

| City of Portland | , Maine | - Building or Use | Permit Application | on ^{Pei} | rmit No: | Issue Date: | CBL: | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 389 Congress Stre | et, 04101 | Tel: (207) 874-870 | 8, Fax: (207) 874-87 | 16 | 10-0867 | | 326 B0090 | 001 | |
| Location of Construction: Owner Name: | | | Owne | Owner Address: | | Phone: | | | |
| 50 INDUSTRIAL WAY 50 INDUSTRIAL | | IAL WAY LLC | 50 R | NDUSTRIAL | . WAY | | | | |
| Business Name: | | Contractor Nam | e: | Contr | actor Address: | | Phone | Phone | |
| | | Langford & L | ow, Inc. | POI | Box 662 Portl | and | 2077975141 | | |
| Lessee/Buyer's Name | | Phone: | | Permi | it Type: | | | one: | |
| | | | | Fou | ndation Only | /Commercial | I | - W | |
| Past Use: | | Proposed Use: | | Perm | it Fee: | Cost of Work: | CEO District: | | |
| Commercial "Allaga | sh Brewer | y" Commercial " | Allagash Brewery" - | ery" - \$340.00 \$32,0 | | \$32,000.0 | 0 5 | | |
| _ | | 40' x 130' Ad | lition FOUNDATION | FIRE | FIRE DEPT: Annroved INSI | | SPECTION: | 11/n | |
| | | ONLY | | | | | Use Group: F. 2 Type: MA | | |
| | | | | 1.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | _ ≭ ⊃ | are cova | itions [] | oundation On 1 | ly | |
| Proposed Project Descr | ption: | | | | | | IQ 2003 | ahal | |
| 40' x 130' Addition | FOUNDA | TION ONLY | | Signature: PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITIES DISTR | | Sig | Signature: XMB 1/28 | | |
| | | | | | | VITIES DISTRIC | ICT (P.A.D.) | | |
| | | | | Actio | n: 🗌 Approv | ed 🗌 Approve | ed w/Conditions 📋 De | nied | |
| | | | | Signa | iture: | | Date: | | |
| Permit Taken By: | | Date Applied For: | _ | | Zoning | Approval | | | |
| ldobson | | 07/21/2010 | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| 1. This permit app | lication do | es not preclude the | Special Zone or Rev | 'iews | wa Zoning Appeal | | Historic Preserve | Histopic Preservation | |
| Applicant(s) fro Federal Rules. | om meeting | g applicable State and | Shoreland | | Variance | • | Not in District or | r Landmaı | |
| 2. Building permit | ts do not in | clude plumbing, | U Wetland | | Miscellaneous | | Does Not Requir | Does Not Require Review | |
| septic or electri | cal work. | | ļ | | | | | | |
| 3. Building permit | s are void | if work is not started | 🗌 Flood Zone 🔹 🗌 Con | | Conditio | Conditional Use | | Requires Review | |
| within six (6) m | onths of th | e date of issuance. | Subdivision | | Interpretation | | Approved | | |
| False information | on may inv | alidate a building | | | | | | | |
| permit and stop | dii WUIK | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Site Plan | | | d | Approved w/Con | ditions | |
| PERMIT ISSUED | | Maj Minor Mi | M□[] | | | | \supset | | |
| | - | | ow yha | pour | 7 | | | | |
| SFP 2 8 2010 | | 3 2010 | Date. | <u> </u> | Date: | | Date: | $ \rightarrow $ | |
| | • • • • • | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | 2511 | r0 | | | | |
| | 01=1 =f D | ortland | | 25 | rv | | | | |

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am the owner of record of the named property, or that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his authorized agent and I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in the application is issued, I certify that the code official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by such permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provision of the code(s) applicable to such permit.

| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT | ADDRESS | DATE | PHONE |
|------------------------|---------|------|-------|
| | | | |

BUILDING PERMIT INSPECTION PROCEDURES Please call 874-8703 or 874-8693 (ONLY) or email: buildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov

With the issuance of this permit, the owner, builder or their designee is required to provide adequate notice to the City of Portland Inspection Services for the following inspections. Appointments must be requested 48 to 72 hours in advance of the required inspection. The inspection date will need to be confirmed by this office.

- Please read the conditions of approval that is attached to this permit!! Contact this office if you have any questions.
- Permits expire in 6 months, if the project is not started or ceases for 6 months.
- If the inspection requirements are not followed as stated below additional fees may be incurred due to the issuance of a "Stop Work Order" and subsequent release to continue with construction.
- X Footing/Building Location Inspection: Prior to pouring concrete or setting precast piers
- X ___ Re-Bar Schedule Inspection: Prior to pouring concrete
- X Underground electrical or plumbing inspection prior to pouring concrete
- X The final report of Special Inspections shall be submitted prior to the final inspection or the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy

The project cannot move to the next phase prior to the required inspection and approval to continue, REGARDLESS OF THE NOTICE OR CIRCUMSTANCES.

IF THE PERMIT REQUIRES A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, IT MUST BE PAID FOR AND ISSUED TO THE OWNER OR DESIGNEE BEFORE THE SPACE MAY BE OCCUPIED.

| City of Portland, Maine - B | luilding or Use Permit | ţ | Permit No: | Date Applied For: | CBL: |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 389 Congress Street, 04101 Te | el: (207) 874-8703, Fax: (| 207) 874-8716 | 10-0867 | 07/21/2010 | 326 B009001 |
| Location of Construction: | Owner Name: | | Owner Address: | | Phone: |
| 50 INDUSTRIAL WAY | 50 INDUSTRIAL WA | Y LLC | 50 INDUSTRIAL | WAY | |
| Business Name: | Contractor Name: | | Contractor Address: | | Phone |
| | Langford & Low, Inc. | | PO Box 662 Portla | und | (207) 797-5141 |
| Lessee/Buyer's Name | Phone: | | Permit Type: | | |
| | | | Foundation Only/ | Commercial | |
| Proposed Use: | | Propose | d Project Description: | | |
| Commercial "Allagash Brewery" - | - 40' x 130' Addition | 40' x 1 | 30' Addition FOU | NDATION ONLY | |
| FOUNDATION ONLY | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Dept: Zoning Status | : Approved with Condition | s Reviewer: | Marge Schmucka | d Approval I | Date: 07/23/2010 |
| Dept: Zoning Status Note: | : Approved with Condition | s Reviewer: | Marge Schmucka | l Approval I | ate: 07/23/2010 Ok to Issue: ☑ |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be required | : Approved with Condition | s Reviewer: | Marge Schmucka | il Approval I | Date: 07/23/2010 Ok to Issue: ☑ |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be requ2)This permit is being approved work. | : Approved with Condition ired for any new signage. on the basis of plans submit | s Reviewer: tted. Any deviat | Marge Schmucka | al Approval I separate approval t | Date: 07/23/2010 Ok to Issue: 🗹 |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be requ2)This permit is being approved work.Dept:BuildingStatus | Approved with Condition ired for any new signage. on the basis of plans submit Approved with Condition | s Reviewer: tted. Any deviat | Marge Schmucka tions shall require a Jeanine Bourke | al Approval I o separate approval b Approval I | Date: 07/23/2010 Ok to Issue: Defore starting that Date: |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be requ2)This permit is being approved work.Dept:BuildingStatusNote:Status | Approved with Condition aired for any new signage. on the basis of plans submit Approved with Condition | s Reviewer: tted. Any deviat | Marge Schmucka ions shall require a Jeanine Bourke | al Approval I a separate approval t Approval I | Date: 07/23/2010 Ok to Issue: ☑ Defore starting that Date: Ok to Issue: □ |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be requ2)This permit is being approved work.Dept:BuildingStatusNote:1)This is approval for foundation | Approved with Condition. and for any new signage. an the basis of plans submit Approved with Condition n work only, separate review | s Reviewer: tted. Any deviat | Marge Schmucka ions shall require a Jeanine Bourke s required for the b | al Approval I a separate approval to Approval I uilding construction | Date: $07/23/2010$ Ok to Issue: \square Defore starting that Date: Ok to Issue: \square |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be requ2)This permit is being approved work.Dept:BuildingStatusNote:1)This is approval for foundationDept:FireStatus | Approved with Condition. and for any new signage. an the basis of plans submites Approved with Condition n work only, separate review Approved | s Reviewer: tted. Any deviat s Reviewer: v and approval is Reviewer: | Marge Schmucka ions shall require a Jeanine Bourke s required for the b Capt Keith Gautt | Approval I separate approval t Approval I uilding construction eau Approval I | Date: $07/23/2010$ Ok to Issue: \square Defore starting that Date: Ok to Issue: \square Date: $07/29/2010$ |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be requ2)This permit is being approved work.Dept:BuildingStatusNote:1)This is approval for foundationDept:FireStatusNote:Status | Approved with Condition. ired for any new signage. on the basis of plans submit Approved with Condition n work only, separate review Approved | s Reviewer: tted. Any deviat s Reviewer: w and approval is Reviewer: | Marge Schmucka tions shall require a Jeanine Bourke s required for the b Capt Keith Gautr | al Approval I a separate approval b Approval I uilding construction eau Approval I | Date: 07/23/2010 Ok to Issue: ✓ Defore starting that Date: Ok to Issue: Ok to Issue: □ Date: 07/29/2010 Ok to Issue: ✓ |
| Dept:ZoningStatusNote:1)Separate permits shall be requ2)This permit is being approved work.Dept:BuildingStatusNote:1)This is approval for foundationDept:FireStatusNote:Status | Approved with Condition. aired for any new signage. an the basis of plans submit Approved with Condition n work only, separate review Approved | s Reviewer: tted. Any deviat s Reviewer: v and approval is Reviewer: | Marge Schmucka ions shall require a Jeanine Bourke s required for the b Capt Keith Gautr | Approval I separate approval t Approval I uilding construction eau Approval I | Date: 07/23/2010 Ok to Issue: ✓ Defore starting that Date: Ok to Issue: Ok to Issue: □ Date: 07/29/2010 Ok to Issue: ✓ |

Comments:

7/23/2010-mes: WAIT FOR PLANNING SIGN OFF BEFORE ISSUING

9/10/2010-jmb: Left vcmsg for Gabby at L & L for geotech report and statement of special inspections. Received email with the geotech report and complete special inspections report for the whole project. Ok to issue pending planning/drc approval.

9/28/2010-jmb: Received email from Phil D. For approval, ok to issue

Jeanie Bourke - 100 Industrial Way, Allagash Brewing - Building Permit

| From: | Philip DiPierro |
|-----------------|---|
| To: | Code Enforcement & Inspections |
| Date: | 9/27/2010 4:34 PM |
| Subject: CC: | 100 Industrial Way, Allagash Brewing - Building Permit Giles, Eric |

Hi all, this project meets minimum DRC site plan requirements for the issuance of the building permit. Please see HTE for sign off.

Thanks.

Phil

Marge Schmuckal - 50 Industrial Way - Allagash Brewing

| From: | Marge Schmuckal | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| To: | Eric Giles; Philip DiPierro | | |
| Date: | 7/23/2010 11:51 AM | | |
| Subject: | 50 Industrial Way - Allagash Brewing | | |

ţ

We have received a permit application to begin work on the new foundation - can a permit be issued? Marge

÷

General Building Permit Application

If you or the property owner owes real estate or personal property taxes or user charges on any property within the City, payment arrangements must be made before permits of any kind are accepted.

| Location/Address of Construction: 50 Industria, way Partland | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Total Square Footage of Proposed Structure/A 5200 #2 | rea Square Footage of Lot | | | | | |
| Tax Assessor's Chart, Block & Lot | Applicant * <u>must</u> be owner, Lessee or Buyer* | Telephone: | | | | |
| Chart# Block# Lot# 326 B S | Name Ros Tero | 27-8-8.5385 | | | | |
| | Address 50 Inouster War | - | | | | |
| | City, State & Zip Porsamo, Mr. | | | | | |
| Lessee/DBA (If Applicable) | Owner (if different from Applicant) C | ost Of 32,000 Dpw | | | | |
| | Name Por Too | | | | | |
| | Address (SEE Anove) C | of O Fee: \$ | | | | |
| | City, State & Zip | intri Envi 340 | | | | |
| | | otal Fee: # <u>0 10</u> | | | | |
| Current legal use (i.e. single family) Brew | ery | Hono | | | | |
| If vacant, what was the previous use? | | () | | | | |
| Proposed Specific use: <u>Drewery</u> | If we please name | | | | | |
| Is property part of a subdivision? It yes, please name | | | | | | |
| Revised additions that is Holi by Rock by | | | | | | |
| Diebery rooting that to rule of 130000 level and is similar to existing | | | | | | |
| building (rundarium My) DDW | | | | | | |
| Contractor's name: <u>Low</u> <u>Low</u> <u>Low</u> | | | | | | |
| Address: <u>L78 Warren Avenue</u> | | | | | | |
| City, State & Zip Partland, ME | <u>0410</u> Telep | phone: 207-797-5141 | | | | |
| Who should we contact when the permit is read | y: Gabr; elle Russell Telep | hone: 267-79.7-51411 | | | | |
| Mailing address: P.O. Box 667 Po | 14 me, 04103 | | | | | |

Please submit all of the information outlined on the applicable Checklist. Failure to do so will result in the automatic denial of your permit.

In order to be sure the City fully understands the full scope of the project, the Han ing and Nevetorment Department may request additional information prior to the issuance of a permit. For further information or to download copies of this form and other applications visit the Inspections Division on-line at <u>www.portlandmaine.gov</u>, or stop by the Inspections Division office, room 315 City Hall or call 874-8703.

I hereby certify that I am the Owner of record of the named property, or that the owner of record authorizes the proposed work and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized contrained to the authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized contrained to the authorized of the authorized in this application. In addition, if a permit for work described in this application of the permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by this permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provisions of the codes applicable to this permit.



This is not a permit; you may not commence ANY work until the permit is issue

withBobofA Date: 6/8/10 Applicant: AllAgash Brewn Address: 50 Industrin (WA) C-B-L: 326-B-9 #12-086) Expued Site fly Date -Zone Location - I-M ALIAG ASI Interior or corner lot -Brewery Ada Proposed Use Work - Addution for 5, 2007 Whe-house storage Asservage Disposal - C + 401/30 » use of 60'mi - 60'+ show Loi Street Frontage -Front Yard - 1'for every 1' & Bldghaught - 3500 min - 107 'Scaled Rear Yard - 25' min - 95' Scaled Side Yard - 25' min - 31,5' & 33' Scalid Projections -Width of Lot -=== Height - 75 hAX - Zstory Shown -36' fran Lowest to highest Not Area - 73/00 Tossessons - No min lotsize Feg Aven nor Family - A HA B, 268, 24 min genrous shows AT Last 35, 220 per 21 ptg Spcs Keg -22 Akg Spkg spaces Off-street Parking 156000 + 1,000 = 16 Pg Spaces Loading Bays - 1 Londy Dock - 14'x 50'- 1 londy Dock Show Site Plan - 1/10-79900008 (previous Approval lapsed Shoreland Zoning/Stream Protection -Flood Plains - Pinel 1 - Zne C min 10' parement setback reg. - is meet

STATEMENT OF SPECIAL **CONSTRUCTION MONITORING**

PROJECT: ALLAGASH BREWERY ADDITION 50 Industrial Way, Portland, Maine

PERMIT APPLICANT: APPLICANT'S ADDRESS: Langford and Low Inc 248 Warren Ave, Portland, ME

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OF RECORD

Associated Design Partners, Inc 80 Leighton Rd, Falmouth ME 04105

CONTRACTOR: Langford and Low Inc

This Statement of Special Construction Monitoring is submitted as a condition for building permit issuance in accordance with Section 1704.0 of the 2003 International Building Code. It includes the Schedule of Special Construction Monitoring and Testing as applicable to this project. Also included is a listing of agents and other approved agencies to be retained for conducting the monitoring and testing applicable to this project.

The Special Construction Monitoring Coordinator shall keep records of all observations listed herein, and shall furnish field reports to the Registered Design Professional of Record. All discrepancies shall be brought to the immediate attention of the Contractor for correction, and to the Registered Design Professional of Record. If the discrepancies are not corrected, the discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Registered Design Professional of Record. Interim reports shall be submitted to the Registered Design Professional of Record monthly. unless more frequent submissions are requested.

The Special Construction Monitoring program does not relieve the Contractor of his or her responsibilities. Job site safety is solely the responsibility of the Contractor. Materials and activities covered under the monitoring schedule are not to include the Contractor's equipment and methods used to erect or install the materials listed.

