Mc Call Baztela KATSIAFICAS AVERY

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE **ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

R-2 Residential Zone Conditional Use Appeal

DECISION

Date of public hearing:

March 1, 2018

Name and address of Appellant:

Dianne and Matt Watters

120 Douglass Street

Portland, Maine 04102

Location of property under appeal:

15 Morningstar Lane

CBL 385A A032001

For the Record:

Names and addresses of witnesses (proponents, opponents and others):

Dianne + matt Watters

120 Douglass St. Portland,

FREDERICE WRIEL

homo.

Exhibits admitted (e.g. renderings, reports, etc.):

Application + Exhibits Email prem Pen Dokler

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

The applicant is seeking a conditional use permit to add an accessory dwelling unit within a partially completed single-family home. An accessory dwelling unit is permitted if it meets all of the criteria of § 14-78(a)(2) and § 14-474(c).

Findings:

1.	The alteration is of a single-family, detached dwelling; the accessory dwelling unit will be within the building and clearly subordinate to the principal dwelling; and the accessory unit will be for the benefit of the homeowners or tenants. § 14-78(a)(2).		
	Satisfied Not Satisfied		
	Reason and supporting facts: Angle frankly detached develling. A.D. u. in Lower level. Clearly Auberdinate to principal develling une The will be rented to provided additional income.		
2.	The accessory unit is no more than thirty (30) percent of the gross floor area of the principal building and has a minimum floor area of four hundred (400) square feet. Gross floor area excludes any floor area that has less than two-thirds of its floor-to-ceiling height above the average adjoining ground level, and may include attic space if such space shall be included as habitable space within either dwelling unit. § 14-78(a)(2)(a).		
	Satisfied Not Satisfied		
	Reason and supporting facts:		
	3,276 seg feet for principal brulding. 835 fx 2 for A.D. Ce.		
	25% of gros floor area		

3. The lot area is eight thousand (8,000) square feet for single-family dwellings existence as of May 1, 1984, or is ten thousand (10,000) square feet for single-family dwellings constructed after May 1, 1984. § 14-78(a)(2)(b).		
	Satisfied Not Satisfied	
	Reason and supporting facts:	
	13, 341 gt 2 lot sege.	
4.	There are no open, outside stairways or fire escapes above the ground floor. § 14-78(a)(2)(c).	
	Satisfied Not Satisfied	
	Reason and supporting facts:	
	Reason and supporting facts: We suite Hacrases alone ground floor. Hacrase leads to ground floor.	
5.	Any additions or exterior alterations such as facade materials, building form, roof pitch, and exterior doors are compatible with the architectural style of the building and preserve the single-family appearance of the building. § 14-78(a)(2)(d).	
	Satisfied Not Satisfied	
	Reason and supporting facts:	
	No lefterior Modefications Proposed adequate screening of	
	Proposed. adequate screening of	
	the AD is to disquise nature of	

6. The project is subject to Chapter 14, Article V for site plan review and approval and the following additional standards: a) Any additions or exterior alterations, such as façade materials, building form and roof pitch shall be designed to be compatible with the architectural style of the building and preserve the single-family appearance of the building. b) The scale and surface area of parking, driveways and paved areas shall be arranged and landscaped to properly screen vehicles from adjacent properties and streets. § 14-78(2)(e). Satisfied Not Satisfied Reason and supporting facts: Ate plan Minus Alreeneng, frees and landscaping. Drueway Sliones as being screened. Administrative site plan review will 7. Either the accessory unit or the principal dwelling will be occupied by the owner of the lot on which the principal building is located, except for bona fide temporary absences. § 14-78(2)(f). Not Satisfied Satisfied Reason and supporting facts: Applicants State they wellen und z

rent net ADU

8. For accessory units pursuant to § 14-78, one (1) additional parking space provided for each unit. Existing parking spaces shall not be used to meet the requirements of this paragraph, unless the existing parking spaces exceed one for each dwelling unit. §§ 14-78(2)(g), 14-332(a)(2).		
	Satisfied Not Satisfied	
	Reason and supporting facts:	
	Carege in property	
	2 cars Can Park in the	
	Carope in Property 2 cars Can Park in Sure ly/Sterp parking spaces lyc 1 space per divelling result	
9.	9. The proposed use, at the size and intensity contemplated at the proposed location, we not have substantially greater negative impacts than would normally occur fro surrounding uses or other allowable uses in the same zoning district. This standard satisfied if all of the following are met. § 14-474(c).	
	a) The volume and type of vehicle traffic to be generated, hours of operation, expanse of pavement, and the number of parking spaces required are not substantially greater than would normally occur at surrounding uses or other allowable uses in the same zone.	
	Satisfied Not Satisfied	
	Reason and supporting facts:	
	Addition of I residential cent	
	in residential your	
	sufficient parking on site	
	est won't generale esta	

b) The proposed use will not create unsanitary or harmful conditions by reason of noise, glare, dust, sewage disposal, emissions to the air, odor, lighting, or litter.			
Satisfied Not Satisfied			
Reason and supporting facts:			
residented use in residential			
your. No additional external			
Construction. no evidence to			
suggest extra noise, glace etc.			
youe. Do additional external Construction. Do evidence to suggest extra noise, glace etc. by the pass adding proposed			
unit.			
c) The design and operation of the proposed use, including but not limited to landscaping, screening, signs, loading, deliveries, trash or waste generation, arrangement of structures, and materials storage will not have a substantially greater effect/impact on surrounding properties than those associated with surrounding uses or other allowable uses in the zone.			
Satisfied Not Satisfied			
Reason and supporting facts:			
House has adequale Adresneng.			
ADU entrance is screened			
residential use won't significantly			
Merease waste generateon			

Conclusions: Option 1: The Board finds that all of the stand and therefore GRANTS the conditional use permit for	Latsificas, Bartele dards described above have been satisfied, peupled of two years.
Option 2: Pursuant to § 14-474(d), the Board on conditional use permits. The Board finds that all of satisfied, however, certain reasonable conditions must be other property in the neighborhood, and therefore GRA FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:	the standards described above have been be imposed to minimize adverse effects on
Option 3: The Board finds that all of the satisfied, and therefore DENIES the application.	standards described above have not been

Dated:

3-1-18