

2-8 DAVID ROAD

SHAW-WALKER
9203

FROM DECK TO
SIDE OF CURB
15 FT

North

TOP DECKING 2X3X9
UNDERPINNING 2X6X8
RAILING WOULD BE 4 FT HIGH
USING 2X6

HOUSE

RECEIVED

SEP 28 1979

DEPT. OF BLDG. IN.
CITY OF PORTLAND

SIDE OF STREET



APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED

B.O.C.A. USE GROUP

B.O.C.A. TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION

000862

OCT 1 1979

ZONING LOCATION A-3 PORTLAND, MAINE, Sept. 28, 1979

CITY OF PORTLAND

To the DIRECTOR OF BUILDING & INSPECTION SERVICES, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect, alter, repair, demolish, move or install the following building, structure, equipment or change use in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Portland B.O.C.A. Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland with plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

LOCATION 6 David Road 04102 Fire District #1 ☐ #2 ☒
1. Owner's name and address Mario Couturier - same Telephone 775-2602
2. Lessee's name and address Telephone
3. Contractor's name and address Owner Telephone
4. Architect Specifications Plans No. of sheets
Proposed use of building Dwelling with ~~xxxxxx~~ open sun deck No. families 1
Last use dwelling No. families
Material No. stories Heat Style of roof Roofing
Other buildings on same lot Fee \$ 5.50
Estimated contractual cost \$ 200

FIELD INSPECTOR—Mr.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

This application is for

@ 775-5451

Dwelling

Ext 234

Garage

Masonry Bldg.

Metal Bldg.

Alterations

Demolitions

Change of Use

Other open sun deck

To construct sun deck open on rear of dwelling as per plans. 1 sheet of plans. 13 x 8, deck to set on 6in sona tubes 4 ft. below grade Stamp of Special Conditions

NOTE TO APPLICANT: Separate permits are required by the installers and subcontractors of heating, plumbing, electrical and mechanicals.

PERMIT IS TO BE ISSUED TO ☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4

Other:

DETAILS OF NEW WORK

Is any plumbing involved in this work? no Is any electrical work involved in this work? no
Is connection to be made to public sewer? If not, what is proposed for sewage?
Has septic tank notice been sent? Form notice sent?
Height average grade to top of plate Height average grade to highest point of roof
Size, front 8 depth 13 No. stories solid or filled land? earth rock?
Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom cell?
Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering Kind of heat fuel
No. of chimneys Material of chimneys of lining Kind of heat fuel
Framing Lumber—Kind spruce Dressed or full size? Corner posts Sills
Size Girder Columns under girders Size Max. on centers
Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.
Joists and rafters: 1st floor 2nd 3rd roof
On centers: 1st floor 2nd 3rd roof
Maximum span: 1st floor 2nd 3rd roof
If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

IF A GARAGE

No. cars now accommodated on same lot, to be accommodated number commercial cars to be accommodated
Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

APPROVALS BY:

DATE

MISCELLANEOUS

BUILDING INSPECTION—PLAN EXAMINER

ZONING: 11/20/79

BUILDING CODE:

Fire Dept.:

Health Dept.:

Others:

Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street? no

Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

Signature of Applicant

Mario Couturier

Phone # same

Type Name of above

☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4

Other and Address

FIELD INSPECTOR'S COPY

NOTES

10-11-79 Completed - Grad Thesis
Can be used for 2 Decm. 79 - 80
12-19-79 (Completed) - 2p - 1st Stage
Design under North - 1st Stage

10-11-79 Completed - Had them
can under 2 Decm. 7 - 5
D-19-79 (Alcohol) - 100%
Bridges under North - 100%

Permit No. 77-863
Location 6 David Road
Owner Maria-Ceriani
Date of permit 9-28-77
Approved -10-1-77

Booby

September 26, 1979

Mario Couturier
6 David Rd.
Portland Me. 04102

Re: 6 David Rd.

It has been noted that you recently added a rear sundeck at the above location. Our files indicate that no permit was applied for as required by Municipal Ordinance.

It will be necessary to come in immediately to apply for a permit. We will need plans showing the location and dimensions of materials used.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, don't hesitate to contact this office.