Prepared by:

Signature

Aaron S. Wilson (type or print name)

and sut

9-10-10

Date



RECEIVED SEP 10 2010

Dept. of Building Inspections City of Portland Maine

Owner's Authorization:

Building Official's Acceptance:

SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION MONITORING AGENTS

This Statement of Special Construction Monitoring / Quality Assurance Plan includes the following building systems:

| AGENT | FIRM | CONTACT INFORMATION |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| 1. Engineer of Record | Associated Design Partners | 80 Leighton Rd Falmouth ME 04105 Ph: 878-1751 |
| 2. Special Construction Monitoring Coordinato | Associated Design Partners | 80 Leighton Rd Falmouth ME 04105 Ph: 878-1751 |
| 3. Field Monitor | S.W. Cole | 286 Portland Road Gray, ME 04039-9586 P: (207) 657.2866 |
| 4. Testing Agency | S.W. Cole | 286 Portland Road Gray, ME 04039-9586 P: (207) 657.2866 |

Note: The testing agency shall be engaged by the Owner or the Owner's Agent, and not by the Contractor or Subcontractor whose work is to be inspected or tested. Any conflict of interest must be disclosed to the Building Official, prior to commencing work.

QUALITY ASSURANCE FOR LATERAL SYSTEMS

Quality Assurance for Seismic Requirements

| Seismic Design Category | B |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Quality Assurance Plan Required (Y/N) | N |

If seismic design category C, and plan is not required, explain (see exceptions to 1705.1)

Description of seismic force resisting system and designated seismic systems:

Ordinary Steel Moment Resisting Frames

Quality Assurance for Wind Requirements

| Basic Wind Speed (3 second gust) | 98MPH |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Quality Assurance Plan Required (Y/N) | N |

Description of wind force resisting system and designated wind resisting components: Ordinary Steel Moment Resisting Frames.

Statement of Responsibility

Each contractor responsible for the construction or fabrication of a system or component designated above must submit a Statement of Responsibility in accordance with section 1705.3, and 1706.3 of the 2003 IBC code.

The qualifications of all personnel performing Special Inspection and testing activities are subject to the approval of the Building Official. The credentials of all Inspectors and testing technicians shall be provided if requested.

Key for Minimum Qualifications of Inspection Agents:

*** ***

 $\mathcal{M}_{1} = \mathcal{M}_{1} \mathcal{M}_{2}$

where a man

When the Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge deems it appropriate that the individual performing a stipulated test or inspection have a specific certification or license as indicated below, such designation shall appear below the *Agency Number* on the Schedule.

- PE/SE
 Structural Engineer a licensed SE or PE specializing in the design of building structures

 PE/GE
 Geotechnical Engineer – a licensed PE specializing in soil mechanics and foundations
- EIT Engineer-In-Training a graduate engineer who has passed the Fundamentals of Engineering examination

American Concrete Institute (ACI) Certification

| ACI-CFTT | Concrete Field Testing Technician – Grade 1 |
|----------|---|
| ACI-CCI | Concrete Construction Inspector |
| ACI-LTT | Laboratory Testing Technician – Grade 1&2 |
| ACI-STT | Strength Testing Technician |

American Welding Society (AWS) Certification

AWS-CWICertified Welding InspectorAWS/AISC-SSICertified Structural Steel Inspector

American Society of Non-Destructive Testing (ASNT) Certification

ASNT Non-Destructive Testing Technician – Level II or III.

International Code Council (ICC) Certification

- ICC-SMSI Structural Masonry Special Inspector
- ICC-SWSI Structural Steel and Welding Special Inspector
- ICC-SFSI Spray-Applied Fireproofing Special Inspector
- ICC-PCSI Prestressed Concrete Special Inspector
- ICC-RCSI Reinforced Concrete Special Inspector

National Institute for Certification in Engineering Technologies (NICET)

- NICET-CT Concrete Technician Levels I, II, III & IV
- NICET-ST Soils Technician Levels I, II, III & IV
- NICET-GET Geotechnical Engineering Technician Levels I, II, III & IV

Exterior Design Institute (EDI) Certification

EDI-EIFS EIFS Third Party Inspector

| | TABLE 1 – SCHEDULE OF S | PECIAL CONSTRU | CTION MONITORING | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---------|-------------------|----------|
| MATERIA | L/ACTIVITY | EXTENT of MONITORING (Continuous, Periodic, Other, Exempt, None) | COMMENTS | AGENT # | DATE COMPLETED | REV # |
| 1704.3 STEEL CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | |
| 1. Material Verification of high strength bolts, nuts, and washers. | a. Identification markings to conform to ASTM standards specified in the approved construction documents. | Periodic | Provide inspection reports for field installed bolts to Agent 1 also. | 3 | | |
| | b. Manufacturers Certificate of Compliance required. | Other | Fabricator to provide Certificate to Agent 1. | 1 | | |
| 2. Inspection of High – Strength Bolting | a. Bearing type connections | Periodic | Provide inspection reports to Agent 1 also. | 3 | | |
| | b. Slip - critical connections | None | No S-C connections in building | | | |
| 3. Material Verification of structural steel | a. Identification marking to conform to ASTM standards specified in the contract documents. | Exempt | Fabricator is AISC certified. | | | |
| | Manufacturers certified mill test Reports. | Other | Fabricator to provide Certificate to Agent 1. | 1 | | |
| 4. Material Verification of weld filler materials: | a. Identification marking to conform to AWS standards specified in the contract documents. | Exempt | Fabricator is AISC certified. | | | |
| | b. Manufacturers Certificate of Compliance required. | Exempt | No field welding. Shop welding performed by AISC certified fabricator | | | |
| Inspection of Welding – Structural Steel | a. Single Pass fillet welds < 5/16" | Periodic | Inspect Field welds only. Shop welding performed by AISC certified fabricator | 3 | | |
| | b. Roof deck attachment | Periodic | Provide inspection reports to Agent 1 also. | 3 | | |
| Inspection of Steel Frame Joint details for compliance with approved | a. Bracing / moment frame connections | Periodic | Provide inspection reports to Agent 1 also. | 3 | | |
| documents. | b. Member locations | Periodic | Provide inspection reports to Agent 1 also. | 3 | | |
| | c. Application of joint details at each connection. | Periodic | Provide inspection reports to Agent 1 also. | 3 | | |
| | | | | | | _ |

| | TABLE 1 – STATEMEN | NT OF SPECIAL INSP | PECTIONS, cont. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------|-------------------|----------|
| MATERIAL/ACTIVITY | | EXTENT of INSPECTION (Continuous, Periodic, Other, None) | COMMENTS | AGENT # | DATE COMPLETED | REV # |
| 1704.4 CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION | 4 | | | | | |
| 1. Inspection of reinforcing steel, including placement. | | Periodic | | 1 | | |
| 2. Inspection of reinforcing steel welding | | None | No welding of rebar specified in contract drawings | | | |
| 3. Inspect bolts embedded into concrete where allowable loads have been inc | prior to and during placement of concrete reased. | None | Allowable loads have not been increased for lateral loads. | | | |
| 4. Verify concrete mix design(s) | | Periodic | SER to review and approve mix design(s) prior to delivery. | 1 | | |
| Sample fresh concrete for strength tests, perform slump and air content tests, and determine temperature of concrete. | | Continuous | | 3,4 | | |
| 6. Inspection of concrete placement for proper techniques. | | Continuous | | 3 | | |
| 7. Inspection for maintenance of specified curing temperature and techniques. | | Periodic | | 3 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1704.5 MASONRY CONSTRUCTION Level 1 Special Inspection for non-essen | - ntial facility — 1704.5.2 | | | | | |
| 1. As Masonry Construction begins, | a. Proportions of site-prepared mortar | None | | | | |
| the following shall be verified to | b. Construction of mortar joints | None | | | | |
| ensure conformance | c. Location of reinforcement | None | | | | |
| | d. Pre-stressing technique | None | No pre-stressing in building | | | |
| e. Grade and size of pre-stressing tendons. | | None | No pre-stressing in building | | | |
| 2. The Inspection program shall verify the following: | a. Size and location of structural elements. | None | | | | _ |
| b. Type, size, and location of embedded anchors. | | None | | | | |
| | c. Size, grade, and type of reinforcing | None | | | | _ |
| 1704.5MASONRY CONSTRUCTION - Level 1 Special Inspection for non-essential facility – 1704.5.2 | | | | | | |

| | TABLE 1 – STATEMEN | NT OF SPECIAL INSP | ECTIONS, cont. | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|
| MATERIAL/ACTIVITY | | EXTENT of INSPECTION (Continuous, Periodic, Other, None) | COMMENTS | AGENT # | DATE COMPLETED | REV # |
| 2. The Inspection program shall verify | d. welding of reinforcing bars | None | | | | |
| the following, cont: | e. Protection of Masonry during cold weather (temp. below 40 deg F.) | None | | | | |
| | f. Application and measurement of pre- stressing reinforcement | None | No pre-stressing in building | | | |
| 3. Prior to grouting, the following | a. Grout space is clean | None | | | | |
| shall be verified to ensure | b. Placement of reinforcement | None | | | | |
| compliance. | c. Proportions of site-prepared grout | None | | | | |
| | d. Construction of mortar joints | None | | | | |
| Grout placement shall be verified to e construction document provisions. | nsure compliance with code and | None | | | | |
| Preparation of any grout specimens, n be observed | nortar specimens and/or prisms shall | None | | | | |
| Compliance with required inspection provisions of the construction documents and the approved submittals shall be verified. | | None | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1704.6WOOD CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | |
| 1. Horizontal Diaphragms and Vertical Shearwalls a. Inspect sheathing size, grade, and thickness for conformance with construction documents. b. Inspect sheathing fastener size and pattern for conformance with construction documents. | | None | | | | |
| | | None | | | | |
| c. Verify attachment to supporting elements is per contract documents. | | None | | | | |
| 2. Wood truss fabricator certification / Verify shop fabrication and quality guality control procedures / control procedures for wood truss plant. | | None | | | | |
| 3. Material Grading Verify material grading for sawn lumber for compliance with construction documents. Verify manufactured lumber (LVL'S, PSL's) for conformance with construction documents. | | None | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 1704.6WOOD CONSTRUCTION | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|------|--|
| 4. Wood Connections | Verify that connections are made as shown in the contract documents. For connections not specifically detailed, verify conformance with IBC 2003 Ch. 23 | None | | | |
| 5. Framing | Verify that framing is installed in accordance with construction documents. | None | | | |
| 6. Pre-Fabricated Wood Trusses | Inspect truss and all bracing installation. Bracing to be installed per fabricator's recommendations and BCSI 1-03 | None | | | |
| 1704.7SOILS | | | <u> </u> | | |
| 1. Site Preparation | Inspect preparation of site for conformance with Geotechnical recommendations prior to placement of prepared fill. | Periodic | | 3 | |
| 2. Fill Placement | During Fill Placement verify that material and lift thickness comply with approved Geotechnical report. | Periodic | | 3 | |
| 3. In-Place Soil Density | Verify compliance of in-place compacted dry density with approved Geotechnical report. | Periodic | | 3 | |
| 1704.7PILE FOUNDATIONS | Record installation and testing of procedures of each pile. Submit reports to building official and EOR. Reports to include pile tip cutoff elevation relative to a common benchmark. | None | No Piles on Job | | |
| 1704.10 ARCHITECTURAL WALL PANELS AND VENEERS | Verify compliance of attachment of interior and exterior Architectural veneers to supporting structure for building in Seismic Design Category E or F. | None | Building is Seismic Design Category B | | |
| 1704.11 SPRAYED FIRE- | a. Verify conformance of the prepared | None | No Sprayed Fire-Resistant | ┼─── | |
| RESISTANT MATERIAL | surface with manufacturer's specifications prior to application of material. | | material in building. | | |
| | b. Verify that substrate's ambient temperature meet manufacturer's specifications. | None | | | |
| | c. Verify that material thickness meets design specifications. | None | | | |
| | d. Verify that the material density meets the design specifications. Test in | None | | | |

| accordance with ASTM E 605. | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|
| e. Verify that bond strength between material and substrate is greater than or equal to 150 psf. Test in accordance with ASTM E 736 and IBC 2003 1704.11.5.1 - 1704.11.5.2 | None | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Verify conformance of EFIS installation with manufacturers and design specifications. | None | No EIFS on building. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Verify member size, thickness, material, and spacing is in accordance with design specifications and drawings. | None | | | | |
| Verify that member connections are in accordance with design specifications and drawings. | None | | | | |
| Verify welding of cold formed members is in accordance with design specifications and AWS standards. | None | | | | |
| Verify that light gage trusses are design in accordance with the loads specified on the contract documents. | None | | | | |
| b. Verify that light gage trusses and truss bracing is installed per manufacturers specifications, contract documents, and BCSI 1-03 guidelines. | None | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Test ductwork for leakage and recode device locations prior to concealment of mechanical systems. | None | | | | |
| b. Prior to building occupation, perform pressure difference testing, flow measurements and detection, and control monitoring. | None | | | | |
| | accordance with ASTM E 605. e. Verify that bond strength between material and substrate is greater than or equal to 150 psf. Test in accordance with ASTM E 736 and IBC 2003 1704.11.5.1 – 1704.11.5.2 Verify conformance of EFIS installation with manufacturers and design specifications. Verify member size, thickness, material, and spacing is in accordance with design specifications and drawings. Verify that member connections are in accordance with design specifications and drawings. Verify welding of cold formed members is in accordance with design specifications and AWS standards. a. Verify that light gage trusses are design in accordance with the loads specified on the contract documents. b. Verify that light gage trusses and truss bracing is installed per manufacturers specifications, contract documents, and BCSI 1-03 guidelines. a. Test ductwork for leakage and recode device locations prior to concealment of mechanical systems. b. Prior to building occupation, perform pressure difference testing, flow measurements and detection, and control monitoring. | accordance with ASTM E 605. None e. Verify that bond strength between material and substrate is greater than or equal to 150 psf. Test in accordance with ASTM E 736 and IBC 2003 1704.11.5.1 – 1704.11.5.2 None Verify conformance of EFIS installation with manufacturers and design specifications. None Verify member size, thickness, material, and spacing is in accordance with design specifications and drawings. None Verify that member connections are in accordance with design specifications and drawings. None Verify welding of cold formed members is in accordance with the loads specified on the contract documents. None Verify that light gage trusses and truss bracing is installed per manufacturers specifications, contract documents, and BCSI 1-03 guidelines. None a. Test ductwork for leakage and recode device locations prior to concealment of mechanical systems. None b. Prior to building occupation, perform pressure difference testing, flow measurements and detection, and control monitoring. None | accordance with ASTM E 605. None e. Verify that bond strength between material and substrate is greater than or equal to 150 psf. Test in accordance with ASTM E 736 and IBC 2003 1704.11.5.1 – 1704.11.5.2 None Verify conformance of EFIS installation with manufacturers and design specifications. None No EIFS on building. Verify member size, thickness, material, and spacing is in accordance with design specifications and drawings. None None Verify member size, thickness, material, and spacing is in accordance with design specifications and drawings. None None Verify that light age trustees are design is accordance with design specifications and drawings. None None Verify that light age trustees are design is accordance with design specifications and drawings. None None a. Verify that light age trustees are design in accordance with the loads specifications and AWS standards. None None a. Verify that light age trustees are design in accordance with the loads specifications proint accordance with the loads specifications proint to concealment, and BCSI 1-03 guidelines. None None a. Test ductwork for leakage and recode device locations proint to concealment of mechanical systems. None None b. Prior to building occupation, perform pressure difference testing, flow measurements and detection, and control monitoring. None None b | accordance with ASTM E 605. None e. Verify that bond strength between material and substrate is greater than or equal to 150 psf. Test in accordance with ASTM E 736 and IBC 2003 1704.11.5.1 – 1704.11.5.2 None Verify conformance of EFIS installation with manufacturers and design specifications. None No EIFS on building. Verify member size, thickness, material, and spacing is in accordance with design specifications and drawings. None None Verify member size, thickness, material, and spacing is in accordance with design specifications and drawings. None Image: Control of Contro | accordance with ASTM E 605. |

.

ياريا الشرائي بعاقدته المعورة المر

50

-1 ×1-

 en appointed and

· ·

2 - Lain.



RECEIVED SEP 10 2010 Dapt. of Building inspections City of Portland Maine

sebagatechnics.com

One Chebot Street P.O. Box 1339 Westbrook, Maine 04098-1339 Ph. 207-856-0277 Fax 856-2206

March 15, 2006 .02249

ليقت بذلاله المربح ومراجع أحراكم فيقر

mar W. W. W. She S. C.

