN W. SEINEDLER No. 1862 As per Marceller As per Marceller S50,000,00 or more in new construction, repair Building or Structures, shall be prepared by a registered design Professional.

Permit Number

Envelope Compliance Certificate 90.1 ('89) Code

Checked By/Date

COMcheck-ÉZ Software Version 3.0 Release 1a Data filename: PackEdge.cck

Section 1: Project Information

Project Name:Pack EdgeDesigner/Contractor:John W. Einsiedler, R.A./Patco ConstructionDocument Author:John W. Einsiedler

Section 2: General Information

Building Location (for weather data): Climate Zone: Heating Degree Days (base 65 degrees F): Cooling Degree Days (base 65 degrees F): Project Type: Window / Wall Ratio:

15 7378 268 New Constructi¹ 0.01

Portland, Maine

Activity Type(s) Office Industrial Work, >= 20 ft Ceiling Height Floor Area 1800 13725

Section 3: Requirements Checklist

Bld Der			
Use			
	i	Ai	r Leakage, Component Certification, and Vapor Retarder Requirements
ſ	-1i	1.	All joints and penetrations are caulked, gasketed, weather-stripped, or otherwise sealed.
			Windows, doors, and skylights certified as meeting leakage requirements.
Ì			Component R-values & U-factors labeled as certified.
•			

Climato-Specific Requirements

Component Name/Description	Gross Area	Cavity <u>R-Value</u>	Cont. <u>R-Valu</u>	Proposed U-Factor	Budget <u>U-Factor</u>
Roof 1: Metal Roof without Thermal Blocks	16284	19.0	0.0	0.101	0.053
Exterior Vall 1: Metal Vall without Thermal Blocks	10318	14.0	0.0	0.119	0.075
Door 1: Overhead	528		-	0.190	0.122
Door 2: Solid	63	-		0.019	0.122
Exterior Vall 2: Metal Frame, 16" o.c.	1188	22.0	0.0	0.110	0.075
Window 1: Vinyl Frame: Double Pane with Low-E					
Clear, SHGC 0.68	128	-		0.065	0.526
Door 3: Glass, Clear, SHGC 0.68	42	-		0.065	0.526
Floor 1: Slab-On-Grade: Heated	500				444

(a) Budget Ulfactors are used for software baseline 1 1 ti ONI.Y dare toode requirements

Envelope PASSES: Design 4% better than code

Section 4: Compliance Statement

The proposed envelope design represented in this document is consistent with the building plans, specifications and other calculations submitted with this permit application. The proposed envelope system has been designed to meet the 90.1 (89) Code requirements in COM check-EZ Version 3.0 Release la and to comply with the mandatory requirements in the Requirements Checklist.

JOHN WE KINSNEDLER

Principal Envelope Designer-Name

5 JUNE 5, 2005 Date **Signature**



SRG Job#06-062

To:	City of Portland Code Enforcement Department Attn: Mr. Mike Nugent
From:	Steven R. Grant, President
Date:	June 5, 2006
Subject:	Pack Edge Building: Quality Assurance Plan
Project Location:	352 Presumpscot Street, Portland

Seismic resisting lateral support will be provided by Rigid Frames at Grids A, G, 1 and 13.

SRG Engineering has subcontracted with S.W. Cole Engineering (contact Craig Turcotte at 657-2866) to provide metal roof deck and structural steel connection review that include any diaphragm bracing at roof and walls, frame bolts, and anchor bolts. Bolts at moment connections will be checked for proper tension/torque and shear connections will be checked for all plies to be in firm contact per AISC In addition, **S.W.** Cole Engineering is to provide field review of foundation reinforcing (footings/walls/piers) and anchor bolt placement. Site visits by S.W. Cole and SRG Engineering are planned to be on a limited basis throughout the construction of the foundation and building structure. In addition, SRG Engineering budgeted for a maximum of four (4) site visits to observe construction for conformance with contract documents as well.

We have asked that PATCO Construction notify SRG Engineering and S.W. Cole Engineering a minimum of 48 hours prior to all required site visits. SRG Engineering has also provided a copy of the attached check list to PATCO for their use/reference.

Please call should you have questions.

Steven R. Grant, P.E. President

SRG:srg





Structural Tests and "Special Inspection" Requirements For a Typical Pre-Engineered

Metal Building Structure

(Per Chapter 17 of the 2003 International Building Code)

Site and Fill Materials:

- Field observe sub-grade conditions prior to placement of any fill or concrete for foundations and slab
- Field sample and perform laboratory test(s) on each soil fill material to be used
- o Observe placement and perform compaction tests on foundation and sub-slab fill materials
- Review compliance to soils report material
- o Review lift thickness of foundation and sub-slab backfill

Reinforcing:

- o G.C. to submit reinforcing shop drawings for review prior to placement
- o G.C. to submit reinforcing and anchor bolt material certification sheet(s) for review
- o Field observe reinforcing at foundation walls for compliance with size, grade, spacing, location, and embedment.
- o Field observe reinforcing and/or WWF at structural slabs and slabs-on-grade for compliance with size, grade, spacing, location, and embedment.

Formwork:

- o Review formwork
- \circ Review form removal and re-shoring

Concrete:

- o G.C. to submit all mix designs to engineer for review a minimum of 10 business days before placement
- o G.C. to submit all admixtures to engineer for review a minimum of 10 business days before placement
- o G.C. to submit material certification of all slab dowels to engineer for review a minimum of 10 business days before placement
- o Review and observe field placement of all concrete: footings, walls, slabs, etc...
- o Review and observe curing techniques for footings, walls, and slabs
- o Field test concrete for slump, air, and temperature
- Field cast four (4) cylinders for each placement to be tested for strength
- o Field observe dowel size and spacing for control and construction joints at walls and slab(s)

Steel Fabrication: (Only for structural steel not fabricated by metal building manufacturer)

• Review and observe steel fabrication shop procedures

Steel Construction:

- o G.C. to provide material certificates for bolts, nuts, washers, and weld filler (if field welding is to be performed) material
- o Review field connections

Steel Erection:

- o G.C. to provide welders certificate for each person performing any field welding
- o Review primary steel connections
- Verify pre-tensioning of slip-critical bolts (hanger and moment connections) by certified testing laboratory for proper bolt tensionltorque.
- o Review moment connections
- o Review shear connections
- o Review bracing connections
- o Review wall girt connections
- o Review roof purlin connections
- o Review steel roof deck installation
- o Review wall siding installation

C.C. NOTE: YOU MUST NOTIFY THE MATERIALS TESTING FIRM AND THE PROJECT SPECIAL INSPECTOR A MINIMUM OF 48 BUSINESS HOURS PRIOR TO SERVICE BEING PERFORMED TO ALLOW FOR PROPER SCHEDULING OF PERSONNEL

P.O. BOX 925 • GRAY, MAINE 04039 • PHONE: (207) 657-7323 • FAX: (207) 657-7342 • SRGoSRGENG.COM • WWW.SRGENG.COM

Statement of Special inspections

PACK EDGE Project: Location: 352 Presumpscorr Sr. Porreno Me

Owner: Jim Freeman

Design Professional in Responsible Charge: SRG ENGINEERING In STREAM Grant De

This Statement of Special Inspections is submitted as a condition for permit issuance in accordance with the Special Inspection and Structural Testing requirements of the Building Code. It includes a schedule of Special Inspection services applicable to this project as well as the name of the Special Inspection Coordinator and the identity of other approved agencies to be retained for conducting these inspections and tests. This Statement of Special Inspections encompass the following disciplines:

Structural

Mechanical/Electrical/Plumbing

Architectural

Other:

The Special Inspection Coordinator shall keep records of all inspections and shall furnish inspection reports to the Building Official and the Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge. Discovered discrepancies shall be brought to the immediate attention of the Contractor for correction. If such discrepancies are not corrected, the discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Building Official and the Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge. The Special Inspection program does not relieve the Contractor of his or her responsibilities.

Interim reports shall be submitted to the Building Official and the Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge.

A *final Report* of *Special Inspections* documenting completion of all required Special Inspections, testing and correction of any discrepancies noted in the inspections shall be submitted prior to issuance of a Certificate of Use and Occupancy.

Job site safety and means and methods of construction are solely the responsibility of the Contractor.

Interim Report Frequency:

Prepared by:

GRAM

(type or print name)

Owner's Authorization:

or per attached schedule.

