DISPLAY	THIS CARD ON PRIN	CIPAL FRONTAGE OF WORK	
C C	ITY OF	PORTLAND	
BU	ILDIN	G PERMI	T
This is to certify that <u>PHOEN</u>	IX PROPERTY SERVICES LI	<u>.C</u> Located At <u>144 HUTCHINS DR</u>	
has permission to <u>Construct a</u>	105 'x 65' Maintenance Build	CBL: <u>240- A-004-001</u> ing and 38' x 48' 3-sided Salt Shed	
provided that the person or p the Statues of Maine and of t the buildings and structures,	ersons, firm or corporation he Ordinances of the City of and of the application on file	accepting this permit shall comply with a Portland regulating the construction, ma in the department.	ll of the provisions of intenance and use of
Notification of inspection a before this building or part closed-in. 48 HOUR NOTIC	nd written permission procur thereof is lathed or otherwi E IS REQUIRED.	A final inspection must be before this building or part the certificate of occupancy is	completed by owner ereof is occupied. If a required, it must be
	a at any and	AmB- 12/	19/11
Fire Prevention Officer	and a second and a second s I have a second	Code Enforcement Office	r / Plan Reviewer

Officer THIS CARD MUST BE POSTED ON THE STREET SIDE OF THE PROPERTY PENALTY FOR REMOVING THIS CARD



Certificate of Occupancy

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE



Department of Planning and Urban Development Building Inspections Division

Location: 144 HUTCHINS DR

Issued To: Phoenix Property Services Llc

CBL: 240 A004001

Issued Date: 11/29/2012

This is to certify that the building, premises, or part thereof, at the above location, built-altered-changed as to use under Building Permit No. 201111430 has had a final inspection, has been found to conform substantially to the requirements of the Building Code and the Land Use Code of the City of Portland, and is hereby approved for occupancy or use, limited or otherwise, as indicated below.

PORTION OF BUILDING OR PREMISES

105' x 65' Maintenance Building 38' x 48' 3-sided Salt Storage

APPROVED OCCUPANCY

USE GROUP F-1 USE GROUP S TYPE 5B IBC 2009

LIMITING CONDITIONS: NONE

Approved: Inspection Division Director Inspector

Notice: This certificate identifies the legal use of the building or premises, and ought to be transferred from owner to owner upon the sale of the property.

City of Portland, Maine - Building or Use Permit Application

389 Congress Street, 04101 Tel: (207) 874-8703, FAX: (207) 8716

10/18/2011	240 A 004 001	
	240- A-004-001	
Owner Name: Phoenix Property Services LLC	Owner Address: PO Box 759, Saco, ME 04072	Phone: 571-3061
Contractor Name: Portland Builders, Inc. Phone:	Contractor Address: 85 York Street, suite 3, Portland, M Permit Type:	AE 04101 Phone: Zone:
Drongood Hose	BLDG - Building	I-M
Construction of a 64'93/4"x108' building for commercial property maintenance – repair of their equipment and storage of salt (sep 3-sided bldg.) and other maintenance items	Fire Dept: Approved by /c Denied N/A Signature: Cept. Munic (p.A.D.)	Inspection: Use Group: F.W. Type: DBC 2009 Signature: 1/15/11 12/19/11
	Zoning Approva	al The left
Date	e or Reviews Zoning Appeal Variance Miscellaneous Conditional Use Interpretation Approved Denied MinMM MM MM MM MM MM MM MM MM	Historic Preservation Not in Dist or Landmark Does not Require Review Requires Review Approved Approved w/Conditions Denied Date:
	Owner Name: Phoenix Property Services LLC Contractor Name: Portland Builders, Inc. Phone: Proposed Use: Construction of a 64'93/4"x108' building for commercial property maintenance – repair of their equipment and storage of salt (sep 3-sided bldg.) and other maintenance items	Owner Name: Owner Address: Phoenix Property Services LLC PO Box 759, Saco, ME 04072 Contractor Name: Po Box 759, Saco, ME 04072 Portland Builders, Inc. Styork Street, suite 3, Portland, N Phone: Permit Type: BLDG - Building Portland Builders, Inc. Phone: Permit Type: BLDG - Building Fire Dept: Construction of a 64'93/4"x108' building for commercial property Fire Dept: maintenance - repair of their Signature: Geart Maintenance items Signature: Variance Miscellaneous

I hereby certify that I am the owner of record of the named property, or that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his authorized agent and I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in the application is issued, I certify that the code official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by such permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provision of the code(s) applicable to such permit.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT	ADDRESS	DATE	PHONE

41 OC V R. Bar site OK a per jolan GF 9:5, 12/28/11 2-7-12 DWM Footms Salt Shed 80% OK will provide survey + SI report 3-22-12 DWA Gary 314-6755 Underslab plumb OK 5-7-12 DWM Plumbing OK 5-31-D DWM Close-In OK pending revised Sloor plan 7-31-12 DWM/BKL/LTWalkee Josh 838-0834 FMal Provide: Special inspectron reports for both buildings, Britespan See Conditions of approval Building #s 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9 + Fire 4, 6, Address. Elect Fail 11-29-12 DWM/BKL/L+Wallace Bill Elec Sail, Blog Sail, survey. FIR OK. Alectonditions

,

JUMOK TO Final

BUILDING PERMIT INSPECTION PROCEDURES Please call 874-8703 or 874-8693 (ONLY) or email: buildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov

With the issuance of this permit, the owner, builder or their designee is required to provide adequate notice to the city of Portland Inspections Services for the following inspections. Appointments must be requested 48 to 72 hours in advance of the required inspection. The inspection date will need to be confirmed by this office.

- Please read the conditions of approval that is attached to this permit!! Contact this office if you have any questions.
- Permits expire in 6 months. If the project is not started or ceases for 6 months.
- If the inspection requirements are not followed as stated below additional fees may be incurred due to the issuance of a "Stop Work Order" and subsequent release to continue.

Footings/Setbacks prior to pouring concrete, salt shed soil preparation or footing

Periodic Rebar Inspections

Underground Plumbing and Electrical Installation

Close In Elec/Plmb/Frame prior to insulate or gyp

Certificate of Occupancy/Final Inspection

The project cannot move to the next phase prior to the required inspection and approval to continue, REGARDLESS OF THE NOTICE OF CIRCUMSTANCES.

IF THE PERMIT REQUIRES A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, IT MUST BE PAID FOR AND ISSUED TO THE OWNER OR DESIGNEE BEFORE THE SPACE MAY BE OCCUPIED.



Strengthening a Remarkable City, Building a Community for Life . www.portlandmaine.gov

Director of Planning and Urban Development Penny St. Louis

Job ID: 2011-10-2520-NEWCOM

Located At: <u>144 HUTCHINS DR</u>

CBL: 240- A-004-001

Conditions of Approval:

Zoning

- 1. Separate permits shall be required for any new signage.
- 2. This permit is being approved on the basis of plans submitted. Any deviations shall require a separate approval before starting that work.
- 3. This I-M zone has maximum noise allowances. The City of Portland strictly enforces the level of sound generated on the property. Any verified noise violations shall require the owner to take mitigating measures to bring the property and the noise it generates into compliance.

Building

- 1. Application approval based upon information provided by applicant. Any deviation from approved plans requires separate review and approval prior to work.
- 2. Stamped plans shall be submitted for the BriteSpan building design.
- 3. The specifications of the fabric membrane, including flame characteristics shall be submitted for review.
- 4. A certificate of compliance is required from the BriteSpan Building Systems fabricator, their affiliates or the EOR indicating the work is in compliance with the approved construction documents.
- 5. Special Inspections or a sealed compliance letter indicating approval of the erection and anchoring specifications shall be submitted prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy.
- 6. Special inspection reports shall be submitted to this office on a periodic basis. Inspections of the Salt Shed soil preparation and foundation system are required. A final special inspection report must be submitted prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy. This report must demonstrate any deficiencies and corrective measures that were taken.
- 7. Separate permits are required for any electrical, plumbing, sprinkler, fire alarm, HVAC systems, heating appliances, including pellet/wood stoves, commercial hood exhaust systems and fuel tanks. Separate plans may need to be submitted for approval as a part of this process.
- 8. Ventilation of this space is required per ASRAE 62.1, 2007 edition.

9. Com Check Certificate & compliance Required

Fire

- 1. Installation shall comply with City Code Chapter 10.
- 2. All construction shall comply with City Code Chapter 10.
- 3. This permit is being approved on the basis of the plans submitted. Any deviation from the plans would require amendments and approval.
- 4. As-built documents shall be submitted in pdf to the Building Inspections Office upon completion of job.
- 5. All smoke detectors and smoke alarms shall be photoelectric.
- 6. Fire extinguishers are required per NFPA 10.
- 7. Two means of egress are required from every story. "MRSA Title 25 § 2453"
- 8. Occupancies with an occupant load of 100 persons or more require panic hardware on all doors serving as a means of egress.
- 9. Emergency lights and exit signs are required. Emergency lights and exit signs are required to be labeled in relation to the panel and circuit and on the same circuit as the lighting for the area they serve.
- 10. Any cutting and welding done will require a Hot Work Permit from Fire Department.
- 11. Walls in structure are to be labeled according to fire resistance rating. IE; 1 hr. / 2 hr. / smoke proof.
- 12. A single source supplier should be used for all through penetrations.

Jeanie Bourke - 144 Hutchins Drive - Phoenix Property Maintenance Facility

From:	Philip DiPierro
То:	Code Enforcement & Inspections
Date:	12/6/2011 12:45 PM
Subject:	144 Hutchins Drive - Phoenix Property Maintenance Facility
CC:	Wiar, Shukria

Hi all, this project, site plan #2011-286, the Phoenix Property Maintenance Facility located at 144 Hutchins Drive, meets minimum DRC site plan requirements for the issuance of the building permit.

Contact me with any questions. Thanks.

Phil

Entered 738 General Building Permit Application



If you or the property owner owes real estate or personal property taxes or user charges on any property within the City, payment arrangements must be made before permits of any kind are accepted.

Location/Address of Construction: 144 Hutchins Road				
Total Square Footage of Proposed Structure/AreaSquare Footage of I6,825SF				
Tax Assessor's Chart, Block & Lot	Applicant *	<u>must</u> be owner, Lessee or B	uyer*	Telephone:
Chart# Block# Lot#	Name Pho	enix Property Se	rvice	s LLC
240 A 4	Address P	0 Box 759		571-3061
	City, State 8	_{k Zip} Saco, ME 0407	2	
Lessee/DBA (If Applicable)	Owner (if d	ifferent from Applicant)	Co	ost Of
	Name		W	ork: \$ 360, 200
	Address		C	of O Fee: \$75.00
	City, State &	e Zip	Tc	otal Fee: \$ 3,707
Current legal use (i.e. single family)	cant			
Proposed Specific use: Warehouse Storage				
Is property part of a subdivision? <u>no</u> If yes, please name <u>OCT</u> 1 8 2011				
Project description:				
Construction of a 6,825 SF Industrial Building Dept. of Building Inspections City of Portland Maine				
Contractor's name: Portland Builders, Inc.				
Address: 85 York Street, Suite 3				
City, State & Zip Portland, ME 04101 Telephone: 879-0118			hone: 879-0118	
Who should we contact when the permit is ready: Josh Cushman Telephone: 838-0834			hone: <u>838-0834</u>	
Mailing address: PO Box 4902, Portland ME 04112				

Please submit all of the information outlined on the applicable Checklist. Failure to do so will result in the automatic denial of your permit.

In order to be sure the City fully understands the full scope of the project, the Planning and Development Department may request additional information prior to the issuance of a permit. For further information or to download copies of this form and other applications visit the Inspections Division on-line at <u>www.portlandmaine.gov</u>, or stop by the Inspections Division office, room 315 City Hall or call 874-8703.

I hereby certify that I am the Owner of record of the named property, or that the owner of record authorizes the proposed work and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized agent. I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in this application is issued, I certify that the Code Official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by this permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provisions of the codes applicable to this permit.