Mr. Rob Tod Allagash Brewing 100 Industrial Way Portland, ME 04103

Report on Subsurface and Foundation Investigation Proposed Office and Production /Warehouse Facility, Portland, Maine

Dear Rob:

This report presents the results of our subsurface and foundation investigation for your proposed Office and Production/Warehouse Facility in Portland, Maine. These services were provided in accordance with our proposal dated January 19, 2006.

In summary, it is our opinion that the facility may be supported on spread and continuous footings bearing on the undisturbed, naturally deposited clay, or on compacted structural fill placed after removal of unsuitable soils or for raises-in-grade. In addition, an earth-supported slab-on-grade may be used for the ground floor. Specific recommendations regarding foundation design and construction considerations are presented below.

Introduction

The site is located immediately west of your existing facility on Industrial Way in Portland. The site is presently moderately wooded, and ground surface elevations within the limits of the building vary from approximately El. 76 to El. 82. The proposed facility will consist of an approximately 17,000-square foot building consisting of offices and production and warehouse space. Ground (lowest) floor will be at El. 83.5. The production and warehouse area will be single-story with high ceiling, and the office area will be two stories. We understand that floor loads resulting from equipment and product weights within the facility vary from approximately 27 pounds per square foot (psf) to 377 psf. A raise-in-grade of up to 6 feet will be required in the northeast corner.

Subsurface Explorations

On February 9 and 10, 2006, Maine Test Borings, Inc. (MTB) of Brewer, Maine drilled four borings, B1 to B4, at the site at locations shown on Sheet 1, Subsurface Exploration Plan. MTB drilled the borings to depths below ground surface varying from 22.0 feet to 32.0 feet. Sebago Technics, Inc. monitored the borings and prepared the logs included in Appendix A. Table I summarizes the results of borings. MTB backfilled the borings with the drilled material. Mr. Tod

Borings were drilled using 2.5-inch inside diameter hollow stem auger borings. Samples were recovered at 5 foot intervals. Standard Penetration Resistance (N) was measured at each sample interval in accordance with ASTM Test D1586.

Sebago Technics, Inc. determined the locations of borings by survey methods. We determined the ground surface elevations at borings by linear interpolation between ground surface contours at the plotted locations.

The boring logs and related information depict the subsurface conditions and water levels encountered at the locations and during the times indicated on the logs. Subsurface conditions at other locations may differ from those encountered in the test borings. The passage of time may result in a change in groundwater conditions at the exploration.

Subsurface Conditions

The borings encountered three principal soil units at the site: topsoil, marine clay and marine sand. Encountered thickness and generalized descriptions of the strata encountered are presented below in order of increasing depth below ground surface. Due to the complexity of the deposition process, strata thickness will vary and may be absent at specific locations.

Topsoil - Topsoil consists of very soft, dark brown to brown sandy SILT (ML) with roots. Encountered thickness varies from 0.5 foot to 1.0 foot.

Marine Clay – Marine clay consists of medium stiff to very stiff, olive brown to gray lean CLAY (CL) with frequent sand partings. Encountered thickness varies from 9.4 feet to 19.6 feet.

Marine Sand – Marine sand consists of loose to medium dense, brown to tan poorly-grade SAND (SP). Borings penetrated up to 16.9 feet into the marine sand.

Water was only observed in B3 at a depth of 24.5 feet below ground surface. Observation of water was made over a relatively short period of time and may not represent the stabilized groundwater level. It is likely that water is perched on the top of the clay stratum during wet periods of the year. In addition, water levels at the site will vary with season, precipitation, temperature and construction activity in the area. Therefore, water levels during and following construction will vary from those measured in the borings.

Strength and Compressibility Characteristics of Clay Stratum

The stress history of the clay deposit, as developed from correlations with shear strength of similar clays in the area, is summarized on Figure 1. The undrained shear strength of the clay stratum was estimated from correlations with the Standard Penetration Resistance, N, measured at sample intervals. Correlations of shear strength vary from 1,000 psf to 2,500 psf. The stress history of the deposit was estimated by comparing the estimated undrained shear strength with correlations for strength and stress history of clay from other projects with similar conditions.

-2-

The stress-strain or compressibility characteristics (settlement) of clays are highly dependent upon their stress history. If clay is stressed within the limits of the maximum previous stress, σ_{vm} , the strain (settlement) will be a function of the recompression ratio (RR) of the clay. If the applied stress exceeds the maximum previous stress, the strain will be proportional to the virgin compression ratio (CR). The compression ratio is typically 10 to 15 times the recompression ratio.

The stress history and appropriate compression ratios were estimated for the clay deposit as discussed above. The correlations indicate that the deposit is significantly overconsolidated; that is, the existing overburden stress is considerably less than the maximum previous stress. The deposit likely became overconsolidated due to desiccation (drying) resulting from a lowering of the groundwater level at some time in the geologic past which created a stiff upper crust and also increased the effective overburden stress throughout the stratum.

Recommendations for Foundation Design

Recommended Foundation Type and Design Criteria

The existing topsoil is not considered suitable for support of the building or floor slab. All topsoil should be removed from within the building limits. In our opinion, the building may be supported on spread and continuous footings bearing on undisturbed, naturally-deposited clay, or on compacted structural fill placed after removal of unsuitable soil or for raises-in-grade. Interior walls may be supported on footings or thickened portions of the floor slab.

For uniformity, footings may be proportioned for an allowable bearing stress in pounds per square foot (psf) equal to 1,000 multiplied by the least lateral dimension of the footing in feet, up to 3,000 psf. All footings should be a minimum of 2.0 feet wide.

Exterior footings should be founded at least 4.5 feet below the lowest adjacent ground surface exposed to freezing. Interior footings should be founded a minimum of 1.5 feet below the ground floor slab.

Compacted structural fill supporting footings should extend laterally from the footings to at least the limits defined by 1 horizontal to 1 vertical lines sloped outward and downward from points located at least 2 feet horizontally beyond the bottom edges of the footings.

In order to consider foundations bearing above the clay stratum, we estimated the settlement of the clay resulting from the increased stress from the raise-in-grade, equipment and product weights, and building loads. We estimated the stress history of the clay stratum by correlating the undrained shear strength with that from other projects in the area. We estimate that the total settlement of the building will be on the order of 1.0 inch or less, with differential settlement less than 0.5 inch in 40 feet. We estimate that approximately 20 percent of this settlement will occur during the construction period and the remainder will be long-term settlement occurring over 10 years. We anticipate that settlement of this magnitude is acceptable. However, the structural engineer should determine final acceptability of settlement.

Ground Floor Slab

We recommend that the lowest level floor slab for the building be designed as an earth-supported, slab-on-grade bearing on a minimum 6 inches of compacted structural fill. All topsoil should be removed from within the building limits prior to placing fill. All fill placed below the floor slab for raises-in-grade should consist of compacted structural fill. Normal dampproofing and vapor barriers should be provided below the slab.

We recommend a modulus of subgrade reaction of 250 pounds per cubic inch for slab design.

Seismic Design Considerations

We recommend that the building be designed in accordance with the seismic requirements of the latest edition of the International Building Code, the site classification is Class D; the site response coefficient F_* is 1.5 for a short period spectral response acceleration S_* of 0.37g; the site response coefficient F_* is 2.4 for the 1-second period spectral response acceleration S_1 of 0.10g. The subgrade soils are not considered liquefaction susceptible.

Lateral Foundation Loads

We recommend that lateral loads be resisted by bottom friction on footings and that a coefficient of friction equal to 0.35 be used for footings. If this does not provide sufficient lateral resistance, we will consider the problem in more detail to take into account other factors.

Backfill Materials

Structural fill used below foundations and floor slabs and for backfill adjacent to walls should consist of sandy gravel to gravelly sand. It should be free of organic material, loam, trash, snow, ice, frozen soil and other objectionable material, and should conform to the following gradation:

| Sieve Size | Percent Finer by Weight |
|------------|-------------------------|
| 6 inches | 00 |
| No. 4 | 30 to 90 |
| No. 40 | 10 to 50 |
| No. 200 | to 8 |

Compacted structural fill should be placed in layers not exceeding eight inches in loose measure and compacted by self-propelled vibratory equipment at the approximate optimum moisture content to a dry density of at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined in accordance with ASTM Test Designation D1557. In confined areas, the maximum particle size should be reduced to 3 inches and the loose layer thickness should be reduced to 6 inches and compaction performed by hand-guided vibratory equipment.

Mr. Tod

Compacted structural fill on the outside of the foundation walls should extend laterally a minimum of 2 feet from the wall. Backfill beyond this limit may consist of common fill. The top 12 inches of fill on the exterior of the building should consist of low permeability material or bituminous concrete pavement to minimize water infiltration next to the building. Grading should provide for runoff away from the building.

Common fill may consist of inorganic mineral soil that can be placed in layers and compacted. Common fill should be placed and spread in layers not exceeding 12 inches in thickness and compacted with a minimum of two systematic passes of the equipment placing the fill.

Pavement Section

We recommend the following pavement sections for roads and parking areas:

Roads and Automobile Parking Areas

3 in. bituminous concrete, placed in two layers

3 in. screened or crushed gravel base course

12 in. sand or gravel subbase course

Base and subbase course materials should conform to the following gradations:

<u>Base Course</u>

<u>Screened or Crushed Gravel</u> (Maine DOT Standard Specification, Highways and Bridges; Section 703.06a, Type A)

| Sieve Size | Percent Finer by Weight |
|------------|-------------------------|
| 2 inches | 100 |
| 1/2 inch | 45 to 70 |
| 1/4 inch | 30 to 55 |
| No. 40 | 0 to 20 |
| No. 200 | 0 to 5 |

<u>Subbase Course</u>

Sand or Gravel (Maine DOT, Section 703.06b, Type D)

| Sieve Size | Percent Finer by Weight |
|------------|-------------------------|
| 4 inches | 100 |
| 1/4 inch | 25 to 70 |
| No. 40 | 0 to 30 |
| No. 200 | 0 to 7 |

(Note: Type D aggregate should be modified to a maximum 4 inch size. Compacted structural fill may be substituted for gravel subbase course, but the maximum particle size should be reduced to 4 inches.)

All topsoil should be removed from within the limits of pavement.

Subbase course material should be placed in maximum 8-inch thick loose lifts and compacted at approximately optimum moisture content to a dry density of at least 95 percent of maximum dry density, as determined in accordance with ASTM Test Designation D1557. Base course material should be placed in one lift and compacted with a minimum of two coverages with self-propelled vibratory compaction equipment.

It should be noted that the subgrade soils may be frost-susceptible. Therefore, pavement roughness due to non-uniform frost movement may occur. To eliminate such non-uniform frost movement would require approximately 4.5 feet of structural fill subbase. However, it is common practice to tolerate seasonal movement to avoid the high cost of the added thickness of subbase.

Construction Considerations

<u>General</u>

The primary purpose of this section of the report is to comment on items related to excavation, earthwork, and related geotechnical aspects of proposed construction. It is written primarily for the engineer having responsibility for preparation of plans and specifications. Since it identifies potential construction problems related to foundations and earthwork, it will also aid personnel who monitor the construction activity. Contractors for this project must evaluate the construction problems on the basis of their own knowledge and experience in the Portland, Maine area and on the basis of similar projects in other localities, taking into account their proposed construction methods, procedures, equipment and personnel.

Excavation, Lateral Support and Control of Water

We anticipate that foundation excavation can be accomplished with sloped open excavation through the overburden soils provided safe side slopes can be maintained. Some sloughing and raveling should be anticipated in temporary slopes. Temporary excavations should be made in accordance with all OSHA and other applicable regulatory agency requirements.

We anticipate that groundwater may be encountered at proposed subgrade level or bearing level of footings. Open pumping from sumps can likely control groundwater. In general, the contractor should control groundwater and water from runoff and other sources by methods which prevent disturbance of bearing surfaces or adjacent soils and allow construction in-the-dry.

Subgrade Preparation

The subgrade soil is susceptible to disturbance from construction traffic. Equipment and personnel should not be permitted to travel across exposed footing bearing surfaces or exposed slab subgrades. Any subgrade areas that are disturbed should be recompacted or excavated and replaced with compacted structural fill prior to placing concrete. Subgrades should be protected against freezing temperatures if exposed during construction. Final excavation to subgrade should be performed using equipment with smooth-edge buckets.

Mr. Tod

Construction Monitoring

The foundation recommendations contained herein are based on the known and predictable behavior of a properly engineered and constructed foundation. Monitoring of the foundation construction is required to enable the geotechnical engineer to keep in contact with procedures and techniques used in construction. Therefore, we recommend that a person qualified by training and experience be present to provide monitoring at the site during preparation of foundation bearing surfaces and placement of compacted structural fill.

Limitations of Recommendations

This report has been prepared for specific application to the subject project in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. In the event that any changes in the nature, design or location of the building are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report should not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and the conclusions of this report modified or verified in writing.

The recommendations presented herein are based in part on the data obtained from the referenced test borings. The nature and extent of variations between the explorations may not become evident until construction. If variations then appear evident, it will be necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report.

We request that we be provided the opportunity for a general review of final design and specifications in order to determine that our earthwork and foundation recommendations have been interpreted and implemented in the design and specifications as they were intended.

It has been a pleasure to work with you on this project. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

Kenneth L. Recker, P.E. Geotechnical Engineering Manager

KLR:klr/jc

Enclosures:

| Table I | - Summary of Test Borings |
|------------|-------------------------------|
| Sheet 1 | - Subsurface Exploration Plan |
| Appendix A | - Logs of Test Borings |



ZONING ADMINISTRATOR MARGE SCHMUCKAL

June 16, 2010

I have reviewed the addition for a warehouse for Allagash Brewing. The property is located within an I-M Industrial Zone which allows the uses proposed and existing.

All the I-M Zone requirements are being met, including setbacks, building height, parking and maximum impervious surface requirements.

Separate permits are required for building permits and any new signage.

| THE SURGAN THE |
|----------------|
| ATLAT |

Certificate of Design Application

| VATLAS | A A | 2 | |
|--|--|------------------------|--|
| From Designer: | Hissoriumo Vesion 1. | METNERS INC | |
| Date: | 7/16/10 | | |
| lob Name: | ALLAGASH BREWERY | ADDITTON_ | |
| Address of Constructi | on: | | |
| | 2003 International Construction project was designed to the | Building Code | ria listed below: |
| Building Code & Year / | BC 2006 Use Group Classification | n (s) | |
| Type of Construction | | | |
| Will the Structure have a F | ire suppression system in Accordance with S | Section 903.3.1 of the | 2003 IRC |
| Is the Structure mixed use | ? If yes, separated or non separated | arated or non separate | d (section 302.3) |
| Supervisory alarm System? | Geotechnical/Soils report r | equired? (See Section | 1802.2) |
| Structural Design Calou | lations | NA | Live load reduction |
| Submitte | for all structured members (106.1 _ 106.11) | 20858 | $\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}$ |
| | i for all structural memoris (100.1 – 100.11) | 42,050 | Roof snow loads (1603.7.3, 1608) |
| Design Loads on Constr Uniformly distributed floor li | ruction Documents (1603) | CoupsE | Ground snow load. Pr (1608.2) |
| Floor Area Use | Loads Shown | 42psF | If $P_g > 10$ psf, flat-roof snow load $_{Pf}$ |
| WHILE NOUS & | 125psF | 1.0 | If $P_g > 10$ psf, snow exposure factor, G_i |
| | | 1.0 | If $Pg > 10$ psf, snow load importance factor, |
| | | 1.0 | Roof thermal factor, _G (1608.4) |
| | | N 1A | Sloped roof snowload, _{Pi} (1608.4) |
| Wind loads (1603.1.4, 160 | 99) | B | Seismic design category (1616.3) |
| CE METH Design op | tion utilized (1609.1.1, 1609.6) | <u>OSMR</u> F | Basic seismic force resisting system (1617.6.2) |
| <u>76</u> Basic wind | speed (1809.3) | 3.5 | Response modification coefficient, _{Rt} and |
| Building ca | table 1604.5, 1609.5) | ··· · · ··· | deflection amplification factor _{G} (1617.6.2) |
| Wind expo | sure category (1609.4) | ELF, | Analysis procedure (1616.6, 1617.5) |
| the Component | and cladding pressures (1609.1.1, 1609.6.2.2) | 23.5- | Design base shear (1617.4, 16175.5.1) |
| +(-20 Main force w | vind pressures (7603.1.1, 1609.6.2.1) | Flood loads (2 | 1803.1.6, 1612) |
| Earth design data (1603. | 1.5, 1614-1623) | NH RZ FFT | Flood Hazard area (1612.3) |
| ELF Design opt | tion utilized (1614.1) | <u></u> | Elevation of structure |
| <u>I</u> Seismic use | e group ("Category") | Other loads | |
| <u>13/4 / 0, 19</u> Spectral re: | sponse coefficients, SDs & SD1 (1615.1) | <u>NA</u> | Concentrated loads (1607.4) |
| Site class (1 | 615.1.5) | <u></u> | Partition loads (1607.5) |
| | | <u></u> | Misc. loads (Table 1607.8, 1607.6.1, 1607.7, 1607.12, 1607.13, 1610, 1611, 2404 |



Certificate of Design

Date:

From:

ASSOLITED DESULA PATTALINS INC.