Very truly yours,

Marge Schmuckal
Building Inspector

MS:k



FILL IN AND SIGN WITH INK

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT FOR
HEATING, COOKING OR POWER EQUIPMENT

Portland, Maine, 12/6/54

PERMIT 189000

02139

CITY OF PORTLAND

N-NFC

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, ME.

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to install the following heating, cooking or power equipment in accordance with the Laws of Maine, the Building Code of the City of Portland, and the following specifications:

Location 4725 Leonard Rd Use of Building Dwelling No. Stories 1 New Building Existing
Name and address of owner of appliance W. J. O'Neil
Installer's name and address Pallotta Oil Co Telephone 82691

To install Force Hot Water & Oil Burner

IF HEATER, OR POWER BOILER

Location of appliance Basement Any burnable material in floor surface or beneath? No
If so, how protected? Oil Kind of fuel? Oil
Minimum distance to burnable material, from top of appliance or casing top of furnace 35"
From top of smoke pipe 20" From front of appliance 10" From sides or back of appliance 5-10"
Size of chimney flue 8" Other connections to same flue No
If gas fired, how vented? No Rated maximum demand per hour 125
Will sufficient fresh air be supplied to the appliance to insure proper and safe combustion? Yes

IF OIL BURNER

Name and type of burner Delco Labelled by underwriters' laboratories? Yes
Will operator be always in attendance? No Does oil supply line feed from top or bottom of tank? Bottom
Type of floor beneath burner Concrete Size of vent pipe 1 1/4"
Location of oil storage Basement Number and capacity of tanks 1-275
Low water shut off Make No
Will all tanks be more than five feet from any flame? Yes How many tanks enclosed? 1
Total capacity of any existing storage tanks for furnace burners 275

IF COOKING APPLIANCE

Location of appliance Basement Any burnable material in floor surface or beneath? No
If so, how protected? Oil Height of legs, if any 35"
Skirting at bottom of appliance? No Distance to combustible material from top of appliance? 10"
From front of appliance 10" From sides and back 10" From top of smokepipe 10"
Size of chimney flue 8" Other connections to same flue No
Is hood to be provided? No If so, how vented? Forced or gravity?
If gas fired, how vented? No Rated maximum demand per hour 125

MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT OR SPECIAL INFORMATION

Amount of fee enclosed? (\$2.00 for one heater, etc., 50 cents additional for each additional heater, etc., in same building at same time.)

APPROVED:

W. J. O'Neil

Will the person in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? Yes

Signature of Installer

C17-254-1M-MARKS

INSPECTION COPY

1 Fuel Pipe
 2 Vent Pipe
 3 Kind of He
 4 Burner No
 5 Name & Add
 6 Stack
 7 H
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100

NOTES

2-18-55

Completed

Approved

Date of permit

12/6/54

Owner

The Muehl Corp.

Location

at 35 West 10th St

Permit No.

54/2199



APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Class of Building or Type of Structure Third Class

Portland, Maine, Dec. 17, 1953

To the INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect ~~on the lot~~ on the lot the following building ~~on the lot~~ on the lot in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland, plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

Location Lot 2, 1st Road Within Fire Limits? no Dist. No.
 Owner's name and address The Minat Corp., 220 Cumberland Ave. Telephone 4-8013
 Lessee's name and address Telephone
 Contractor's name and address owner Telephone
 Architect Specifications Plan yes No. of sheets
 Proposed use of building dwelling house No. families 1
 Last use No. families
 Material No. stories Heat Style of roof Roofing
 Other building on same lot Fe 10.00
 Estimated cost \$ 10,000.

General Description of New Work

To construct 1½-story frame dwelling house 24' x 46'.