·					
Signature:]	Date:	10 - 17-11	
	This is no	t a permit; you may not com	mence AN	NY work until the permit is issue	
	/				
	l				

Date: 71.hu Applicant: Phoenix Property Services. C-B-L:240-A-004 Address: 144 Hutchins D. CHECK-LIST AGAINST ZONING ORDINANCE Level II Sike Plan - 2011-2Ph Date - Vacentland 64'a3/4" × 108'= 7,000# Zone Location - TM (65×107= (9170) commercial Property MAnAgen connerius maintence bulking, 2400\$ sait shut sperking mich werehousing /distribution Interior pr corner lot -Proposed Use/Work - 7,000 Servage Disposal - public Lot Street Frontage - 60 mm. - 235 m subdivision (02) From Yard - one bot cach lost of buildingheist. - 1254 Show Rear Yard - On feat each Lest of building heistd - max25' - rik planshurs 25 betack -Sille Yard - One foot breach Lost of building heist up to 25' - sike plan shows 25 automate Projections - J/A Width of Lot - JA Height - 75'max - Showing 19'758" to peak Lot Area - norgerement. - 94,050 sim This CACS O Los Coverage Impervious Surface -) 75% = 70, 517.5. - 31 26 give Area per Family - 1/A Off-street Parking - 14-332(1) floor was over 3,000 + - 1 space freach 1,000 1957 = 1000 = 7 space - 14 spc Sho Loading Bays - N/A Site Plan - Level II - 2011- 286 Shoreland Zoning/Stream Protection - N/A. Flood Plains - parel 12-Zone X * parenal cetback from boundartes- 10" - shown on sitepian



ngidening a Kemarkaole City, Duttaing a Community jor Life + www.porstanawaine.go

Director of Planning and Urban Development Penny St. Louis

October 18, 2011

Phoenix Property Services Attention: Aaron Bateman PO Box 759 Saco, ME 04072 Attar Engineering, Inc. Attention: Kenneth A. Woods, PE 1284 State Road Eliot, ME 03903

Project Name:144 Hutchins Drive; Maintenance FacilityProject ID:2011-286Address:144 Hutchins DriveCBL:240- A-004-001Applicant:Phoenix Property ServicesShukria Wiar

Dear Mr. Bateman:

On October 17, 2011, the Planning Authority approved a Level II site plan application for maintenance facility, salt shed and site improvements at 144 Hutchins Drive as submitted by Christopher Stairs of Attar Engineering, Inc. and shown on the approved plan prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc. with a revision date of 10.17.2011 with the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant shall contribute \$5,000 to the City in lieu of constructing the required 5 foot wide bituminous asphalt sidewalk on the proposal site. The contribution shall be made prior to the issuance of a building permit, and be used by the City to construct sidewalk/curbing along Hutchins Drive in the vicinity of the project; and
- 2. The applicant shall provide the status of the registration with MaineDEP Rule Chapter 574; all documentation shall be forwarded to the Planning Division prior to the issuance of a building permit; and
- 3. A Landscaping Plan shall be submitted, addressing the City Arborist memorandum dated 10.06.2011 for review and approval by the City Arborist and the Planning Authority prior to the issuance of a building permit; and
- 4. The approved retaining wall require engineered drawing to be reviewed and approved by the Inspections Division and Planning Authority prior to the issuance of building permit; and
- 5. The applicant shall submit utility capacity letters for water and wastewater prior to the issuance of building permit.
- 6. A revised photometric plan will be submitted for review and approval by the Planning Authority prior to the issuance of a building permit; the proposed lighting fixture at the entrance shall include house-side shield to meet the standard for illuminations.

- 7. If the applicant or all assigns intends to keep exposed stockpiles of loam, compost, etc. on site, either in the present or in the future, an Operation and Maintenance Plan shall be submitted to specify of how the exposed stockpiles would be managed to prevent erosion; and
- 8. The applicant and all assigns, must comply with the conditions of Chapter 32 Storm Water including Article III. Post-Construction Storm Water Management, which specifies the annual inspections and reporting requirements. The developer/contractor/subcontractor must comply with conditions of the construction storm water management plan and sediment & erosion control plan based on our standards and state guidelines.

The approval is based on the submitted site plan. If you need to make any modifications to the approved site plan, you must submit a revised site plan for staff review and approval.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Please note the following standard conditions of approval and requirements for all approved site plans:

- 1. Develop Site According to Plan The site shall be developed and maintained as depicted on the site plan and in the written submission of the applicant. Modification of any approved site plan or alteration of a parcel which was the subject of site plan approval after May 20, 1974, shall require the prior approval of a revised site plan by the Planning Board or Planning Authority pursuant to the terms of Chapter 14, Land Use, of the Portland City Code.
- Separate Building Permits Are Required This approval does not constitute approval of building plans, which must be reviewed and approved by the City of Portland's Inspection Division.
- 3. <u>Site Plan Expiration</u> The site plan approval will be deemed to have expired unless work has commenced within one (1) year of the approval <u>or</u> within a time period up to three (3) years from the approval date as agreed upon in writing by the City and the applicant. Requests to extend approvals must be received before the one (1) year expiration date.
- 4. <u>Performance Guarantee and Inspection Fees</u> A performance guarantee covering the site improvements, inspection fee payment of 2.0% of the guarantee amount and seven (7) final sets of plans must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Division and Public Services Department prior to the release of a building permit, street opening permit or certificate of occupancy for site plans. If you need to make any modifications to the approved plans, you must submit a revised site plan application for staff review and approval.
- 5. <u>Defect Guarantee</u> A defect guarantee, consisting of 10% of the performance guarantee, must be posted before the performance guarantee will be released.
- 6. <u>Preconstruction Meeting</u> Prior to construction, a pre-construction meeting shall be held at the project site. This meeting will be held with the contractor, Development Review Coordinator, Public Service's representative and owner to review the construction schedule and critical aspects of the site work. At that time, the Development Review Coordinator will confirm that the contractor is working from the approved site plan. The site/building contractor shall provide three (3) copies of a detailed construction schedule to the attending City representatives. It shall be the contractor's responsibility to arrange a mutually agreeable time for the pre-construction meeting.

 Department of Public Services Permits If work will occur within the public right-of-way such as utilities, curb, sidewalk and driveway construction, a street opening permit(s) is required for your site. Please contact Carol Merritt at 874-8300, ext. 8828. (Only excavators licensed by the City of Portland are eligible.)

8. <u>As-Built Final Plans</u> Final sets of as-built plans shall be submitted digitally to the Planning Division, on a CD or DVD, in AutoCAD format (*,dwg), release AutoCAD 2005 or greater.

The Development Review Coordinator must be notified five (5) working days prior to the date required for final site inspection. The Development Review Coordinator can be reached at the Planning Division at 874-8632. All site plan requirements must be completed and approved by the Development Review Coordinator prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. <u>Please</u> schedule any property closing with these requirements in mind.

If there are any questions, please contact Shukria Wiar at (207) 756-8083 or via shukriaw@portlandmaine.gov

Sincerely, Alexander Jaegerman

Planning Division Director

Attachments:

. .

- 1. Jeff Tarling memo dated 10.06.2011
- 2. Performance Guarantee Packet

Electronic Distribution:

Penny St. Louis Littell, Director of Planning and Urban Development Department Alexander Jaegerman, Division Director, Planning Barbara Barhydt, Development Review Services Manager, Planning Shukria Wiar, Planner Philip DiPierro, Development Review Coordinator, Planning Marge Schmuckal, Zoning Administrator, Inspections Division Tammy Munson, Plan Reviewer, Inspections Division Lannie Dobson, Administration, Inspections Division Michael Bobinsky, Director, Public Services Katherine Earley, Engineering Services Manager, Public Services Bill Clark, Project Engineer, Public Services David Margolis-Pineo, Deputy City Engineer, Public Services Jane Ward, Administration, Public Services Capt. Keith Gautreau, Fire Department Jeff Tarling, City Arborist, Public Services Tom Errico, P.E., T.Y. Lin Associates David Senus, P.E., Woodard & Curran Assessor's Office **Approval Letter File**

Comments and Submitted

8/24/11

City of Portland Development Review Application Planning Division Transmittal form

A C	pplication Number: BL:	2011-286 240-a-4	Application Date:	6/21/2011 12:00:00 AM	
r	roject Name:	Hutchins Drive N	Hutchins Drive Maintenance Facility		
A	ddress:	144 Hutchins Dri	ve		
P	roject Description:	Maintenance Faci	ility Building		
Z	oning:	IM	IM) al n Q		
0	ther Reviews Required:		Sevised (
R	eview Type:	Level II	NEAT Wet	Lands 7 V Ren	
			SARTS	hed or fre	
D	istribution List:			Em	
	Planner	Shukria Wiar	Parking	John Peverada	
Ľ	ZoningAdministrator	Marge Schmuckal	Design Review	Alex Jaegerman	
	Traffic	Tom Errico	Corporation Counsel	Danielle West-Chuhta	
Г	Stormuvater	Dan Govette	Sanitary Sever	John Emerson	

Planner	Shukria Wiar	Parking	John Peverada
ZoningAdministrat	tor Marge Schmuckal	Design Review	Alex Jaegerman
Traffic	Tom Errico	Corporation Counsel	Danielle West-Chuhta
Stormwater	Dan Goyette	Sanitary Sewer	John Emerson
Fire Department	Keith Gautreau	Inspections	Tammy Munson
City Arborist	Jeff Tarling	Historic Preservation	Deb Andrews
Engineering	David Margolis-	Outside Agency `	
	Pineo		
		DRC Coordinator	Phil DiPierro

Final Comments needed by: August 31, 2011



Ann Machado, Zoning Specialist

July 6, 2011

This property is located in the I-M Zone. This is the initial site plan submittal. In order to complete my review I need more information. Some of the information submitted was also inaccurate.

- I have a question about the total impervious surface on the site. The Project Data Sheet on the application gives the Proposed Total Paved Area as 21,658 sf. It gives the Proposed Total Impervious Area as 30,234 sf. Where does this calculation come from? The Proposed Building Footprint is 9,400 sf. If you add that to the Proposed Total Paved area the amount is 31,058 sf. Also on the site plan the maximum impervious surface ration gives the figure as 29,800 sf. I need to know what the Total Proposed Impervious Surface is.
- 2. I need more detail on exactly what the proposed use is. The Project Summary states that the use is a combination of repair services and warehousing and distribution. These are both permitted uses but I would like more information on exactly what is being repaired and what is being warehoused.
- 3. The zoning assessment on the site plan states that the maximum front yard is 25'. There is not a maximum front yard setback. There is a minimum setback based on the height of the building. The building must be set back one foot for each one foot of building height. We were not given elevation plans, so I cannot determine what the front yard setback should be so I don't know if it is being met.
- 4. To determine the parking requirement I need floor plans of the maintenance facility. Is there any office space within the building or is it all "industrial" space? Is there more than one floor? The required parking calculation on the site plan says 8,000 sf of industrial space but the footprint of the building is 7,000 sf.

144 Hutchins Drive - #240-A-004 - #2011-286

9/8/2011

I have reviewed the most recent submittal of 8/25/2011. The information submitted shows that the applicant meets the I-M Zoning requirements.

Separate building permits are required for construction. Separate permits are required for any new signage.

Please note that any HVAC systems will be required to meet the maximum noise allowances of the I-M zone. This office will require catalogue cuts showing the number of dBAs that will emanate from the specific units at the time of permit application.

Marge Schmuckal

Zoning Administrator

Ann Machado, Zoning Specialist

July 6, 2011

This property is located in the I-M Zone. This is the initial site plan submittal. In order to complete my review I need more information. Some of the information submitted was also inaccurate.

- I have a question about the total impervious surface on the site. The Project Data Sheet on the application gives the Proposed Total Paved Area as 21,658 sf. It gives the Proposed Total Impervious Area as 30,234 sf. Where does this calculation come from? The Proposed Building Footprint is 9,400 sf. If you add that to the Proposed Total Paved area the amount is 31,058 sf. Also on the site plan the maximum impervious surface ration gives the figure as 29,800 sf. I need to know what the Total Proposed Impervious Surface is.
- 2. I need more detail on exactly what the proposed use is. The Project Summary states that the use is a combination of repair services and warehousing and distribution. These are both permitted uses but I would like more information on exactly what is being repaired and what is being warehoused.
- 3. The zoning assessment on the site plan states that the maximum front yard is 25'. There is not a maximum front yard setback. There is a minimum setback based on the height of the building. The building must be set back one foot for each one foot of building height. We were not given elevation plans, so I cannot determine what the front yard setback should be so I don't know if it is being met.
- 4. To determine the parking requirement I need floor plans of the maintenance facility. Is there any office space within the building or is it all "industrial" space? Is there more than one floor? The required parking calculation on the site plan says 8,000 sf of industrial space but the footprint of the building is 7,000 sf.

FILECORY



Imputon 8/25/11 me plan

CIVIL STRUCTURAL MARINE

Shukria Wiar, Planner City of Portland 389 Congress St. Portland, Maine 04101

August 3, 2011 Project No.: C010-11

RE: Hutchins Drive Maintenance Facility Hutchins Drive, Portland, ME **Response to comments**

Dear Ms. Wiar:

I have attached a revised plan set and Stormwater Management Plan for the referenced project in response to comments provided by the city (letter dated July 11, 2011). The following items have been addressed (format and numbering is consistent with the city's review letter, our responses are in bold):

Α. Zoning:

1. Please clarify the total impervious surface on the site. The Project Data Sheet on the application gives the Proposed Total Paved Area as 21,658 sf. It gives the Proposed Total Impervious Area as 30,234 sf. Where does this calculation come from? The Proposed Building Footprint is 9,400 sf. If you add that to the Proposed Total Paved area the amount is 31,058 sf. Also on the site plan the maximum impervious surface ration gives the figure as 29,800 sf. What the Total Proposed Impervious Surface is?