These plans and / or specifications covering construction work on:

HUNGASH BRENERY ADDITION

Have been designed and drawn up by the undersigned, a Maine registered Architect / Engineer according to the 2003 International Building Code and local amendments.



| | /// | | - r // | |
|------------|-----|---|--------|--|
| Signature: | Ula | 2 | un | |

ENGINEER Title:

Firm:

ASSULTATED DESIGN PRETALINS / NC

Address: <u>W (Q4HTON RI)</u>

FALMONTH ME 04105

5

Phone: <u>207 878 (757</u>

For more information or to download this form and other permit applications visit the Inspections Division on our website at www.portlandmaine.gov



Accessibility Building Code Certificate

Designer:

ASSUCIATED DUSION PARAMERS INC

Address of Project:

Nature of Project:

The technical submissions covering the proposed construction work as described above have been designed in compliance with applicable referenced standards found in the Maine Human Rights Law and Federal Americans with Disability Act. Residential Buildings with 4 units or more must conform to the Federal Fair Housing Accessibility Standards. Please provide proof of compliance if



| | - | | , , |
|-------------|----|--------|-------|
| | | \leq | l i k |
| no trate or | an | | 00. |

Signature: _

Firm:

ENGINEER Title:

HESULIATED DEBIGE PARTINES INC

Address: BU LEIGHTON PD

FALMENTON ME 04105

20 878 (751

Phone:

For more information or to download this form and other permit applications visit the Inspections Division on our website at www.portlandmaine.gov

4



Land to the second second

Carlo and a second

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE

Department of Building Inspections

Original Receipt

| | 7:21 20/0 |
|--|--|
| Received from | Dan williams /Langle |
| Location of Work | SoIn Way. |
| Cost of Construction \$ | Building Fee: |
| Permit Fee \$ | Site Fee: |
| | Certificate of Occupancy Fee: |
| and the second | Total: 340 |
| Building (II.) Plumbir | ig (15) Electrical (12) Site Plan (U2) |
| cher | <u> </u> |
| Check #: | Total Collected s 340 |

No work is to be started until permit issued. Please keep original receipt for your records.

J. 1). Taken by:

WHITE - Applicant's Copy YELLOW - Office Copy PINK - Permit Copy



• • •





City of Portland Development Review Application Planning Division Transmittal form

4/9/10

| Application Number: | 10-79900008 | Application Date: | June 8, 2010 |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|
| Project Name: Address: | BUILDING ADDITIC 50 Industrial Way | DN CBL: 326 - B- | 009-001 |
| Project Description: | Industrial Way - 50; Building Addition; Allagash Brewing | | |
| Zoning: | IM | | |
| Other Reviews Required: | MINOD SITTE DI ANI | | |
| Applicant: | MINOR SHE PLAN | | |
| Poh Tod | | | |

Rob Tod 50 Industrial Way Portland Me 04103

Agent/Representative: Paul Ureneck

1 Canal Plaza Portland Mc 04101

| | Distribution List: | | | _ |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | Planner | Eric Giles, Aicp | Parking | John Peverada |
| | ZoningAdministrator | Marge Schmuckal | Design Review | Alex Jaegerman |
| [| Traffic | Tom Errico | Corporation Counsel | Danielle West-Chuhta |
| | Stormwater | Dan Goyette | Sanitary Sewer | John Emerson |
| Į | Fire Department | Keith Gautreau | Inspections | Tammy Munson |
| | City Arborist | Jeff Tarling | Historic Preservation | Deb Andrews |
| F | Engineering | David Margolis- | Outside Agency | |
| l | | Pineo | <u> </u> | |
| | | | DRC Coordinator | Phil DiPierro |

Preliminary Comments needed by: Wednesday, June 16, 2010 Final Comments needed by: Wednesday, June 23, 2010



One Canal Plaza, Suile 500 Portland, ME 04101

> T 207 871.1290 F 207.772.2647

> > www.baulos.com

June 3, 2010

Barbara Barhydt Development Review Services Manager City of Portland Planning Department 389 Congress St Portland, Me. 04101

RE: Minor Site Plan Review Application, Allagash Brewing Company

Dear Barbara:

On behalf of Allagash Brewing Company I am pleased to submit 7 copies on the enclosed plans, associated information for a minor site plan review and the application fee of \$400.00. For your reference, a site plan application of the existing building was submitted in November of 2005, approval was received in 2006, and construction was completed in 2007. Subsequent to that approval an approval to build the 5,200 square foot addition was received in February of 2009. That approval, however, expired in February of 2010, hence, our submission for re approval. Based on Allagash's continued growth the building addition continues to be necessary. The proposed use of the addition remains that of a commercial brewery for the production and distribution of beer.

Utilities including water, sewer, telephone, electric and gas are currently serving the site via Industrial Way. No additional utility services are required for the expansion. Storm water runoff generated by the site will be directed to and collected by the existing detention basin, which was designed to accommodate all runoff from the proposed development. The detention basin released the storm water through an outlet control structure, which ensures that the post development peak discharge rates are below pre development rates.

The proposed expansion will not require alteration of existing wetlands. Additionally, the scope of this project does not require a storm water permit form the Maine Department of Environmental Protection.



CB M Richard Ellis

Boulos Property Management

Attached for your review are the requirement documents that were submitted with the formerly approved application. I have included an updated financial capacity letter from Bath Savings Bank and also the City of Portland Wastewater Capacity Application which is part of the new application process.

Should you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you.

")renert

Paul Ureneck Vice President Project Management

Cc: Rob Tod, Allagash Brewing Company



Zip:

2

Work #:

Cell #:

Fax #:

Home:

E-mail:

3

Development Review Application PORTLAND, MAINE

Department of Planning and Urban Development, Planning Division and Planning Board

| PROJECT NAME: | | Allagash Brewing | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| PROPOSED D | EVELOPMENT A | DDRESS: | | |
| <u></u> | | 50 Industria | <u>l Way Portl</u> | and Me |
| PROJECT DES | SCRIPTION: | | | |
| | | <u>5200 sf buil</u> | <u>ding addi</u> ti | on |
| CHART/BLOG | CK/LOT: | 326/B/9 | | |
| CONTACT IN | FORMATION: | | | |
| <u>APPLICAN</u> Name: | T Rob_Tod | | <u>PROPERTY</u> Name: | OWNER Same |
| Address: | 50 Industri <u>al</u> | Way | Address: | |
| Zin Code | Portland Me | | | |
| Work #: | 878 5385 | | Work #: _ | |
| Cell #: | 450 4274 | | Cell #: | |
| Fax #: | | | Fax #: | |
| Home: | | | Home: | |
| E-mail: | TODEOGCATTARAS | | E-mail: | |
| <u>BILLING A</u> Name: | ADDRESS Same | | | |

~As applicable, please include additional contact information on the next page~

Dept of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 5 -

_____**_**____

______**____**____

AGENT/REPRESENTATIVE Name: Paul Urepeck

| •••••• | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|
| Address: | <u>CBRE The Boulos Co</u> | |
| | 1 Canal Plaza Portland | |
| Zip Code: | 04101 | |
| Work #: | 871 1290 | |
| Cell #: | 233 1172 | |
| Fax #: | 772 2647 | |
| Home: | | |
| E-mail: | pureneck@houles.com | |

ENGINEER Sebago Technics, Inc Name: PO Box 1339 Address: Westbrook, Me. 04098 Zip Code: _ Work #: 856 0277 Cell #: 856 2206 Fax #: Home: rmeek@sebagotechnics.com E-mail: Richard Meek

ARCHITECT

5

٩

| Name: | n/a |
|-----------|-----|
| Address: | |
| | |
| Zip Code: | |
| Work #: | |
| Cell #: | |
| Fax #: | · |
| Home: | |
| E-mail: | |

| Name: | Associated Design Partners Inc |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| Address: | 80 Leighton Rd |
| | Falmouth Me |
| Zip Code: | 04105 |
| Work #: | 878 1751 |
| Cell #: | |
| Fax #: | 878_1788 |
| Home: | |
| E-mail: | adp@adpengineering_com |

CONSULTANT

ATTORNEY SURVEYOR Rob Ruesch Sebago Technics Inc Name: Name: Verrill Dana Address: See Engineer section Address: IPortland Square -Portland Me 04101 Zip Code: Zip Code: _____ 774 4000 Work #: Work #: ······ Cell #: Cell #: _____ 774 7499 Fax #: Fax #: _____ Home: Home: E-mail: rreusch@verrilldana.com E-mail:
PROJECT DATA

The following information is required where applicable, in order complete the application

Ń

T

z

| Total Site Area | 73073 | sq. ft. |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Proposed Total Disturbed Area of the Site | 7000 | sq. ft. |
| (If the proposed disturbance is greater than one acre, then the app | licant shall apply for a M | aine Construction |
| General Permit (MCGP) with DEP and a Stormwater Managemen | t Permit, Chapter 500, wi | ith the City of Portland) |
| | | ,, |
| IMPERVIOUS SURFACE AREA | | α |
| Proposed Total Paved Area | | _ sq. ft. |
| Existing Total Impervious Area | ······································ | sq ft. |
| Proposed Total Impervious Area | | sq. ft. |
| Proposed Impervious Net Change | <u> </u> | _sq. ft. (|
| BUILDING AREA | | |
| Existing Building Footprint | _11700 | _ sq. ft. |
| Proposed Building Footprint | 16900 | sq. ft. |
| Proposed Building Footprint Net change | 5200 | _ sq. ft. |
| Existing Total Building Floor Area | 11700 | _ sq. ft. |
| Proposed Total Building Floor Area | | _ sq. ft. |
| Proposed Building Floor Area Net Change | 5200 | _ sq. ft. |
| New Building | <u>yes (addition)</u> | (yes or no) |
| ZONING | | |
| Existing | _ <u>IM</u> | _ |
| Proposed, if applicable | <u>_Same</u> | _ |
| LAND USE | | |
| Existing | · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | _ |
| Proposed | | _ |
| RESIDENTIAL, IF APPLICABLE | | |
| Proposed Number of Affordable Housing Units | <u>N/A</u> | _ |
| Proposed Number of Residential Units to be Demolished | | _ |
| Existing Number of Residential Units | | - |
| Proposed Number of Residential Units | | _ |
| Subdivision, Proposed Number of Lots | | _ |
| PARKING SPACES | | |
| Existing Number of Patking Spaces | | _ |
| Proposed Number of Parking Spaces | | - |
| Number of Handicapped Parking Spaces | <u>_l</u> | _ |
| Proposed Total Parking Spaces | | - |
| BICYCLE PARKING SPACES | | |
| Existing Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces | | - |
| Proposed Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces | | _ |
| Total Bicycle Parking Spaces | | _ |
| <u>Бетімитећ сост од вројест</u> | \$650 000 00 | |
| ESTIMATED COST OF PROJECT | $-\phi 0 J 0 \cdot 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0$ | - |

Please answer the following with a Yes/No response on all that apply to the proposed development

| Institutional | <u> </u> | Change of Use | <u>n</u> |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Parking Lot | <u> </u> | Design Review | <u>n</u> |
| Manufacturing | _¥ | Flood Plain Review | — ——— |
| Office | _n | Historic Preservation | |
| Residential | <u>_n</u> | Housing Replacement | |
| Retail/Business | _ <u>n</u> | 14-403 Street Review | _ <u>n</u> |
| Warehouse | _ <u>v</u> | Shoreland | <u> </u> |
| Single Family Dwelling | <u> </u> | Site Location | <u> </u> |
| 2 Family Dwelling | _n | Stormwater Quality | <u>y</u> |
| Multi-Family Dwelling | _ <u>n</u> | Traffic Movement | <u> </u> |
| B-3 Ped Activity Review | _ n | Zoning Variance | (or date) |
| Change of Use | <u>n</u> | Historic Dist./Landmark | _ <u></u> |
| S | | Off Site Parking | _ <u>n</u> |
| | | - | |

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 7 -

Site Plan Checklist

ĩ

6

₹

4

Portland, Maine

Department of Planning and Urban Development, Planning Division and Planning Board

| | | Allagas: | h Brewing Bldg Addition 50 Industrial Way Portland | | | | |
|---------|---|--------------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Project Name, Address of Project Application Number | | | | | | |
| | (The form i | is to be com | ipleted by the Applicant or Designated Representative) | | | | |
| | ι. | | | | | | |
| | Check Subr | mitted | Required Information Section 14-525 (b | 4-525 (b,c) | | | |
| | Арріісалт | Staff | | | | | |
| eferenc | e <u>d i</u> n pl | an | Standard boundary survey (stamped by a registered surveyor, at a | 1 | | | |
| | | | scale of not less than 1 inch to 100 feet and including | | | | |
| | <u>_x _</u> | | Name and address of applicant and name of proposed development | ລ | | | |
| | <u>_x</u> | <u> </u> | * Scale and north points | Ь | | | |
| | <u>x</u> . | | * Boundaries of the site | с | | | |
| | <u>_x_</u> | _ | * Total land area of site | d | | | |
| | <u>_x</u> | | Topography - existing and proposed (2 feet intervals or less) | с | | | |
| | <u>n/a</u> | | Plans based on the boundary survey including: | 2 | | | |
| | _n/a | | * Existing soil conditions | а | | | |
| | n/a | | * Location of water courses, wedands, marshes, rock outcroppings and wooded areas | Ъ | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | * Location, ground floor area and grade elevations of building and other structures existing and | с | | | |
| | | | proposed, elevation drawings of exterior facades, and materials to be used | | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | * Approx location of buildings or other structures on parcels abutting the site and a zoning | d | | | |
| | | | summary of applicable dimensional standards (<u>example page 11 of packet</u>) | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u></u> | * Location of on-site waste receptacles | e | | | |
| | _X | | * Public utilities | | | | |
| | <u>_X</u> | | * Water and sewer mains | е | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | Culverts, drains, existing and proposed, showing size and directions of flows | e | | | |
| | <u>_x</u> | | * Location and dimensions, and ownership of easements, public or private rights-of-way, both | f | | | |
| | | | existing and proposed | | | | |
| | <u>_x</u> | _ _ | * Location and dimensions of on-site pedestrian and vehicular access ways | g | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | * Parking areas | | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | <u></u> | * Loading facilities | g | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | * Design of ingress and egress of vehicles to and from the site onto public streets | 8 | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | * Curb and sidewalks | g | | | |
| | _n/a | — — | Landscape plan showing: | h | | | |
| | <u>_x</u> | | * Location of existing vegetation and proposed vegetation | h | | | |
| | _n/a | | * Type of vegetation | h | | | |
| | 11 | | * Quantity of plantings | h | | | |
| | 11 | | * Size of proposed landscaping | h | | | |
| | 11 | | * Existing areas to be preserved | h | | | |
| | <u></u> | | * Preservation measures to be employed | h | | | |
| | | | * Details of planting and preservation specifications | h | | | |
| | 11 | | * Location and dimensions of all feating and screening | i | | | |
| | 11 | | Location and intensity of outdoor lighting system | j | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | Location of fire hydrants, existing and proposed (refer to Fire Department checklist - page 11) | k | | | |
| | <u>x</u> | | Written statements to include: | с | | | |
| | × . | | * Description of proposed uses to be located on site | cl | | | |
| | x | | * Quantity and type of residential, if any | cl | | | |
| | x | | * Total land area of the site | c2 | | | |
| | _x | | * Total floor area, total disturbed area and ground coverage of each proposed Building and structure | e c2 | | | |
| | x | | * General summary of existing and proposed easements or other builders | c3 | | | |
| | | | * Type, quantity and method of handling solid waste disposal | ç4 | | | |
| | x | | * Applicant's evaluation or evidence of availability of off-site public facilities, including sewer, water | c5 | | | |
| | | | and streets (refer to the wastewater capacity application - page 12) | | | | |
| | _X | | * Description of existing surface drainage and a proposed stormwater management plan or | c 6 | | | |
| | | | description of measures to control surface runoff. | | | | |

ł

| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | * An estimate of the time period required for completion of the development | 7 |
|----------|------------------|---|--------------|
| <u> </u> | | * A list of all state and federal regulatory approvals to which the development may be subject to, the status of any pending applications, anticipated timeframe for obtaining such permits, or letter of non-infederion. | 8 s |
| <u> </u> | | Evidence of financial and technical capability to undertake and complete the development includi letter from a responsible financial institution stating that it has reviewed the planned developmen would seriously consider financing it when approved. | ng a tand |
| <u> </u> | | * Evidence of applicant's right title or interest, including deeds, leases, purchase options or other documentation. | |
| <u> </u> | | * A description of any unusual natural areas, wildlife and fisheries habitats, or archaeological sites le on or near the site. | cated |
| <u> </u> | RE <u>QUES</u> T | A jpeg or pdf of the proposed site plan, if available. | |
| UPON | REQUEST | Final sets of the approved plans shall be submitted digitally to the Planning Division, on a CD or DVD, in AutoCAD format (*,dwg), release AutoCAD 2005 or greater. | L |

Note: Depending on the size and scope of the proposed development, the Planning Board or Planning Authority may request additional information, including (but not limited to):

- drainage patterns and facilities
- erosion and sedimentation controls to be used during construction
- a parking and/or traffic study
- emissions

÷

.