Building Official's Acceptance:



6-5-06

Date

Page I of C

Schedule of Inspection and Testing Agencies

This Statement of Special Inspections/ Quality Assurance Plan includes the following building systems:

Soils and Foundations	Spray Fire Resistant Material
Cast-in-Place Concrete	Wood Construction
Precast Concrete	Exterior Insulation and Finish System
Masonry	Mechanical & Electrical Systems
Structural Steel	Architectural Systems
Cold-Formed Steel Framing	Special Cases

Special Inspection Agencies	Firm	Address, Telephone, e-mail
1. Special Inspection Coordinator STEVEN M. Gran	SRG ENGINEERING, INC. PO BOX 925 GRAY, ME 04039	207-657-7323 Sigesigeng com
2. Inspector	SRG ENGINEERING, INC PO BOX 925 GR AY, ME 04039	SAME AS ABOVE
3. Inspector		
4. Testing Agency 2166 Dominso	S.W. COLE ENG; Proc	285 POTTLAND RA Gray Me 04039 GST-2855 rdomingoe Sukne.com
5. Testing Agency		
6. Other		

Note: The inspectors and testing agencies shall be engaged by the Owner or the Owner's Agent, and not by the Contractor or Subcontractor whose work is to be inspected or tested. Any conflict of interest must be disclosed to the Building Official, prior to commencing work.

R.D. P is BENG REMINED By PARO CONSTRAIN.

Page Z of 6

Quality Assurance Plan

,

Quality Assurance for Seismic Resistance (Per VArco-Primer CALCULATIONS)

Seismic Design Category

Quality Assurance Plan Required ØN)

Description of seismic force resisting system and designated seismic systems:

RIGID Frames AT Cines A, G. 1, 13

Quality Assurance for Wind Requirements

Basic Wind Speed (3 second gust)

Wind Exposure Category B

Quality Assurance Plan Required (Y/N)

Description of wind force resisting system and designated wind resisting components:

Statement of Responsibility

Each contractor responsible for the construction or fabrication of a system or component designated above must submit a Statement of Responsibility.

VARCO- PRODEN MUST SUBAIT TODS, SEE PATED CONST.

Qualifications of Inspectors and Testing Technicians

The qualifications of all personnel performing Special Inspection and testing activities are subject to the approval of the Building Official. The credentials of all Inspectors and testing technicians shall be provided if requested.

Key for Minimum Qualifications of Inspection Agents:

When the Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge deems it appropriate that the individual performing a stipulated test or inspection have a specific certification or license as indicated below, such designation shall appear below the *Agency Number* on the Schedule.

PE/SEStructural Engineer – a licensed SE or PE specializing in the design of building structuresPE/GEGeotechnical Engineer – a licensed PE specializing in soil mechanics and foundationsEITEngineer-In-Training – a graduate engineer who has passed the Fundamentals of
Engineering examination

American Concrete Institute (ACI) Certification

ACI-CFTT	Concrete Field Testing Technician – Grade 1
ACI-CCI	Concrete Construction Inspector
ACI-LTT	Laboratory Testing Technician – Grade 1&2
ACI-STT	Strength Testing Technician

American Welding Society (AWS) Certification

AWS-CWI Certified Welding Inspector AWS/AISC-SSI Certified Structural Steel Inspector

American Society of Non-DestructiveTesting (ASNT) Certification

ASNT Non-Destructive Testing Technician -- Level II or III.

International Code Council (ICC) Certification

ICC-SMSI	Structural Masonry Special Inspector
ICC-SWSI	Structural Steel and Welding Special Inspector
ICC-SFSI	Spray-Applied Fireproofing Special Inspector
ICC-PCSI	Prestressed Concrete Special Inspector
ICC-RCSI	Reinforced Concrete Special Inspector

National Institute for Certification in Engineering Technologies (NICET)

NICET-CT	Concrete Technician – Levels I, II, III & IV
NICET-ST	Soils Technician - Levels I, II, III & IV
NICET-GET	Geotechnical Engineering Technician - Levels I, 11, 111 & IV

Exterior Design Institute (EDI) Certification

EDI-EIFS EIFS Third Party Inspector

Other

3

Soils and Foundations

۰ ۲

Page 4 of 6

Item	Agency#	Scope
1. Shallow Foundations	(Qualif.)	Inspect poils halow for the former
	PE/GE	Inspect soils below footings for adequate bearing capacity and consistency with geotechnical report. Inspect removal of unsuitable material and preparation of subgrade prior toplacement of controlledfill
2. Controlled Structural Fill		Perform sieve tests (ASIM 0422 & D1140) and modified Proctor tests (ASIM D1557) of each source offill material.
		Inspect placement, lift thickness and compaction d controlled fill.
		Test density of each lift offill by nuclear methods (ASTM 02922) Verify extent and slope offill placement.
: Deep Foundations	PWGE	Inspect and log pile driving operations. Record pile driving resistance and verify compliance with driving criteria. Inspect piles for damage from driving and phambness. Verify pile size, length and accessories.
		Inspect installation of drilled pier foundations. Verify pier diameter, bell diameter, lengths, embedment into bedrock and symability of end bearing strata.
4. Load Testing		
4. Other:		

Cast-in-Place Concrete

.

4

ltem	Agency # (Qualif.)	Scope
1. Mix Design	ACI-CCI ICC-RCSI	<i>Review concrete batch tickets and verify compliance with approved mix design. Verify that water added at the site does not exceed that allowed by the mix design.</i>
2. Material Certification		
3. Reinforcement Installation	ACI-CCI ICC-RCSI	Inspect size, spacing, cover, positioning and grade cf reinforcing steel. Verify that reinforcing bars arefree ofform oil or other deleterious materials. Inspect bar laps and mechanical splices. Veri. that bars are adequately tied and supported on chairs or bolsters
4. Post-Tensioning Operations	ICC-PCSI	Inspect placement, stressing, grouting and protection of post- tensioning tendons. Verify that tendons are correctly positioned, supported, tied and wrapped. Record tendon elongations.
5. Welding of Reinforcing	A WS-CWI	Visually inspect all reinforcing steel welds. Verify weldability of a reinforcing steel. Inspect preheating of steel when required.
6. Anchor Rods	4	Inspect size, positioning and embedment d anchor rods. Inspect concrete placement and consolidation around anchors.
7. Concrete Placement	ACI-CCI ICC-RCSI	Inspect placement of concrete. Verify that concrete conveyance and depositing avoids segregation or contamination. Veri.that concrete is properly consolidated.
8. Sampling and Testing of Concrete	ACI-STT	<i>Test concrete compressive strength (ASTM C31 & C39), slump (ASTM C143), air-content (ASTM C231 or C173) and temperature (ASTM C1064).</i>
9. Curing and Protection	A CCI ICC-RCSI	Inspect curing, cold weather protection and hot weather protection procedures.
10. Other:		

Structural Steel

.

•

Item	Agency #	Scope
	(Qualif.)	
1. Fabricator Certification/ Quality Control Procedures Fabricator Exempt (VARCO - PROSEN)	AWS/AISC- SSI ICC-SWSI	Review shop fabrication and quality control procedures.
2. Material Certification	AWS/AISC- SSI ICC-SWSI	Review certified mill test reports and identification markings on wide-jlange shapes, high-strength bolts, nuts and welding electrodes
3. Open Web Steel Joists		Inspect installation, field welding and bridging d joists.
4. Bolting	AWS/AISC- SSI ICC-SWSI	Inspect installation and tightening of high-strength bolts. Verify that splines have separated from tension control bolts. Verify proper tightening sequence. Continuous inspection of bolts in slip- critical connections.
5. Welding	A WS-CWI ASNT	Visually inspect all welds. Inspect pre-heat, post-heat and surface preparation between passes. Verify size and length of fillet welds. Ultrasonic testing of allfull-penetration welds.
6. Shear Connectors	AWS/AISC- SSI ICC-SWSI	Inspect size, number, positioning and welding <i>d</i> shear connectors. Inspect suds for full 360 degreeflash. Ring test all shear connectors with a 3 lb hammer. Bend test all questionable studs to 15 degrees.
7. Structural Details	DE/SE	Inspect steel frame for compliance with structural drawings, including bracing, member configuration and connection details.
8. Metal Deck CAOP	AWS-CWI	Inspect welding and side-lapfastening d metal roof and jloor leck.
9. Other:		