> See General Note Stor site impervious area. Total impervious area is 29,751 SF, which may vary from General Note 3 due to paving within the Right-of-Way.

2. More detail on exactly what the proposed use is for the site. The Project Summary states that the use is a combination of repair services and warehousing and distribution. These are both permitted uses but more information on exactly what is being repaired and what is being warehoused is needed.

A detailed description of the use and hours of operation has been provided in General Note 2. MANTEN MOCH BUG M Phoenix MMA we

The zoning assessment on the site plan states that the maximum front yard is 25'. There is not a 3. maximum front yard setback. There is a minimum setback based on the height of the building. The building must be set back one foot for each one foot of building height. The elevation plans were not submitted; please submit elevations so that it can be determined if the front setback is being met.

> Building elevations have been provided and the front yard setback has been revised to show a 20' front yard setback on Sheet 1.

4. To determine the parking requirement, floor plans of the maintenance facility will need to be submitted. Is there any office space within the building or is it all "industrial" space? Is there more than one floor? The required parking calculation on the site plan says 8,000 sf of industrial space but the footprint of the building is 7,000 sf.

1284 State Road, Eliot, ME 03903 🔹 tel (207) 439-6023 🔹 fax (207) 439-2128

Floor plan has been provided along with the building elevations, building footprint is 7,000 SF. Parking requirements have been addressed in General Note 6.

- B. Planning;
 - 1. Site Lighting: all exterior site lighting, including lighting of building entrances, shall be full cutoff with no light emitted above the horizontal plane or spilled onto adjacent properties and streets. Please submit catalogue cuts for proposed lighting. Is the applicant proposing any lighting for the roadways and parking lot?

Lighting plan and cut sheets have been provided, all fixtures have been designed as full cutoff.

2. Street Lighting. (i) Municipal street lighting shall be adequate for the safety and comfort of pedestrians and motorists and, where applicable, shall conform to specific lighting district requirements, as specified in Section 10 of the Technical Manual.

The following note has been added to Sheet 2, "Proposed utility pole to be equipped with street light conforming to Section 10 of the technical manual (coordinate installation with CMP)"

- 3. Please submit elevations of the building for fire and zoning compliance. Building elevations are enclosed.
- 4. All proposed developments shall provide sidewalks along all frontages in accordance with Sections 14-498 and 14-499 of the City Code, installed to City specifications as described in Section 1 of the Technical Manual. An applicant may request a waiver from curb and sidewalk installation requirements if they meet applicable waiver criteria listed in Section 14-506 (b) of the City Code. No Sidewalk proposed. There is existing sidewalk nearby on other side of Hutchins from property. A sidewalk along the frontage of 121 Hutchins Drive has been approved and will be constructed.

We are requesting a waiver for the requirements set forth in sections 14-498 and 14-499 of the city code (sidewalks and granite curbing). There is no sidewalk within 1000' of the project on the same side of the road.

5. Parking spaces and aisles shall meet applicable dimensional standards as detailed in Section 1 of the Technical Manual.

All parking space and aisle dimensions have been provided on the Site Plan, Sheet 1.

6. The site plan shall provide secure bicycle parking in conformance with Section 1 of the Technical Manual and shall meet the following requirements: For Non-residential structures. Two (2) bicycle parking spaces for every ten (10) vehicle parking spaces for the first one hundred (100) required vehicle parking spaces, plus one (1) bicycle parking space for every twenty (20) required motor vehicle parking space over one hundred (100) required vehicle parking spaces.

Bicycle parking is shown within the building on the floor plan, Sheet 3 of 3.
7. The applicant will need to submit for a sign permit (a separate permit) for the proposed project sign,

Sheet 1, General Note 9 has been revised to reflect this requirement.

8. A survey plan needs to be submitted for review. Has the pins for the Stroudwater Estate subdivision been set?

The stamped boundary survey has been submitted, a copy is attached. The lot has been monumented.

9. Availability and Adequate Capacity of Public Utilities: Please submit approved utility capacity letters.

Letters of capacity for public utilities have been obtained and are included in this submittal.

10. The site plan shall preserve and protect significant natural features by incorporating them into site design.

8/25/11 c. Nom Hol



2

フ

JVIC



81251

Marybeth Richardson Maine Department of Environmental Protection 312 Canco Road Portland, Maine 04103 June 28, 2011 Project No.: C010-11

RE: 144 Hutchins Drive – Portland, Maine Stormwater Permit-By-Rule (PBR) Application

Dear Ms. Richardson:

i have attached a Stormwater PBR application for the referenced project. Included in the application is a Letter of Agent Authorization and a plan set for your review. The project involves a new, 7,000 S.F. maintenance building, a 2,400 S.F. salt shed with associated parking, access and utility improvements. The project site (Tax Map 240, Block A, Lot 4) is located in the Industrial Moderate (IM) District and is approximately 2.16 acres in area.

The existing site is completely wooded with a wetland running through the property from the westerly property line to the north east corner. The completed development will create approximately 30,234 S.F. of impervious area.

Please contact me for any additional information. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely;

Christopher L. Stairs, EIT. cc: Phoenix Property Services C010-11stpbrcover

1284 State Road, Eliot, ME 03903 • tel (207) 439-6023 • fax (207) 439-2128



Marybeth Richardson Maine Department of Environmental Protection 312 Canco Road Portland, Maine 04103 Aug. 10, 2011 Project No.: C010-11

RE: 144 Hutchins Drive – Portland, Maine Permit-By-Rule (PBR) Application

Dear Ms. Richardson:

I have attached a NRPA Permit by Rule Notification Form for the referenced project. Included in the application is a Letter of Agent Authorization, USGS site location map and a plan set for your review. The project involves a new, 7,000 S.F. maintenance building, a 2,400 S.F. salt shed with associated parking, access and utility improvements. The project site (Tax Map 240, Block A, Lot 4) is located in the Industrial Moderate (IM) District and is approximately 2.16 acres in area.

The existing site is completely wooded with a stream running through the property from the westerly property line to the north east corner. The completed development will create approximately 30,234 S.F. of impervious area. We have maintained a 25' undisturbed buffer to the stream.

Please contact me for any additional information. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely;

Christopher L. Stairs, EIT.

cc: Phoenix Property Services

C010-11stpbrcover

1284 State Road, Eliot, ME 03903 * tel (207) 439-6023 * fax (207) 439-21

8/25/11

PROJECT DATA

The following information is required where applicable, in order complete the application

Total Site Area	94, 090 80
Proposed Total Disturbed Area of the Site	48,023 89.
(If the proposed disturbance is greater than one acre, then the a	pplicant shall apply for a Maine
Construction General Permit (MCGP) with DEP and a Stormwate	er Management Permit, Chapter 500, with
the City of Portland)	
	T
Proposed Total Paved Area	20,351 80.
Existing Total Impervious Area	0 80,1
Proposed Total Impervious Area	29,751 SQ .
Proposed Total Impervious Area	sq. 1
Proposed Impervious Net Change	29,751 sq.1
BUILDING AREA	
Proposed Building Footprint	9,400 sq. 1
Proposed Building Footprint Net change	
Existing Total Building Floor Area	0 sq. f
Proposed Total Building Floor Area	sq. f
Proposed Building Floor Area Net Change	Sq. f
New Building	Yes (yes or no
Existing	IM (Moderate Industrial)
Proposed, if applicable	IM (Moderate Industrial)
Dmmead	······································
ESIDENTIAL IF APPLICABLE	
Proposed Number of Affordable Housing Units	
Proposed Number of Residential Units to be Demolished	
Existing Number of Residential Units	
Proposed Number of Residential Units	and the second
Subdivision. Proposed Number of Lots	
ARKING SPACES	
Existing Number of Parking Spaces	0
Proposed Number of Parking Spaces	13
Number of Handicapped Parking Spaces	1
Proposed Total Parking Spaces	14
	<u></u>
Existing Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces	······································
Existing Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces	
Proposed Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces	
	······································
STIMATED COST OF PROJECT	\$750.000

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, MB 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 5 -

City of Portland Development Review Application Planning Division Transmittal form No JAWMS M Document

Application Number: CBL:	2011-286 240-a-4	Application Date:	6/21/2011 12:00:00 AM
Project Name:	Hutchins Drive Mainte	mance Facility	
Address:	144 Hutchins Drive		
Project Description: Zoning:	Maintenance Facility E IM	Building	
Other Reviews Required:			
Review Type:	Level II		

Distribution List:

Planner	Shukria Wiar	Parking	John Peverada
ZoningAdministrator	Marge Schmuckal	Design Review	Alex Jaegerman
Traffic	Tom Errico	Corporation Counsel	Danielle West-Chuhta
Stormwater	(Dan Goyette)	Sanitary Sewer	John Emerson
Fire Department	Keith Gautreau	Inspections	Tammy Munson
City Arborist	Jeff Tarling	Historic Preservation	Deb Andrews
Engineering	David Margolis-	Outside Agency	
	Pineo		
		DRC Coordinator	Phil DiPierro

Preliminary Comments needed by: June 29, 2011

Final Comments needed by: July 6, 2011



Date: 1/22/11 Applicant: Phoenix Property Address: 144 Hutch 5 DC. C-B-L: 240- A CHECK-LIST AGAINST ZONING ORDINANCE Date yez Zone Location -PBCJ, contractors i construction ez NEW 7000# Commercial MAINT Blog 2400# GART SheD Interior or corner lot -Proposed Use/Work -Servage Disposal -Loi Street Frontage - 60 min Front Yard -Rear Yard -Side Yard -Projections -Width of Lot -Height -9,4002 # siven Lot Area -Lot Coverage Unpervious Surface -- 29, Rox# Area per Family - N Off-street Parking -Loading Bays -2011-286 Site Plan -Shopeland Zoning/Stream Protection -Flood Plains - 12 117 10 edge of private momage case in

Мар





Jeanie Bourke - RE: 144 Hutchins Drive Permit

From:	Jeanie Bourke
To:	Aaron Bateman
Date:	12/1/2011 10:44 AM
Subject:	RE: 144 Hutchins Drive Permit
CC:	'Dorian Tarling'; 'Josh Cushman'; Bill Southworth; Ken Wood; Philip

Hi Aaron,

See below in red for the response to your comments. Let me know if you have further questions. Thanks, Jeanie

Jeanie Bourke CEO/LPI/Plan Reviewer

City of Portland Planning & Urban Development Dept./ Inspections Division 389 Congress St. Rm 315 Portland, ME 04101 jmb@portlandmaine.gov Direct: (207) 874-8715 Office: (207) 874-8703 >>> Aaron Bateman <abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com> 11/30/2011 4:27 PM >>> Hi Jeanie,

Quick follow up:

Heated Building Com Check will be provided as requested. (Sorry for the confusion).

Thanks Aaron

From: Aaron Bateman
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 2:37 PM
To: 'JMB@portlandmaine.gov'
Cc: 'Philip DiPierro'; Josh Cushman; Dorian Tarling; Bill Southworth
Subject: FW: 144 Hutchins Drive Permit

Hi Jeanie,

I have a few questions regarding our permit application and the list sent below.

Geotechnical report was not required by either engineer who have provided or will be providing the foundation plans for the main building. Both designers have assumed a conservative soil baring capacity based on know soil conditions. Not sure why we would have to provide an additional report, please explain.

A Geotechnical investigation is required per IBC 2009 Sec. 1803.2 with exception for waiver where "satisfactory data from adjacent areas is available that demonstrates an investigation is not necessary". I did a little research of records for another project on Hutchins Dr from 2006. While this may not be near this site, the soils site class

was E and the seismic design category was D. Since I have not been provided the design certification data sheet for this project, I do not know what the engineer has specified.

Construction plans, etc, will be provided as requested.

Statement of Special inspections; we are assuming that the City will be providing all the necessary inspections regarding the utility connections (water & sewer). As far as any other inspection we are not sure what this would entail, could you please provide a list. Our thinking is that this is a private development and there are no extensions of any municipal owned infrastructures so the risk is ours and therefore there would be no special inspections required.

Special Inspections of the building construction are required per IBC 2009 Sec. 1704. Tables in this section list the required inspection intervals for the specific construction aspects, ie., concrete, steel, bolts, welding, fabrication, masonry, etc. The content of the prepared statement is in Sec. 1705

Certificate of design, etc will be provided as requested.

Heated building, ComCheck certificate of compliance is something we are not familiar with, could you please further explain what this is.

I appreciate the help with this, my direct number is 571-3061 and would be happy to walk through each issue.

Thanks Aaron

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.gov] Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 8:37 AM To: Dorian Tarling Cc: Josh Cushman Subject: Re: 144 Hutchins Drive Permit

Hi Dorian, Thank you for contacting me, I had not heard back from Josh since we spoke.... Here is the list of required details:

- Geotechnical report
- Construction plans, details, including third party stamped plans if this is a prefabricated structure
- Statement of Special inspections
- Certificate of design, design criteria as per the permit application documents
- Heated building, ComCheck Certificate of Compliance

Let me know if you have any questions.