्र र ॐ

- a wind impact analysis

- an environmental impact study
- a sun shadow study
- a study of particulates and any other noxious
- a noise study

02249

SITE PLAN CHECKLIST REQUIREMENTS

SECTION 14-525(B) CONTENTS:

- 1. A standard boundary survey has been prepared and is included within this submittal showing all pertinent information as requested in Subsections a. through e. The plans are provided at a scale of $1^{"} = 20^{"}$.
- 2. A plan set has been prepared and is included within this submittal showing all pertinent information as requested in Subsections a. through o. The set includes a site plan, grading and utility plan, landscape plan, and detail sheet. A site location map has been included as Exhibit 3.

ł.

Ę.

ţ

SECTION 14-525(C) WRITTEN STATEMENTS:

The record owner of the property is Allagash Brewing Company located at 50 Industrial Way, Portland, ME.

- 1. The proposed development will include a 5,200 square foot addition to the existing building. The addition will be used as warehouse space for storing product produced in the existing facility. The proposal does not deviate from the originally approved site plan, which depicts the addition described above.
- 2. The existing parcel includes approximately 73,073 square feet (1.68 acres). The floor area of the proposed building is 16,900 square feet.
- 3. Drainage easements were originally proposed along Industrial Way to allow for placement and access to a driveway culvert. There is also an access easement on the abutting westerly property.
- 4. Solid waste quantities generated by the project will not significantly increase as a result of the proposed addition. Solid waste and recyclables are currently contained on site inside a screened dumpster enclosure. Solid waste and recyclables are currently disposed of by a licensed waste management and recycling company under contract with the owner.
- 5. The site is currently serviced by city public water and sewer, natural gas, electric, phone and cable utilities. No significant increase in demand to any of the available utilities is anticipated as a result of the proposed addition. The original letters of capacity from the Portland Water District and Portland Public Works are included for reference.
- 6. The Stormwater Management Plan will not be significantly altered from the original design. A copy of the original narrative and calculations is included for reference.

۰

22

ĩ

SUMMER ZOLO

5

á.

- 7. We anticipate an approximately 3-month construction schedule commencing upon project approvals in the early spring of 2009. The anticipated construction schedule is dependent on approval of the final design plans for the project.
- 8. The project site is fully developed and less than three acres in size and will have less than an acre of impervious area. As such, the site will not require an MDEP Site Location of Development, Stormwater permit, nor a Maine Construction General Permit since it is expected that the area of disturbance will be less than one acre. We do not anticipate that the project is subject to any additional state or federal approvals and we are unaware of any pending applications related to the project site.
- 9. A letter of financial capacity and intent to finance is included for reference. The applicant is currently engaged in the brewing industry and will bring expertise to the proposed facility. Sebago Technics, Inc. is providing civil design documents. CBRE/Boulos Property Management is providing construction management services. Langford and Low is providing Architectural design documents and general contracting services.
- 10. The property is owned by the applicant, Allagash Brewing Company. A copy of the property deed is attached, for reference.
- 11. We have found no evidence at the project site to indicate that there are unusual natural areas, wildlife or fisheries habitat, or archeological sites on or near the project site.
- 12. We plan to submit the final approved drawings to the City in the desired electronic format(s).
- 13. A narrative description addressing the particulars of recyclable material generated by the site is included, for reference.

02249

FIGURE 1



SITE LOCATION MAP USGS TOPOGRAPHIC 7.5 MIN. QUADRANGLE PORTLAND WEST SCALE: 1"=2,000'



b18385p348.tif (1696x2200x2 tiff)

DDC#1 93526 Bk118385 P#: 348

QUITCLAIM DEED WITH COVENANT

NORTHEASTERN GRAPHIC SUPPLY, INC., a Maine corporation, for consideration paid, grants to 50 INDUSTRIAL WAY LLC, a Maine limited liability company with an address of 100 Industrial Way, Portland, Maine, 04103, with Quitclaim Covenant, the following described real property:

A certain lot or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon, situated in on the northerly side of Industrial Way, so-called, in the City of Portland, County of Cumberland and State of Maine, bounded and described as follows:

Lot 18 as shown on a Plan entitled Tumpike Industrial Park-Riverside Street, Portland Maine, Recording Plat, made for Portland Venture Partners, 100 Silver Street, Portland, Maine, by Land Use Consultants, dated March 25, 1986, revised through September 9, 1986 and recorded in the Cumberland County Registry of Deeds, in Plan Book 157, Page 61 ("the Subdivision Plan"), to which Subdivision Plan reference is hereby made for a more particular description.

Meaning and intending to convey and hereby conveying the same premises as conveyed to Northeastern Graphic Supply by deed of Alfred H. Milliken, Jr., et als, dated June 2, 1988 and recorded in the Cumberland County Registry of Deeds in Book 8317, Page 51.

Together with an easement to benefit the above described Lot 18, over the parcel of land described hereinafter (the "Easement Area") for ingress and egress by foot and by vehicle, together with the right to construct, improve, maintain, repair, grade, excavate, fill and pave a driveway within the Easement Area for access to Lot 18, and together with the right to install within the Easement Area, both above and below ground, utility services to include, without limitation, facilities necessary or convenient for the transmission of electricity, gas, telephone communications, cable television, computer communications, sewerage and water.

The Easement Area is a fifty (50) foot wide parcel of land, being a portion of Lot 19 as shown on the Subdivision Plan, bound and described as follows:

Beginning on the northerly side of Industrial Park Way, also known as Industrial Way, at the southwesterly corner of Lot 18 as shown on the Subdivision Plan, said point also being the most southerly corner of Lot 19 as shown on the Subdivision Plan;

Thence N 29° 52' 15" E along the westerly sideline of Lot 18 and the easterly sideline of Lot 19 a distance of 90.00 feet,

2

b18385p348.tif (1696x2200x2 tiff) [2]

- - -93526 Bk:18385 Ps: 349 Doc#1

How Recretived Recorded Resister of Deeds How 15:7007, 10101:564 Thence N 60° 03' 55" W through land of Northeastern Graphion Streng Lot 19 as aforesaid, a distance of 50.00 feet;

Thence S 29° 52' 15" W through land of Northeastern Graphic Supply, Inc., being Lot 19 as aforesaid, a distance of 90.00 feet to the northerly sideline of Industrial Way;

Thence S 60° 03' 55" E along the northerly sideline of Industrial Way a distance of 50.00 feet to the point of beginning.

The Easement Area consists of approximately 4,500 square feet.

The Grantor herein reserves for itself, its successors and assigns, the right to use the Easement Area in common with the Grantee for all purposes, including but not limited to, ingress and egress by foot and vehicle and the right to install and/or connect to all utilities located within the Easement Area, all of which reserved rights shall benefit the Grantor's adjoining property.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Northeastern Graphic Supply, Inc. has caused this instrument to be executed by Brian Kroot, its <u>president</u> this <u>14</u>^K day of November , 2002.

WITNESS

ŝ

Cather S. Sent

NORTHEASTERN GRAPHIC SUPPLY, INC. By: Bnar Kroot

State of Maine County of <u>Combridan</u> Normber 14, 2002

Personaliy appeared before me the above named Brian Kroot, <u>prisdand</u> of Northeastern Graphic Supply, Inc. and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his/her free act and deed in said capacity and the free act and deed of Northeastern Graphic Supply, Inc.

Notary Public/Attorney at Law

Print Name CATHERINE E. DECKER

My Commission Expires MA





225 Douglass St. • P.O. Box 3553 • Portland, ME 04104-3553

(207) 774-5961 FAX (207) 761-8307 www.pwd.org

2.5 2.6

September 16, 2002

Mr. Richard L. Meek Sebago Technics, Inc. One Chabot Street Westbrook, Maine 04098-1339

Re: Allagash Brewing, Industrial Way; Portland

Dear Sir:

The Portland Water District has a 12" water main in Industrial Way, Portland, near the proposed site. A test on a nearby hydrant produced the following results: static pressure 68 psi; pito pressure 55 psi; with a flow of 1244 gpm. With these results in mind, the District feels we have sufficient capacity available to serve this proposed project and meet all normal fire protection and domestic water service demands. Please notify your plumber of these results so that they can design your system to best fit the available pressure.

With certification by the developer that all required permits have been received, we look forward to serving this project.

Sincerely,

PORTLAND WATER DISTRICT

David W Coff:

David W. Coffin, PLS Engineering Supervisor

Department of Public Works



William J. Bray Director

CITY OF PORTLAND

29 October 2002

Mr. Richard L. Meek, Sebago Technics, P.O. Box 1339, Westbrook, Maine 04098-1339.

RE: The Capacity to Handle Wastewater Flows, from The Site of the Proposed Allagash Brewing Development, 48-64 Industrial Way (326-B-9).

Dear Mr. Meek:

The design flow is calculated by dividing the highest monthly flow over the period of at least one year of water meter records by the number of days the facility was in use during the month with the highest flow. Then multiplying this resulting quotient by a "multiplying factor" of 1.5. Then, multiplying this new number by the number of gallons in a hundred cubic feet (HCF). Finally, this number is multiplied by five, to account for maximum growth of the proposed facility, to arrive at the design flow, in gallons per day. Thus, 76 (HCF) divided by 22 (work days) times 1.5 (a multiplying factor) times 748 (gallons) times 5 (a growth factor) = 19,373 GPD

For a more detailed explanation, please see Section 903.2.3, Chapter Nine, Design Flows, in the Handbook of Subsurface Wastewater Disposal in Maine.

The existing ten-inch diameter polyvinyl chloride sewer pipe located in Industrial Way has adequate capacity to transport, while The Portland Water District sewage treatment facilities, located off Marginal Way, have adequate capacity to treat the total anticipated wastewater flows of 19,373 GPD, from the proposed project.

The City combined sewer overflow (C.S.O.) abatement consent agreement, with the U.S E.P.A. and The Maine D.E.P. requires C.S.O. abatement, as well as Stormwater mitigation, from all projects, in order to offset any increase in sanitary flows.

The City expects an Industrial Pretreatment Permit application from Allagash Brewing re their industrial process wastewaters.

If I can be of further help, please call me at 874-8832.

Sincerely. CITY OF PORTLAND Frank J Brancely, B.A., and M.A. Senior Engineering Technician

O'Mugshariffill apacity Leversteilusizial Way 48-14

CITY OF PORTLAND WASTEWATER CAPACITY APPLICATION

Department of Public Services, 55 Portland Street, Portland, Maine 04101-2991

Date:

5/28/10



Mr. Frank J. Brancely, Senior Engineering Technician, Phone #: (207) 874-8832, Fax #: (207) 874-8852, E-mail:fjb@portlandmaine.gov

| 1 | Plance | Submit | Litility | Sita | hae | Locus | Planc |
|------|---------|---------|----------|-------|-----|-------|--------|
| . i. | riease. | SUDALLE | DUNEV. | Sile. | anu | LOCUS | rians. |

| | | | , | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|--------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Site Address: | <u> </u> | lay Port | land 1 | Me | |
| (Regarding addressing, please contact Leslie Kaynor, either at 756-8346, or at LMK(@portlandmaine.gov) | | | | Chart Block Lot Number: | 326/B_/9 |
| Proposed Use: | Brewery | | | | |
| Previous Use: | Brewery | | ₹. | Commercial | |
| Existing Sanitary Flows: | 25 em <u>ployees=375</u> | GPD | 50 | Industrial (complete part 4 below) | x |
| Existing Process Flows: | 9000 | GPD | ate | Governmental | |
| Description and location of City sewer, at proposed building | | | S S | Residential | |
| sewer lateral connection: | | | Sit | Other (specify) | |
| <u>10" diameter pi</u> | <u>pe unknown material</u> | located | | | |
| approx in middle | <u>e of Industrial Way</u> | | | | |

Clearly, indicate the proposed connection, on the submitted plans.

2. Please, Submit Domestic Wastewater Design Flow Calculations.

| Estimated Domestic Wastewater Flow Generated: | 20% growth | 450 | GPD |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Peaking Factor/ Peak Times: | Monday - Fr | iday Sam llpm | |
| Specify the source of design guidelines: (i.e. x"He | andbook of Subsurfa | ce Wastewater Dîsposal in Maine," | "Plumbers and |
| Pipe Fitters Calculation Manual," Portland We | ater District Records | , _ Other (specify) | |

15gpd per employee = 30 employees x 15 gpd = 450 gpd Note: Please submit calculations showing the derivation of your design flows, either on the following page, in the space provided, or attached, as a separate sheet.

| | 3. Please, Submit Contact Information. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Owner/Developer Name: | Allagash Brewing |
| Owner/Developer Address: | 50 Industrial Way Portland Me |
| Phone: 878 5 <u>385</u> | Fax: E-mail: robtod@allagash.com |
| Engineering Consultant Name: | Richard Meek PE c/o Sebago Technics, Inc |
| Engineering Consultant Address: | PO Box 1339 Westbrook.Me 04098 |
| Phone: 856 0277 x269 | Fax: 856 2206 E-mail: rmeek@sebagotechnics.co |
| City Planner's Name: | Phone: 874 8728 |

Note: Consultants and Developers should allow +/- 15 days, for capacity status, prior to Planning Board Review.

| 4. Please, Submit Industrial Proc | cess | Wastew | ater Flow Calculations | |
|--|------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Estimated Industrial Process Wastewater Flows Generated: | 30% | growth | 11,700 | GPD |
| Do you currently hold Federal or State discharge permits? | | | Yes x | No |
| Is the process wastewater termed categorical under CFR 40? | | | Yes | No x |
| OSHA Standard Industrial Code (SIC): 2082 | | | (http://www.osha.gov/oshsic | uts/sicser.html |

Peaking Factor/Peak Process Times: Monday Friday 5am 11pm

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 12 -



Bath Savings Institution Since 1852

May 24, 2010

City of Portland Planning Division 389 Congress Street Portland ME 04101

Re: Allagash Brewing/50 Industrial Way, LLC

To Whom it May Concern:

Allagash Brewing has been a customer of Bath Savings Institution since June 2006. We assisted with financing the construction of current Allagash Brewing facility at 50 Industrial Way in Portland. This letter is to underscore that Bath Savings Institution believes that 50 Industrial Way, LLC has the financial capacity to finance the expansion of the existing building at 50 Industrial Way.

We look forward to assisting them as this growing business continues to expand and create jobs in the Portland market.

If you have any questions regarding the financial capacity of 50 Industrial Way, LLC, to undertake the expansion of their current building please do not hesitate to give me call.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sinde

Geoff G. Gattis Executive Vice President & CCO

.jdyn

cc: Allagash Brewing Paul Ureneck

> 105 FRONT STREET, PO BOX 548, ВАТН, MAINE 04530 Tel. 207-442-7711 FAX 207-442-9137 1-800-447-4559 Мемвер FDIC

PROJECT SERVICES



and a second second

CBRE/Boulos can assist with any

of services:

1

of these items as part of our menu

Tenant Improvements

Capital improvements

Program Development

Facility Assessment

Permitting & Government

Project Team Selection

✓ Site Selection

Relations

Due Diligence

✓ Communications
 ✓ Scheduling

Budget Management

Construction Oversight

✓ Systems Commissioning
 ✓ Furniture & Equipment

BRE Boulos Property Management

MANAGING YOUR PROJECT

With any praject, regardless of size or complexity, the biggest challenge is knowing who is needed when, and what it should cost. Having someone on your team fram the beginning with the knowledge and experience to guide the process can accelerate schedules and increase cost effectiveness. The result is time and money saved, without socrificing the quality of the project.