Jim Freeman - Hick Edge Date: 6/27/06 Applicant: J H Properties Address: 352 Presipsot C-B-L: 422-B-8 CHECK-LIST AGAINST ZONING ORDINAN # 06-084 Date - New lot from 340 presupscot New bldg etccessory offer S 15,3901 Zone Location -Interior or corner lot -Proposed Use Work - Whe house for boxes/packedge Servage Disposal - (fy Lot Street Frontage - 60'min - 7261'Show Front Yard - 25 min - 26' Scaled Rear Yard But A - 25'min - 130' Scalad ide Yard- 25'min 26'E 288'sho m responses Projections -Width of Lot - NA Height - 45 mAX - 29' to r.dqe Lot Area - No min Feg. 770, 0309 given Lot Coverage Impervious Surface-65 6 MAX on 45, 519.5 th MAX - 6496 Sho Area per Family -Off-street Parking - 13 590 = 1per 1,000 = 14 mm [9nin r-g - 195] Loading Bays - BRADS Show to bedg Site Plan - # 2006 - 010 4 Shoreland Zoning/Stream Protection - NTA Flood Plains - DAnel 7 - Zone X PAvement SetbackS from lot boundary lines 15 mm - 15 how

SebagoTechnics

Engineering Expertise You Can Build On

July 11, 2006 02237

P.0. Box 1339 Westbroot, Maine 04098-1339 Ph. 207-856-0277 Fax 856/2206

Sarah Hopkins, Development Review Manager City of Portland
389 Congress Street, 3rd Floor Portland, ME 04101

<u>Proposed Office/Warehouse Building</u> <u>352 Presumpscot Street,</u> <u>Minor Site Plan Application, Engineering Review Comments</u>

Dear Sarah:

This letter, the enclosed plans, and associated material are in response to the review comments regarding the above referenced project as contained in a memorandum/outline from Woodard & Curran Consultants dated June 22, 2006. The following numbered responses correspond to the numbered comments within that memorandum:

1. Stormwater Management

- **A.** More than one acre of disturbed area and less than one acre of new impervious area but no more than 5 acres of developed area requires a Permit-By-Rule. The copy of the application form for the Permit By Rule is enclosed.
- B. The revised BMP Maintenance Plan eliminates reference to the detention basin and stormwater treatment unit.
- C. The proposed storm drain pipe sizes have been modified in accordance with stormwater runoff modeling such that **SD-3** and **SD-4** are designated **as** 15" diameter.

2. Erosion and Sedimentation Control

- **A.** The Erosion and Sedimentation Control plan incorporates revised inspection and maintenance requirements for the project.
- B. Catch basin protection in the form of silt sacks are now specified on the Grading and Utility Plan for protection during construction.

3. <u>Utilities</u>

A. We are currently coordinating a meeting with Public Works, Engineering Burision INSPECTION

4. Site Layout

A. Parking stalls lengths have been modified to 19 feet.



sebagotecnnics.com

One Chabot Street

- B. We believe that the pavement depicted on the plan is required to allow truck maneuverability for a tractor-trailer.
- C. A five-foot landscape row is included along five parking stalls to the rear of the building.

5. <u>General Civil Engineering</u>

- A. The bituminous sidewalk details reflect city standards for MDOT Grading 'C'.
- B. The Paved Parking Lot Section, Bituminous Curb/ Sidewalk Section, and the Pavement Joint Detail agree with respect to pavement thicknesses.
- C. Lighting locations are shown on the Landscape and Lighting Plan in seven (7) locations as 100-Watt cut-off wall pack fixtures.

We are hopeful that we have addressed all outstanding issues such that this project may proceed through staff approval. If you should have any questions or comments while reviewing this project, please call. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

Adary 5 Blig

Adam **S.** Bliss Design Engineer

ASB:SMF/kn Enclosures

cc: Patco Construction, Inc. J & H Properties, L.L.C.



Shawn M. Frank, P.E. Project Manager

SebagoTechnics

Engineering Expertise You Can Build On

sebagotechnics.com

One Chabot Street P.O. Box 1339 Westbrook. Maine 04098-1339 Ph. 207-856-0277 Fax 856-2206

July 12,2006 02237

Captain Gregory Cass, Fire Prevention Officer City of Portland Fire Department 380 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

<u>Proposed Office/Warehouse Building</u> <u>352 Presumpscot Street,</u> Minor Site Plan Application ,Fire Department Site Review

Dear Captain Cass:

This letter and the enclosed plans are provided in accordance with the Portland Fire Department Site Review Checklist regarding the proposed office and warehouse building at 352 Presumpscot Street. The parcel is shown as lot 8 on the City of Portland Tax Map 422, Block B and is located in the IL zone. The following numbered responses corresponds to the fire department site review checklist.

- 1 The record owner of the parcel is J&H Properties, L.L.C. in accordance with a deed dated April 1,2002 and recorded at the Cumberland County Registry of Deeds in Book 22323, Pages 132-137. The applicant for the project is Patco Construction, Inc. of 1293 Main Street, Sanford, Maine 04073 at Telephone Number (207) 324-5574, attention Dennis Waters.
- 2. The Project Engineer is Shawn Frank, P.E., c/o of Sebago Technics, Inc., P.O. Box 1339, Westbrook, Maine 04098-1339 at Telephone Number (207) 856-0277.
- 3. The proposed use of the building is an office and warehouse facility with NFPA classification as Business/ Industrial and IBC classification as Business Group B/Factory Industrial F-2, Low Hazard.
- 4. The proposed building is 15,390 s.f. covering one floor
- 5. The proposed finish floor elevation is 39.2 ft. Elevation drawings are also enclosed of the proposed structure.

6.	The proposed fire protection sprinkler system is serviced by a 6" existing 10" water main located within Presumpscot Street.	DEP vater	TOF BUILDING HISPEONC OME OPPOOL INTO AN ME	או
7.	A fire hydrant is located on the easterly side of Presumpscot St_{re} the proposed building.	et di	rectry across from	
			RECEIVED	

- 8. A ten-inch water line is located under Presumpscot Street. A two-inch and a six-inch water line connection are proposed from Presumpscot Street to the proposed building.
- 9. Access for fire department connections is located on the easterly side of the building adjacent to Presumpscot Street.
- 10. Access to the structure is on two sides with the option of a third side from Presumpscot Street.
- 11. The building will be constructed in conformance with NFPA 1 and all City of Portland Fire Department technical standards.

We are hopeful that we have provided the pertinent information for your approval of this project. If you should have any questions or comments while reviewing this project, please call. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

- day SE

Adam S Bliss Design Engineer

ASB/SMF:asb/dlf

Enclosures.

cc: Patco Construction, Inc. J & H Properties, L.L.C.

She WA

Shawn M. Frank, P.E. Project Manager

STORMWATER PBR APPLICATION FORM PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT IN INK ONLY

1. Name of Applicant:	J&H Properties		5. Name of Agent: (if applicable)	Sebago Technics, Inc.
2. Applicant's Mailing Address:	88 Winding Way Portland, ME 04102		6. Agent's Mailing Address:	P.O. Box 1339 Westbrook, ME 04098
3. Applicant's Daytime Phone #:	207/799-6600		7. Agent's Daytime Phone #:	207/856-0277
4. Applicant's Fax #: (if available)			8. Agent's Fax # and email address:	207/856-2206
9. Location of Project: (Road, Street, Rt.#)	352 Presumpso	cot St.	10. Town:	Portland
			11. County:	Cumberland
12. Is this PBR for rene	wal of an individua	l stormwater permit	? If yes, skip to Block 2	7 and signature page. 🖸 Yes 🔀 No
13. Type of DirectWatershed:(Check all that apply)	Lake not most at ris		14. Amount of Develope Area:	
	□ River, stream or brook □ Urban impaired stream □ Freshwater wetland □ Coastal wetland □ Wellhead of public wat		15. Amount of Impervious Area:	☐ Total # ofacres OR ☐ Total # of <u>42,00</u> @quare feet
16. Creating a common p development or sale?		Name of waterbod site drains:	y(ies) to which the proje	ect
18. Brief Project Descrij		15,000 S.F. O and loading ar		uilding with associated
19. Size of Lot or Parcel:	☐ Total of ⊠ Total of	square feet OR	20. UTM Locations:(if known)	UTM Northing:
21. Deed Reference Num			2. Map and Lot Numbe	
23. Project started prior to application? □ Yes → If yes, Completed? □ Yes □ No □ Yes of Application? □ Yes				
25. Written Notice of □ Violation?	Yes→ If yes, n No involved	ame of DEP enforce :	ment staff	
26. Detailed Directions to (Attach separate sheet		travel 0.2 mi.	e 295, take Exit & and turn right or resumpscot St.	8, Washington Avenue/ME 26N; nto Presumpscot St; proceed
27. SUBMISSIONS ▼ □ This form (signed and c	lated) 🗖 Dept. of	f Inland 🗖	Photos of Area For R	enewal of an individual Stormwater
☐ Fee	Approva	al 🗖 🛛	Location Map 🛛 🗖 Th	t only: is form (signed and dated) py of original stormwater permit
CERTIF	CATIONS	AND SIGNA	TURES LOCA	TED ON PAGE 2
OFFICE USE ONLY	Ck.#		Staff	Staff
PBR #	FP	Date	Acc Date	DADEPT. OF BUILDING AFTER NON
				JUL 1 9 2006

