GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT PROPOSED BUILDING ACADEMY FOR ACTIVE LEARNERS 134 WARREN AVENUE PORTLAND, MAINE

Prepared for:

Delta Realty 380 Warren Avenue Portland, Maine

Prepared by:

Ransom Consulting, Inc.

Pease International Tradeport 112 Corporate Drive Portsmouth, New Hampshire 603.436.1490

> Project 151.06127 January 8, 2016



Kenneth W. Milender, P.G., P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer

D. Jun

Jay P. Johonnett, P.E. Project Engineer

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Ransom Consulting, Inc. (Ransom) has prepared this project Geotechnical Engineering Report for the proposed development of the property located at 134 Warren Avenue in Portland, Maine (referred to as the "Site" in this report). This report presents our findings and geotechnical recommendations for design and construction of the proposed project.

The Site is located in a mixed commercial/residential area of Portland, Maine. The Site is situated on the south side of Warren Avenue adjacent to Keeley's Catering. The Site is a rectangular shaped 1.30 acre lot with a street address of 134 Warren Avenue. The parcel is primarily vegetated on the southern 1/2 of the Site and gravel parking with some grass on the northerly half of the Site adjacent to Warren Avenue. The northern portion is currently being used as overflow parking for the abutting Keeley's Catering.

Topographic survey of the Site indicates that the topography of the Site ranges from approximately 104 feet above mean sea level (MSL) on the northern portion of the Site adjacent to Warrant Avenue, to approximately 93 feet above MSL at the southern Site boundary. Regional topography generally slopes downward to the southeast, towards the Back Cove area.

The Site property is proposed to be developed into a daycare facility. The proposed development includes a single story daycare building with a playground. In addition to the proposed building, the redevelopment will include asphalt-paved areas for parking north, south, and west of the proposed daycare building and an entrance drive from Warren Avenue. Proposed finished floor elevation is planned to be elevation (El.) 103.25 feet. Based on existing Site topography, cuts and fills of generally 1 to 2 feet or less will be required within the proposed building footprint to achieve design grades.

Subsurface explorations generally encountered surficial layers (gravel, topsoil) underlain by Fill Materials, glaciomarine clay, and bedrock. Drilling refusals were encountered at depths ranging from approximately 5 to 18 feet below the existing grades. It could not be determined whether the drilling refusals were the result of encountering competent bedrock, large boulders, or very dense soils at all locations. However, it is our opinion that the deeper drilling refusals (depths ranging from 11 to 18 feet below existing grade, were on the top of the competent bedrock surface, and the shallow refusals may have been on boulders or very dense soils. Groundwater was encountered within the explorations at depths ranging from 10 to 14.5 feet below grade.

The proposed buildings could be supported on continuous and spread footings bearing on a minimum 12-inch thick layer of compacted structural fill placed above undisturbed, inorganic, native glaciomarine clay soil. Ground floors could be constructed as slabs-on-grade. We anticipate that the existing Fill Materials encountered beneath the proposed floor slab could be suitable to remain in-place with the approval of the project geotechnical engineer.

The clay soils that will be excavated are not suitable for reuse as common fill at the Site. The existing Fill Materials that will be excavated might be suitable for reuse as common fill below non-structural areas and landscaped areas. Structural fill will need to be imported to the Site from off-site borrow sources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTI	RODUCTION	1
2.0	SITE	AND PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS	2
	2.1	Existing Conditions	2
	2.2	Proposed Redevelopment	2
3.0	SUB	SURFACE INVESTIGATION	3
4.0	SUB	SURFACE CONDITIONS	4
	4.1	Subsurface Soils	4
	4.2	Groundwater	5
5.0	ENG	INEERING EVALUATIONS	6
6.0	DES	IGN RECOMMENDATIONS	7
	6.1	Building Foundations	7
	6.2	Floor Slabs	7
	6.3	Seismic Considerations	8
	6.4	Groundwater and Drainage Issues	8
	6.5	Bedrock	8
7.0	EAR	THWORK AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS	9
	7.1	Subgrade Preparation	9
	7.2	Earthwork in Wet Environments	10
	7.3	Temporary Excavations	10
	7.4	Dewatering and Surface Runoff Control	10
	7.5	Placement of Granular Engineered Fills	11
	7.6	Reuse of Site Soils	12
	7.7	Underground Utilities	12
	7.8	Construction Quality Control	12
8.0	CON	CLUDING COMMENTS	13

FIGURES:

Figure 1.	Site Location Map
Figure 2.	Subsurface Exploration Plan

APPENDICES:

Appendix A. Exploration Logs

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Ransom Consulting, Inc. (Ransom) has prepared this project Geotechnical Engineering Report for the proposed development of the property located at 134 Warren Avenue in Portland, Maine (referred to as the "Site" in this report). This geotechnical report has been prepared in general accordance with our September 3, 2015 Proposal for Engineering Services.

This geotechnical engineering evaluation was performed to obtain site-specific subsurface soil information and to make geotechnical evaluations and recommendations for the proposed Academy for Active Learners building construction. As completed, Ransom's scope of services included the following items:

- 1. Subcontracting and coordinating with a drilling contractor, marking the Site for utility clearance, and contacting the underground utility clearance system as required by law.
- 2. Providing technical monitoring for the subsurface explorations, obtaining soil samples, and preparing test boring logs.
- 3. Evaluating the field data with respect to the proposed development and preparing this report of our findings, evaluations, and recommendations for the proposed design and construction.