DON'T KNOW WHERE TO START?

We are our clients' advocates. Our Project Management Division provides a single source of expertise to oversee ony or all tasks necessary to renovate space, construct new buildings, or help with other real estaterelated tasks. We offer an integrated package of services, available together or separately, to smoothly manage oil aspects of your project. This single source management technique provides direct accountability and has been the key to our success for 30 years.

Our project management team uses both in-house resources and our relationships with specialized consultants to assist our clients. The process can be complex, involving architects, engineers, environmental consultants, lawyers, and controctors. The entire process, from the initial idea to completion, runs smoothly. Our trock record speaks for itself.

WHO TO CALL

FXCFLLFNCF

Paul Ureneck

Vice President of Praject Management. . pureneck@boulas.com Kim Farrar Project Manager kfarrar@boulos.com

CBRE/Boulos Property Management One Canal Plaza Portland, ME 04101 (207) 871-1290

EXPERIENCE

Selection

Move Management

VALUE

PROJECT SERVICES SAMPLE PROJECTS MANAGED BY CBRE/BOULOS

First Park, Oakland - Spec building to be developed by the local development authority (Owner's Rep., Project Team Selection, Scheduling, Budget Monagement)

25 Thomas Dr., Westbrook – 16,000+/- SF Hex Building Shell (Owner's Rep., Permitting/Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Construction Oversight)

8 Thomas Dr., Westbrook – 15,000+/- SF Flex Building (Owner's Rep., Permitting/Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Construction Oversight, Systems Commissioning)

4 Thomas Dr., Westbrook – 38,400+/- SF Flex Building (Owner's Rep., Permitting/Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Construction Oversight, Systems Commissioning)

41 Donald B. Dean Dr., South Portland – 18,200+/- SF Class A Office Building (Owner's Rep., Permitting/Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Construction Oversight, Systems Commissioning)

Saco Valley Shopping Center, Saco – Retail Shopping Center Renovation/Expansion, including tenant relocations (Owner's Rep., Permitting/Government Relations, Communications, Scheduling, Budget, Management, Construction Oversight, Systems Commissioning, Move Management)

Pineland, New Gloucester – 356,000+/- SF Business Campus renovated from previous use as a state facility; included complete rehabilitation of various aged buildings, construction of new buildings, and complete site & infrastructure redevelopment (Owner's Rep., Facility Assessment, Due Diligence, Permitting/Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Construction Oversight, Systems Commissioning, Furniture & Equipment Selection)

52 & 70 Farm View Dr., New Gloucester -- 60,000+/- SF shell office space fit up for new tenant (Owner's Rep., Program Development, Permitting & Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Construction Oversight, Systems Cammissioning)

2325 West Broadway, South Portland – 21,000+/- SF Warehouse/Distribution Building (Owner's Rep.; Permitting/Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Budget Management)

Fore Street Garage, Portland - Capital impravements/repairs performed on a 400+7- space multi-story parking garage (Owner's Rep., Facility Assessment, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Canstruction Oversight)

Olivia's Garden Greenhouses, New Gloucester – state-of-the-art hydroponic greenhouse designed & constructed (Owner's Rep., Permitting & Government Relations, Project Team Selection, Communications, Scheduling, Budget Management, Construction Oversight, Systems Commissioning)



PROJECT SERVICES

THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM

Paul Ureneck Vice President

Paul Ureneck joined CBRE/Boulos Property Management in 1985. Prior to joining the CBRE/Boulos team, Mr. Ureneck served in various supervisory capacities for large commercial construction componies throughout Northern New England. He has over thirty years of experience in the permitting, design and construction of commercial office, industrial and retail buildings.

Mr. Ureneck's responsibilities include the oversight of all CB Richard Ellis/Boulos Property Management and third party development from the initial conceptual design through ultimate occupancy by coordinating all design, contracting, and regulatory approval tasks. Mr. Ureneck also oversees all tenant improvement work in a multibuilding portfolio totaling approximately 3,000,000 square feet.





Kim Farrar Project Manager Maine Real Estate Lic: #BA902461

Kim Farrar is Project Manager for CBRE/Boulos Property Management. As Project Manager, she works with contractors, vendors, governmental agencies, tenants, owners, and staff on all aspects of development projects. Since joining the company in 1988, she has served in several capacities, including General Manager for the brokerage company, Development Coordinator, and Property Manager. Adive in the real estate field since 1985, Ms. Farrar has been involved with both high-end residential/investment and commercial real estate.



Sebago Technics, Inc. Technical Ability

Sebago Technics, Inc. has been retained to perform the civil engineering, stormwater management, and sediment and erosion control design for the proposed project. In addition, we have prepared the Maine Department of Environmental Protection Site Location Application. The technical phase of this project includes the preparation of a detailed grading design, taking into account hydrogeological considerations and stormwater management. The permitting phase of this project consists of the preparation of all State and local application packages and coordination throughout the entire review process from initial submission to final approval.

Company Background

Sebago Technics, Inc. was established in 1981. The company, as a whole, has grown to approximately 95 professionals. The company consists of civil/site engineers, surveyors, landscape architects, soil scientist, and other professionals. In 1986, a computer-aided design drafting (CADD) division was established to further enhance our scope of available services. Sebago Technics, Inc. provides full-range technical assistance to developers, contractors, and municipalities in the areas of commercial, residential, and industrial developments.

Key Personnel

Walter P. Stinson, P.E.

President and founder of Sebago Technics, is a Registered Professional Engineer with a background that includes experience with the Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. He has a strong interest in land management, experience in grading and drainage practices, and maintains a strong involvement in all significant projects of the firm.

Charles L. Brown, P.L.S.

A Registered Land Surveyor, he joined the firm in 1984. His expertise in boundary and topographic surveying provides comprehensive land planning and design services to clients.

Shawn M. Frank, P.E.

A Registered Professional Engineer, he joined the firm in 1985 as a design engineer. His 23 years of practice in consulting engineering firms provides the required experience to allow for effective project management.

02249

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Allagash Brewing Lot 18, Turnpike Industrial Park Portland, Maine

<u>General</u>

This Stormwater Management Plan has been prepared to evaluate the pre-and post-development condition associated with the proposed development on Lot 18 of the Turnpike Industrial Park in Portland, Maine. This project is being proposed by the applicant Allagash Brewing, and includes approximately 1.68 acres.

The site is located within an industrial park. The proposed development will consist of a 18,200 square foot building; 1,050 square feet of concrete pads for storage silo; associated parking and maneuvering areas; landscaping and associated grading. A detention pond is proposed to mitigate the increased runoff generated by this development.

Pre-Development Site Conditions

The proposed project site is presently undeveloped. Ground cover consists primarily of woodland. The topography throughout the site consists of flat slops with approximately 18,300 square feet of wetland area. Based upon the available topographic information the entire site is included in one subcatchment. Stormwater is conveyed generally north and east via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow and eventually exits the site at the easterly property line. Observation of the site indicates that the proposed parcel of land is presently stable with no areas of erosion.

<u>Soils</u>

Soils information used for the stormwater evaluation was obtained via the Medium Intensity Soil Survey. The soil survey maps one (1) soil type on the site, which is Scantic. It is classified with a Hydrologic Soil Group D.

Methodology

The stormwater runoff analysis was developed using the "HydroCAD" computer modeling software, which incorporates the TR-55 and TR-20 methodologies as provided by the Soil Conservation Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The 2-year, 10-year, and 25-year, Type III, 24-hour storm events were used for the analysis. The 24-hour rainfall values utilized in the hydrologic model are as follows.

| Storm Frequency Precipitation (in./24 hr) | | | | | |
|---|-------|--|--|--|--|
| 2-year | 3.0 | | | | |
| 10-year | 4.7 | | | | |
| 25-year | 5.5 - | | | | |

Post-Development Site Conditions

Drainage for the post-development conditions includes three subcatchments. The ground cover has changed from woodland to impervious and grass cover.

Watershed 1 is comprised of mostly wooded area, with a small portion of impervious from the parking and silo storage area. Runoff is conveyed via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow to the Study Point, located at the easterly property line.

Watershed 2 consists of the building, paved areas, and lawn areas. Runoff is directed via sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and pipe flow to the detention pond, located on the eastern side of the property. The detention pond utilizes an outlet control structure to direct stormwater to the Study Point.

Watershed 3 consists of the immediate runoff associated with the roadside ditches. Culverts are being added under driveway entrances in order to maintain drainage patterns through the existing ditches. Flow continues in existing ditches to the Study Point along the eastern property line.

Stormwater Management

The following table summarizes the results of stormwater calculations for the design storm events for the project area. Calculations and computer modeling data sheets are provided with this report.

| Stormwater Peak Discharge Summary Table | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|--------------------------|------|------|-------|
| Sindy Spong | P (9 - (9 6) | 1 201 S10 Pont 1 (25) # | | | | (1) (1) (1) (1) | | | |
| SP1 | 1.32 | 1.25 | -0.07 | 2.97 | 2.48 | -0.49 | 3.80 | 3.54 | -0.26 |

<u>Summary</u>

The proposed development will include a detention pond to which runoff from impervious areas will be directed. The runoff will subsequently be released to the existing woodlands at the rear and to the east of the property via a multi-stage discharge control structure. The pond is designed to hold post-developed peak discharge rates at or below pre-developed peak discharge rates for the 2, 10, and 25-year storm events

Other drainage provisions will include specific grading plan and erosion and sedimentation control measures that will be implemented throughout the construction sequence. Incorporation of the above mentioned drainage provisions and infrastructure for the proposed development would adequately address stormwater runoff such that no significant impacts to downstream properties are anticipated.

Prepared by

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC.

Rel J Steinly

Rebecca L. Steinberg Design Engineer

RLS/RLM:rls/dlf November 15, 2005

Inc

Richard L. Meek, P.E. Project Engineer



02249 ALLAGASH BREWING pre Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (2-Year Storm) Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. Page 2 HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems 10/19/05

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment WS-1: (new node)

Tc=35.7 min CN=78 Area=1.980 ac Runoff= 1.32 cfs 0.169 af

Reach Study Point: (new node)

Inflow= 1.32 cfs 0.169 af Outflow= 1.32 cfs 0.169 af

Runoff Area = 1.980 ac Volume = 0.169 af Average Depth = 1.02"

| 02249 ALLAGASH BREWING pre | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC | • |

Tr.

Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (2-Year Storm) Page 3

HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems

10/19/05

Subcatchment WS-1: (new node)

Runoff ≈ 1.32 cfs @ 12.52 hrs, Volume≈ 0.169 af

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00"

| Area | (ac) C | N Desc | cription | | |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 1, | 900 7 | 77 Woo | ds, Good, | HSG D | |
| <u> </u> | <u>080 </u> 9 | <u>98 Pave</u> | ed parking | & roots | |
| 1. | 980 7 | 78 Weig | ghted Aver | age | |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 30.7 | 150 | 0.0200 | 0.1 | | Sheet Flow, A to B Woods: Light upderbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.00" |
| 5.0 | 250 | 0.0280 | 0.8 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps |
| 35.7 | 400 | Total | | | |
| | | | Re | each Stud | ly Point: (new node) |
| inflow Outflow | = | 1.32 cfs 1.32 cfs | s @ 12.5. s @ 12.5. | 2 hrs, Volu 2 nrs, Volu | me= 0.169 af me= 0.169 af, Atten≈ 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |

02249 ALLAGASH BREWING preType III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70" (10-Year Storm)Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC.Page 4HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems10/19/05

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70" Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment WS-1: (new node)

Tc=35.7 min CN=78 Area=1.980 ac Runoff= 2.97 cfs 0.373 af

Reach Study Point: (new node)

Inflow= 2.97 cfs 0.373 af Outflow= 2.97 cfs 0.373 af

Runoff Area = 1.980 ac Volume = 0.373 af Average Depth = 2.26"

02249 ALLAGASH BREWING pre Type III 24-hr Rainfall≤4.70" (10-Year Storm) Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. Page 5 HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems 10/19/05

Subcatchment WS-1: (new node)

Runoff = 2.97 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.373 af

Ŧ

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70"

| | Area | (ac) | | Description | <u> </u> | |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | 1. 0. | 900 080 | 77 V 98 F | Voods, Goo Paved parki | od, HSG D na & roofs | |
| | 1.980 78 Weighted Average | | | | | |
| I | Tc (min) | Length (feet | n Sio) <u>(</u> ft | pe Veloci /ft) (ft/se | ty Capacity c)(cfs) | Description |
| | 30.7 | 150 | 0.02 | 00 0 | .1 | Sheet Flow, A to B Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.00" |
| <u></u> | 5.0 | 250 | 0.02 | .80 0 | .8 | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv≈ 5.0 fps |
| | 35.7 | 400 |) Tota | } | | |

Reach Study Point: (new node)

Inflow = 2.97 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.373 af Outflow = 2.97 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.373 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

02249 ALLAGASH BREWING pre Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50" (25-Year Storm) Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. Page 6 HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems 10/19/05

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH≈SCS, Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50" Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment WS-1: (new node)

Tc=35.7 min CN=78 Area=1.980 ac Runoff= 3.80 cfs 0.479 af

Reach Study Point: (new node)

Inflow= 3.80 cfs 0.479 af Outflow= 3.80 cfs 0.479 af

Runoff Area = 1.980 ac Volume = 0.479 af Average Depth = 2.90"

02249 ALLAGASH BREWING pre Type III 24-h. Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. HvdroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems

т

10/19/05

Subcatchment WS-1: (new node)

Runoff = 3.80 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.479 af

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50"

| Area | <u>(ac) C</u> | N Des | cription | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1. 0. | .900 7 .080 9 | 77 Woo 98 Pave | ods, Good, ed parking | HSG D & roofs | |
| 1.980 78 Weighted Average | | | | age | |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | |
| 30.7 | 150 | 0.0200 | 0.1 | | Sheet Flow, A to B Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.00" |
| 5.0 | 250 | 0.0280 | 0.8 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps |
| 35.7 | 400 | Total | | | |

Reach Study Point: (new node)

Inflow = 3.80 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.479 af Outflow = 3.80 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.479 af, Atten= 0%, Lag≈ 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



| 02249 ALLAGASH BREWING post Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS IN HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 | : C. Applied Microcon | Type | III 24-hr Rainfá Istems | all=3.00" (2-Ye | ar Storm) Page 2 10/19/05 |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Time span=5 Runoff by SCS TR-20 Reach routing by Stor-Ind | 0.00-20.00 hrs, dt method, UH≈SC +Trans method | =0.05 hr S, Type - Pond r | s, 301 points III 24-hr Rainfal outing by Stor-I | l=3.00" nd method | |
| Subcatchment WS-1: (new node) | Tc=37.4 min | CN=80 | Area=0.660 ac | Runoff≈ 0.48 c | rts 0.063 at |
| Subcatchment WS-2: (new node) | Tc≈4.0 min | CN=95 | Area=1.070 ac | Runoff≈ 3.06 c | is 0.206 at |
| Subcatchment WS-3: (new node) | Tc≈2.1 min | CN=92 | Area=0.250 ac | Runoff= 0.68 c | fs 0.042 at |
| Reach Study Point: (new node) | | | | Inflow= 1.25 c Outflow= 1.25 c | fs 0.309 af |
| Pond 1P: (new node) Primary= 0.66 cfs | s 0.204 af Secon | Peak S idary= 0 (| Storage= 3,461 cf 00 cfs 0.000 af | ′ inflow≖ 3.06 c Outflow= 0.66 r | fs 0.206 af |