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

Proposed Warehouse! Office Building 352 Presumpscot Street Portland, Maine

A. <u>Pre-Construction Phase</u>

Prior to the beginning of any construction, filter fabric fencing will be staked across the slope(s), on the contour at or just below the limits of clearing or grubbing, and/or just above any adjacent property line or watercourse to protect against construction related erosion. The placement of silt fences shall be completed in accordance with guidelines established in Best Management Practices and in accordance with the erosion control plan and details in the plan set. This network is to be maintained by the contractor until all exposed slopes have at least 85%-90% vigorous perennial vegetative cover to prevent erosion.

A construction entrance shall be installed at the intersection of the access driveway and Presumpscot to avoid tracking of mud, dust and debris from the site.

Prior to construction, the contractor shall prepare a detailed schedule and marked up plan indicating areas and components of the work and key dates showing date of disturbance and completion of the work. The contractor shall schedule a pre-construction meeting with the municipal staff. Three copies of the schedule and marked up plan shall be provided to the municipality three days prior to the scheduled pre-construction meeting. Special attention shall be given to the 14-day limit of disturbance in the schedule addressing temporary and permanent vegetation measures.

The following erosion control measures shall be followed by the contractor throughout construction of this project.

B. <u>Construction and Post-Construction Phase</u>

- 1a. Areas undergoing actual construction shall only expose that amount of mineral soil necessary for progressive and efficient construction and shall not exceed 14-days. Areas that will not be completed (covered and/or finish graded) within fourteen (14) days of disturbance shall be anchored with temporary erosion control blanket or mulch as directed by the inspecting engineer and as shown on the design plans. If mulch is used, hay or straw mulch shall be applied such that the areas shall be sufficiently covered with mulch to avoid any visible soil exposure. Mulch shall be kept moist to avoid loss due to wind. Erosion control blanket shall be applied in the base of all grassed waterways and in slopes that exceed 15% and any disturbed areas within 100' of wetPathtls of Fistreams, MAreas for located within 100' of streams shall be anchored with temporary erosion control within seven (7) days.
- 1b. If disturbed areas do not receive final seeding by September 15th of the year of construction, then all disturbed areas shall be seeded with a winter cover crop of rye at the rate of 3 lbs/1,000 S.F. to provide winter protection? Winter seedings

shall be covered with mulch such that no soil is visible. Erosion control blankets shall be used in the base of all grassed waterways, on slopes equal to or greater than 15%, and any disturbed areas within 100' of wetlands or streams. Erosion control blankets shall also be applied for additional winter protection along side slopes of grassed waterways and in all areas equal to or greater than 8% slope.

- 1c. See winter erosion control measures, Section F.
- 2. All topsoil shall be collected, stockpiled, seeded with rye at 3 lbs./1,000 S.F. and mulched, and re-used as required. Siltation fencing shall be placed down gradient from stockpiled loam. Loam shall be stockpiled at locations designated by the owner and inspecting engineer.
- 3. All silt fences shall be installed according to this plan. This shall be maintained during development *to* remove sediment from runoff water. All the silt fences shall be inspected before and after any rainfall or runoff event, maintained and cleaned until all areas have at least 85%-90% vigorous perennial vegetative cover of grasses.
- 4. A construction entrance shall be built at the intersection of the existing road and the access drive. Roadway areas shall be periodically swept or washed to avoid tracking of mud, dust or debris from the construction area. Dust control during construction shall be achieved by the use of a watering truck to periodically sprinkle the exposed roadway areas as necessary to reduce dust during the dry months.
- 5. Stone check dams may be removed only after the roadways are paved and the vegetated swales are established with at least 85%-90% of vigorous perennial growth.
- 6. All areas shall be seeded and stabilized in accordance with the following vegetation plan.

C. <u>Vegetation Plan</u>

Revegetation measures shall commence immediately upon completion of construction of the roadway improvements. Disturbed areas shall also be mulched and anchored prior to any storm event. See mulching requirements in Section B (1A) above. If final seeding cannot be accomplished by September 15^{th} , then all disturbed areas shall be seeded with a winter cover crop at the rate of 3 lbs./1,000 S.F. to provide winter protection. Seeded areas shall be covered with erosion control mesh. See winter protection requirements in Section B (1B) above. Revegetation measures shall consist of the following:

Four inches of loam will be spread over disturbed areas and smoothed to a uniform surface. Loam shall be free of subsoil, clay lumps, stones and other objects over 1" in diameter, and without weeds, roots or other objectionable material.

Soils tests shall be taken at the time of soil stripping to determine fertilization requirements. Soils test shall be taken promptly as to not interfere with the 14-day limit on sol exposure. Based upon test results, soil amendments shall be incorporated into the

soil prior to final seeding. In lieu of soil tests, soil amendments may be applied as follows:

ITEM	APPLICATION RATE
10-20-20 Fertilizer (N-P205-K20 or equal)	18.4 lbs./1,000 S.F.
Ground Limestone (50% Calcium & magnesium oxide)	138 lbs./1,000 S.F.

Following seed bed preparation, swale areas, fill areas and back slopes shall be seeded at a rate of 3 lbs./1,000 S.F. with a mixture of 35% Creeping Red Fescue, 6% Red Top, 24% Kentucky Bluegrass, 10% Perennial Ryegrass, 20% Annual Ryegrass and 5% White Dutch Clover.

Erosion control mesh shall be applied in accordance with the plans over all finish-seeded areas as specified on the design plans.

All hay bale and/or filter fabric barriers will remain in place until seedings have become 85%-90% established and then removed within 10-days.

The inspecting engineer at his/her discretion may require additional erosion control measures and/or supplemental vegetative provisions to maintain stability of earthworks and finish-graded areas. The contractor shall be responsible for providing and installing any supplemental measures as directed by the inspecting engineer. Failure to comply with the engineer's directions will result in discontinuation of construction activities.

D. <u>Construction Schedule</u>

Site improvements will most likely begin in Summer of 2006 depending upon final project approval. The following schedule is anticipated for the construction of the roadway improvements.

SCHEDULE

1.	Estimated Construction Time.	6 months
2.	Erosion Control Measures Placed	Week 1 - Week 2
3.	Site clearing and grubbing	Week 2 – Week 5
4.	Construction of driveway subbase for access	Week 5 – Week 7
5.	Construct Stormwater Management Areas	Week 7 – Week 9
6.	Utility improvements and parking construction	Week 7 – Week 24
7.	Mulch Spread for Winter Erosion Control	October 15 of Construction Year
8.	Start final seedings on prepared areas	Week 8
	(during growing season)	
9.	Biweekly monitoring of vegetative growth	Week 10
10.	Re-seeding of areas, if needed (**)	Week 10

11. Removal of erosion control devices (**)

** Dates are subject to change at the discretion of the engineer, depending on construction progress.

E. Inspections/Monitoring

Maintenance measures shall be applied as needed during the entire construction cycle. After each rainfall, the contractor shall perform a visual inspection of all installed erosion control measures. The contractor shall perform repairs as needed to allow continued proper functioning of the erosion control measure. The contractor shall provide the municipality with written documentation describing dates of inspections and necessary follow-up work to maintain erosion control measures meeting the requirements of this plan.