2.0 SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

The Site is located in a mixed commercial/residential area of Portland, Maine. The City of Portland Assessor's office identifies the Site as 295-G-1 with a corresponding street address of 134 Warren Avenue. A Site Location Map and a Subsurface Exploration Plan showing the existing conditions and the proposed Site layout are provided as Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

2.1 Existing Conditions

The site is a rectangular shaped 1.30 acre lot located on the south side of Warren Avenue. The parcel is primarily vegetated (wooded/scrub brush) on the southern half of the Site and gravel parking with some grass on the northern half of the site adjacent to Warren Avenue. The northern portion is currently being used as overflow parking for an abutting business (Keeley's Catering).

Our current understanding of the existing Site layout and grades is based on review of "Existing Conditions Survey" (dated October 9, 2015), prepared by Titcomb Associates of Falmouth, Maine.

The Site is located on the Portland West, Maine U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle. Topographic survey of the Site indicates that the topography of the Site ranges from approximately 104 feet above mean sea level (MSL) on the northern portion of the Site adjacent to Warren Avenue, to approximately 92 feet above MSL at the southwest Site corner, as referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). Regional topography generally slopes downward to the southwest, with surface drainage to the Fore River.

2.2 Proposed Redevelopment

The Site property is proposed to be developed into a daycare facility. The proposed development includes a single story daycare building with a playground. In addition to the proposed building, the redevelopment will include asphalt-paved areas for parking north, south, and west of the proposed daycare building and an entrance drive from Warren Avenue (Figure 2). Proposed preliminary grading and drainage plans prepared by Ransom as part of our civil design services on behalf of Delta Realty indicate that the proposed building will have a finished floor elevation of 103.25 feet above MSL. Cuts and fills of generally 1 to 2 feet will be required within the proposed building footprint and parking areas to achieve design grades.

3.0 SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

Ransom's geotechnical subsurface exploration program for the Site was conducted on December 10, 2015, and consisted of the advancement of seven test borings (designated B101 through B107), as shown on Figure 2. The explorations were not surveyed; their locations and elevations should be considered approximate.

Test drilling was performed by Technical Drilling Services of Sterling, Massachusetts utilizing 4 1/4-inch inside-diameter hollow-stem augers. Split-barrel sampling with standard penetration testing (ASTM D 1586), using a 140-pound drive hammer, was conducted at 2-foot intervals from ground surface to depths of 4 to 7 feet below ground surface (bgs), and at 5-foot intervals thereafter to the bottoms of the borings.

A Ransom representative monitored the subsurface explorations and prepared boring logs. Soil samples were placed in sealed containers and returned to Ransom's office for further evaluation. Soil samples were visually classified in general accordance with visual manual procedures (ASTM D 2488) and described using modified Burmister Soil Classification System descriptors. Exploration logs are included in Appendix A.

4.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Subsurface conditions at the Site were characterized by drilling into the unconsolidated, overburden soil formations at accessible locations at the Site property. Figure 2 illustrates the existing Site features, proposed building and Site layout, and approximate test boring locations. The general characteristics of the subsurface strata are described below. Refer to the logs in Appendix A for more detailed soil descriptions at specific locations and depths.

4.1 Subsurface Soils

Test borings were advanced to depths ranging from approximately 5 to 18 feet below existing grades. The subsurface explorations generally encountered surficial layers of gravel or topsoil underlain by Fill Materials, glaciomarine clay, and bedrock. The general characteristics of the subsurface strata are described below in order of increasing depth encountered below the ground surface. Figure 2 illustrates the existing Site layout and approximate test boring locations.

Surficial Layers

Gravel or topsoil was penetrated at ground surface in each boring. Gravel thicknesses were measured to be approximately 4 inches thick. Topsoil, where encountered, was approximately 2 to 4 inches thick.

Fill Materials

Fill Materials were penetrated in each of the Site test borings (Appendix A). The Fill Materials generally consisted of 1 to 3 feet of brown/gray sand and gravel; or brown/gray clay with some sand and gravel. Asphalt fragments were observed in the Fill Materials at boring B102. Based on visual classification, the Fill Materials are designated as SW and CL under the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Standard penetration testing indicated that the Fill Materials were generally in a loose to medium dense relative density condition.

Glaciomarine Clay

A native, soft to stiff brown/gray silty clay deposit was encountered immediately below the Fill Materials. The thickness of this stratum ranged from approximately 10 feet (in B103) to 15 feet (in B104). Based on the visual classification, the native clay is a low-plasticity clay (CL) and non-plastic silt (ML). This clay formation is typically referred to as the Presumpscot Formation, and is encountered in the coastal areas of eastern New England that were formally submerged sea floor. Standard penetration testing indicates that the native glaciomarine clay is soft to stiff, which indicates that the clay is generally overconsolidated.

Glacial Till

Glacial till was encountered only in boring B104, at a depth of approximately 15 to 18 feet. The till consisted of brown, medium dense, clayey sand with trace amounts of gravel.

Drilling Refusal/Bedrock Surface

Drilling refusal, the depth at which the drilling equipment was not able to penetrate the deeper geologic units, was encountered in each of the soil borings. The depths of refusal were approximately 5 to 18 feet below existing grades. It could not be determined whether the drilling refusals were the result of

encountering competent bedrock, large boulders, or very dense soils at all locations. However, it is our opinion that the deeper drilling refusals (depths ranging from 11 to 18 feet below existing grade, elevations of 85 to 92 feet above MSL) were on the top of the competent bedrock surface, and the shallow refusals observed at borings B102 and B106 (depths of 7.5 to 5 feet below existing grades, respectively) were likely on boulders.

4.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered in the Site test borings at depths ranging from approximately 10 to 14.5 feet bgs, corresponding to elevations ranging from approximately 87 to 93 feet above MSL. Note that groundwater levels at the Site will fluctuate due to season, temperature, precipitation, nearby underground utilities, and construction activity. Therefore, water levels at other times may differ from the observations and measurements made during drilling.