| Prepare HydroCA | ALLAGA ad by SE D® 6.00 | SH BRE BAGO TI s/n 00064 | ECHNICS 3 © 1986-2 | ost SINC. 2001 Applied | Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (2-Year St Pa 1 Microcomputer Systems 10/" |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | | Sul | ocatchme | ent WS-1: (new node) |
| Runoff | Ħ | 0.48 cfs | s@ 12.54 | 4 hrs, Volu | me= 0.063 af |
| Runoff b Type III | by SCS Tf 24-hr Rai | R-20 met† nfall=3.00 | nod, UH≈S)" | CS, Time S | Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| Area | (ac) C | N Desc | cription | | |
| 0000 | .398 7 .081 9 .181 8 | '7 Woo 38 Pave 30 >75% | ds, Good, ed parking % Grass co | HSG D & roofs over, Good. | HSG D |
| 0 | .660 8 | 30 Weig | phted Aver | age | |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 31.5 | 150 | 0.0187 | 0.1 | | Sheet Flow, A to B Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.00" |
| 5.9 | 200 | 0.0210 | 0.7 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C |
| 37.4 | 405 | Total | Sul | bcatchme | woodland Kv= 5.0 tps |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 | Sul 5 @ 12.00 nod, UH=S | b catchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III J Area | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac)C | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc | Sul 5 @ 12.00 nod, UH=S " | b catchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : <u>Area</u> 0. | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 207 6 | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc 18 Pave 10 >75% | Sul s@ 12.00 nod, UH=S " cription ed parking 6 Grass co | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs | <u>Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps</u> ent WS-2: (new node) me≈ 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs HSG D |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : Area 0. 0. 0. | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 6 .070 9 | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc 18 Pave 30 >75% 95 Weig | Sul s@ 12.00 nod, UH=S cription ed parking <u>& Grass co</u> phted Aver | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good | <u>Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps</u> ent WS-2: (new node) me≍ 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : <u>Area</u> 0. 0. 1. Tc (min) | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 6 .070 9 Length (feet) | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc N Desc 8 Pave 30 >75% 15 Weig Slope (ft/ft) | Sul s@ 12.00 nod, UH=S cription ed parking <u>6 Grass co</u> hted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs bver, Good rage Capacity (cfs) | <u>Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps</u> ent WS-2: (new node) me≈ 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs <u>HSG D</u> Description |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : <u>Area</u> 0. 0. 1. Tc (min) 3.2 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc N Desc N Desc Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 | Sul s@ 12.00 nod, UH=S oription ed parking <u>6 Grass co</u> ohted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs & roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) | Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short, n= 0.150, P2= 3.00* |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : Area 0. 0. 1. Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 6 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc N Desc 8 Pave 30 >75% 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 | Sul s@ 12.00 nod, UH=S " cription ed parking <u>6 Grass co</u> ohted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs & roofs over, Good rage Capacity (cfs) | woodland Kv= 5.0 tps ent WS-2: (new node) me= 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs HSG D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00" Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Grassi Mictorumy, Kv= 150 for |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : <u>Area</u> 0. 0. 1. Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 75 | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc 8 Pave 30 >759 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 | Sul s@ 12.00 nod, UH=S cription ed parking <u>6 Grass cr</u> ohted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) 4.70 | Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III : <u>Area</u> 0. 0. 1. Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 4.0 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 6 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 75 188 | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc 8 Pave 30 >75% 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 Total | Sul s@ 12.00 hod, UH=S oription ed parking <u>% Grass co</u> ohted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs Sever, Good rage Capacity (cfs) 4.70 | Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III <u>Area</u> 0. 1. Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 4.0 | 405 = oy SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 6 .070 9 Length (feel) 30 83 75 188 | Total 3.06 cfs R-20 meth nfall=3.00 N Desc 8 Pave 30 >75% 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 Total | Sul a @ 12.00 hod, UH=S cription ed parking 6 Grass c(phted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 Sul | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) 4.70 | Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.206 af Span= 5:00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00" Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps Circular Channel (pipe), C to D Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= (pipe) |

____.

1

02249 ALLAGASH BREWING post Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC.

Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3.00" (2-Year Storm) Page 4

10/19/05

HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems

| | <u>Area</u> | (ac) _ C | N Desc | cription | | |
|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|
| | 0. | 165 9 | 8 Pave | ed parking | & roofs | |
| | <u> </u> | <u>085 8</u> | <u>so >75</u> | <u>% Grass co</u> | over, Good, | HSG D |
| | 0. | 250 9 | 92 Weig | ghted Aver | age | |
| | Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| | 0.5 | 118 | 0.0430 | 3.6 | 6.07 | Parabolic Channel, A to B W=5.00' D=0.50' Area=1.7 sf Perim=5.1' n= 0.040 |
| | 0.4 | 72 | 0.0030 | 2.9 | 3.54 | Circular Channel (pipe), B to C Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.013 |
| | 0.5 | 80 | 0.0088 | 2.6 | 17.43 | Parabolic Channel, C to D W=10.00' D=1.00' Area=6.7 sf Perim=10.3' n= 0.040 |
| | 0.4 | 110 | 0.0270 | 4.6 | 30.53 | Parabolic Channel, D to E W=10.00' D=1.00' Area=6.7 sf Perim=10.3' n= 0.040 |
| | 0.3 | 75 | 0.0200 | 4.0 | 0.79 | Circular Channel (pipe), E to F Diam= 6.0" Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13' n= 0.013 |
| - | | | | | | |

2.1 455 Total

Reach Study Point: (new node)

| Inflow | 5 | 1.25 cfs @ | 12.43 hrs, Volume≠ | 0.309 af |
|---------|---|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Outflow | = | 1.25 cfs @ | 12.43 hrs, Volume= | 0.309 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond 1P: (new node)

| Inflow | = | 3.06 cfs @ | 12.06 hrs, | Volume≈ | 0.206 af | | |
|-----------|---|------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| Outflow | = | 0.66 cfs @ | 12.46 hrs, | Volume≃ | 0.204 af, | Atten= 78%, | Lag= 23.8 min |
| Primary | = | 0.66 cfs @ | 12.46 hrs, | Volume≈ | 0.204 af | | • |
| Secondary | = | 0.00 cfs @ | 5.00 hrs, | Volume≈ | 0.000 af | | |

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Peak Elev= 77.57' Storage= 3,461 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 79.6 min calculated for 0.204 af (99% of inflow) Storage and wetted areas determined by Prismatic sections

| Elevation (feet) | Surf.Area (sq-ft) | Inc.Store (cubic-feet) | Cum.Store (cubic-feet) |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 76.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77.00 | 2,530 | 1,265 | 1,265 |
| 78.00 | 5,205 | 3,868 | 5,133 |
| 79.00 | 8,150 | 6,678 | 11,810 |

•

| 02249 ALLAGASH BREWING post | Type III 24-hr Rainfall=3. | 00" (2-Year Storm) |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. | | Page 5 |
| HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Micros | computer Systems | 10/19/05 |

Primary OutFlow (Free Discharge)

1-1=Culvert

.

-2=Orifice/Grate

----3=Orifice/Grate

-4=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir

Secondary OutFlow (Free Discharge)

--5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir

| #_ | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|----|-----------|--------|--|
| 1 | Primary | 75,90' | 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 |
| | · | | Outlet invert= 75.85' S= 0.0050 '/' n= 0.011 Cc= 0.900 |
| 2 | Device 1 | 75.90' | 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 |
| 3 | Device 1 | 77.05' | 5.0" Vert. Orlfice/Grate C= 0.600 |
| 4 | Device 1 | 78.05 | 6.0' long x 1.5' high Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s) |
| 5 | Secondary | 78.25' | 14.0' long x 6.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir |
| | - | | Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 |
| | | | Coef. (English) 2.37 2.51 2.70 2.68 2.68 2.67 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.66 2.66 2. |

| 02249 ALLAGASH BREWING p Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNIC HydroCAD® 6.00_s/n 000643 © 1986- | o st S INC. 2001 Applied Microcor | Type II | ll 24-hr Rainfall | i=4.70" (10-Yea | r Storm) Page 6 10/19/05 |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Time spa Runoff by SCS TF Reach routing by Stor | an=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt 2-20 method, UH=SC -Ind+Trans method | =0.05 hr S, Type - Pond r | s, 301 points III 24-hr Rainfal outing by Stor-I | i=4.70" nd method | |
| Subcatchment WS-1: (new node) | Tc=37.4 min | CN=80 | Area=0.660 ac | Runoff= 1.04 cfs | 0.134 af |
| Subcatchment WS-2: (new node) | Tc=4.0 min | CN=95 | Area=1.070 ac | Runoff= 5.00 cfs | 0.346 a ^r |
| Subcatchment WS-3: (new node) | Tc=2.1 min | CN=92 | Area≈0.250 ac | Runoff= 1.15 cfs | 0.075 af |
| Reach Study Point: (new node) | | | | Inflow= 2.48 cfs Outflow= 2.48 cfs | 0.548 af 0.548 af |
| Pond 1P: (new node) Primary≂ 1.2 | 5 cfs 0.339 af Secor | Peak S ndary≂ 0. | Storage= 5,873 c 00 cfs_0.000 af | f Inflo <mark>w</mark> ≓ 5.00 cfs Outflow= 1.25 cfs | 0.346 af 0.339 af |
| | Runoff Area = 1.98 | 0ac Vo | olume = 0.555 a | f Average Dept | h = 3.36" |

| 02249 ALLAGASH BREWING post Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Apr | | | | | Type III 24-hr RainfaII=4.70" (10-Year Stor Pagi ied Microcomputer Systems 10/19. | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Sul | bcatchme | ent WS-1: (new node) | | | |
| Runoff | = | 1.04 cfs | s@ 12.52 | 2 hrs, Volu | me= 0.134 af | | | |
| Runoff t Type III | oy SCS TF 24-hr Rai | R-20 meth nfall=4.70 | nod, UH=S)" | CS, Time S | Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt≍ 0.05 hrs | | | |
| Area | <u>(ac) C</u> | N Desc | cription | <u></u> | | | | |
| 0 | .398 7 | 7 Woo | ds, Good, | HSG D | | | | |
| 0 | .1 <u>81</u> | <u>80 >759</u> | <u>6 Grass co</u> | over, Good. | HSG D | | | |
| 0 | .660 E | 30 Weig | phted Aver | age | | | | |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description | | | |
| 31.5 | 150 | 0.0187 | 0.1 | | Sheet Flow, A to B | | | |
| 5.9 | 255 | 0.0210 | 0.7 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C | | | |
| | | | | | Woodland $K_{V=} = 5.0$ for | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff | 405 | Total 5.00 cfs | Su s @ 12.00 | bcatchma 6 hrs, Volu | Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III | ← 405 a by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 N Desc | Su s @ 12.00 nod, UH=S)" | bcatchma 6 hrs, Volu 6CS, Time 8 | Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III Area 0 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 <u>N Desc</u> 98 Pave | Sui s @ 12.04 nod, UH=S)" cription ed parking | bcatchme 6 hrs, Volu iCS, Time S & roofs | Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) <u>C</u> .863 9 .207 8 | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 <u>N Desc</u> 08 Pave 30 >759 | Sui s @ 12.01 nod, UH=S oription ed parking % Grass cr | bcatchma 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good | Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 | = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) .863 _ 9 .2078 .0709 | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 <u>N Desc</u> 08 Pave 30 >75° 95 Weig | Sul s @ 12.04 nod, UH=S oription ed parking <u>% Grass cr</u> ghted Aver | bcatchma 6 hrs, Volu 6CS, Time 8 6 roofs over, Good rage | Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 Tc (min) | 405 ≈ by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 s .070 s Length (feet) | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 mether nfall=4.70 N Desc N Desc N Desc N Slope (ft/ft) | Sui s @ 12.00 nod, UH=S)" cription ed parking <u>& Grass cr</u> ghted Aven Velocity (ft/sec) | bcatchma 6 hrs, Volu 6CS, Time 8 8 roofs over, Good rage Capacity (cfs) | Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D Description | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 N Desc 8 Pave 30 >75 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 | Sui s @ 12.04 nod, UH=S)" cription_ ed parking % Grass cr ghted Aven Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 | bcatchma 6 hrs, Volu 6CS, Time S 6 roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) | Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Organ: Sheet mathematical products | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III Area 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 S .207 E .070 S Length (feet) 30 83 | Total 5.00 cfs 3.20 meth nfall=4.70 N Desc 30 > 75° 30 > 75° | Sul s @ 12.04 nod, UH=S oription ed parking <u>% Grass cr</u> ghted Aven Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 | bcatchma 6 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) | Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00" Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Grassed Waterway Ky= 15.0 fps | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 | 405 ⇒ by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 75 | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 N Desc 8 Pave 30 >759 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 | Sul s @ 12.00 hod, UH=S)" cription ed parking <u>% Grass cr</u> ghted Aven Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 | bcatchma 6 hrs, Volu 5CS, Time 5 6 roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) 4.70 | Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG_D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00" Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps Circular Channel (pipe), C to D Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0. | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 4.0 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 75 188 | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 N Desc 8 Pave 30 >759 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 Total | Sui s @ 12.00 nod, UH=S " cription ed parking % Grass cr ghted Aven Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 | bcatchma 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good rage Capacity (cfs) 4.70 | Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00° Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps Circular Channel (pipe), C to D Diam= 15.0° Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9° r= 0.31° n= 0. | | | |
| 37.4 Runoff Runoff b Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 4.0 | 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 75 188 | Total 5.00 cfs R-20 meth nfall=4.70 N Desc 8 Pave 30 >759 95 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 Total | Sui s @ 12.00 nod, UH=S pription ed parking % Grass cr ghted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 Su | bcatchma 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good rage Capacity (cfs) 4.70 bcatchma | Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.346 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00" Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps Circular Channel (pipe), C to D Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0. ent WS-3: (new node) | | | |

•

Type III 24-hr Rainfall=4.70" (10-Year Storm) Page 8 10/19/05

02249 ALLAGASH BREWING postType III 24-hrPrepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC.HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems

| _ Area (| (ac) _ C | N Desc | ription | | |
|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|---|
| 0. | 165 9 | 8 Pave | d parking | & roofs | |
| 0. | 085 8 | <u> >75%</u> | 6 Grass co | over, Good | , HSG D |
| 0. | 250 9 | 92 Weig | hted Avei | age | |
| Tc | Length | Slope | Velocity | Capacity | Description |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft) | (ft/sec) | (cfs) | , |
| 0.5 | 118 | 0.0430 | 3.6 | 6.07 | Parabolic Channel, A to B |
| . . | | | | | W=5.00' D=0.50' Area=1.7 sf Perim=5.1' n= 0.040 |
| 0.4 | 72 | 0.0030 | 2.9 | 3.54 | Circular Channel (pipe), B to C |
| | ~~ | 0.0000 | • • | 47.40 | Diam= 15.0" Area= 1.2 st Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31' n= 0.013 |
| 0.5 | 80 | 0.0088 | 2.0 | 17,43 | Parabolic Channel, C to D |
| . | 440 | 0 0070 | 4 6 | 20 52 | |
| 0.4 | 110 | 0.0270 | 4.0 | 30.53 | Parabolic Channel, D to E $W \simeq 10.00^{\circ}$ D $\simeq 1.00^{\circ}$ Area ≈ 6.7 sf Darim $\simeq 10.3^{\circ}$ are 0.040 |
| 03 | 75 | n n20n | 4 0 | 0 79 | Circular Channel (nine) E to E |
| 0.0 | 10 | 0.0200 | 7.0 | 0.70 | Diam= 6.0" Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13' n= 0.013 |
| 2.1 | 455 | Total | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | R | each Stud | dy Point: (new node) |
| Inflow | = | 2.48 cfs | a @ 12.4 | 2 hrs. Volu | ume≈ 0.548 af |
| Outflow | = | 2.48 cfs | @ 12.4 | 2 hrs, Volu | ume= 0.548 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |
| Routing t | by Stor-Ir | nd+Trans | method, 1 | Time Span= | = 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| | | | | D = = =! | |
| | | | | Pone | TP: (new hode) |
| Inflow | = | 5.00 cfs | @ 12.0 | 6 hrs. Volu | ume= 0.346 af |
| Outflow | = | 1.25 cfs | a 👸 12.4 | 1 hrs, Volu | ume= 0.339 af, Atten= 75%, Lag= 21.3 min |
| Primary | z | 1,25 cfs | a 👸 12.4 | 1 hrs, Volu | ume= 0.339 af |
| Seconda | ry = | 0.00 cfs | a 🙋 5.0 | 0 hrs, Volu | ume= 0.000 af |
| Routing t | oy Stor-Ir | nd method | l, Time Sp | oan= 5.00-2 | 20.00 hrs, dt≈ 0.05 hrs |
| Doak Ela | v= 78 11 | ' Storage | es 5 873 (| ~f | |
| | v detenti | on time≈ / | 84.4 min (| calculated f | for 0.338 af (98% of inflow) |
| Storage | and wette | ed areas o | tetermine | d by Prism | atic sections |

| Elevation (feet) | Surf.Area (sq-ft) | Inc.Store (cubic-feet) | Cum.Store (cubic-feet) |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 76.00 | 0 | D | 0 |
| 77.00 | 2,530 | 1,265 | 1,265 |
| 78.00 | 5,205 | 3,868 | 5,133 |
| 79.00 | 8,150 | 6,678 | 11.810 |