Following the temporary and/or final seedings: the contractor shall inspect the work area semimonthly until the seedings have been established. Established means a minimum of 85%-90% of areas vegetated with vigorous growth. Reseeding shall be carried out by the contractor with follow-up inspections in the event of any failures until vegetation is adequately established.

F. <u>Winter Erosion Control Measures</u>

The winter construction period is from November 1 through April 15. If the construction site is not stabilized with pavement, a road gravel base, 75% mature vegetation cover or riprap by November 15 then the site needs to be protected with over-winter stabilization. An area considered open is any area not stabilized with pavement; vegetation, mulching, erosion control mats, riprap or gravel base on a road. Winter excavation and earthwork shall be completed such that no more than 1 acre of the site is without stabilization at any one time. Limit the exposed area to those areas in which work is expected to be under taken during the proceeding 15 days and that can be mulched in one day prior to any snow event. All area shall be considered to be denuded until the subbase gravel is installed in roadway areas or the areas of future loam and seed have been loamed, seeded and mulched. Hay and straw mulch rate shall be a minimum of 150-lbs./1,000 s.f. (3 tons/acre) and shall be properly anchored. The contractor must install any added measures, which may be necessary to control erosion/sedimentation from the site dependent upon the actual site and weather conditions. Continuation of earthwork operations on additional areas shall not begin until the exposed soil surface on the area being worked has been stabilized, in order to minimize areas without erosion control protection.

 Soil Stockpiles: Stockpiles of soil or subsoil will be mulched for over winter protection with hay or straw at twice the normal rate or at 150-lbs/1,000 s.f. (3 tons per acre) or with a four-inch layer of woodwaste erosion control mix. This will be done within 24 hours of stocking and re-established prior to any rainfall or snowfall. Any soil stockpile will not be placed (even covered with hay or straw) within 100 feet from any natural resources.

- 2. Natural Resources Protection: Any areas within 100 feet from any natural resources, if not stabilized with a minimum of 75% mature vegetation catch, shall be mulched by December 1 and anchored with plastic netting or protected with erosion control mats. During winter construction, a double line of sediment barriers (i.e. silt fence backed with hay bales or erosion control mix) will be placed between any natural resource and the disturbed area. Projects crossing the natural resource shall be protected a minimum distance of 100 feet on either side from the resource. Existing projects not stabilized by December 1 shall be protected with the second line of sediment barrier to ensure functionality during the spring thaw and rains.
- **3.** Sediment Barriers: During frozen conditions, sediment barriers shall consist of woodwaste filter berms as frozen soil prevents the proper installation of hay bales and sediment silt fences.
- Mulching: All area shall be considered to be denuded until areas of future loam 4. and seed have been loamed, seeded and mulched. Hay and straw mulch shall be applied at a rate of 150 lb. per 1.000 square feet or 3 tons/acre (twice the normal accepted rate of 75-lbs./1,000 s.f. or 1.5 tons/acre) and shall be properly anchored. Mulch shall not be spread on top of snow. The snow will be removed down to a one-inch depth or less prior to application. After each day of final grading, the area will be properly stabilized with anchored hay or straw or erosion control matting. An area shall be considered to have been stabilized when exposed surfaces have been either mulched with straw or hay at a rate of 150 lb. per 1.000 square feet (3 tons/acre) and adequately anchored that ground surface is not visible though the mulch. Between the dates of November I and April 15, all mulch shall be anchored by either peg line, mulch netting, asphalt emulsion chemical, track or wood cellulose fiber. When ground surface is not visible though the mulch then cover is sufficient. After November 1st, mulch and anchoring of all bare soil shall occur at the end of each final grading workday.
- 5. Mulching on Slopes and Ditches: Slopes shall not be left exposed for any extended time of work suspension unless fully mulched and anchored with peg and netting or with erosion control blankets. Mulching shall be applied at a rate of 230-lbs/1,000 sf on all slopes greater than 8%. Mulch netting shall be used to anchor mulch in all drainage ways with a slope greater that 3% for slopes exposed to direct winds and for all other slopes greater that 8%. Erosion control blankets shall be used in lieu of mulch in all drainage ways with slopes 8%. Erosion control mix can be used to substitute erosion control blankets on all slopes except ditches.
- 6. Seeding: Between the dates of October 15 and April 1st, loam or seed will not be required. During periods of above freezing temperatures finished areas shall be fine graded and either protected with mulch or temporarily seeded and mulched until such time as the final treatment can be applied. If the date is after November 1st and if the exposed area has been loomed, final graded with a uniform surface, then the area may be dormant seeded at a rate of 3 times higher than specified for permanent seed and then mulched. Dormant seeding may be

selected to be placed prior to the placement of mulch and fabric netting anchored with staples. If dormant seeding is used for the site, all disturbed areas shall receive 4' of loam and seed at an application rate of 5 lbs/1,000 s.f. All areas seeded during the winter will be inspected in the spring for adequate catch. All areas sufficiently vegetated (less than 75% catch) shall be revegetated by replacing loam, seed and mulch. If dormant seeding is not used for the site, all disturbed areas shall be revegetated in the spring.

- 7. Trench Dewatering and Temporary Stream Diversion: Water from construction trench dewatering or temporary stream diversion will pass first through a filter bag or secondary containment structure (e.g. hay bale lined pool) prior to discharge. The discharge site shall be selected to avoid flooding, icing, and sediment discharges to a protected resource. In no case shall the filter bag or containment structure be located within 100 feet of a protected natural resource.
- 8. Inspection and Monitoring: Maintenance measures shall be applied as needed during the entire construction season. After each rainfall, snow storm or period of thawing and runoff, the site contractor shall perform a visual inspection of all installed erosion control measures and perform repairs as needed to insure their continuous function. Following the temporary and or final seeding and mulching, the contractor shall in the spring inspect and repair any damages and/or unestablished spots. Established vegetative cover means a minimum of 85 to 90% of areas vegetated with vigorous growth.

Standards for Timely Stabilization of Construction Sites During Winter

1. Standard for the timely stabilization of ditches and channels -- The applicant will construct and stabilize all stone-lined ditches and channels on the site by November 15. The applicant will construct and stabilize all grass-lined ditches and channels on the site by September 15. If the applicant fails to stabilize a ditch or channel to be grass-lined by September 15, then the applicant will take one of the following actions to stabilize the ditch for late fall and winter.

Install a sod lining in the ditch -- The applicant will line the ditch with properly installed sod by October 1. Proper installation includes the applicant pinning the sod onto the soil with wire pins, rolling the sod to guarantee contact between the sod and underlying soil, watering the sod to promote root growth into the disturbed soil, and anchoring the sod with jute or plastic mesh to prevent the sod strips from sloughing during flow conditions.

Install a stone lining in the ditch --The applicant will line the ditch with stone riprap by November 15. The applicant will hire a registered professional engineer to determine the stone size and lining thickness needed to withstand the anticipated flow velocities and flow depths within the ditch. If necessary, the applicant will regrade the ditch prior to placing the stone lining so to prevent the stone lining from reducing the ditch's cross-sectional area.

2. Standard for the timely stabilization of disturbed slopes -- The applicant will construct and stabilize stone-covered slopes by November 15. The applicant will seed and mulch all slopes to be vegetated by September 15. The department will consider any area having a grade greater than 15% (1OH:1V) to be a slope. If the applicant fails to stabilize any slope to be vegetated by September 15, then the applicant will take one of the following actions to stabilize the slope for late fall and winter.

<u>Stabilize the soil with temporarv vegetation and erosion control mats</u> -- By October 1 the applicant will seed the disturbed slope with winter rye at a seeding rate of 3 pounds per 1,000 square feet and apply erosion control mats over the mulched slope. The applicant will monitor growth of the rye over the next 30 days. If the rye fails to grow at least three inches or cover at least 75% of the disturbed slope by November 1, then the applicant will cover the slope with a layer of woodwaste compost as described in item iii of this condition or with stone riprap as described in item iv of this condition.

<u>Stabilize the slope with sod</u> -- The applicant will stabilize the disturbed slope with properly installed sod by October 1. Proper installation includes the applicant pinning the sod onto the slope with wire pins, rolling the sod to guarantee contact between the sod and underlying soil, and watering the sod to promote root growth into the disturbed soil. The applicant will not use late-season sod installation to stabilize slopes having a grade greater than 33% (3H:1V).