5.0 ENGINEERING EVALUATIONS

Geotechnical engineering evaluations for this project are based on the subsurface conditions interpreted from and between widely spaced subsurface explorations and the design information currently available. Should differing information become known prior to or during construction, the following evaluations and recommendations should be reviewed by Ransom.

The proposed daycare facility building footprint is generally underlain by a layer of Fill Materials, overlying glaciomarine clay, and bedrock. The controlling geotechnical features on the development of the Site are:

- 1. Fill Materials. Fill Materials were observed in Site borings within the proposed building area to depths of 1 to 3 feet below existing Site grades. Deeper pockets of Fill Materials to depths of approximately 7 feet are possible. Fill Materials were generally observed to range in density from loose to medium dense. It is unlikely that the Fill Materials were placed and compacted under controlled conditions. These soils are considered to be unsuitable for providing support to the foundation elements.
- 2. Foundation Bearing Soils. The naturally occurring overconsolidated glaciomarine clay soils are considered the uppermost suitable bearing stratum at this Site. The proposed building could be supported on a conventional, shallow foundation system of spread and continuous footings bearing on a minimum 12-inch thick layer of compacted structural fill or crushed stone placed above undisturbed, inorganic, native glaciomarine clay soils.

6.0 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the subsurface explorations and our geotechnical evaluations, Ransom presents the following recommendations for the design of the proposed Academy for Active Learners daycare facility on Warren Avenue in Portland, Maine.

6.1 Building Foundations

The Site subsurface conditions generally include up to approximately 1 to 3 feet of Fill Materials overlying glaciomarine clay, and bedrock. Deeper pockets of Fill Materials to depths of approximately 7 feet are possible. The Fill Materials are considered to be unsuitable for supporting the proposed building, and Fill Materials that are encountered at foundation levels should be removed from below foundation elements and replaced with compacted structural fill.

With proper preparation, the proposed building could be supported on continuous and spread footings, bearing on a minimum 12-inch thick layer of compacted structural fill or crushed stone placed above undisturbed, inorganic, native glaciomarine clay soils.

Foundation elements should be proportioned using a maximum allowable contact pressure of 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf). Spread footings should be at least 2 feet wide, and continuous footings should be at least 2 feet wide. Post-construction total and differential settlements are anticipated to be no more than 1 inch and 0.5 inch, respectively.

Lateral loads may be resisted by friction between the bottoms of footings and supporting subgrades, and by passive earth pressure against the sides of the foundation. A friction coefficient of 0.35 and an equivalent fluid unit weight of 150 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) against the sides of footings should be used.

Exterior footings should be placed a minimum of 4.5 feet below the lowest adjacent ground surface exposed to freezing conditions. At heated interior locations, footings may be designed to bear 2 feet below the top of ground floor slab. If exposure to freezing is anticipated during or after construction, interior footings should be lowered to bear 5 feet below the top of ground floor slab.

6.2 Floor Slabs

Subsurface conditions are suitable for a slab-on-grade ground floor. The uppermost 12 inches of material beneath all slabs-on-grade should consist of compacted structural fill that conforms to the gradation specification in this report. A modulus of subgrade reaction of 200 pounds per cubic inch (pci) should be used to proportion the slab-on-grade constructed on properly compacted structural fill.

We anticipate that the existing Fill Materials encountered beneath the proposed floor slab could be suitable to remain in-place with the on-site observation and approval of the project geotechnical engineer.

Exterior slabs at entrances should be underlain by at least 5 feet of free-draining material, such as structural fill or crushed stone, to reduce the potential for frost heaving. Surrounding Site grades should slope away from the building in order to reduce available moisture for frost and ice formation.

6.3 Seismic Considerations

For the purposes of seismic design, the soil profile constitutes a stiff soil profile and we classify the Site as Site Class D. It is our opinion that the Site soils are not susceptible to liquefaction.

6.4 Groundwater and Drainage Issues

Groundwater was encountered in the Site test borings at depths ranging from approximately 10 to 14.5 feet bgs, which correspond to elevations ranging from 87 to 93 feet above MSL. For reference, the proposed finished floor elevation of the Site building is approximately 103 feet above MSL.

Based on the measurements to the groundwater, the poor drainage characteristics of the Site soils, and the likely foundation elevations, it is our opinion that subslab drainage and/or vapor barrier systems are not necessary at this Site. We do recommend that a perimeter foundation drainage system be installed around the building.

Perimeter Foundation Drain

The perimeter drainage system should consist of 4-inch diameter, rigid polyvinyl chloride (PVC) SDR35 pipe with perforations of ¹/₄ to ¹/₂ inch (openings should be oriented downward). The drain lines should be surrounded by a minimum of 6 inches of ³/₄-inch crushed stone wrapped in a nonwoven geotextile filter fabric (Mirafi 140N or approved equivalent). The foundation drains should be placed adjacent to the exterior sides of the spread footings at a minimum depth of 5 feet below adjacent exterior grades to protect against frost.

Where possible, the foundation drains should be pitched down at a minimum slope of 0.5 percent in the direction of flow. Cleanouts should be provided at every other 90 degree bend in order to provide for maintenance flushing of the system as needed.

The foundation drains should be gravity drained to daylight or to a suitable system outlet. The final outlet of the drainage systems should be designed by the project civil engineer in consideration of all applicable municipal, state, and federal regulations.

Roof downspout drains should not be connected to the foundation drain system. Roof downspouts should be separately tightlined to their discharge outlets.