Jeanie

Marge Schmuckal - ePlan Review New File Notification for PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743

From:	<shukriaw@portlandmaine.gov></shukriaw@portlandmaine.gov>
To:	<mes@portlandmaine.gov></mes@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	10/3/2011 9:57 AM
Subject:	ePlan Review New File Notification for PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743

🗙 ePlan

New File Notification - Please DO NOT reply to this email.

144 Hatchins DR.

Hello Marge Schmuckal:

One or more files have been added to the project listed below. Please contact the appropriate department at the numbers listed below if you have any questions regarding this email.

Project Name: PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743

Path: PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743\Drawings

Uploaded By: Shukria Wiar

Login to ePlan Review

Files:

1. <u>CO10-11Submittal.pdf</u> 2. <u>SITE PLAN SET.pdfV2</u>

If you do not have access to the specified folder, please contact the Project Administrator.

مهاری د با می اورد. می درمناند با داد. می اورد است. موالی د با مقامه و مقام است.

Department of Planning and Urban Development City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

Planning Division, Development Review Services (207) 874-8719

Building Permits (207) 874-8703





1 v r₀ (*

54 T T T T T T T T T T

· •



10/5/11

Primary use of facility

This facility will be primarily used as a storage and maintenance shop for landscaping tools and equipment.

The facility will also be used for storage and resale of salt/ salt sand during winter months and bark mulch and loam during spring, summer months.

Normal business hours

Normal business hours will be primarily 5am to 9pm Monday through Saturday. During winter snow events the facility will be a 24 hour a day operation.

Salt/ salt sand control measures

Amount of material stored on site is not to exceed 500 yards.

Material will be delivered by trailer dumps. Material will be dumped directly into shed. Any spillage onto parking lot will be immediately swept into shed.

Material will be loaded with a wheel loader primarily from inside the building.

The entire area will be swept up and material hauled off site at the end of the snow event.

Concrete and pavement will be assessed annually for cracks/deterioration and will be sealed accordingly.

144 Hutchins Drive - 2011-286

10/5/2011

The applicant has submitted final plans and comments. The plans and comments do not change the previous zoning approval. All previous conditions of approval are still in force.

Marge Schmuckal

Zoning Administrator

Fire Department Permit Requirements

Project:	144 Hutchins Drive
	Portland, ME 04104
Applicant:	Phoenix Property Services LLC
	PO Box 759
	Saco, ME 04072
Proposed Use of Structure:	Industrial Warehouse
SF of Proposed Structure:	6,825
Existing and Proposed Fire	
Protection of Structure:	Existing—none, Proposed—non sprinkled

From: To:	Aaron Bateman <abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com> "'Jeanie Bourke'" <jmb@portlandmaine.gov></jmb@portlandmaine.gov></abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com>
Date:	12/15/2011 10:34 AM
Subject:	FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions
CC:	"'Matthew J. Miller, P.E.'" <matt@m2se.com>, "'Josh Cushman'" <jcushman@< th=""></jcushman@<></matt@m2se.com>
Attachments:	Phoenix Seismic.pdf

Jeanie Bourke - FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Jeanie,

Just left you a message. I apologize for the confusion but here is where we are. Matt Miller has confirmed that the seismic design category is C. Attached, I believe is his back up information.

We are having Ted Greenlaw/Seacoast revise the plans and specs to match the category C and these will be submitted to you ASAP. It is my hope that you can issue a conditioned permit to us this week to allow us to be able to pour the foundation starting on Monday.

Thanks Aaron

From: Aaron Bateman Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 1:20 PM To: 'Jeanie Bourke' Cc: 'Josh Cushman'; Bill Southworth Subject: FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Jeanie,

Below is Matt's response. Please let me know if we need to provide additional information.

Thanks Aaron

From: Matthew J. Miller, P.E. [mailto:matt@m2se.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 12:14 PM
To: Aaron Bateman
Subject: RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Aaron,

Based on my quick investigation, the Mapped Spectral Accelerations should be: Ss = 0.322 S1 = 0.078

Based on these values the Design Spectral Accelerations for Site Class E should be:

Sds = 0.487 Sd1 = 0.182
>>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/9/2011 11:06 AM >>> Hi Jeanie,

I have called Josh from Portland Builders asking him to take care of the Com Check certificates. I do not believe these have been provided to date. In the mean time if you could issue the permit with this as a remaining condition that would be great.

I could send someone over today to grab it if that is possible.

Thanks for all the help on this!

Aaron

. . *

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.gov] Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 11:02 AM To: Aaron Bateman Subject: RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Thanks Aaron, that's fine for the additional services information.

The only remaining item I have is the Com Check certificates, did I miss seeing these in an email? Let me know if this is forthcoming, if not, I could issue the permit with a condition for this. Jeanie

>>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/9/2011 9:00 AM >>> Hi Jeanie,

Attached is the statement of special Inspections. There is a small scope of services by others that will be performed by SW Cole. As soon as I have their info in hand I will forward it.

Could you send us a quick update of where you see the project permit at this point. We would like to start the foundation next week and are hoping the full permit could be pulled early in the week.

Thanks Aaron

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2011 8:46 AM
To: Aaron Bateman
Cc: Bill Southworth; Josh Cushman
Subject: Re: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Aaron,

In addition to the scope and agreement of special inspections provided, please submit the "Draft (or finalized) Statement of Special Inspections dated December 6, 2011", and the Services By Others as outlined in Exhibit A.

Thanks'

Jeanie

Jeanie Bourke - FW: Revised Foundation Plans

From:	Aaron Bateman <abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com></abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com>
To:	Josh Cushman <jcushman@portlandbuilders.com></jcushman@portlandbuilders.com>
Date:	12/15/2011 2:53 PM
Subject:	FW: Revised Foundation Plans
CC:	Bill Southworth <bsouthworth@phoenixmanagementcompany.com>, "'JeanieBour</bsouthworth@phoenixmanagementcompany.com>
Attachments:	16838 Anchor Bolt Plans.pdf; Permits rev 2.pdf; Stamped Foundation Plans - Revised
	12-09-2011.pdf

Hi Josh,

Please see Bill's e-mail below.

Thanks Aaron

From: William J. Belanger III [mailto:wjb3@seacoastcranebuilding.com] Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 2:45 PM To: Aaron Bateman Subject: Revised Foundation Plans

Aaron,

Attached are the revised foundation plans, anchor bolt plans & reactions, and permit plans. I should have a stamped set of permit drawings tomorrow along with a revised certificate of design.

On the revised anchor bolt plans and reactions (to be used in conjunction with the foundation plan) please note the following; Plate F is now noted on the revised plans. We previously sent the base templates to Portland Builders which include Base Plates A through E (4 of the these plates are labeled D). On the revised plans the plates labeled D will be used at locations D & F. No new plates are required to be sent. Please forward this information along to your foundation contractor. Please also note that the orientation of each plate is specifically detailed on the anchor bolt plan (page5).

Any questions, let us know.

Thanks,

Bill III

William J. Belanger III Seacoast Crane & Building Co., Inc. P.O. Box 540 :: 98 Route 236 Kittery, Maine 039040 www.seacoastcranebuilding.com



Certificate of Design

16838 Certificate of Design.ME.doc

Revised 8/17/2009

This Certificate is to confirm that all components of the Steel Building System described below, to be supplied by Corle Building Systems, produced at its Facility at Imler, PA, have been or will be designed in accordance with the following standards, loads, and design criteria as specified in the order documents.

Project/Building Description

CBS Factory Order Number:	FO-16838	Build
Purchaser/Customer	Seacoast Crane & Building Co., Inc.	Widt
Information:	P.O. Box 540	Leng
	Kittery, ME 03904	Eave
Project Name and Location:	Phoenix Property Management Hutchins Drive Portland, ME 04101	Roof

ding Geometry:

Width:	65'-0"
Length:	105'-0'
Eave Height:	17'-3"
Roof Slope:	3.00/12

Design Standards

Design Load Criteria

Purchaser/Custon Information:	ner	Seaco P.O. E Kitter	ast Crane & Buildir 30x 540 y, ME 03904	ng Co., Inc.	Width: Length: Eave Heis	65'-0" 105'-0" ght: 17'-3"	
Project Name and	Location:	Phoen Hutch Portla	ix Property Manago ins Drive nd, ME 04101	ement	Roof Slop	e: 3.00/12	PDF
Design Standards	5						
AISC: Specification	n forStructur	al Steel	for Buildings, Allo	wable Stress Desi	gn/9 th Ed.		
AISI: North Ameri	can Specifica	tion for	the Design of Cold	l-Formed Steel Sti	- ructural Members	, 2001 Ed.	\sim
AWS D1.1/D1.1M	: Structural V	Welding	Code - Steel, 2006	Ed			YA
MBMA: Metal Bui	Iding System	s Manu	al,2006 Edition				$\sim 0^{2}$
esign Load Crit	teria					•	
Building Code:	Internationa	ıl Build	ing Code, 2009			Den Ut	è VA
Dead Load:	2.63 psf plu	s prima	ry framing actual w	veight		Ci Or	$z_0 $ \sum
Collateral Load:	5 psf	-		-		y of Suil	·· 2017
Roof Live Load:	20 psf					· 2007	291
Frame Live Load:	20 psf					~~	and SDe-
Snow Load	Ground Sno	ow Load	l, p _g :	60 psf	Thermal Factor	, C _i :	1.009/2010
Criteria:	Snow Expo	sure Fa	ctor, C _e :	1.00	Flat Roof Snow	Load, p _f :	42 psf
	Snow Impo	rtance I	Factor, I _s :	1.00			
Wind Load	Basic Wind	Speed:		100 mph	Occupancy Cate	egory:	Ш
Criteria:	Terrain Exp	oosure:		В	Internal Pressu	re Coefficients:	+0.18/-0.18
	Wind Impor	rtance F	Factor, I_w :	1.00	Components and	d Cladding not	+17.97 psf
				6	by CDS.	_	-24.02 psf
Seismic Criteria:	Design Cat	egory:		C		S_s :	0.322
	Site Class:	n o nt anu a	Easton I.	E 1 00		S ₁ :	0.078
	Occupancy	Catego	e 1'acior, 1 ₆ .	1.00 TT		S _{ds} .	0.487
	Analysis Pr	ocedur	2:	Equivalent Lat	eral Force Proced	ure	0.102
	Basic Seisn	nic Ford	e Resisting	Frame: Ordina	ry Steel Moment	Frames	
	Systems:		Ū	FSW,BSW,LE Frames	W,REW: Ordinar	ry Steel Concent	trically Braced
	Response M	<i>lodifica</i>	tion Factors, R:	Frame = 3.25	FSW = 3.25	BSW = 3.25	
	Seismic Res	sponse (Coefficients, C _s :	Frame = 0.162	FSW = 0.162	BSW = 0.162	
	Seismic Ba	se Shea	r, V:	Longitudinal =	22.32 kips	Transverse = 2	29.94 kips
Mezzanine	Dead Load	:	N/A	Additional	N/A		N' AF A
Loads:	Collateral	Load:	N/A	Loads:			ATE C. Alale
	Live Load:		N/A			I SA	

Certification by Engineer

Signature

I, T. James Eisenman, Jr., P.E., a licensed engineer in the State of Maine, certify that I have reviewed the design criteria for the steel building system described above and to the best of my knowledge all components have been designed to meet the applicable criteria as specified in the Order Documents.



Structural Statement of Special Inspections

Project: New Building for Phoenix Property Management

Location: Hutchins Drive, Portland, Maine

Owner: Phoenix Property Management

This Statement of Special Inspections encompass the following discipline: Structural - Foundations

This Statement of Special Inspections is submitted as a condition for permit issuance in accordance with the Special Inspection and Structural Testing requirements of the Building Code. It includes a schedule of Special Inspection services applicable to this project as well as the name of the Structural Special Inspection Coordinator (SSIC) and the identity of other approved agencies to be retained for conducting these inspections and tests.

The Structural Special Inspection Coordinator shall keep records of all Structural inspections and shall furnish inspection reports to the Building Code Official (BCO) and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge (SRDP). Discovered discrepancies shall be brought to the immediate attention of the Contractor for correction. If such discrepancies are not corrected, the discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Building Official and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge. The Special Inspection program does not relieve the Contractor of his or her responsibilities.

Interim reports shall be submitted to the Building Official and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge at an interval determined by the SSIC and the BCO.

A Final Report of Special inspections documenting completion of all required Special Inspections, testing and correction of any discrepancies noted in the inspections shall be submitted to the BCO prior to issuance of a Certificate of Use and Occupancy.

Job site safety and means and methods of construction are solely the responsibility of the Contractor.