<u>Stabilize the slope with woodwaste compost</u> -- The applicant will place a six-inch layer of woodwaste compost on the slope by November 15. Prior to placing the woodwaste compost, the applicant will remove any snow accumulation on the disturbed slope. The applicant will not use woodwaste compost to stabilize slopes having grades greater than 50% (2H:1V) or having groundwater seeps on the slope face.

<u>Stabilize the slope with stone riprap</u> -- The applicant will place a layer of stone riprap on the slope by November 15. The applicant will hire a registered professional engineer to determine the stone size needed for stability and to design a filter layer for underneath the riprap.

3. Standard for the timely stabilization of disturbed soils -- By September 15 the applicant will seed and mulch all disturbed soils on areas having a slope less than 15%. If the applicant fails to stabilize these soils by this date, then the applicant will take one of the following actions to stabilize the soil for late fall and winter.

<u>Stabilize the soil with temporary vegetation</u> -- By October 1 the applicant will seed the disturbed soil with winter rye at a seeding rate of 3 pounds per 1,000 square feet, lightly mulch the seeded soil with hay or straw at 75 pounds per

1,000 square feet, and anchor the mulch with plastic netting. The applicant will monitor growth of the rye over the next 30 days. If the rye fails grow at least three inches or cover at least 75% of the disturbed soil before November 15, then the applicant will mulch the area for over-winter protection as described in item iii of this standard.

<u>Stabilize the soil with sod</u> -- The applicant will stabilize the disturbed soil with properly installed sod by October 1. Proper installation includes the applicant pinning the sod onto the soil with wire pins, rolling the sod to guarantee contact between the sod and underlying soil, and watering the sod to promote root growth into the disturbed soil.

<u>Stabilize the soil with mulch</u> -- By November 15 the applicant will mulch the disturbed soil by spreading hay or straw at a rate of at least 150 pounds per 1,000 square feet on the area so that no soil is visible through the mulch. Prior to applying the mulch, the applicant will remove any snow accumulation on the disturbed area. Immediately after applying the mulch, the applicant will anchor the mulch with plastic netting to prevent wind from moving the mulch off the disturbed soil.

Prepared by,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

Adam S. Bliss Design Engineer

ASB July 12,2006
BMP MAINTENANCE PLAN OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES

The facility manager for the Proposed Warehouse Building located at 352 Presumpscot Street is Jeff Freeman. The facility manager will be responsible for the maintenance of all stormwater management structures. the establishment of any contract services required to implement the program, and the keeping of records and maintenance log book. Records of all inspections and maintenance work accomplished must be kept on file and retained for a minimum 5-year time span. At a minimum, the appropriate and relevant activities for each of the stormwater management systems will be performed on the prescribed schedule.

- 1. Open swales and ditches need to be inspected on a monthly basis or after a major rainfall event to assure that debris or sediments do not reduce the effectiveness of the system. Debris needs to be removed at that time. Any sign of erosion or blockage shall be immediately repaired to assure a vigorous growth of vegetation for the stability of the structure and proper functioning. Swales that show newly formed channels or gullies will be immediately repaired be reseeding/sodding of bare spots; removal of trash, leaves and/or accumulated sediments; and the control of woody or other undesirable vegetation.
- 2. Vegetated ditches should be mowed at least monthly during the growing season. Larger brush or trees must not be allowed to become established in the channel. Any areas where the vegetation fails will be subject to erosion and should be repaired and revegetated.
- 3. Riprap ditches, where stone is displaced, should be replaced and chinked to assure stability. With time, riprap may need to be added. Vegetation growing through riprap should be removed on a yearly schedule.
- 4. If sediment in culverts or piped drainage systems exceeds 20% of the diameter of the pipe, it should be removed. This may be accomplished by hydraulic flushing or any mechanical means. All pipes should be inspected on an annual basis.
- 5. Paved surfaces shall be swept or vacuumed at least annually in the spring to remove all winter sand and periodically during the year on as-needed basis to minimize the transportation of sediment during rainfall events.
- 6. All catch basin sumps and drainage manhole structures shall be cleaned of debris and sediment at least annually to minimize clogging and transportation of sediment during rainfall events.

Prepared by,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

Adam S. Bliss Design Engineer

ASB:asb July 12,2006

STORMWATER RUNOFF EVALUATION

J & H Properties, L.L.C. 352 Presumpscot Street Portland, Maine 04103

<u>General</u>

This stormwater runoff evaluation has been prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc. (STI) on behalf of J & H Properties, L.L.C. and Patco Construction, Inc. to evaluate the effects of site improvements on stormwater runoff, as proposed and evaluated herein.

The subject site is located at 352 Presumpscot Street in the City of Portland. Proposed site improvements consist of constructing a 15,390 square-foot warehouse building and associated parking areas. The development will be serviced by public utilities to include underground cable, electric, and telephone; and subsurface drainage infrastructure. The proposed development consists of approximately **41,967** square feet of new impervious surface area.

Site Characteristics

The subject site exists today as a commercial/industrial parcel, of approximately 1.61 acres. The existing ground cover consists primarily of woods and herbaceous growth. The topography throughout the site consists of moderate slopes draining toward a ravine along the southerly property line. Stormwater runoff for the entire site drains in a southeasterly direction to a localized low point in the site where it drains beneath Presumpscot Street via a 3' x 4' concrete culvert.

<u>Soils</u>

Soils information used for the stormwater evaluation was obtained from the Cumberland County Medium Intensity Soil Survey. A copy of the soils and project location maps are enclosed. The soil survey maps the predominant site soils as Hollis, which has a hydrologic soil group of "C".

Methodology

The stormwater runoff analysis was developed in accordance with methodology outlined in the "HydroCAD" storinwater modeling system. The 2-year, 10-year, and 25-year, Type HI. 24-hour storm events were used for analysis.

JUL 19 20

RECE

Storm Event	Rainfall Depth
2-vear	3.0
10-year	4.7
25-year	5.5

Watersheds

Based upon topographical information, adjacent properties and the project site, one watershed was evaluated for the pre-development condition and three watersheds for the post-development condition. The study point analyzed during both pre-and post-development conditions is along the southeasterly property line where the stormwater enters the municipal system. The study point is labeled on the drainage plans.

The pre-developed watershed (WS-1) contains 2.06 acres of land. Topography consists of moderate slopes with woods and grass ground cover. Stormwater runoff drains southeasterly toward a ditchline along Presumpscot street before exiting the southeast corner of the site through 3' x 4' box culvert underneath Presumpscot Street.

The post-developed watersheds (WS-11 through WS-13) contain 2.06 acres of total land. Land cover has changed from woods and grass in the pre-development condition, to revegetated grass and impervious areas in the post developed condition. Stormwater runoff from Watershed 11 sheet flows across a parking area to a catch basin and is then piped to study point 1, located at the 3' x 4' box culvert. Additionally, Watershed 11 conveys runoff via a swale to study point 1. Watershed 12 accepts runoff from the front parking area and an uncl: nged wooded area where it flows naturally through the existing ravine to study point 1. Watershed 13 sheet flows across a parking area to a catch basin where it is then conveyed via a storm drain to study point 1.

Sto: <u>nwater Management</u>

The following table summarizes the results of stormwater calculations for the design storm ev_{CT} for the project area. Calculations and computer modeling data sheets are provided with this eport.

		Stor	mwater .	Peak Dis	charge S	ummary	Table		
Stucy	2-7	Year Sto	the second se	 Association Sciences and Sciences 	Year St	B	and the second	-Year St	orm
Tr ,	Pre	Post	Diff.	Pre	Post	Diff.	Pre	Post	Diff.
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
<u>S19-1</u>	0.94	2.65	1.71	2.34	4.91	2.57	3.07	5.98	2.91

Summary

The proposed development of the 15,390 S.F. warehouse facility will include the installation of two cross culverts to which the runoff from the impervious areas will be directed. The culve ts will transport the runoff to the localized low spot prior to outletting to the existing municipal system within Presumpscot Street.

Other drainage provisions will include a specific grading plan and erosion and sedimentation c(n) measures which will be implemented throughout the construction cycle. Incorporation of the coove mentioned drainage provisions and infrastructure for the proposed development adequately addresses stormwater runoff such that no significant downstream impacts on downstream properties are anticipated.

Prepared by

SEBAGO TECHNICS, LNC.