6.5 Bedrock

Drilling refusal was encountered within all the Site borings at depths between approximately 5 feet to 18 feet. It is our opinion that the deeper drilling refusals (depths ranging from 11 to 18 feet below existing grade, elevations of 85 to 92 feet above MSL) were on the top of the competent bedrock surface, and the shallow refusals observed at borings B102 and B106 (depths of 7.5 to 5 feet below existing grades, respectively) were likely on boulders. Due to the depth at which suspected bedrock was encountered, we do not consider bedrock removal to be a construction consideration for this project.

7.0 EARTHWORK AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the subsurface explorations and our geotechnical evaluations, Ransom presents the following recommendations for the construction of the proposed Academy for Active Learners daycare facility on Warren Avenue in Portland, Maine.

7.1 Subgrade Preparation

All topsoil, pavements, debris, frozen soils, and loose or disturbed soils should be removed from the building footprint Existing foundations, slabs, and/or utilities (including old septic systems) associated with former Site buildings and any past uses should be removed from below the building footprint and from foundation bearing zones (to the lateral limits defined by a one horizontal to one vertical (1H:1V) line sloped down and away from the bottom edge of foundations to the top of undisturbed native soils) and replaced with compacted structural fill.

Based on the test borings, we anticipate removal of Fill Materials from foundation bearing zones should be approximately 3 feet or less within the proposed building footprint. Deeper pockets of Fill Materials to depths of approximately 7 feet are possible. The existing Fill Materials could be suitable to remain in place below floor slabs, provided it is observed by the project geotechnical engineer to be free of deleterious and/or organic materials and relatively dry and stable at the time of construction. Further undercutting of Fill Materials encountered below proposed floor slabs might be required based on field evaluations.

After Site stripping has been completed, the exposed soil subgrades beneath the proposed building footprint and 10 feet beyond, parking lots, loading areas, and driveways should be compacted with at least four complete passes of a 15-ton vibratory drum roller in perpendicular directions. Subgrades that are saturated or pump and weave during rolling should be rolled statically.

Unstable subgrade areas should be characterized by weaving or rutting of more than one inch during proofrolling. Any unstable areas identified should be undercut at least 12 inches, or to competent soil, and replaced with compacted structural fill or crushed stone. The depth of undercutting and type of backfill material should be selected with consideration of proposed use (i.e., building or pavement) and soil and weather conditions encountered during construction. Where subgrades become saturated, unstable, and/or difficult to compact, crushed stone should be placed and compacted in lieu of structural fill. Crushed stone, when used, should be wrapped in a geotextile filter fabric, such as Mirafi 140N or equal. At no time should structural fill or common fill be placed over crushed stone that has not been wrapped in a geotextile filter fabric.

The contractor is responsible for construction means and methods and should anticipate the need for methods to prevent disturbance, softening, or rutting of subgrades, or damage to soils resulting from construction traffic. Care must be taken to avoid disturbing subgrades by keeping construction traffic off of subgrades during wet conditions and/or inclement weather until a firm fill layer has been placed. Subgrade soils that become unstable should be undercut and replaced with structural fill, crushed stone or common fill, as necessary.

Excavations for foundation, floor slab, pavement and utility trench subgrades should be made with equipment fitted with smooth-edged buckets to limit disturbance to the native subgrades.

Suitable foundation subgrades should consist of compacted structural fill placed above undisturbed native soils. Existing Fill Materials could be left in-place, undisturbed, below building slab areas with the approval of the project geotechnical engineer, but should be removed from below the building foundation elements.

Final foundation and floor slab subgrade preparation should include re-compaction of bearing surfaces. Care should be taken to limit disturbance to bearing surfaces prior to placement of concrete. Any loose, softened, or disturbed material should be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill or crushed stone prior to placement of concrete. Excavated subgrades should not be left exposed overnight unless the forecast calls for above-freezing, clear conditions.

7.2 Earthwork in Wet Environments

Foundation subgrade soils contain silt and clay. Care must be taken to avoid disturbing subgrades by keeping construction traffic off of the silty clay subgrades during wet conditions and/or inclement weather until a firm fill layer has been placed. To reduce disturbance of exposed subgrade soils, it will be important to divert runoff, provide positive grading to shed seepage and runoff, and to compact exposed subgrades to reduce rutting, ponding, and surface water infiltration.

The native silty clay soils may be sensitive to moisture and difficult to place and compact during wet weather and freezing conditions. Moisture-density relationships (Proctor tests) should be determined at the start of construction to determine the appropriate range of working moisture contents.

7.3 Temporary Excavations

Construction Site safety, means and methods, and sequencing of construction activities is the sole responsibility of the Contractor. Under no circumstances should the following information be interpreted to mean that Ransom is assuming responsibility for construction Site safety, trench protection, or the Contractor's responsibilities. Such responsibility is not being implied and should not be inferred.

All temporary excavations should be performed according to Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Standards (29 CFR 1926 Subpart P). It is our opinion that the on-site soils are OSHA Type C soils. Temporary unbraced excavations completely within the Fill Materials and native soils should be cut no steeper than 1½H:1V under dry or dewatered conditions.

7.4 Dewatering and Surface Runoff Control

Groundwater was encountered in the test borings at depths ranging from approximately 10 to 14.5 feet below existing grades. We do not anticipate that groundwater will be encountered in foundation and utility excavations.

Surface water runoff should be directed away from excavations to reduce dewatering efforts and to protect subgrades from becoming soft and unstable. The contractor should anticipate the need for controlling runoff during wet periods; pumping from open sumps will likely provide adequate control of water within excavations during construction.

Earthwork should be completed "in the dry." Subgrade soils that become unstable should be undercut and replaced with structural fill or crushed stone, as necessary. Excavation side slopes should be monitored for potential seepage and maintained to promote stability, accordingly.

Temporary detention ponds, trenches, ditches, and dewatering sumps should not be made in areas to be filled.