Warning & Important notice sent
 it is understood that this permit does not include installation of heating apparatus which is to be taken out separately by and in the name of the heating contractor. **PERMIT TO BE ISSUED TO Owners**

Details of New Work

Is any plumbing involved in this work? yes Is any electrical work involved in this work? yes
 Is connection to be made to public sewer? yes If not, what is proposed for sewage?
 Height average grade to top of plate 10' Height average grade to highest point of roof 16'
 Size, front 46' depth 24' No. stories 1½ solid or fill? land solid earth or rock? earth
 Material of foundation concrete at least 4' below grade Thickness, top 10" bottom 12" cellar yes
 Material of underpinning to sill Height Thickness
 Kind of roof pitch-gable Rise per foot 6" Roof covering Asphalt Class C Und Lat.
 No. of chimneys 1 Material of chimneys brick of lining tile Kind of heat steam fuel oil
 Framing lumber—Kind hemlock Dressed or full size? dressed
 Corner posts 4x6 Sills box Girt or ledger board? Size
 Girders yes Size 6x8 Douglas Fir Columns under girders Lally Size 3½ Max. on centers 7' 7"
 Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x1-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.
 Joists and rafters: 1st floor 2x8 , 2nd 2x8 , 3rd , roof 2x8
 On centers: 1st floor 16" , 2nd 24" , 3rd , roof 24"
 Maximum span: 1st floor 12' , 2nd 12' , 3rd , roof
 If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

If a Garage

No cars now accommodated on same lot to be accommodated number commercial cars to be accommodated
 Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

APPROVED:

O.R.-12/18/53-AJS

Miscellaneous

Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street? no
 Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed? yes

The Minat Corp.

INSPECTION COPY

Signature of owner by: [Signature]

NOTES

12-18-53 Stewart at 101
11/18/54 James P. H. with
11/18/54 Permission to do work in rear

5-12-54 Short crack in foundation
at 101-0

5-13-54 Found vertical crack
about 1/2" wide in rear wall near
right hand window. Crack slightly
wider at top than bottom.

Rough concrete footing has been
poured directly under cracked wall.
Slight crack has developed on right
hand wall. Collar has inches of
sandy clay. Drain open. *DE*
5/17/54 - Better - *mm*

5-25-54 Muddy water
floor. Still draining.
A pile of gravel has
been dumped in one corner.
It has moved back
little to wash in again.
Now well causing a
2 1/2" concavity at wall.
The wall is 2 1/2" off top
of concrete wall at
the larger crack. No
particular change in
the cracks can be
noted from foot of
collar stairs.


Found a concrete footing
had been placed under
corner of wall between
cracks. See sketch *DE*.

6/1/54 - Second Relaying
mm

7/9/54 - *mm*
Asst. Corp. *mm*

7/13/54 - Mitchell Cope was
in about these matters sug-
gested how to wait until next
week and talk with Mitchell

Permit No. 53/2370
Location of 35 Acacia Road
Owner The Mitchell Corp.
Date of permit 12/18/53
Neft. closing in
Neft. closing in
Final Neft. 2-18-55
Final Neft. 2-18-55
Cert. of Occupancy issued 2/18/55



8/26/54 - Better - *mm*
11/17/54 - *mm* - large wave
in, and is to take
out and rebuild a
section of rear
wall - limits to
be suggested by
him and approved
by N. R. C. *mm*

11-24-54 Cracked wall
removed between A + B
see Day above. New
footing to go under wall
from A to C. Old wall
& new wall dovetailed together *DE*

12-1-54 Above in the back
of the cracks to be for our
safety *DE*
12-6-54 Collar floor in
place *DE*

2-18-55 Completed *DE*

CS 154-5C-Marks

(COPY)



CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE
Department of Building Inspection

Certificate of Occupancy

LOCATION 1000 Commercial Street

Issued to The Rinal Corp.

Date of Issue Feb. 12, 1938

This is to certify that the building, premises, or part thereof, at the above location, built—altered—~~changed as to use~~ under Building Permit No. 52/1000, has had final inspection, has been found to conform substantially to requirements of Zoning Ordinance and Building Code of the City, and is hereby approved for occupancy or use, limited or otherwise, as indicated below.

PORTION OF BUILDING OR PREMISES

APPROVED OCCUPANCY

1000

1000

Limiting Conditions:


This certificate supersedes
certificate issued

Approved:

2/12/38 Nelson F. Cartwright
(Date) Inspector

[Signature]
Inspector of Buildings

Notice: This certificate identifies lawful use of building or premises, and ought to be transferred from owner to owner when property changes hands. Copy will be furnished to owner or lessee for one dollar.



Lot #25 Leasing & R.L.