F. Adapt S. Bliss Design Engineer

ASD:asb July 12, 2006



02237_PRE	Type 111 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (Two Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.	Page 2
HvdroCADB6 00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Applied Microco	omputer Systems 5/25/2006

Time span=5 00-20 00 hrs. dt=0 05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond rouring by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment WS-1:

Tc=45.3 min CN=74 Area=2.059 ac Runoff= 0.94 cfs 0 139 af

Reach SP1: (new node)

Inflow= 0.94 cis 0.139 ai **Outflow=** 0.94 cfs 0.139 af

Runoff Area = 2.059 ac Volume = 0.139 af Average Depth = 0.81"

02237_PRE	Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.0	00" (Two Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.		Page 3
HvdroCADB 6.00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Applied Microc	computer Svstems	5/25/2006

Subcatchment WS-I:

Runoff	=	0.94 cfs @	12.68 hrs,	Volume=	0.139 af
--------	---	------------	------------	---------	----------

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00"

Area	(ac) C	N Desc	ription						
0.	0.098 89 Gravel roads, HSG C								
0.	0.076 98 Paved roads w/curbs & sewers								
1.	.234			over, Good	I, HSG C				
0.	651 7	70 Wood	ds, Good,	HSG C					
2.	2.059 74 Weighted Average								
-		0		o :					
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity		Description				
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	<u>(ft/ft)</u>	<u>(ftisecj</u>	(cfs)					
22.a	150	0.0670	0.1		Sheet Flow, A to B				
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.00"				
1.7	155	0.0500	1.6		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C				
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps				
0.1	40	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circular Channei (pipe),C to D				
					Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011				
10.6	105	0.0650	0.2	0.10	Trap/Vee/Rect Channel Flow, D to E				
					Bot.W=2.00' D=0.25' Z 1.5 '/' n= 0.800				
45.3	450	Total							

Reach SP1: (new node)

Inflow	_	0.94 cfs @	12.68 hrs, Vo	lume=	0.139 af
Outflow	=	0.94 cfs @	12.68hrs, Vol	lume=	0.139 ai, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

.

02237_PRE	Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70" (Ter	Year Storm!
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.		Page 4
HvdroCAD® 6.00s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Applied Microco	omputer Svstems	<u>5/25/200</u> 6

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70" Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment WS-1:

Tc=45.3 min CN=74 Area=2.059 ac Runoff= 2.34 cfs 0.332 af

Reach **SP1: (new node**)

Inflow= 2.34 cis 0.332 af Outflow= 2.34 cfs 0.332 af

Runoff Area = 2.059 ac Volume = 0.332 af Average Depth = 1.94"

02237_PRE	Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4,70" (Ter	ı Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics. Inc.		Page 5
HydroCAD® 6.00 sin 001856 © 1986-2001 Applied Microco	omputer Systems	<u>5/25/2006</u>

Subcatchment WS-1:

Runoff =	2.34 cfs @	12.64 hrs, Volume=	0.332 af
----------	------------	--------------------	----------

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70"

Area	(ac) C	N Desc	ription					
0.	098 8	39 Grave	el roads,	HSG C				
0.	0.076 98 Paved roads w/curbs & sewers							
1.	1.234 74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C							
0.	0.651 70 Woods. Good, HSG C							
2.059 74 Weighted Average								
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·			
32.9	150	0.0670	0.1		Sheet Flow, A to B			
					Woods: Dense underbrush $n= 0.800$ P2= 3.00"			
1.7	155	0.0500	1.6		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C			
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
0.1	40	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circular Channel (pipe), C to D			
10.0			0.0		Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011			
10.6	105	0.0650	0.2	ð.1 0	Trap/Vee/Rect Channel Flow, D to E			
					Bot.W=2.00' D=0.25' Z 1.5'/' n= 0.800			
45.3	450	Total						
	Reach SP1: (new node)							
inflow	Ξ	2.34 cfs (@ 22.64	1 hrs, Volu	me= 0.332 af			
Outflow	=		-	hrs, Volu				
			~	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

.

02237_PRE	Type III 24-hr Rainfall	=5.50" (25- Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.		Page 6
<u>HydroCAD® 6 00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcorr</u>	nouter Svstems	5/25/2006

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50" Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment WS-1:

Tc=45.3 min CN=74 Area=2.059 ac Runoff= 3.07cfs 0.435 af

Reach SP1: (new node)

Inflow= 3.07cfs 0.435ai Outflow= 3.07 cis 0.435 af

Runoff Area = 2.059 ac Volume = 0.435af Average Depth = 2.54"

02237_PRE	Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50" (25- Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.	Page 7
HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcol	mputer Svstems 5/25/2006

Subcatchment WS-1:

Runoff	=	3.07 cfs	@ 12.6	4 hrs, Volu	ime= 0.435 af			
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50"								
Area	Area (ac) CN Description							
	0.098 89 Gravet roads, HSG C							
				/curbs & se				
	-		s Grass co s, Good,	over, Good มรุก ก	, HSG C			
			hted Aver					
۷.	059 1	4 Weigi		aye				
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
32.9	1 50	0.0670	0.1		Sheet Flow, A to B Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.00"			
17	155	0.0500	1.6		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
0.1	40	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circular Channel (pipe), C to D Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' = 0.31' n= 0.011			
1 <i>û.6</i>	105	0.0650	0.2	0.10	Trap/Vee/Rect Channel Fiow, D io E Bot.W=2.00' D=0.25'			
45.3	450	Total						
				Reach	SP1: (new node)			
inflow Outflow	-	3.07 cfs 3.07 cfs	<u> </u>	1 hrs, Volu hrs, Volu				
Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs								



02237 POST	Type 11	Type 111 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (Two Year Storm)			
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc. HydroCAD® 6.00sin 001856 © 1986-2001 Ap	plied Microcomouter S	amoutor Systems			
1980-2001 A	phed microcomodier 3	y stems	5/25	<u>/20</u> 06	
Time span=5.00)-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 1	nrs, 301 points			
Runoff by SCS TR-20 me		· •	ll=3.00"		
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+T	rans method - Pond	routing by Stor-	ind method		
Subcatchment WS-11:	Tc=20,7 rnin CN=86	Area=0.788 ac	Runoff= 1.02 cfs 0.1	L01af	
Subcatchment WS-12:	Tc=27.6 min CN=86	Area=0.645 ac	Runoff= 0.74 cfs 0.0	83 af	
Subcatchment WS-13 :	Tc=12.4 rnin CN=91	Area=0.627 ac	Runoff= I .22cis 0.10)2 af	
Reach SP1: (new node)			Inflow= 2.65 cfs 0.28	36 af	
			Outflow= 2.65 cfs 0.2		

:

Runoff Area = 2.060 ac Volume = 0.286 af Average Depth = 1.66"

02237_POST	Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (Two	Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.		Page 3
HvdroCADB 6.00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Apolied Microc	computer Systems	5/25/2006

Subcatchment WS-11:

Runoff	-	1.02 cfs @	12.29 hrs, Volume=	0.101 af
--------	---	------------	--------------------	----------

..

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
			ed parking		
			ds, Good,	over. Good	
			ghted Aver		
				U	
Tc	Length		Velocity		Description
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
18.6	150	0.0250	0.1		Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.00"
1.5	83	0.0170	0.9		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
0.1	73	0.0270	10.2	12.54	
0.1	20	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circuiar Channel (pipe), D to E
					Diam= 15.0" Area-1.2 sf Penim-3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011
0.4	25	0.0350	0.9		Shallow concentrated Flow, D to E Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
20.7	351	Total			· · · ·
				Subcat	chment WS-12:
				Subcat	chinient wo-12.
Runoff	=	0.74 cfs	@ 12.39	9 hrs, Volu	me= 0.083 af
Runoff by	V SCS TF	R-20 meth	nod. UH=S	CS. Time	Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
Type III 2					
Area (ac) Cl		ription		
	,		ription d parking	& roofs	
				ver, Good,	HSG C
	102 7		ls, Good;		
0.6	645 8	6 Weig	hted Avera	age	
	Length	•		Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	<u>(ft/ft)</u>	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
276	115	0.0610	0.1		Sheet Flow, A to B Woods. Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.00"

02237_POST	Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (Two Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.	Page 4
HvdroCAD® 6.00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Applied Microc	ornouter Svstems 5/25/2006

Subcatchment WS-I3:

Runoff =	1.22 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.102 af
----------	------------	--------------------	----------

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00"

Area (ac) C	N Desc	ription		
0.4	446 9	98 Pave	d parking	& roofs	
				over, Good	I, HSG C
-			ds, Good.		
0.6	527 9	91 Weig	hted Aver	age	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ftisec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
11.1	130	0.0690	0.2	(013)	Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.00"
0.4	105	0.0550	4.8		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Paved $Kv= 20.3$ fps
0.4	201	0.0200	8.8	10.80	Circular Channel (pipe), C to D Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9'r= 0.31' n= 0.011
0.1	20	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circular Channel (pipe), D <i>to</i> E Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Derim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011
0.4	25	0.0360	0.9		Shallow Concentrated Flow, E to F Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
12.4	481	Total			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				Reach	SP1: (new nodej
Inflow Outflow	=		<u> </u>	4 hrs, Volu 4 hrs, Volu	

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

.....