7.5 Placement of Granular Engineered Fills

Engineered fills may be required to achieve the final design grades in areas of the proposed Site development. The table below is the gradation specifications for soils used in fills at the Site. Reference is made to materials, described by the Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT) Standard Specifications, as possible alternatives. The different fill types should be used as follows:

- 1. Structural Fill should be used for engineered fills below building footprint areas and in foundation bearing zones.
- 2. Common Fill should be used for engineered fills below roadway, parking, and other nonstructural areas.
- 3. Aggregate Base for Pavements should be used as the base course layer below the asphalt pavements.

All granular fills should be placed in 12-inch maximum loose lifts and should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the material's maximum dry density, as determined by ASTM D 1557 (modified proctor test) and confirmed with field density testing (ASTM D 2922 or equivalent method). Lift thickness should be a maximum of 6-inch loose lifts when compacted with hand-guided equipment.

Material	Sieve Size	% Passing
	3" (75 mm)	100
Structural Fill MDOT	1/4" (6.3 mm)	25 - 70
Type C	No. 40 (425 µm)	0 - 30
v 1	No. 200 (75 µm)	0 - 5
	8"	100
Common Fill	No. 200 (75 µm)	0 - 15 when placed within 3 feet of finished grade in paved areas
	2" (50 mm)	100
	1/2" (12.5 mm)	45 - 70
Aggregate Base for Pavements MDOT 703.06. Type A	1/4" (6.3 mm)	30 - 55
11201700100, 19p011	No. 40 (425 µm)	0 - 20
	No. 200 (75 µm)	0 - 5

Where subgrades become saturated, unstable, and/or difficult to compact, crushed stone should be placed and compacted in lieu of structural fill. Crushed stone, when used, should be wrapped in a geotextile filter fabric, such as Mirafi 140N or equal. At no time should structural fill or common fill be placed over crushed stone that has not been wrapped in a geotextile filter fabric.

7.6 Reuse of Site Soils

A preliminary assessment of the suitability of using the unconsolidated soils at the Site in the proposed construction is based on the soil classifications and observations at the Site. The suitability of these materials is summarized below.

- 1. Topsoils are suitable only for reuse in landscaped areas.
- 2. The clay soils that will be excavated are not suitable for reuse as common fill at the Site.
- 3. The existing Fill Materials that will be excavated might be suitable for reuse only as common fill below non-structural areas and landscaped areas.

Materials to be used as structural fill and the pavement base courses will need to be imported to the Site. Representative samples of all proposed fills should be submitted for testing during construction to compare their gradation characteristics to the requirements of the project specifications, and to establish their optimum water contents and maximum dry densities (modified proctor testing, ASTM D 1557). The geotechnical engineer must approve use and reuse of on-site or borrow soils for structural and common fills. Use of fills assumes that the moisture content of the material will be strictly controlled in order to allow for proper placement and compaction.

7.7 Underground Utilities

Bedding placed below utilities should be in accordance with the utility and manufacturer requirements. In general, utilities may be supported directly on a minimum 6-inch-thick layer of compacted structural fill, crushed stone, or other suitable pipe bedding materials. Fill placed as backfill for utilities below building floor slabs should consist of compacted structural fill or crushed stone. Elsewhere, fill placed as backfill for utilities should consist of compacted common fill.

7.8 Construction Quality Control

Ransom should be provided the opportunity to review the final design and specifications to ensure our recommendations have been properly interpreted and applied. It is recommended that all fill, backfill and compaction be inspected and tested by a qualified firm to make sure the proper materials are placed and adequately compacted. Ransom should review all soil inspection and testing reports. Ransom should be retained to provide construction observation for the following aspects of Site development:

- 1. Observe subsurface conditions as they are exposed and to confirm that the exposed conditions are similar to those anticipated within this report;
- 2. Evaluate the existing Fill Materials and determine their suitability to remain in-place below the proposed building slab; and
- 3. Determine the need for additional cut and backfill, or stabilization of subgrades.

8.0 CONCLUDING COMMENTS

This report has been prepared for specific application to the proposed development at 134 Warren Avenue in Portland, Maine as understood by Ransom at the time. In the event that changes in the design or location of the proposed structures are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report should not be considered valid unless they have been reviewed and modified or verified in writing by Ransom. Our recommendations are based in part upon data obtained from widely spaced test borings. The nature and extent of variations between explorations will not become evident until construction. If significant variations then appear, it may be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations of this report.

We recommend that Ransom be provided the opportunity to review the final design plans and project specifications in order to confirm that the recommendations made in this report were interpreted and implemented as intended.

The findings, recommendations, specifications, and professional opinions contained within this project geotechnical report have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical engineering practice. No other warranties are implied or expressed.





0:\ME-DWGS\2015\151.06127\151.06127-GE0.dwg Dec 23, 2015 - 2:28pm

	<u>LEGI</u>	END:
	^{в101} Ф	APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF TEST BORING
		PROPERTY BOUNDARY
, Inc.		UBSURFACE
, Inc.	SU EXPL	UBSURFACE ORATION PLAN
, Inc. R ACTIVE RS AVENUE	DATE: PROJECT:	DECEMBER 2015 151.06127

APPENDIX A

Test Boring Logs

Geotechnical Engineering Report Proposed Building Academy for Active Learners 134 Warren Avenue Portland, Maine