WMCD 9/2/54

August 26, 1954

BP Lot 25 Leonard Road - Defective Foundation Wall

Mr. Lowell Cope
The ABC Corp.
220 Cumberland Ave.

Dear Mr. Cope:-

It has been several weeks since you came into the office and we talked about the defective rear foundation wall of the dwelling under construction on Lot 25 Leonard Road. I understood at that time that you were to submit a proposal for making good this serious defect in the foundation wall without delay.

Happening to be in the neighborhood on August 27th, I was able to make my first careful examination of the wall. It constitutes a very serious situation in that the wall has bulged in toward the cellar to such an extent that the horizontal member of the box sill projects perhaps three inches beyond the outer surface of the wall that is cracked. It looks very much as though the center of gravity of the bearing of the wall of the building, if not the first floor joists, is somewhat outside the wall. There is reason to believe that the more load is applied to the wall and the floor, the more the likelihood of the wall tipping inward here.

Your designer will certainly have to arrive at a clever and unusual reinforcement design if you are to avoid the necessity of removing and replacing this rear wall.

I was short of time when I was examining the wall, but I recollect that there was a power shovel working right in back of this house. What they planned to do I do not know. In a number of other somewhat similar cases you or your associates have seen fit to go ahead with remedial measures without consulting this department, even after we had advised you to do consult. Let us have no more of that in this case.

I shall expect to hear notice by way of a request for per
and information as to whether you will have the situation corrected
September 2nd, 1954.

Very truly yours,

Warren McDonald
Inspector of Buildings

WMCD/L

AP Lot 28 Mayer Road
AP Lot 25 Leonard Road

July 9, 1954

Robert W. Donovan, Asst. Corporation Counsel

Warren McDonald, Inspector of Buildings

Operations of the Mint Corporation (Mitchell Cope) under the Building Code

The operations of the Mint Corporation have been pretty extensive and have become more so since right now they are largely engaged in Brunswick and still finishing up houses out on their Brighton Ave. development. It is but natural with the construction of so many houses that we have had difficulties, and from time to time I have had many conversations with Mr. Mitchell Cope, Pres. of the Corporation, whose attorney is Sumner Bernstein.

Now that they are so busy in Brunswick, the details out at the Brighton Ave. development are being neglected more than ever. We have two particular cases which are quite troublesome, and it would be appreciated if you would ask Mr. Cope to set in a conference with us at your office to see what can be done for better cooperation--at an early date.

I am to be away on vacation next week so the first time I would be available would be Monday afternoon, July 19.

The two particular cases:

At lot 28 Mayer Road, the required notice of readiness for closing-in inspection (this is an inspection required by the Code after electric wiring is in place and inspected and plumbing is in place and inspected so that we can check on the frame of the building, firestops etc.) was never given. Now everything is closed in from view and on July 8 we received a notice of readiness for final inspection preparatory to issuing the certificate of occupancy. Upon inspection our inspector found that the building is completed with the exception of a few minor things required by the Building Code without us having any opportunity to examine the frame of the building. William I. Wagner has purchased the building and was moving in his furniture yesterday preparatory to living in the building in a day or two. Of course, this new owner knew nothing about the requirements for inspection or the requirement for certificate of occupancy before the building is occupied.

At lot 25 Leonard Road, we found a serious crack in the rear foundation wall and that the foundation wall was bulging toward the cellar. Despite several requests upon Mr. Cope that when defects were found we should be notified and advised what was to be done to cure the situation, certain work had been done by way of constructing an improper footing beneath the crack and filling had been made on the outside as though to cover up the crack. He had been through a harrowing experience with the rear foundation wall of the house next door to this one, and after a preliminary letter on May 17, seeking their plan of what was to be done to make good the defect, because they paid no attention, it became necessary on June 2 to issue a formal stop order on the building, but the building is largely completed and except for construction of the cellar floor, the lack of which probably contributed to the partial failure of the wall. We asked Mitchell to let us know before June 9 what he would do under the circumstances. Nothing has been heard, and, although I realize that they are very busy in Brunswick, and they have not proceeded any farther in violation of the stop order, it seems best to bring up such matters as this at our conference.