02237_POST Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc. HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 Ap	21	24-hr Rainfall= ystems	=4.70" (TenY	ear <i>Storm)</i> Page 5 <u>5/25/20</u> 06	
Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70" Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method					
Subcatchment WS-11	Tc=20.7 min	CN=86	Area=0.788 ac	Runoff= 1.94 c	is 0.196 af
Subcatchment WS-12:	Tc=27.6 min	CN=86	Area=0.645 ac	Runoff= 1.40 c	is C.160 af
Subcatchment WS-13:	Tc=12.4 min	CN=91	Area=0.627 ac	Runoff= 2.12 c	s 0.182 ai
Reach SP1: (new node)				Inflow 4.91 c Outflow= 4.91 c	

Runoff Area = 2.060 ac Volume = 0.538 af Average Depth = 3.13"

02237-POST	Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70"	(Ten Year Storm)
Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc.		Page б
HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 001856 © 1986-2001 A	pplied MicrocomDuter Svsterns	51'251'2006

Subcatchment WS-22:

Runoff = 1.94 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= 0.196 af

÷ .

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70"

Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription					
	0.405 98 Paved parking & roofs 0.367 74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C							
					, HSG C			
0.016 70 Woods, Good, HSG C 0.788 86 Weighted Average								
0.700 00 Weighted Average								
	Length	•	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	<u>(ft/ft)</u>	(ft/sec)	<u>(cfs)</u>				
18.6	150	0.0250	0.1		Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2=3.00"			
1.5	83	0.0170	0.9		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C			
110	00	0.0.10	0.12		Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
0.1	73	0.0270	10.2	12.54	Circular Channel (pipe), C to D			
0.1	00	0.0400	C 0		Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011			
e.1	20	0.0100	6.2	1.63	Circular Channel (pipe), D to E Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= $3.9' = 0.31' = 0.011$			
0.4	25	0.0360	0.9		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D to E			
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
20.7	351	Totai						
				0				
				Subcate	chment WS-12:			
Runoff	=	1.40 cfs	@ 12.37	hrs, Volu	me= 0.160 af			
Runoff by Type III 24				CS, Time S	Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs			
Area (a	ac) Cl	N Desc	ription					
0.3	-		d parking &					
0.1		4 >75%	Grass co	ver, Good,	HSG C			
0.1			ls, Good, I					
0.6	45 8	b Weigl	nted Avera	ige				

TcLengthSlopeVelocityCapacityDescription(min)(feet)(ft/ft)(ft/sec)(cfs)27 61150.06100 1Sheet Flow, A to B
Woods Dense underbrushn= 0.800P2= 3.00"

• •

Page 7 5/25/2006

Subcatchment WS-13:

Runoff = 2.12 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.182 af

Runoff bý SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70"

Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription					
0.446 98 Paved parking & roofs								
0.169 74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C								
0.012 70 Woods. Good, HSG C								
0.627 91 Weighted Average								
-								
	Length	-	•	- ·	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
11.1	130	0.0690	0.2		Sheet Flow, A to B			
					Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.00"			
0.4	105	0.0550	4.8		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C			
					Paved $Kv= 20.3$ fps			
0.4	201	0.0200	8.8	10.80	Circuiar Channel (pipe), C to D			
					Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9'r= 0.31' n= 0.011			
0.1	20	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circular Channel (pipe), D to E			
			0.0		Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011			
0.4	25	0.0360	0.9		Shallow Concentrated Flow, E to F			
					vvoodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
12.4	481	Total						
				Reach S	SP1: (newnode)			
inflow		1 01 cia	@ 12.24	has Malua	0.528 of			

inflow	-	4.91 cis @	12.24 hrs, Volume=	0.538 af	
Outflow	=	4.91 cfs @	12.24 hrs, Volume=	0.538af, Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

02237_ POST Prepared by Sebago Technics, Inc. HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 001<i>856</i> © 1986-2001 A	eplied Microcor			ll=5.50" (25 Ye a 5	ar <i>Storm)</i> Page 8 / <u>25/2006</u>
Time span=5.00 Runoff by SCS TR-20 mo Reach routing by Stor-Ind+T	ethod, UH=SC	S, Type	III 24-hr Rainfa		
Subcatchment WS-11:	Tc=20.7 min	CN=86	Area=0.788 ac	Runoff= 2.37 cfs	0.243 af
Subcatchment WS-12:	Tc=27.6 min	CN=86	Area=0.645 ac	Runoff= 1.72cfs	0.1 98 af
Subcatchment WS-13:	Tc=12.4 min	CN=91	Area=0.627 ac	Runoff= 2.54 cfs	0.220 af
Reach SP1: (new node)				Inflow= 5.98 cfs Outflow= 5.98 cis	

Runoff Area = 2.060 ac Volume = 0.661 af Average Depth = 3.85"

Page 9 5/25/2006

Subcatchment WS-I/:

Runoff = 2.37 cfs 0 12.28 hrs, Volume= 0.243 af

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50"

Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription				
0.	.405 9	98 Pave	d parking	& roofs			
0.	0.367 74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C						
0.	0.016 70 Woods. Good, HSG C						
0.788 86 Weighted Average							
т	т (1	01	T 7 1 4				
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity		Description		
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
18.6	150	0.0250	0.1		Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.00"		
1.5	83	0.0170	0.5		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C		
_					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps		
C. 1	73	0.0270	10.2	12.54	Circular Channei (pipej, C to D		
					Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 si Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.01		
0.1	20	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circular Channel (pipe), D to E		
		0.00.00	0.0		Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011		
0.4	25	0.0360	0.9		Shallow Concentrated Flow. D to E Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps		
20.7	351	Total					

Subcatchment WS-12:

Runoff	_	2.72 cfs (7)	12.37 hrs, Volume=	0.198 af
Kulloll	—	$2.72 \text{ CIS}(\omega)$		0.100 al

Runoffby SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50"

Area (a	ic) C	N Desc	cription					
0.34	46 9	8 Pave	Paved parking & roofs					
0.1		4 >75°	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG C			
0.10	02 7	0 Woo	ds, Good.	HSG C				
0.64	45 8	6 Weig	ghted Aver	rage				
Tc I	Length (feet:	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
27.6	115	0.0610	0.1	• •	Sheet Fiow, A to B Woods: Dense unaerbrusn	n= 0.800	P2= 3.00"	

Page 10 51251'2006

Subcatchment WS-13:

Runoff = 2.54 cfs 0 12.17hrs, Volume= 0.220 af

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50"

Area	(ac) C	N Desc	ription					
0	.446	98 Pave	d parking	8 roofs				
0.	.169	74 >75%	6 Grass co	over, Good	I, HSG C			
0	0.012 70 Woods, Good, HSG C							
0	0.627 91 Weighted Average							
		-		C				
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
imin)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
11.1	130	0.0690	0.2		Sheet Flow, A to B			
					Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.00"			
0.4	105	0.0550	4.8		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C			
					Paved Kv= 20.3fps			
0.4	201	0.0200	6.8	10.80	Circular Channel (pipe), C to D			
					Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2si Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011			
0.1	20	0.0100	6.2	7.63	Circular Channel (pipe),D to E			
					Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.011			
0.4	25	0.0360	0.9		Shallow Concentrated Flow, E to F			
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
11.4	481	Total						

Reach SP1: (new nodej

Inflow	Ξ	5.98 cfs @	12.24 hrs, Volume=	0.661 af
Outflow	=	5.98 cfs @	12.24hrs, Volume=	0.661af, Atten= 0%. Lag= 0.0min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.0brs, dt= 0.05 hrs