Ransom Consulting, Inc. Project 151.06127

	DANCOM									E	3101	
	Consulting	Reviewed by: JPS	>	Total De	epth:		15.7 F	-eet	Logged I	By:		EPP
I	Engineers	Date Reviewed: 1/5	116	Boring [Diamete	er:	8 Inc	hes	Date Dril	led: 12	ed: 12/10/15 to 12/10/15	
ć	and Scientists	Surface Elevation:	102 Feet	Well Sti	ckup:		NA	4	Driller:	TDS		
DEPTH	DESCRIF Based on USCS Burmister Soil Class	PTION and modified ification System	SOIL I	PROFILE		SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOWS (per 6")	SPT-N Value	PENETRATION/ RECOVERY	OVM (ppm) / DEXSIL (ppm)	WELL CONSTRUCTION
1	S1 (0-2') 2" Brown, sandy LO brown/gray, very loose SANE Fines.	AM, over 13"), some Gravel, some	Fill		XXXXX		S1	2-2-2- 6	4	24/15		
- 2 3	2 S2 (2-4') No recovery. 3 S3 (4-6') 4" Brown, very loose SAND, some Fines and Brick fragments (maybe sluff), over 13" brown/gray, soft Clay.						S2	7-5-2-	. 7	24/0		
4 5			Glaciom	arine Cla	N XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		S3	2 2-1-1- 1	2	24/17		
— 6— — 7—						×						
- 8	Auger to TU.											
9 10	S4 (10-12') Mottled gray/brow fine sand.	n, stiff CLAY, trace			×	\approx						
—11— —12—							S4	1-5-6- 9	11	24/24		
—13—												
	S5 (15-15.7') Brown SAND, w	veathered BEDROCK	Weather	ed Bedroo	ck 🎖	X	S5	81- 100/2"	100+	24/10		
—16— —17—	Spoon refusal, end of explora	tion 15.7'.										
—1 8 —												1
—19—												
WATE	R LEVELS:	ite:	WELL LEGEN	D:				777	[=··]		 ==	
1	During Drilling End of Boring Date: 14.5'			ative Fill	Bento	onite	Benton	/// ite Grou	it Concret	e PVC	■ Screen P	 VC Riser
NOTES: 1. Boring advanced using hollow-stem auger drilling techniques. 2. Soil samples collected with 2" diameter split-spoon sampler driv falling 30". 3. NA=Not applicable; NM=not measured; NE=not encountered.			n by 140 lb. ham	ımer	CLIE Delta SITE Acad	NT: a Re	alty y for A	ctive L	earners	3		
				-	Portla	and,	, ME	1	51.06127	Pag		1

								-	E	3102	
	Consulting	Reviewed by:	24C	Total Dep	pth:	7.5	Feet	Logged E	By:		EPP
	Engineers	Date Reviewed:	15/14	Boring Di	iameter	r: 8 Inc	ches	Date Dril	Date Drilled: 12/1		12/10/15
ć	and Scientists	Surface Elevation:	104 Feet	Well Stic	kup:	N	A	Driller:	TDS		
рертн	DESCRIF Based on USCS Burmister Soil Class	TION and modified ification System	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOWS (per 6")	SPT-N Value	PENETRATION/ RECOVERY	OVM (ppm) / DEXSIL (ppm)	VELL CONSTRUCTION
— 1—	S1 (0-2') 4" Brown, sandy LO CLAY, some Sand, Gravel ar		Fill		S1	1-1-1	- 2	24/9		70	
— 2— — 3—	S2 (2-4') Brown, very stiff CL/ gravel.	Glacior	narine Clay		S2	4-7-8	- 15	24/20			
— 4— — 5—	4 S3 (4-6') No recovery.			ine Clav/Rr			1-2-3	- 5	24/0		
- 6	S4 (6-7.5') 8" Gray CLAY, littl	e fine sand, moist to	Clacional	ine olayirte		50 2 2 3 54	4-3-	" 100+	17/9		
— 7— — 8—	Auger refusal, end of explorat	,. ion 7.5'.					100/0				
9											
—10—											
-11											
12											
—13—											
-16-											
—17—											
-19-											
WATE	R LEVELS:		WELL LEGEN	ID:							
During I	Drilling End of Boring Da NE	te:	Filter Sand	ative Fill	Bentoni			t Concrete			VC Riser
NOTES	<u>.</u>				CLIEN	IT:	inte Grot				0 1/1501
1. Borir 2. Soil	NOTES: 1. Boring advanced using hollow-stem auger drilling techniques. 2. Soil samples collected with 2" diameter split-spoon sampler driv			nmer	Delta F	Realty					
falling 3 3. NA=	30". Not applicable; NM=not measured;	NE=not encountered.			Acade 134 W Portlar	my for A arren A nd, ME	Active I venue	Learners			
					Project	No.:	1	51.06127	Page); ;	1