Inspector of Buildings

June 2, 1954

WMCD. 6/9/54

Registered Mail
Return Receipt

EP New Dwelling Lot 25 Leonard Road

Mr. Mitchell Cope
The Mint Corporation
220 Cumberland Ave.

Dear Mr. Cope:

Since we have no answer to my letter of May 17, 1954, concerning the defective foundation wall of new dwelling at Lot 25 Leonard Road, and since our inspector reports that the condition of the rear foundation wall has definitely deteriorated since my letter was written, it is necessary that we cover the situation with a formal "stop order".

This letter then is such a stop order, given under Section 107 of the Building Code, requiring that all work on the building and foundation be stopped until some satisfactory method has been found to permanently make good the defects in foundation wall, and until this stop order has been lifted in writing.

Our inspector reports that the rear wall has moved in toward the cellar to establish a concavity of more than two inches, which he theorizes has been caused by the wall not being competent to retain the fill outside.

When I examined the situation several weeks ago only a small amount of back fill had been supplied on the outside of the rear wall--at the point of the large crack under the window. Whether or not you have seen fit to make more back fill is not known, but for some reason the condition of the wall has substantially changed since our other inspection.

It is important that you let us know before June 9 what you intend to do under these circumstances. In the meantime you are not to attempt to push the wall back in position or to take any other ^{than} emergency measures which may be necessary temporarily to prevent further destruction.

Very truly yours,

Warren McDonald
Inspector of Buildings

WMCD/B

WMCD 5/24/54

May 17, 1954

BP New Dwelling Lot 25 Leonard Road

Mr. Mitchell Cope
The Minat Corporation
220 Cumberland Ave.

Dear Mr. Cope:

Our inspector reports that:

- there is a crack of considerable width in the rear foundation wall under one of the cellar windows
- the crack is about three quarters of an inch wide and slightly wider at the top beneath the window than at the bottom
- you have undertaken without consulting this office to make good this defect
- you have put in a makeshift footing of concrete beneath this wide crack without consulting this office in anyway
- another crack has been dug toward the right rear corner as though you intended another footing
- a slight crack appears in the right hand side foundation wall about which nothing has been done

Since this house is largely closed-in, I wish to avoid a formal "stop order", but it is necessary that you do no further work in the cellar and no further back filling outside, and that you proceed as follows:

- advise us quickly when you first discovered this wide crack with relation to the approval for closing-in, which was on April 20, 1954, and what you intend to do to make good this very definite defect
- the rough footing which you have put in seems to have been formed by digging a shallow hole under the wall and filling it with concrete with no attempt at a form. I feel sure that you did not proceed this way with the other building where we had the same trouble on the adjoining lot, and this is certainly not satisfactory in the current case either. Anything provided in the way of a footing will have to be properly excavated and outline forms provided, the forms to project far enough above the bottom of the main wall so that there will be "head" enough in the footing to make sure that the concrete will be tight up under the bottom surface of the wall
- decide what you think is the cause of this larger crack, a decision, of course, which will lead to the conclusion as to what you are to do to make good the defect. Include in your analysis whether you think the finer crack is from the same general cause--if not, how that came about

If our inspector's observation is accurate and the large crack is slightly wider at the top than at the bottom, it seems likely that the wall has settled elsewhere than at the crack. The fine crack in the end wall would seem to indicate, in that case, that the nearest corner of the wall had settled and caused the crack.

Mr. Mitchell Cope-----2

May 17, 1954

This was about the same trouble as on the next building.

The fact that both of these dwellings so close together have had these defects occur at the same general location in each dwelling, is a cause for much concern, and certainly justifies consideration of whether or not there may be a soft swath of bearing soil through under the rear of these buildings. If that is a possibility, it would be best to make thorough examination in this vicinity as soon as the ground conditions are dry enough to give a satisfactory result.

Now, Mitchell, I had hoped that we would not have any more happenings in this order again. Sometime ago we talked over such problems and I suggested that when a defect occurs instead of proceeding to remedy it and to fix it up in some manner, you notify this office at once with your suggestion as to the remedy. In this case we had no notice, you have put in this unsatisfactory footing (which it would seem must be removed) and someone has backfilled a part so as to cover up the outside of the wall and the extent of the crack on that side cannot be determined. The floor of the collar is a sea of mud so that a careful examination is difficult even from the inside. On this job I think you should remove the fill from the back so that we can see the whole story, a step which you will certainly will have to do if you are to provide a satisfactory footing under the crack.