| * ^ • 022 Pre | 49 ALLAGA | SH BRE BAGO TE | WING post Type III 24-hr Rainfall≈4.70" (10-Year Storm) ECHNICS INC. Page 9 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Hydi | oCAD® 6.00 s | /n 000643 | © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems 10/19/05 |
| Prin | nary OutFlow =Culvert 2=Orifice/Gr 3=Orifice/Gr 4=Sharp-Cre | (Free Di ate ate asted Rec | scharge) stangular Weir |
| Sec 15 # | ondary OutFlo =Broad-Creste Routing | ow (Free ed Rectar Invert | Discharge) ngular Weir Outlet Devices |
| Sec 1_5 _# | ondary OutFlo =Broad-Creste Routing Primary | ow (Free ed Rectar <u>Invert</u> 75.90' | Discharge) ngular Weir Outlet Devices 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 |
| Sec 1_5 # | ondary OutFlo =Broad-Creste Routing Primary | ow (Free ed Rectar Invert 75.90' | Discharge) ngular Weir Outlet Devices 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= 75.85' S= 0.0050 '/' n= 0.011 Cc= 0.900 |
| Şec 1_5 # 1 2 | ondary OutFlo =Broad-Creste Primary Device 1 | ow (Free ed Rectar <u>Invert</u> 75.90' 75.90' | Discharge) ngular Weir Outlet Devices 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= 75.85' S= 0.0050 '/' n= 0.011 Cc= 0.900 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 |
| Şec 15 # 1 2 3 | ondary OutFlo =Broad-Creste | ow (Free ed Rectar <u>Invert</u> 75.90' 75.90' 77.05' | Discharge) ngular Weir Outlet Devices 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= 75.85' S= 0.0050 '/' n= 0.011 Cc= 0.900 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 5.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 |
| Sec | ondary OutFlo =Broad-Creste | ow (Free ed Rectar <u>Invert</u> 75.90' 75.90' 75.90' 75.90' 78.05' | Discharge) ngular Weir Outlet Devices 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= 75.85' S= 0.0050 '/' n= 0.011 Cc= 0.900 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 5.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 6.0' long x 1.5' high Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s) |

| 02249 ALLAGASH BREWING pos Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS IN | t IC. 1 Applied Microcor | l ype II | 24-nr Raintail Istems | =5,50" (25-Ye | Page 1 10/19/ |
|--|--|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 11901007200 0.00 0.00 0.00 200 | <u>- , () p.i.e <u>b</u> (().e.e.)</u> | | | | |
| Time span= | 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt | =0.05 hr | s, 301 points | | |
| Runon by SUS TR-20 |) method, UH=SC | S, type | ul 24-nr Raintail outing by Stor-I | i≂0.50" nd method | |
| React routing by Stor-Inc | | | outing by Stor-n | | |
| Subcatchment WS-1: (new node) | | | | | |
| | Tc=37.4 min | CN=80 | Area=0.660 ac | Runoff= 1.31 cf | s 0.170 |
| Cubected | | | | | |
| Subcatchment 445-2. (new node) | Tc=4.0 min | CN=95 | Area=1.070 ac | Runoff= 5.91 cf | s 0.412 |
| | | | | | ,- |
| Subcatchment WS-3: (new node) | T D () | | | | |
| | lc≈2,1 min | CN=92 | Area=0.250 ac | Runon= 1.38 cf | s 0.090 |
| Reach Study Point: (new node) | | | | inflow= 3.54 ct | s 0,662 |
| | | | | Outflow= 3.54 cf | s 0.662 |
| / | | | | | |
| Pond 1P: (new node) | | Peak | Storage= 6,445 ct | Inflow= 5.91 cf | s 0.412 |
| Prepare HydroCA | ad by SEI | юп вке ЗАСО ТЕ <u>s/n 00064</u> 3 | ECHNICS 3 © 1986-2 | ost INC. 2001 Applied | ype III 24-rir Rainfan – 5.50 (25-Year S Pa Microcomputer Systems 10/ |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | | Sut | ocatchme | nt WS-1: (new node) |
| Runoff | = | 1.31 cfs | @ 12.51 | i hrs, Volu | me= 0.170 af |
| Runoff b Type ill . | y SCS TF 24-hr Raii | २-20 meth nfall=5.50 | iod, UH=S " | CS, Time S | Span≈ 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| Area | (ac) <u>C</u> | N Desc | ription | | |
| 0 0 0 | .398 7 .081 9 .181 8 | 7 Wool 8 Pave 80 <u>></u> 75% | ds, Good, ed parking % Gr <u>as</u> s co | HSG D & roofs over, Good, | |
| 0 | .660 8 | 0 Weig | hted Aver | age | |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 31.5 | 150 | 0.0187 | 0.1 | | Sheet Flow, A to B Woods: Light underbrush p= 0.400 P2= 3.00" |
| | | | o 7 | | |
| 5.9 <u>37.4</u> | 255 405 | 0.0210 Total | Sul | bcatchme | ent WS-2: (new node) |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III | 255 405 = 24-hr Rai | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs R-20 meth nfall=5.50 | 0.7 Sul s @ 12.06 nod, UH=S | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III Area | 255 405 = 24-hr Rain (ac) C | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs R-20 meth nfall=5.50 <u>N Desc</u> | 0.7 Sul s @ 12.06 nod, UH=S " | b catchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III Area 0 0 | 255 405 = 24-hr Rain (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs R-20 meth nfall=5.50 <u>N Desc</u> 18 Pave 10 >759 | U.7 Sul a @ 12.06 nod, UH=S cription ed parking % Grass co | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG_D |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III Area 0 0 1 | 255 405 = 24-hr Rain (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs | Sul S@ 12.06 nod, UH=S oription ed parking % Grass co ghted Aver | bcatchme 3 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good rage | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG_D |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Type III Area 0 0 1 Tc (min) | 255 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rail (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs 7-20 meth nfall=5.50 <u>N Desc</u> 18 Pave 10 >759 15 Weig Slope (ft/ft) | 5 @ 12.06 nod, UH=S pription ed parking % Grass co ghted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) | bcatchme 6 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good rage Capacity (cfs) | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs ,HSG D Description |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Type III Area 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 | 255 405 = 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs 7-20 meth nfall=5.50 <u>N Desc</u> 18 Pave 10 >759 15 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 | Sul Sul Sal Sal Sal Sal Sal Sal Sal Sal Sal Sa | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs , HSG_D Description Sheet Flow, A to B Grass: Short_n= 0.150_P2= 3.00" |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 | 255 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs 7.20 meth nfall=5.50 <u>N Desc</u> 18 Pave 10 >759 15 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 | 5 @ 12.06 a @ 12.06 bod, UH=S cription ed parking <u>6 Grass co</u> ghted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 | bcatchme 5 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good age Capacity (cfs) | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 | 255 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 75 | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs 7-20 meth nfall=5.50 N Desc 18 Pave 10 >759 15 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 | Sul Sul a @ 12.06 nod, UH=S oription ed parking <u>% Grass co</u> ghted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 | bcatchme 6 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good rage Capacity (cfs) | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| 5.9 37.4 Runoff Runoff E Type III <u>Area</u> 0 0 1 Tc (min) 3.2 0.5 0.3 4.0 | 255 405 = by SCS TF 24-hr Rai (ac) C .863 9 .207 8 .070 9 Length (feet) 30 83 75 188 | 0.0210 Total 5.91 cfs 7-20 meth nfall=5.50 N Desc 10 >759 15 Weig Slope (ft/ft) 0.0330 0.0370 0.0053 Total | Sul Sul a @ 12.06 nod, UH=S oription ed parking <u>% Grass co</u> ghted Aver Velocity (ft/sec) 0.2 2.9 3.8 | bcatchme 6 hrs, Volu CS, Time S & roofs over, Good rage Capacity (cfs) 4.70 | Shallow Concentrated Flow, B to C Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps ent WS-2: (new node) ime= 0.412 af Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |

Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50"

77.00

78.00 79.00 2,530

5,205

8,150

1,265

3,868

6,678

1,265

5,133

11,810

Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.50" (25-Year Storm) Page 12

02249 ALLAGASH BREWING postType III 24-hrPrepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC.HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems

| | - 3 | · · | . – | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|--|
| 1 | 0/1 | 9/ | '05 | |

| Area | (ac) C | NDescript | ion | | |
|-------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 0. | 165 9 | 98 Paved p | arking | & roofs | |
| <u> </u> | <u>085 8</u> | <u>30 >75% G</u> | rass co | wer, Good | HSG D |
| 0. | 250 S | 92 Weighte | d Aver | age | |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope Ve (ft/ft) (f | locity <u>Vsec)</u> | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 0.5 | 118 | 0.0430 | 3.6 | 6.07 | Parabolic Channel, A to B |
| 0.4 | 72 | 0.0030 | 2.9 | 3.54 | Circular Channel (pipe), B to C |
| 0.5 | 80 | 0.0088 | 2.6 | 17.43 | Parabolic Channel, C to D We the object of the second sec |
| 0.4 | 110 | 0.0270 | 4.6 | 30.53 | W≃10.00' D=1.00' Area=5.7 st Perim=10.3' n= 0.040 Parabolic Channel, D to E |
| 0.3 | 75 | 0.0200 | 4.0 | 0.79 | W≃10.00' D=1.00' Area≃6.7 sf Perim=10.3' n= 0.040 Circular Channel (pipe), E to F |
| | 455 | Total | | | Diam= 6.0" Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13' n= 0.013 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Re | ach Stud | dy Point: (new node) |
| Inflow | = | 3.54 cfs @ | 12.34 | i hrs, Volu | me≃ 0.662 af |
| Outflow | = | 3.54 cfs @ | 12.34 | hrs, Volu | ime≃ 0,662 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |
| Routing I | by Stor-ir | nd+Trans me | thod, T | ime Span= | 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| | | | | Pond | 1P: (new node) |
| Infiow | = | 5.91 cfs @ | 12.06 | Sihrs, Volu | ıme≔ 0.412 af |
| Outflow | \$ | 2.09 cfs @ | 12.29 | 9 hrs, Volu | ime= 0.402 af, Atten= 65%, Lag= 14.1 min |
| Primary | 2 | 2.09 cfs @ | 12.29 | 9 hrs, Voil | ime= 0.402 af |
| Seconda | iry = | | 5.00 | onis, voit | Ime= 0.000 ai |
| Routing I | by Stor-Ir | nd method, T | ime Sp | an= 5.00-2 | 20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs |
| Peak Ele | v= 78.20 |) Storage≈ 6 | 5,445 c | f | |
| Plug-Flov | w detenti | on time= 80.2 | 2 min c | alculated f | or 0.401 af (97% of inflow) |
| Storage | and wette | ed areas dete | ermined | l by Prísma | atic sections |
| Elevatio | n | Surf Area | I | nc.Store | Cum.Store |
| (fee | t) | <u>(sq-ft)</u> | <u>(CL</u> | ibic-feet) | (cubic-feet) |
| 76.0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |

| 02249 ALLAGASH BREWING post | Type III 24-hr Rainfall=5.5 | 0" (25-Year Storm) |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. | | Page 13 |
| HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microco | mputer Systems | <u>10/19/05</u> |

Primary OutFlow (Free Discharge) 1=Culvert -2=Orifice/Grate -3=Orifice/Grate -4=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir

Secondary OutFlow (Free Discharge)

| <u>#</u> | Routing | _Inv <u>ert</u> | Outlet Devices |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | Primary | 75.90' | 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 |
| | · | | Outlet Invert= 75.85' S= 0.0050 '/' n= 0.011 Cc= 0.900 |
| 2 | Device 1 | 75.90' | 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 |
| 3 | Device 1 | 77.05' | 5.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 |
| 4 | Device 1 | 78.05' | 6.0' long x 1.5' high Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s) |
| 5 | Secondary | 78.25' | 14.0' long x 6.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir |
| | - | | Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 / |
| | | | Coef. (English) 2.37 2.51 2.70 2.68 2.68 2.67 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.66 2.66 2.6 |



02249 ALLAGASH BREWING post Prepared by SEBAGO TECHNICS INC. HydroCAD® 6.00 s/n 000643 © 1986-2001 Applied Microcomputer Systems

Emergency Spillway Page 2 10/19/05

2.6

Pond 1P: (new node)

| Inflow | = | 5.91 cfs @ | 12.06 hrs, | Volume≃ | 0.412 af | | |
|-----------|---|------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Outflow | = | 3.99 cfs @ | 12.15 hrs, | Volume= | 0.254 af, | Atten= 33%, | Lag= 5.2 min |
| Primary | = | 0.00 cfs @ | 5.00 hrs, | Volume≈ | 0.000 af | | - |
| Secondary | = | 3.99 cfs @ | 12.15 hrs | Volume≑ | 0.254 af | | |

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Peak Elev= 78.49' Storage= 8,414 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 154.6 min calculated for 0.253 af (61% of inflow) Storage and wetted areas determined by Prismatic sections

| Elevation | Surf.Area | Inc.Store | Cum.Store |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|
| <u>(f</u> eet) | (sq-ft) | <u>(cubic-feet)</u> | (cubic-feet) |
| 76.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77.00 | 2,530 | 1,265 | 1,265 |
| 78.00 | 5,205 | 3,868 | 5,133 |
| 79.00 | 8,150 | 6,678 | - 11,810 |

Primary OutFlow (Free Discharge)

-1=Culvert

-2=Orifice/Grate

-3=Orifice/Grate

-4=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir

Secondary OutFlow (Free Discharge) 1-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir

| # | _Routing | <u>Invert</u> | Outlet Devices |
|---|-----------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Primary | 75.90 | 15.0" x 10.0' long Culvert X 0.00 RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 |
| | • | | Outlet Invert= 75.85' S= 0.0050 '/' n= 0.011 Cc= 0.900 |
| 2 | Device 1 | 75.90 | 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C≈ 0.600 |
| 3 | Device 1 | 77.05' | 5.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 |
| 4 | Device 1 | 78.05 | 6.0' long x 1.5' high Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s) |
| 5 | Secondary | 78.25 | 14.0' long x 6.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir |
| | • | | Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 4 |
| | | | Coef (English) 237 251 270 268 268 267 265 265 265 265 266 266 26 |



10

FOOTING SCHEDULE

| SIZE | BOTTOM |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3'-0" x 3'-0" x 1'-0" | (4) #5's E.W. |
| 4'-6" x 4'-6" x 1'-2" | (5) #5's E.W. |
| 5-0" x 5-0" x 1'-2" | (6) #5's E.W. |
| 6'-0" x 6'-0" x1'-2" | (6) #6's E.W. |
| 7'-0" x 7'-0" x 1'-2" | (10) #5's E.W. |
| 3'-0" x 5'-0" x 1'-0" | (6) #5's S.W. (4) #5's L.W. |
| 3'-0"x7'-6" | (8) #5's S.W. (4) #5's L.W |

PIER SCHEDULE

| SIZE | BOTTOM |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| 12" x 12" | (4) #5's VERT, #3 TIES AT 12" O.C. |
| 12" x 18" | (4) #5 U BARS, #3 TIES AT 12" O.C. |
| 14" x 20" | (5) #5 U BARS, #3 TIES AT 12" O.C. |
| 16" x 24" | (6) #5 U-bars. #3 ties at 12" o.c |

Relocate existing underdrain near line 1,a to allow for new hoting installation
F.F. Indicates finished floor

PROJECT DESIGNER / PROJECT COORDINATO LANGFORD AND LOW MASTER OF ARCHITECTURE, TULANE UNIVERSI BACHELOR OF ARCHITECTURE, TULANE UNIVERSI REVIEWED BY JOB NUMBER 1028

LANGFORD LOW

DRAWN BY GABRIELLE RUSSELL, LEED AP

GENERAL CONTRACT

Tale, Orandeos allocations' Falls And Tool and RELATED REPORT IN PROPERTY OF CARDYON AND USE MC. AND DIRECTORY OF AND OR OTHER REPORT. THE DRAFT WAT THE COMMENT OF COMPLEMENT CO. THE DRAFT WAT THE COMMENT

DRAWING STATUS

- OFFICE REVIEW CLIENT REVIEW
- PERMIT SET, FOUNDATION ONLY
- BID / ESIMATING SET
- CONTRACT DRAWINGS
- CONSTRUCTION SET

CONSULTANTS

T.NH. AMO

WE'N. --

LICENSED PROFESSIONAL'S SEAL

PROJECT NAME & LOCATION

ALLAGASH BREWERY Addition 50 INDUSTRIAL WAY PORTLAND, MAINE

DRAWING TITLE Foundation & 1st Floor Plans

DATE

JULY 20, 2010

REVISIONS

12

in the second

Sec. -

SCALE

AS NOTED

SHEET NUMBER

A2.1

Extend existing sprinkler system into new space to meet all state and local codes

Utilize process energy and alternate energy sources where possible

AWI Stainless steel 12" manufacturing floor drains (3), pitch concrete to drains.