	BANCOM			<u> </u>							E	3103	
	Consulting	Reviewed by:	UPS		Total De	epth:		11 F	eet	Logged E	By:	B103 EPP 12/10/15 to 12/10/ S 12/10/15 to 12/10/ S AU ONU DNAL CONSLET VIO	
Ē	Engineers	Date Reviewed:	1/5/16		Boring [Diame	ter:	8 Inc	hes	Date Dril	ed: 12/	10/15 to	12/10/15
a	and Scientists	Surface Elevation:	103 Fe	eet	Well Sti	ckup:		NA	4	Driller:	TDS		
DЕРТН	DESCRIP Based on USCS Burmister Soil Class	TION and modified iffication System		SOIL P	ROFILE		SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOWS (per 6")	SPT-N Value	PENETRATION/ RECOVERY	OVM (ppm) / DEXSIL (ppm)	WELL CONSTRUCTION
— 1—	S1 (0-2') 4" Brown, well graded SAND and GRAVEL, over 6" brown, very stiff CLAY, some Sand, little gravel.			Fill				S1	2-8-10 9	- 18	24/10		
— 2— — 3—	S2 (2-4') Gray, medium stiff CLAY, trace fine sand.							S2	6-6-2- 3	8	24/12		
— 4— — 5—	S3 (4-6') Gray, medium stiff C	CLAY.		Glacioma	arine Cla	у		S3	3-4-4-	8	24/24		
— 6— — 7—	A					ŝ	**						
- 8	Auger to 10.												
- 9													
10	S4 (10-10.9') 7" Light brown (light brown, poorly graded, fin	CLAY, wet, over 12" e SAND, little fine silt,		Glacioma	arine Cla	У	\bigotimes	S4	10- 100/5'	100+	19/17		
	Auger refusal, end of explorat	lion 10.9'.											
—14—								İ					
—17—													
-18-													
—19—													
WATE	R LEVELS:		WELL	LEGEN	D:								
During	Drilling End of Boring Da 10'	ate:	Filter	Sand Na	ative Fill	Ben	tonite	Bentor	//// hite Grou	it Concret	e PVC S	Screen P	VC Riser
NOTES: 1. Boring advanced using hollow-stem auger drilling techniques.						CLI Delt	ENT ta Re	: ealty					
 Soling advanced using holiow-stern adger drining techniques. Soil samples collected with 2" diameter split-spoon sampler driv falling 30". NA=Not applicable; NM=not measured; NE=not encountered. 				ŀ0 lb. ham	mer	SIT Aca 134 Port	E: dem Wa tland	y for A rren A , ME	Active I venue	_earners			
						Proje	ect N	o.:	1	51.06127	Page	e:	1

	SANCOM	24	m					B104				
	Consulting	Reviewed by:	1	Total D	epth:	18	eet	Logged	By:		EPP	
	Engineers	Date Reviewed: 01/0	08/2016	Boring	Diameter	r: 8 Ine	ches	Date Dri	lled: 12	/10/15 to	12/10/15	
'		Surface Elevation:	103 Feet	Well Sti	ickup:	N	A	Driller:	TDS			
DEPTH	DESCRIF Based on USCS Burmister Soil Class	TION and modified ification System	SOIL	SOIL PROFILE			BLOWS	SPT-N Value	PENETRATION/ RECOVERY	DVM (ppm) / DEXSIL (ppm)	VELL	
- 1-	S1 (0-2') 4" Brown, well grade GRAVEL, over 11" dark gray, sand and gravel.	ed SAND and very stiff CLAY, little		Fill	X	S1	4-9-10)- 19	24/15		>0	
— 2— — 3—	S2 (2-4') Dark gray, stiff CLA' gravel.						- 14	24/22				
— 4— — 5—	S3 (4-6') Gray, medium denso CLAY.	Glaciom	arine Cla	ay 🕺	S3	11-10 9-7	19	24/13				
— 6— — 7—	Auger to 10'				×							
— 8— — 9—											-	
	S4 (10-12') Brown/gray, stiff C SAND, moist to wet.	CLAY, trace fine	Glaciom	arine Cla	y X	S4	4-5-10 7	- 15	24/24			
—1 3 —												
— 1 5 —	S5 (15-17') Light brown, medi some Clay, trace gravel, wet.	um dense, fine SAND,	Glad	cial Till		S5	S5 13-11-	27	24/16			
—17—												
-18-	Auger refusal, end of exploration	on 18'.										
19												
WATE	R LEVELS:			D:		I						
During	Drilling End of Boring Dai 13'	Filter Sand Na	ative Fill	Bentoni	ite Benton	/// ite Grou	t Concrete	PVC S	Creen P	/C Riser		
NOTES 1. Borir 2. Soil falling 3 3. NA=	S: ng advanced using hollow-stem aug samples collected with 2" diameter s 30". Not applicable; NM=not measured; l	en by 140 lb. ham	mer	CLIEN Delta F SITE: Acader 134 W Portlan	T: Realty my for A arren Av nd, ME No.:	ctive L /enue	earners	Page		1		

	DANSOM							E	3105			
	Consulting	JPJ	Total D	Depth:	12	eet	Logged	By:		EPP		
	Engineers	Date Reviewed:	1/5/16	Boring	Diameter	: 8 In	ches	Date Dri	led: 12/	: 12/10/15 to 12/10		
		Surface Elevation:	103 Feet	Well S	tickup:	N	A	Driller:	TDS			
ЕРТН	DESCRIF Based on USCS Burmister Soil Class	PTION and modified sification System	SOIL	PROFILI	E Idwa	AMPLE	LOWS ber 6")	PT-N Value	ENETRATION ECOVERY	VM (ppm) / EXSIL (ppm)	ELL DNSTRUCTION	
	S1 (0-2') 4" SAND and GRA	/EL, over 5" brown,					<u> n</u> c	o v	<u>م</u> ۲	00	Šŭ	
1	stiff CLAY, some Sand and G gray CLAY, little sand.	Gravel, over 6" dark		Fill		ğ s1	5-3-8	- 11	24/15			
	- 2					à	10					
	S2 (2-4') Dark gray, CLAY, some Sand, little gravel.					Ž.						
- 3					S2	3-9-16	25	24/16				
- 4	- 4 — S3 (4-6') Dark gray CLAY, some Sand and Gravel.				×	×						
_ 5_				orino Cl	🕅		5-4-3		24/2			
J	- 5				ay 🕅	X	2	'	24/3			
- 6	- 6					×						
7	- 7											
- 8	U											
- 9												
-10-	S4 (10-11.7') Light brown, ha	rd CLAY, some fine			X							
-11-	Sand, moist to wet. Pulverize	ed rock in shoe.	Glaciom	arine Cl	av 🕅	S4	4-16- 15-	31	21/20			
10												
-12-	Auger refusal, end of explorat	ion 12'.				1						
—13—												
—15—												
17												
10												
18												
-19												
WATE	R LEVELS:		WELL LEGEN	D:								
During I	Drilling End of Boring Da	te:			Ľ9	P	777	=				
	12'			ative Fill	Bentoni	te Bentor	nite Grou	t Concret	e PVCS	⊐ Screen P	VC Riser	
NOTES	S:			CLIEN	T:							
1. Borir 2. Soil s	ng advanced using hollow-stem aug samples collected with 2" diameter	er drilling techniques. split-spoon sampler drive	en bv 140 lb. ham	mer	Delta F	Realty						
falling 3	30". Not applicable: NM=not measured:	NE=not encountered			SITE: Acade	my for A	ctive I	eamers				
		State Shoot Horod			134 W	arren A	venue					
					Portlar	id, ME						
				Project	No.:	1:	51.06127	Page		1		