Interim Report Frequency: Don request of Building	Official	or per attached schedule.
Prepared by:		JUNISTATE OF MA WHILE
Theodore Greeniaw		THEODORE
(type or print name of the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge)	- 12-12-11	No. 3862
Signature	December 2011 Date	Design Professional Seal
Owner's Authorization:	Building Code Official's	Acceptance:
Signature Date	Signature	Date
		RECEIL

Structural Statement of Special Inspections

Project New Building for Phoenix Property Management

Location: Hutchins Drive, Portland, Maine

Owner: Phoenix Property Management

This Statement of Special Inspections encompass the following discipline: Structural - Metal Building

This Statement of Special Inspections is submitted as a condition for permit issuance in accordance with the Special Inspection and Structural Testing requirements of the Building Code. It includes a schedule of Special Inspection services applicable to this project as well as the name of the Structural Special Inspection Coordinator (SSIC) and the identity of other approved agencies to be retained for conducting these inspections and tests.

The Structural Special Inspection Coordinator shall keep records of all Structural inspections and shall furnish inspection reports to the Building Code Official (BCO) and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge (SRDP). Discovered discrepancies shall be brought to the immediate attention of the Contractor for correction. If such discrepancies are not corrected, the discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Building Official and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge. The Special Inspection program does not relieve the Contractor of his or her responsibilities.

Interim reports shall be submitted to the Building Official and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge at an interval determined by the SSIC and the BCO.

A Final Report of Special Inspections documenting completion of all required Special Inspections, testing and correction of any discrepancies noted in the inspections shall be submitted to the BCO prior to issuance of a Certificate of Use and Occupancy.

Job site safety and means and methods of construction are solely the responsibility of the Contractor.

Interim Report Frequency:

Upon request of Building Official ____

or per attached schedule.

.....

Prepared by:

(type or print name of the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge) 12/14/11 atun



Owner's Authonization:

Building Code Official's Acceptance:



specifically stated to the contrary in any written consent to an assignment, no assignment will release or discharge the assignor from any duty or responsibility under this Agreement. Nothing contained in this paragraph shall prevent the SI from employing such independent consultants, associates and subcontractors as deemed appropriate to assist in the performance of services hereunder.

5.1.4 The SI and Client agree that the services performed by the SI to this Agreement are solely for the benefit of the Client and are not intended by either the SI or the Client to benefit any other person or entity. To the extent that any other person or entity is benefited by the services performed by the SI pursuant to this Agreement, such benefit is purely incidental and such other person or entity shall not be deemed a third party beneficiary to this Agreement.

5.2 Dispute Resolution

- 5.2.1 The SI and Client agree to negotiate any claim(s) or dispute(s) arising out of or related to the agreement between them in good faith prior to exercising any other provision of this Agreement.
- 5.2.2 If a claim or dispute between the SI and Client cannot be settled within 30 days by good faith negotiations the SI and Client agree to submit it to mediation in accordance with the mediation rules of the American Arbitration Association.
- 5.2.3 If the claim or dispute cannot be settled by good faith negotiations or mediation then either party may exercise their rights under law.
- 5.2.4 In no event shall a claim or dispute be made or sustained if it would be barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

5.3 Governing Laws

5.3.1 This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the principal place of business of the SI.

From:	Aaron Bateman <abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com></abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com>
To:	"'Jeanie Bourke'" <jmb@portlandmaine.gov></jmb@portlandmaine.gov>
Date:	12/15/2011 10:34 AM
Subject:	FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions
CC:	"'Matthew J. Miller, P.E.'" <matt@m2se.com>, "'Josh Cushman'" <jcushman@< th=""></jcushman@<></matt@m2se.com>
Attachments:	Phoenix Seismic.pdf

Jeanie Bourke - FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Jeanie,

Just left you a message. I apologize for the confusion but here is where we are. Matt Miller has confirmed that the seismic design category is C. Attached, I believe is his back up information.

We are having Ted Greenlaw/Seacoast revise the plans and specs to match the category C and these will be submitted to you ASAP. It is my hope that you can issue a conditioned permit to us this week to allow us to be able to pour the foundation starting on Monday.

Thanks Aaron

From: Aaron Bateman

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 1:20 PM To: 'Jeanie Bourke' Cc: 'Josh Cushman'; Bill Southworth Subject: FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Jeanie,

Below is Matt's response. Please let me know if we need to provide additional information.

Thanks Aaron

From: Matthew J. Miller, P.E. [mailto:matt@m2se.com] Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 12:14 PM To: Aaron Bateman Subject: RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Aaron,

Based on my quick investigation, the Mapped Spectral Accelerations should be: Ss = 0.322 S1 = 0.078

Based on these values the Design Spectral Accelerations for Site Class E should be:

Sds = 0.487 Sd1 = 0.182 Since this is a category II building these values correspond to a Seismic Design Category C.

A copy of my backup information is attached, where the design values for the 2009 IBC are based on the 2003 NEHRP provisions.

In review of the anchor rod drawings, the SER has the values of Ss and S1 listed at 0.41 and 0.1. If we use these higher values, then yes the building would be in seismic design category D.

I would recommend that the EOR from CORLE review the seismic values, and adjust them as necessary.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Regards,

Matt

From: Aaron Bateman [mailto:abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 10:24 AM
To: 'Matthew J. Miller, P.E.'
Subject: FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Matt,

Could you please review the e-mail from Jeanie and get back to me.

Thanks Aaron

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 2:09 PM
To: Aaron Bateman
Cc: Bill Southworth; Josh Cushman
Subject: RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Aaron,

I am ok with issuing the permit with certain conditions, however, after further review of the statement of special inspections, I do have a couple of items. I apologize for not seeing this at first.

1. On page 11 of 12, Seismic Resistance, the engineer will need to justify not requiring inspections for 1.a as this is seismic design D

2. Engineers justification for no Quality Assurance Plan for seismic design D, Sec. 1705.3.1 only exempts design C.

3. A sealed and sign copy of the statement will need to be submitted, this can be a condition.

Thanks, Jeanie >>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/9/2011 11:06 AM >>> Hi Jeanie,

I have called Josh from Portland Builders asking him to take care of the Com Check certificates. I do not believe these have been provided to date. In the mean time if you could issue the permit with this as a remaining condition that would be great.

I could send someone over today to grab it if that is possible.

Thanks for all the help on this!

Aaron

. . .

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.gov] **Sent:** Friday, December 09, 2011 11:02 AM **To:** Aaron Bateman **Subject:** RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Thanks Aaron, that's fine for the additional services information.

The only remaining item I have is the Com Check certificates, did I miss seeing these in an email? Let me know if this is forthcoming, if not, I could issue the permit with a condition for this. Jeanie

>>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/9/2011 9:00 AM >>> Hi Jeanie,

Attached is the statement of special Inspections. There is a small scope of services by others that will be performed by SW Cole. As soon as I have their info in hand I will forward it.

Could you send us a quick update of where you see the project permit at this point. We would like to start the foundation next week and are hoping the full permit could be pulled early in the week.

Thanks Aaron

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2011 8:46 AM
To: Aaron Bateman
Cc: Bill Southworth; Josh Cushman
Subject: Re: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Aaron,

In addition to the scope and agreement of special inspections provided, please submit the "Draft (or finalized) Statement of Special Inspections dated December 6, 2011", and the Services By Others as outlined in Exhibit A. Thanks'

Jeanie

Jeanie Bourke CEO/LPI/Plan Reviewer

City of Portland Planning & Urban Development Dept./ Inspections Division 389 Congress St. Rm 315 Portland, ME 04101 jmb@portlandmaine.gov Direct: (207) 874-8715 Office: (207) 874-8703 >>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/7/2011 4:23 PM >>> Please find attached a proposal for special inspections.

Thanks Aaron

From: Matthew J. Miller, P.E. [mailto:matt@m2se.com] Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2011 3:32 PM To: Aaron Bateman Subject: RE: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Aaron,

Attached, please find a copy of my proposal for Special Inspection Services. You should be getting a separate proposal from S.W. Cole.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Matt

No virus found in this message. Checked by AVG - <u>www.avg.com</u> Version: 2012.0.1873 / Virus Database: 2102/4669 - Release Date: 12/09/11

Contractor's Statement of Responsibility

Each contractor responsible for the construction or fabrication of a system or component designated in the Quality Assurance Plan must submit a Statement of Responsibility. The Statement of Responsibility is required for Seismic Design Category C or higher. Make additional copies of this form as required.

Project:

Contractor's Name:

Address:

License No.:

Description of designated building systems and components included in the Statement of Responsibility:

Contractor's Acknowledgment of Special Requirements

I hereby acknowledge that I have received, read, and understand the Quality Assurance Plan and Special Inspection program.

I hereby acknowledge that control will be exercised to obtain conformance with the construction documents approved by the Building Official.

Signature

Date

Contractor's Provisions for Quality Control

Procedures for exercising control within the contractor's organization, the method and frequency of reporting and the distribution of reports is attached to this Statement.

Identification and qualifications of the person(s) exercising such control and their position(s) in the organization are attached to this Statement.

Structural Schedule of Special Inspections SEISMIC RESISTANCE - STRUCTURAL

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION		EXTENT:	COMMENTS	AGENT	
IBC Section 1707		PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE			
 Special inspections for seismic resistance. Special inspection as specified in this section is required for the following: 			Seismic Design Category: C		
a. The seismic-force-resisting systems in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F	N	Ą	IBC 1707.1 - Exempted by Exception of Section 1705.3.1	N/A	PE/SE or EIT
2. Structural steel: Continuous special inspection for structural welding in accordance with AISC 341.	N	p	IBC 1707.2 – Exempted by Exception 1of Section 1707.2	N/A	AWS-CWI
3. Structural wood;		1			
a. Continuous special inspection during field gluing operations of elements of the seismic-force-resisting system.	N/A	с	IBC 1702.3		PE/SE or EIT
 b. Periodic special inspections for nailing, bolting, anchoring and other fastening of components within the scismic-force- resisting system, including drag struts, braces and hold-downs 	N/A	р	IBC 1702.3		PE/SE or EfT
4. Cold-formed steel framing: Periodic special inspections during welding operations of elements of the seismic-force-resisting system. Periodic special inspections for screw attachment, bolting, anchoring and other fastening of components within the seismic- force-resisting system, including struts, braces, and hold-downs	N/A	N			
4. Seistnic isolation system. Provide periodic special inspection during the fabrication and installation of isolator units and energy dissipation devices if used as part of the seismic isolation system	N/A	N	IBC 1707.8		

11 of 12

г

Structural Schedule of Special Inspection Services FABRICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURES – STRUCTURAL STEEL

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.2	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION
Fabrications Procedures: Review of fabricator's written procedural and quality control manuals and periodic auditing of fabrication practices by an approved special inspection agency. At the completion of fabrication, the approved fabricator shall submit a certificate of compliance to the building code official stating that the work was performed in accordance with the approved construction documents. -OR- 2, AISC Certification -OR- 3. International Accreditation Service's AC472 Certification for Metal Building Systems	Ŷ	S	Fabricator shall submit one of the three qualifications	SII	PE/SE or EIT
4. At completion of fabrication, the approved fabricator shall submit a certificate of compliance to the building code official stating that the work was performed in accordance with the approved construction documents.	Y	S	IBC 1704.2.2	SII	PE/SE or EIT

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION		EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC,	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION
IBC Section 1704.3		SUBMITTAL, OR NONE			
5) Floor and roof deck welds	Y	p – –	AWS D1 3	S13	AWS-CWI
b. Reinforcing steel;					
1) Verification of weldability of reinforcing steel other than ASTM A706.	Y	С			
 Reinforcing steel-resisting flexural and axial forces in intermediate and special moment frames, and boundary elements of special reinforced concrete shear walls and shear reinforcement. 	Y	С		\$13	AWS-CWI
3) Shear reinforcement.	Y	C	ACI 318 3 5.2		AWS-CWI
4) Other reinforcing steel	Y	р			AWS-CWI
7. Inspection of steel frame joint details for compliance (IBC Sect 1704.3.2) with approved construction documents:					
a Details such as bracing and stiffening.	Y	Р			PE/SE or EIT
b. Member locations.	Y	р	IBC 1704.3.2	\$11	PE/SE or EIT
c Application of joint details at each connection.	Y	Р			PE/SE or ETT

Structural Schedule of Special Inspections - STEEL CONSTRUCTION

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION		EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC,	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION
IBC Section 1704.3		SUBMITTAL, OR NONE			
1 Material verification of high-strength bolts, nuts and washers					
 a. Identification markings to conform to ASTM standards specified in the approved construction documents. 	Y	S	AISC 360, Section A3.3 and applicable ASTM material Standards	811	PE/SE or EIT
b Manufacturer's certificate of compliance required.	Y	8		SII	PE/SE or EIT
2 Inspection of high-strength bolting					
a. Snug-tight joints	Y	ę	AISC 360. Section		AWS/AISC-SSI
 b. Pretensioned and slip-critical joints using turn-of-nut with matchmarking, twist-off bolt or direct tension indicator methods of installation 	Y	p	M2 5	S13	AWS/AISC-SSI
 Pretensioned and slip-critical joints using turn-of-nut without matchmarking or calibrated wrench methods of installation 	Y C IBC 1704 3.		IBC 1704 3.3		AWS/AISC-SSI
3 Material verification of structural steel and cold-formed steel deck.					
a. For structural steel, identification markings to conform to AISC 360	Y	<u>S</u>	AISC 360, Section M5.5	SU	PE/SE or EIT
 b. For other steel, identification markings to conform to ASTM standards specified in the approved construction documents. 	Y	S	Applicable ASTM material standards	SII	PE/SE or EIT
b. Manufacturers' certified mill test reports	Y	8	ASTM A 6 or ASTM A 568 IBC Sect 1708.4	SH	PE/SE or EIT
4. Material verification of weld liller materials:			Constant and the second	alle andre son Sindson Sindson	2010
a. Identification markings to conform to AWS specification in the approved construction documents.	Y	<u>s</u>	AISC 360, Section A3.5 and applicable AWS A5 documents	S13	PE/SE or EIT
b Manufacturer's certificate of compliance required.	Y	S		S11	PE/SE or EIT
5. Submit current AWS D1.1 welder certificate for all field welders who will be welding on this project.	Y	S	AWS DL1	SII	PE/SE or EIT
6 Inspection of welding a. Structural steel and cold-formed steel deck:				and an	
1) Complete and partial penetration groove welds.	Y	С			AWS-CWI
2) Multipass fillet welds.	Y	с	AWS DI 1 IBC 1704 3 1	\$13	AWS-CWI
3) Single-pass fillet welds> 5/16"	Y	с	100 1704.50	610	AWS-CWI
4) Single-pass fillet welds< 5/16"	Y	P	AWS D1.1 IBC 1704.3.1		AWS-CWI

Structural Schedule of Special Inspections CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION

.