Very truly yours,

Warren McDonald
Inspector of Buildings

WMCD/B

STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

for dwelling
at Lot 25 Leonard Road Date 12/17/53

1. In whose name is the title of the property now recorded? The Minet Corn.
2. Are the boundaries of the property in the vicinity of the proposed work shown clearly on the ground, and how? stakes
3. Is the outline of the proposed work now staked out upon the ground? yes
If not, will you notify the Inspection Office when the work is staked out and before any of the work is commenced? _____
4. What is to be maximum projection or overhang of eaves or drip? _____
5. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of the location plan or statement of location filed with this application, and does it show the complete outline of the proposed work on the ground, including bay windows, porches and other projections? yes
6. Do you assume full responsibility for the correctness of all statements in the application concerning the sizes, design and use of the proposed building? yes
7. Do you understand that in case changes are proposed in the location of the work or in any of the details specified in the application that a revised plan and application must be submitted to this office before the changes are made? yes

Photo card



APPLICATION FOR PERMIT
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTIONS SERVICES
ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS

Date March 3, 1968
Receipt and Permit number 2-2913

To the CHIEF ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR, Portland, Maine:

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to make electrical installations in accordance with the laws of Maine, the Portland Electrical Ordinance, the National Electrical Code and the following specifications:

LOCATION OF WORK: 6 David Road

OWNER'S NAME: Patrice Sloan

ADDRESS: same

	FEES
OUTLETS: Receptacles _____ Switches _____ Plugmold _____ ft. TOTAL _____	
FIXTURES: (number of) Incandescent _____ Fluorescent _____ (not strip) TOTAL _____ Strip Fluorescent _____ ft. _____	
SERVICES: Overhead ☒ Underground _____ Temporary _____ TOTAL amperes <u>100</u> ..	3.00
METERS: (number of) <u>1</u> ..	.50
MOTORS: (number of) Fractional _____ 1 HP or over _____	
RESIDENTIAL HEATING: Oil or Gas (number of units) _____ Electric (number of rooms) _____	
COMMERCIAL OR INDUSTRIAL HEATING: Oil or Gas (by a main boiler) _____ Oil or Gas (by separate units) _____ Electric Under 20 kws _____ Over 20 kws _____	
APPLIANCES: (number of) Ranges _____ Water Heaters _____ Cook Tops _____ Disposals _____ Wall Ovens _____ Dishwashers _____ Dryers _____ Compactors _____ Fans _____ Others (denote) _____ TOTAL _____	
MISCELLANEOUS: (number of) Branch Panels _____ Transformers _____ Air Conditioners Central Unit _____ Separate Units (windows) _____ Signs 20 sq. ft. and under _____ Over 20 sq. ft. _____ Swimming Pools Above Ground _____ In Ground _____ Fire/Burglar Alarms Residential _____ Commercial _____ Heavy Duty Outlets, 220 Volt (such as welders) 30 amps and under _____ over 30 amps _____ Circus, Fairs, etc. _____ Alterations to wires _____ Repairs after fire _____ Emergency Lights, battery _____ Emergency Generators _____	
FOR ADDITIONAL WORK NOT ON ORIGINAL PERMIT	INSTALLATION FEE DUE:
FOR REMOVAL OF A "STOP ORDER" (304-16.b)	DOUBLE FEE DUE:
	TOTAL AMOUNT DUE: <u>5.00min</u>

INSPECTION:

Will be ready on _____, 1^o _____; or Will Call ☒
CONTRACTOR'S NAME: Michael LaPlante and Sons
ADDRESS: 2 Evergreen Drive Portland
TEL.: 878-2866
MASTER LICENSE NO.: 3714
LIMITED LICENSE NO.: _____

SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR:

Michael LaPlante (Signature)

INSPECTOR'S COPY — WHITE

OFFICE COPY — CANARY

CONTRACTOR'S COPY — GREEN