	SANCOM										E	3106	
	Consulting	Reviewed by:	JPJ		Total D	epth:		5 Fe	et	Logged E	Зу:		EPP
I	Engineers	Date Reviewed:	1/5	116	Boring	Diame	eter:	8 Inc	hes	Date Dril	led: 12/	2/10/15 to 12/10/15	
ć	and Scientists	Surface Elevation:	102	Feet	Well St	ickup:		NA	Ą	Driller:	TDS		
DEPTH	DESCRIF Based on USCS Burmister Soil Class	TION and modified iffication System		SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOWS (per 6")	SPT-N Value	PENETRATION/ RECOVERY	OVM (ppm) / DEXSIL (ppm)	VELL
1	S1 (0-2') 4" SAND and GRAVEL, over 11" brown/gray, stiff CLAY, some Sand, little gravel.			Fill			X	<u>e1</u>	18-7-6	- 12	24/15		
2 3	2— S2 (2-3.5') Gray, very stiff CLAY, some Sand, little gravel.			Glaciom	arine Cla	y		S1	5 6-17- 10	27	18/7		
- 4-	Spoon refusal at 4', auger to	5'.											
- 5-	- 5 Auger refusal, end of exploration 5'.												
- 6													
7													
8													
9													
11													
-12-													
—13—												1	
—14—													
—15—													
—16—													
-17-													
—19—													
WATE	R LEVELS:		WE		D:								
During I	Drilling End of Boring Da NE	te:	Filte	er Sand Na	ative Fill	Bent	tonite	Benton		it Concrete	PVC S	Creen P	VC Riser
NOTES	 S:		1 1 100			CLI	ENT	:					
1. Borir 2. Soil	ng advanced using hollow-stem aug samples collected with 2" diameter	jer drilling techniques. split-spoon sampler driv	en bv	140 lb. ham	mer	Delt	a Re	ealty		·····			
 Soil samples collected with 2" diameter split-spoon sampler dri falling 30". NA=Not applicable; NM=not measured; NE=not encountered. 						SITE Aca 134 Port	E: dem Wa⊧ land	y for A rren Av	ctive L venue	.earners			
					ŀ	Proje	ect N	0.:	1	51.06127	Page		1

	DANSOM							E	3107		
	Consulting	Reviewed by:	IPS	Total De	pth:	13.1	Feet	Logged B	Ву:		EPP
H	Engineers	Date Reviewed:	15/16	Boring D	iameter:	8 Inc	hes	Date Dril	led: 12/	10/15 to	12/10/15
c		Surface Elevation:	103 Feet	Well Stic	kup:	N	<u>م</u>	Driller:	TDS		
EPTH	DESCRIF Based on USCS Burmister Soil Class	PTION and modified sification System	SOIL	SOIL PROFILE			LOWS er 6")	PT-N Value	ENETRATION	VM (ppm) / EXSIL (ppm)	ELL DNSTRUCTION
	S1 (0-2') Dark brown, mediun			s S	σZ	_ m ⊕	<u>ہ</u>	<u> </u>	00	≥ŏ	
- 1-	Clay. Cobbles at the surface.			Fill			6-15-5	20	24/7		
— 2—	S2 (2-4') Dark brown, mediun Clay, trace gravel.	n dense SAND, some					5-8-7-				
— 3—						S2	10	15	24/5		
- 4											
5	Auger to 7'.										
6											
7	S3 (7-9') Grav. soft CLAY. littl	e sand and gravel.			88						
8		ů	Glacion	arine Clay	, 💥	S3	1-1-1- 2	2	24/15		
- 9	Auger to 10'.				\sim						
-10-	SA (10, 12') Grove stiff CLAV	little fine cond									
-11-	54 (10-12) Gray, Suil CLAT, 1					S4	1-4-10	- 14	24/24		
—12—							10				
—13—	Auger to 15'.										
	S5 (15-17') No recovery.				8						
-16-						S5	6-8-8- 10	16	24/0		
17					\otimes						
-18-	Auger refusal, end of explorat	ion 18'.									
-19											
WATE	R LEVELS:		WELL LEGEN	D:							
During I	Drilling End of Boring Da 13'	te:				Z		= -			
IU			Filter Sand N	ative Fill	Bentonite	Benton	ite Grou	ut Concrete	e PVCS	creen P	VC Riser
NOTES: 1. Boring advanced using hollow-stem auger drilling techniques.					CLIENT Delta Re	: ∋alty					
2. Soil : falling 3	2. Soil samples collected with 2" diameter split-spoon sampler dri falling 30".			imer	SITE:		- (° 1				
3. NA=	Not applicable; NM=not measured;	NE=not encountered.			Academ 134 Wa	iy for A rren Av	cuve L /enue	_earners			
					Portland	I, ME					
					Project N	0.:	1	51.06127	Page):	1