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.4	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION
1. Inspection of reinforcing steel, including prestressing tendons, and placement	Y	р	AC1 318: 3.5, 7.1-7.7	S11 or S12	PE/SE, EIT or ICC- RCSI
2. Inspection of reinforcing steel welding (Refer to Item6B in Steel Construction Table below)	Y	Р	AWS D1.4 AC1 318: 3.5.2	S13	AWS-CWI
 Inspect bolts to be installed in concrete prior to and during placement of concrete where allowable loads have been increased or where strength design is used 	Y	C	IBC 1911.5, 1912.1 ACI 318: 8.1.3, 21.2.8	S11 or S12	PE/SE, EIT or ICC- RCSI
4. Inspection of anchors installed in hardened concrete	Y	р	IBC 1912.1 ACI 318: 3.8.6, 8.1.3, 21.2.8	S[] or S[2	PE/SE, EIT or ICC- RCSI
5. Verifying use of required design mix	Y	Р	ACI 318: Ch 4, 5,2-5.4	S11, S12 or TA1	PE/SE, EIT or ICC- RCSI
6. At time fresh concrete is sampled to fabricate specimens for strength test, perform slump and air content test and temperature In the absence of project specific specifications, the frequency of testing shall be per the schedule following this table	Y	C	ASTM C 172 ASTM C 31 ACI 318: 5.6, 5.8 IBC 1913.10	TAI	ACI-CFTT or ACI-STT
7. Inspection of concrete and shotcrete placement for proper application techniques	Y	C	ACI 318: 5.9, 5.10	SI1, SI2 or TA1	PE/SE, EIT or ICC- RCSI
 Inspection for maintenance of specified curing temperature and techniques 	Y	р	AC1 318: 5.11- 5.13	SI1, SI2 or TA1	PE/SE, EIT or ICC- RCSI

Concrete Testing Frequency:

Concrete cylinders shall be taken, and fresh concrete tested at least once per placement or at the following intervals:

Retaining walls and footings:
 Isolated Footings:

3. Slabs:

50 cubic yards 25 cubic yards 50 cubic yards

.

Structural Schedule of Special Inspections SOILS & FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.7, 1704.8, 1704.9		EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	
Verify existing soil conditions, fill placement and load bearing requirements						
 Verify materials below shallow foundations are adequate to achieve design bearing capacity 	Y	Р	IBC 1704.7	S12	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
Verify excavations are extended to proper depth and have reached proper material	Y	- در	IBC 1704.7	SI2	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
 Perform classification and testing of compacted fill materials 	Y	р	IBC 1704.7	SI2	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
 Verify use of proper materials, densities and lift thicknesses during placement and compaction of compacted fill 	Y	c	IBC 1704.7	S12	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
5. Prior to placement of compacted fill, observe subgrade and verify that site has been prepared properly	Y	P	IBC 1704.7	S12	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	

Structural Schedule of Special Inspections

Qualifications of Inspectors and Testing Technicians

The qualifications of all personnel performing Special Inspection and testing activities are subject to the approval of the Building Official. The credentials of all Inspectors and testing technicians shall be provided to the Special Inspector for their records. NOTE VERIFICATION THAT QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS ARE AVAILABLE TO PERFORM STIPULATED TESTING AND/OR INSPECTION SHOULD BE PROVIDED PRIOR TO SUBMITTING STATEMENT. AGENT QUALIFICATIONS IN SCHEDULE ARE SUGGESTIONS ONLY; FINAL QUALIFICATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO THE DISCRETION OF THE REGISTERED DESIGN PROFESSIONAL PREPARING THE SCHEDULE.

Key for Minimum Qualifications of Inspection Agents:

When the Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge or Special Inspector of Record deems it appropriate that the individual performing a stipulated test or inspection have a specific certification, license or experience as indicated below, such requirement shall be listed below and shall be clearly identified within the schedule under the Agent Qualification Designation.

PE/SE	Structural Engineer – a licensed SE or PE specializing in the design of building structures
PE/GE	Geotechnical Engineer a licensed PE specializing in soil mechanics and foundations
EIT	Engineer-In-Training – a graduate engineer who has passed the Fundamentals of Engineering
	examination

Experienced Testing Technician

ETT Experienced Testing Technician – An Experienced Testing Technician with a minimum 5 years experience with the stipulated test or inspection

American Concrete Institute (ACI) Certification

ACI-CFTT	Concrete Field Testing Technician – Grade 1
ACI-CCI	Concrete Construction Inspector
ACI-LTT	Laboratory Testing Technician – Grade 1&2
ACI-STT	Strength Testing Technician

American Welding Society (AWS) Certification

AWS-CWI	Certified Welding Inspector
AWS/AISC-SSI	Certified Structural Steel Inspector

American Society of Non-Destructive Testing (ASNT) Certification

ASNT Non-Destructive Testing Technician – Level II or III.

International Code Council (ICC) Certification

ICC-SMSI	Structural Masonry Special Inspector
ICC-SWSI	Structural Steel and Welding Special Inspector
ICC-SFSI	Spray-Applied Fireproofing Special Inspector
ICC-PCSI	Prestressed Concrete Special Inspector
ICC-RCSI	Reinforced Concrete Special Inspector

National Institute for Certification in Engineering Technologies (NICET)

NICET-CT	Concrete Technician – Levels I, II, III & IV
NICET-ST	Soils Technician - Levels I, II, III & IV
NICET-GET	Geotechnical Engineering Technician - Levels I, II, III & IV

Other

Inspection Services (Exhibit A).

- 2.2.3.2 The SI shall prepare a report following each site visit.
- 2.2.3.3 The SI shall not be responsible for the means, methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures of construction selected by the Contractor or safety precautions and programs incident to the work of the Contractor.
- 2.2.3.4 The SI shall not be responsible for the failure of the Contractor to perform the construction work in accordance with the Contract Documents.
- 2.2.3.5 The SI shall not have the authority to stop work on the project.
- 2.2.4 Material Testing:
 - 2.2.4.1 The SI shall review reports to determine if the Testing Laboratory(s) has verified conformance of the reported item of work with the Contract Documents.
 - 2.2.4.2 The SI shall bring identified discrepancies to the attention of the Building Official, Prime Design Professional, Structural Engineer of Record, Client and Contractor.

Section 3 - Fees and Payments

3.1 Fees and Other Compensation

3.1.1 Services and Reimbursable Expenses are as stated in the Letter Agreement.

3.2 Payments on Account

- 3.2.1 Invoices for SI's services shall be submitted on a monthly basis. Invoices are payable when rendered and shall be considered past due if not paid within 60 days of the invoice date.
- 3.2.2 Retainers, if applicable to this project, shall be credited to the final invoice.
- 3.2.3 Inquiries or questions concerning the substance or content of any invoice shall be made to the SI in writing within 10 days of receipt of the invoice. A failure to notify the SI within this period shall constitute an acknowledgement that the service has been provided and payment is due.

3.3 Late Payments

- 3.3.1 A service charge will be charged at the rate of 1.5% (18% true annual rate) per month or the maximum allowable by law on the then outstanding balance of past due accounts. In the event any portion of the account remains unpaid 60 days after billing, the Client shall pay all costs of collection, including reasonable attorney's fees.
- 3.3.2 In the event that any portion of an account remains unpaid 30 days after billing, the SI may, without waiving any claim or right against the Client, and without liability

whatsoever to the Client, suspend or terminate the performance of all services.

Section 4 - Insurance, Indemnification & Risk Allocation

4.1 Insurance

4.1.1 The SI shall secure and endeavor to maintain professional liability insurance, commercial general liability insurance, and automobile liability insurance to protect the SI from claims for negligence, bodily injury, death, or property damage which may arise out of the performance of the SI's services under this Agreement. The SI shall, if requested in writing, provide certificates of insurance to the Client.

4.2 Indemnifications

4.2.1 The SI and the Client mutually agree to indemnify and hold each other harmless from any damages and losses arising from their own negligent acts, errors or omissions in their performance under this Agreement, to the extent that each party is responsible for such damages and losses on a comparative basis of fault.

4.3 Risk Allocation

4.3.1 In recognition of the relative risks, rewards and benefits of the Project to both the Client and the SI, the risks have been allocated such that the Client agrees that, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the SI's total liability to the Client for any and all injuries, claims, losses, expenses, damages or claim expenses arising out of this Agreement, from any cause or causes shall not exceed the amount of \$25,000 or the SI's fee, whichever is greater. Such causes include, but are not limited to, the SI's negligent act, errors, omissions, strict liability, breach of contract, breach of express or implied warranty, or any other theory of legal liability. This limitation of liability shall apply to the Structural Engineer of Record and its officers, members, directors, partners, agents, employees, and subconsultants.

Section 5 – Miscellaneous Provisions

5.1 Termination, Successors and Assigns

- 5.1.1 This Agreement may be terminated upon 10 days written notice by either party should the other fail to perform its obligations hereunder. In the event of termination, the Client shall pay the SI for all services rendered to the date of termination, all reimbursable expenses, and reasonable termination expenses.
- 5.1.2 The Client and SI each binds themselves, partners, successors, executors, administrators, assigns and legal representative to the other party of this Agreement and to the partners, successors, executors, administrators, assigns, and legal representative of such other party in respect to all covenants, agreements, and obligations of this Agreement.
- 5.1.3 Neither the Client nor the SI shall assign, sublet or transfer any rights under or interest in (including but without limitations, monies that may be due or monies that are due) this Agreement, without the written consent of the other, except as stated in the paragraph above, and except to the extent that the effect of this limitation may be restricted by law. Unless

- 1.3.3 **Contract Documents** are the Engineering and Architectural Drawings and Specifications issued for construction, plus clarification drawings, addenda, approved change orders and contractor designed elements.
- 1.3.4 **Inspection** is visual observations and materials testing to determine that the work is in substantial conformance with the requirements of the Contract Documents.
- 1.3.5 **Reimbursable Expenses** are expenses incurred directly or indirectly in connection with the project such as, but not limited to, transportation, meals or lodging for travel, long distance telephone calls and facsimile transmissions, overnight deliveries, courier services, professional service sales taxes, the cost of reproductions beyond those normally required for coordination and information purposes, and the cost of outside professional services.
- 1.3.6 **Shop Drawings** are those drawings prepared by or on behalf of the Contractor, based upon the Contract Documents that have been reviewed by and bear the review stamp of the appropriate design professionals.

Section 2 - Services

- 2.1 Services include those designated in the Scope of Special Inspection Services and further detailed below. Some inspection and testing duties may be performed by Testing Laboratories or other professionals.
- 2.2 Unless otherwise stated in the Agreement, the SI will provide the following services, as applicable to the project:
 - 2.2.1 Administrative Services:
 - 2.2.1.1 The SI shall keep records of all inspections related to Exhibit A.
 - 2.2.1.2 The SI shall review inspection and materials testing reports and bring identified discrepancies to the attention of the Building Official, the Prime Design Professional, Structural Engineer of Record, Client and Contractor.
 - 2.2.1.3 The SI shall distribute reports to the Building Official, the Prime Design Professional, Structural Engineer of Record, Client and Contractor. Reports will be submitted as required by the Building Official and the Prime Design Professional.
 - 2.2.2 Certificates of Compliance Review:
 - 2.2.2.1 Certificates of Compliance shall be reviewed for conformance with the standards specified in the Contract Documents. Identified discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Building Official, the Prime Design Professional, Structural Engineer of Record, Client and Contractor.
 - 2.2.3 Field Inspection:
 - 2.2.3.1 The SI shall make site visits to inspect work designated in the Scope of Special

EXHIBIT B - Terms and Conditions

This is an exhibit attached to and made part of the Agreement dated December 7, 2011, between

 Phoenix Property Management
 and
 M² Structural Engineering, P.C.

 Client
 Structural Engineer (Special Inspector, SI)

Section 1 - General

1.1 This Agreement

- 1.1.1 These Terms and Conditions along with the Letter Agreement and Exhibit A form the Agreement as if they were part of one and the same document.
- 1.1.2 The Letter Agreement and Exhibit A may modify the applicability of these Terms and Conditions. Such limitation shall take precedence over provisions of this Exhibit.

1.2 General obligations of the Special Inspector and the Client

- 1.2.1 The Special Inspector (SI) shall perform Special Inspection Services as specified in Exhibit A and detailed in these Terms and Conditions. In rendering these services, the SI shall apply the skill and care ordinarily exercised at the same time and locale by structural engineers performing special inspection services.
- 1.2.2 The SI makes no warranties, expressed or implied, under this Agreement or otherwise, in connection with SI's Services.
- 1.2.3 The Client shall provide to the SI a complete set of Documents, signed and sealed by the Licensed Design Professional and approved by the Building Official, and applicable approved shop drawings and related documents, including the construction schedule.
- 1.2.4 The Client shall direct the Contractor to notify the SI of the Contractor's progress so the SI will have at least 24 hours notice prior to performance of work that will require inspection or testing.
- 1.2.5 The Client and SI shall each designate a person to act with authority on their behalf with respect to all aspects of the project.
- 1.2.6 The SI shall notify the Contractor of their presence and responsibilities at the job site.
- 1.2.7 The SI shall submit to the Building Official all required reports.

1.3 Definitions

- 1.3.1 **Special Inspector (SI)** is the licensed individual or firm that implements the special inspection program for the project.
- 1.3.2 **Testing Laboratory** is an agency or firm qualified to perform field and laboratory tests to determine the characteristics and quality of building materials and workmanship.

- 1. Review of soils and placement of fill as required by the Statement of Special Inspections.
- 2. Field inspection of Bolted and/or Welded steel connections.
- 3. Inspection of welding of reinforcing steel.
- 4. On site review of fabricator(s) shop(s), if required.
- 5. Special inspections of cold-formed steel framing.

EXHIBIT A – Scope of Special Inspection Services

This is an exhibit attached to and made part of the Agreement dated December 7, 2011, between

Phoenix Property Management	and	M ² Structural Engineering, P.C.
Client		Structural Engineer (Special Inspector, SI)

BASIC SERVICES

M² Structural Engineering, P.C. will provide WORK consisting of:

- 1. Site visits as required during foundation construction for general conformance with the contract documents and to review concrete placement.
- 2. Review concrete inspection field reports by the Testing Agency, as necessary, for work performed by ACI or ICC certified inspectors to verify concrete reinforcing work performed was in accordance with contract documents.
- 3. Review of concrete inspection field reports provided the Testing Agency for work performed by ACI or ICC certified inspectors to verify concrete placement work was in accordance with contract documents.
- 4. Review of concrete inspection field reports provided by the Testing Agency for work performed by ACI or ICC certified inspectors to verify bolts installed in concrete prior to and during placement. Reports shall indicate conformance of bolt position, embedment and concrete placement and consolidation around bolts.
- 5. Review of laboratory test reports for concrete test cylinders.
- 6. Site visits as required during, and one (1) site visit following structural steel erection to verify framing details are in conformance with Construction Documents.
- 7. Review of submittals required by the Statement of Special Inspections prepared by the Structural Engineer of Record and will include:
 - a. Review of AISC or IAS Certification documentation from Structural Steel fabricator.
 - b. Review of Structural Steel or Metal Building fabricator's "Certificate of Compliance."
 - c. Review of required Welder's Certificate submittals.
 - d. Review of material verification submittals.
- 8. Review of field reports, by others, for the inspection of field bolted and field welded connections.
- 9. Preparation and distribution of Non-Conformance reports as required.
- 10. Preparation and distribution of Interim Special Inspection Reports as required by the Structural Engineer of Record and/or Building Official.
- 11. Preparation of Final Report of Special Inspections.

EXCLUDED SERVICES

Services not included above are excluded from the WORK.

SERVICES BY OTHERS

The following services are to be provided by others or may be provided by M^2 Structural Engineering, P.C. as an additional service. For the purposes of this Agreement, the following are not included as part of M^2 Structural Engineering, P.C.'s WORK:

New Building for Phoenix Property Management P2011-34

Reimbursable expenses will be billed at cost plus 10% in addition to the fee.

Our current hourly rate for engineering services is \$100.00 per hour. This rate is effective through the last day of December 2012.

Additional Services will be charged at our standard hourly rates or billed at a mutually agreed upon fee.

This Letter of Agreement, and Exhibits A and B hereto, constitute the entire Agreement between the parties. Please examine these documents and sign and return one copy to me.

We are looking forward to working with you on this Project.

Respectfully, M² Structural Engineering, P.C.

Matthew J. Miller, P.E. President

Accepted by:

×...**

(Signature)

(Date)

(Printed Name)

(Title)



23 Thornbury Way Windham, ME 04062 (207) 892-0983

An Agreement between Client and Structural Engineer for Special Inspection Services

Based on the Council of American Structural Engineers document 4-2008

December 7, 2011

Phoenix Property Management Attn: Aaron Bateman PO Box 759 Saco, ME 04072

Reference: New Building for Phoenix Property Management Hutchins Drive, Portland, ME P2011-34



Dear: Aaron

We are pleased to propose the following Agreement for providing special inspection services on the above referenced project.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

The Project consists of:

- A 7000 square foot pre-manufactured metal building.
- A 2400 square foot salt storage shed.
- And a 200 foot long (approx..) retaining wall.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

The services to be provided are described in the Scope of Special Inspection Services (Exhibit A) and the Terms and Conditions (Exhibit B). The services are based on Drawings prepared by Ted Greenlaw, CORLE, and Attar Engineering, Inc and the Draft Statement of Special Inspections dated December 6, 2011. It is our understanding that Phoenix Property Management will engage the services of a testing lab to perform field testing and inspection services under a separate contract.

Special Inspections for other disciplines not itemized in Exhibit A may be required, but are not included in this Agreement.

COMPENSATION

Compensation for our services will be a fee calculated on an hourly rate basis per our current hourly rates as indicated below. Fees for outside consultants will be billed at a cost plus 10% in addition to the fee. At this time we estimate the total fee to be between \$1500.00 and \$2000.00. This total fee shall be understood to be an estimate and is based on a construction time of three (3) months. If Basic Services have not been completed within this time, through no fault of the Structural Special Inspector, the amounts of compensation set forth in this Agreement shall be equitably adjusted.

To: Aaron Bateman **Subject:** RE: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Aaron,

Attached, please find a copy of my proposal for Special Inspection Services. You should be getting a separate proposal from S.W. Cole.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Matt

No virus found in this message. Checked by AVG - <u>www.avg.com</u> Version: 2012.0.1873 / Virus Database: 2102/4669 - Release Date: 12/09/11 Thanks Aaron, that's fine for the additional services information.

The only remaining item I have is the Com Check certificates, did I miss seeing these in an email? Let me know if this is forthcoming, if not, I could issue the permit with a condition for this. Jeanie

>>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/9/2011 9:00 AM >>> Hi Jeanie,

Attached is the statement of special Inspections. There is a small scope of services by others that will be performed by SW Cole. As soon as I have their info in hand I will forward it.

Could you send us a quick update of where you see the project permit at this point. We would like to start the foundation next week and are hoping the full permit could be pulled early in the week.

Thanks Aaron

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:]MB@port[andmaine.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2011 8:46 AM
To: Aaron Bateman
Cc: Bill Southworth; Josh Cushman
Subject: Re: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Aaron,

In addition to the scope and agreement of special inspections provided, please submit the "Draft (or finalized) Statement of Special Inspections dated December 6, 2011", and the Services By Others as outlined in Exhibit A. Thanks'

Jeanie

Jeanie Bourke CEO/LPI/Plan Reviewer

City of Portland Planning & Urban Development Dept./ Inspections Division 389 Congress St. Rm 315 Portland, ME 04101 jmb@portlandmaine.gov Direct: (207) 874-8715 Office: (207) 874-8703 >>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/7/2011 4:23 PM >>> Please find attached a proposal for special inspections.

Thanks Aaron

From: Matthew J. Miller, P.E. [mailto:matt@m2se.com] Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2011 3:32 PM From: Aaron Bateman [mailto:abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 10:24 AM
To: 'Matthew J. Miller, P.E.'
Subject: FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Matt,

Could you please review the e-mail from Jeanie and get back to me.

Thanks Aaron

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 2:09 PM
To: Aaron Bateman
Cc: Bill Southworth; Josh Cushman
Subject: RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Aaron,

I am ok with issuing the permit with certain conditions, however, after further review of the statement of special inspections, I do have a couple of items. I apologize for not seeing this at first.

1. On page 11 of 12, Seismic Resistance, the engineer will need to justify not requiring inspections for 1.a as this is seismic design D

2. Engineers justification for no Quality Assurance Plan for seismic design D, Sec. 1705.3.1 only exempts design C.

3. A sealed and sign copy of the statement will need to be submitted, this can be a condition.

Thanks, Jeanie

>>> Aaron Bateman <<u>abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com</u>> 12/9/2011 11:06 AM >>> Hi Jeanie,

I have called Josh from Portland Builders asking him to take care of the Com Check certificates. I do not believe these have been provided to date. In the mean time if you could issue the permit with this as a remaining condition that would be great.

I could send someone over today to grab it if that is possible.

Thanks for all the help on this!

Aaron

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:JMB@portlandmaine.qov] Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 11:02 AM To: Aaron Bateman Subject: RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

From: To:	Aaron Bateman <abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com> "'Jeanie Bourke'" <jmb@portlandmaine.gov></jmb@portlandmaine.gov></abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com>
Date:	12/13/2011 1:20 PM
Subject:	FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions
CC:	"Josh Cushman'" <jcushman@portlandbuilders.com>, Bill Southworth <bsout< th=""></bsout<></jcushman@portlandbuilders.com>
Attachments:	Phoenix Seismic.pdf

Jeanie Bourke - FW: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Hi Jeanie,

Below is Matt's response. Please let me know if we need to provide additional information.

Thanks Aaron

From: Matthew J. Miller, P.E. [mailto:matt@m2se.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 12:14 PM
To: Aaron Bateman
Subject: RE: FW: Foundation Plans & Anchor Bolt Plans / Reactions

Aaron,

Based on my quick investigation, the Mapped Spectral Accelerations should be: Ss = 0.322 S1 = 0.078

Based on these values the Design Spectral Accelerations for Site Class E should be:

Sds = 0.487 Sd1 = 0.182

Since this is a category II building these values correspond to a Seismic Design Category C.

A copy of my backup information is attached, where the design values for the 2009 IBC are based on the 2003 NEHRP provisions.

In review of the anchor rod drawings, the SER has the values of Ss and S1 listed at 0.41 and 0.1. If we use these higher values, then yes the building would be in seismic design category D.

I would recommend that the EOR from CORLE review the seismic values, and adjust them as necessary.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Regards,

Matt

file:///C:/Users/JMB/AppData/Local/Temp/XPgrpwise/4EE75107PortlandCityHall10016... 12/16/2011



Ms. Barbara Barhydt Development Review Services Manager Planning Division 389 Congress Street 4th Floor Portland, ME 04101 (207) 874-8699 Fax: (207) 756-8256 bab@portlandmaine.gov June 17, 2011 Project No.: C010-11

Re: Hutchins Drive Maintenance Facility 144 Hutchins Drive Portland, Maine

Dear Ms. Barhydt:

On behalf of Phoenix Property Services I have attached a Level II Preliminary Site Plan application for your review and consideration for the referenced project. The project involves a new, 7,000 S.F. commercial maintenance building, A 2,400 SF salt shed, associated parking, access and utility improvements. The project site (Tax Assessor's Map 240, Block A, Lot 4) is located in the Industrial – Moderate Impact (IM) District and is approximately 2.16 acres in area.

This project requires a Stormwater Permit by Rule from the Maine Department of Environmental Protection, an application for which has been filed and is included in this application.

Please contact me for any additional information or clarifications required.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Stairs, EIT. Project Engineer

cc: Phoenix Property Services



1284 State Road, Eliot, ME 03903 🐁 tel (207) 439-6023 🐟 fax (207) 439-2128

Project Summary – Hutchins Drive Maintenance Facility

The purpose of this project is to obtain permitting for a proposed 7,000 S.F. maintenance facility, a 2,400 S. F. salt shed, associated parking, access and utility improvements.

The proposed development will take place on Tax Assessor's Map 240, Block A, Lot 4. This lot is approximately 2.16 acres in area and is owned by Maine Alpha Floor Sanding. The applicant has a purchase and sale agreement with the owner.

Zoning is Industrial – Moderate Impact (IM). The proposed use will be a combination of repair services and warehousing and distribution. Both of which are permitted in the Industrial District.

Water service will be provided by the Portland Water District through an existing 42" Dia. main within a right of way adjacent to the Hutchins Drive right of way.

Sewer service will be provided by the Portland Sewer Department through connection to an existing 8" Dia. ductile iron pipe located within the Ranger Drive right-of-way

Overhead utilities will need to be extended along Hutchins Drive approximately 145' and across the right of way to the property. From there utilities will be installed underground.

Site lighting will consist of residential type cut-off wall-pack fixtures to be placed at each entry door to the proposed building.

The project will require a Stormwater Permit by Rule from the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MDEP) Permit (*Chapter 500 – Stormwater Management*). A copy of this application had been included with this application.

Traffic for the majority of the year will be based on an average of 5 employees which will create and average daily trip total of 10 trips. During storm events the owner is projecting to see approximately 30 trucks per day loading salt.

There is a private 30' wide drainage easement running through the site from the westerly property line to the north easterly corner of the property. In addition there is an easement to the city of Portland along the Hutchins Drive right of way.

Landscaping will consist of existing vegetation, turf areas and proposed plant materials.

Commercial solid waste disposal will be provided by a dumpster / compactor located on site.

•

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ADDRESS:

144 Hutchins Drive

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Γ

Proposed 7,000 SF, (65' X 107') industrial building with a separate 40' X 60' salt shed

,

and associated parking.

CHART/BLOCK/LOT:	PRELIMINARY PLAN FINAL PLAN	<u> 6/17/11 (</u> date) (date)
Applicant – must be owner, Lessee or Buyer	Applicant Contact Information	

Name: Phoenix Property Services	Work # (207) 571-3061
Business Name, if applicable:	Home#
Address: P.O. Box 759	Cell # Fax#
City/State : Saco, ME Zip Code: 04072	e-mail; abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.com
Owner – (if different from Applicant)	Owner Contact Information
Name: Maine Alpha Floor Sanding	Work #
Address: 23 Rabbit Run	Home#
City/State : Portland, ME Zip Code: 04102	Cell # Fax#
	e-mail:
Agent/ Representative	Agent/Representative Contact information
Attar Engineering, Inc.	Work # (207) 439-6023
Kenneth A. Wood, P.E.	Cell #
1284 State Road	
City/State: Eliot, ME Zip Code: 03903	e-mail: Ken@attarengineering.com
Billing Information	Billing Information
Name: Same as Agent/Representative	Work #
Address:	Cell# Fax#
City/State : Zip Code:	e-mail:

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 2 -

.

.

Engineer		Enginee	r Contact Informat	ion
Name: Attar Engineering,	Inc.	Work #	(207) 439-60	23
Kenneth A. Wood, P. Address:	.Е.	Cell #		Fax# (207) 439-2128
1284 State Road City/State: Eliot	Zip Code: 03903	e-mail:	ken@attarengi	neering.com
Surveyor		Surveyo	r Contact Informat	ion
Name:		Work #		
Address:		Cell #		Fax#
City/State :	Zip Code:	e-mail:		
Architect		Architec	t Contact Informat	ion
Name:		Work #		
Address:		Cell #		Fax#
City/State :	Zip Code:	e-mail:		
Attorney		Attorney	Contact Informati	on
Name:		Work #		
Address:		Cell #		Fax#
City/State :	Zip Code:	e-mail:		

.

p.

APPLICATION FEES:

Check all reviews that apply. (Payment may be made by Cash or Check payable to the City of Portland.)

I		
(office use)	Other Reviews (check applicable reviews)	Fees Paid (office use)
	Traffic Movement (\$1,000) Stormwater Quality (\$250) Section 14-403 Review (\$400 + \$25/lot) # of Lotsx \$25/lot =	
	Other Change of Use Flood Plain Shoreland Design Review Housing Replacement Historic Preservation	ŗ
Fees Paid (office use)		
	Fees Paid (office use)	(office use)

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 3 -

APPLICATION SUBMISSION

As of December 1, 2010, all site plans and written application materials must be uploaded to a website for review. At the time of application, instructions for uploading the plans will be provided to the applicant. One paper set of the plans, written materials and application fee must be submitted to the Planning Division Office to start the review process.

Until December 1, 2010, submissions shall include seven (7) packets with folded plans containing the following materials:

- 1. Seven (7) full size site plans that must be folded.
- 2. Seven (7) copies of all written materials or as follows, unless otherwise noted:
 - a. Application form that is completed and signed.
 - b. Cover letter stating the nature of the project.
 - c. All Written Submittals (Sec. 14-525 2. (c), including evidence of right, title and interest.
- 5. A stamped standard boundary survey prepared by a registered land surveyor at a scale not less than one inch to 100 feet.
- 6. Plans and maps based upon the boundary survey and containing the information found in the attached sample plan checklist.
- 7. Copy of the checklist completed for the proposal listing the material contained in the submitted application.
- 8. One (1) set of plans reduced to 11 x 17.

Refer to the application checklist for a detailed list of submittal requirements.

Portland's development review process and requirements are outlined in the Land Use Code (Chapter 14), which includes the Subdivision Ordinance (Section 14-491) and the Site Plan Ordinance (Section 14-521). Portland's Land Use Code is on the City's web site: <u>www.portlandmaine.gov</u> Copies of the ordinances may be purchased through the Planning Division.

I hereby certify that I am the Owner of record of the named property, or that the owner of record authorizes the proposed work and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized agent. I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in this application is issued, I certify that the Planning Authority and Code Enforcement's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by this permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provisions of the codes applicable to this permit.

This application is for a Level II Site Plan review. It is not a permit to begin construction. An approved site plan, a Performance Guarantee, Inspection Fee, Building Permit, and associated fees will be required prior to construction. Other Federal, State or local permits may be required prior to construction, which are the responsibility of the applicant to obtain.

Signature of Applicant:	Date:	
Cliffer Ci	June 17, 2011	

PROJECT DATA

The following information is required where applicable, in order complete the application

Tetel	Oldo Area	94.090	A
Drop	Dile Area	48,023	<u>sq. π.</u>
rroposed lotal Disturbed Area of the Site		nnlicent shall each for a Maine	<u> </u>
Cone	truction General Permit (MCGP) with DEP and a Stormwate	or Management Permit Chanter 500) with
the C	ity of Portland)	management i ennit, enapter eet	<i>,</i>
410 0			
IMPE	RVIOUS SURFACE AREA		
•	Proposed Total Paved Area	21,658	sq. ft.
•	Existing Total Impervious Area	0	sq. ft.
•	Proposed Total Impervious Area	30,234	sq. ft.
•	Proposed Total Impervious Area		sq. ft.
•	Proposed Impervious Net Change	30,234	sq. ft.
BUIL	DING AREA		
•	Proposed Building Footprint	9,400	sq. ft.
•	Proposed Building Footprint Net change		sq. ft.
٠	Existing Total Building Floor Area	0	sq. ft.
•	Proposed Total Building Floor Area		sq. ft.
٠	Proposed Building Floor Area Net Change		sq. ft.
•	New Building	Yes (ye	es or no)
ZONIN	<u>IG</u>		
•	Existing	IM (Moderate Industrial)	
٠	Proposed, if applicable	IM (Moderate Industrial)	
	, 		
LAND	USE		
•	Existing		
•	Proposed		
DECID			
REGIL	Desistent Number of Affordable Heuring Units		·,
	Proposed Number of Anordable Housing Units		· .
	Proposed Number of Residential Units to be Demolished	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
•			·
•	Proposed Number of Residential Units	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	Subdivision, Proposed Number of Lots	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DADK			
	Evicting Number of Parking Spaces	0	
	Existing Number of Parking Spaces	13	
	Number of Hondicopped Barking Spaces	. 1	
	Pronosed Total Parking Spaces	14	
	Floposeu Total Faiking Spaces	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BICYC	LE PARKING SPACES		
•	Existing Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces		
•	Existing Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces	·····	
-	Proposed Number of Bicycle Parking Spaces	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.
	Total Bicycle Parking Spaces	· ·	
-			
ESTIM	ATED COST OF PROJECT	?	,

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 5 -
General Submittal Requirements – Preliminary Plan (Optional)						
	Level II Site Plan					
Preliminary Plan Phase Check list (if elected by applicant)						
Applicant Checklist	Planner Checkiist	Numper of Conies	Written Submittal Requirements			
TTV		7 (1 paper copy	Completed application form			
uar -	L)	as of Dec. 1)				
Ľ		1	Application fees			
Ľ		7 (1. paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Written description of project			
ď		7 (1 paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Evidence of right, title and interest.			
ď		7 (1 paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Copies of required State and/or Federal permits.			
9		7 ((1 paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Written assessment of zoning.			
9		7 (1 paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Written description of existing and proposed easements or other burdens.			
		7 (1. paper copy as of Dec. 1.)	Written requests for waivers from individual site plan and/or technical standards, where applicable.			
Y		7 (1 paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Traffic analysis (may be preliminary, in nature, during the preliminary plan phase).			
9		7 (1 paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Written summary of significant natural features located on the site.			
		7 (1 paper copy as of Dec. 1)	Written summary of project's consistency with related city master plans.			
Applicant Checklist	Planner Checklist	Number of Copies	Site Plan Submittal Requirements			
R.	П	7 (1 paper copy	Boundary Survey meeting the requirements of Section 13 of			
_	_	as of Dec. 1)	the City of Portland Technical Manual.			
		as of Dec. 1)	Preuminary ofter klananciudings ne tolidwings (sinformation provided may be preliminary in nature during preliminary plan phase):			
		Existing and location of pi	proposed structures with distance from property line (including roposed piers, docks or wharves if in Shoreland Zone).			
Ľ		Location of a structures on	djacent streets and intersections and approximate location of abutting properties.			
B⁄	D	Proposed site	access and circulation.			
R	Π	Proposed gra	ding and contours.			
ľ		Location and dimension of existing and proposed paved areas including all parking areas and vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian access ways				
L ۲		 Preliminary landscape plan including existing vegetation to be preserved, proposed site landscaping and street trees 				
Ľ		 Existing and p 	proposed utilities (preliminary layout).			
ď		 Preliminary infrastructure improvements (e.g curb and sidewalk improvements, roadway intersection modifications, utility connections, transit infrastructure, roadway improvements). 				
ľ		Preliminary si	tormwater management and erosion control plan.			
Ŀ		 Existing significant natural features located on the site (including wetlands, ponds, watercourses, floodplains, significant wildlife habitats and fisheries or other important natural features listed in Section 14-526 (b) 1. of the Land Use Code). 				

٠

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 6 -

Proposed alterations to and protection measures for significant natural features located on the site (including wetlands, ponds, watercourses, floodplains, significant wildlife habitats and fisherles or other important natural features listed in Section 14-526 (b)1. of the Land Use Code).
 Existing and proposed easements or public or private rights of way.

General Submittal Requirements – Final Plan (Required)

Level II Site Plan

Final Plan Phase Check list (including items listed above in General Requirements for Preliminary Plan, if applicant did not elect to submit for a preliminary plan review)

Applicant Checklist	Planner Checklist	Number of Copies	Written Submittal Requirement	
		1	Evidence of financial and technical capacity.	
		1	Evidence of utilities' capacity to serve the development.	
		1	Written summary of fire safety (referencing NFPA fire code and Section 3 of the City of Portland Technical Manual).	
		1	Construction management plan.	
		1	Traffic Plan (if development will (1) generate 100 or more PCE or (2) generate 25 or more PCE and is located on an arterlal, within 1/2 mile of a high crash location, and/or within ¼ mile of an intersection identified in a previous traffic study as a failing intersection).	
		1	Stormwater management plan.	
		1	Written summary of solid waste generation and proposed management of solid waste.	
		1	Written assessment of conformity with applicable design standards.	
		1	Manufacturer's verification that HVAC and manufacturing equipmen meets applicable state and federal emissions requirements.	

		Final Plan Phase
		7 (1
.*		paper Final Site Plan Including the following
		Copy as of
-	-	Evisting and proposed structures on the site with distance from property line
		(including location of proposed piers, docks or wharves if in Shoreland Zone).
П	п	Location of adjacent streets and intersections and approximate location of
		structures on abutting properties.
		 Proposed site access and circulation.
		Proposed grading and contours.
		Location and dimension of existing and proposed paved areas including all
		parking areas and vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian access ways. Proposed curb lines must be shown.
		 Proposed loading and servicing areas, including applicable turning templates
		for delivery vehicles
		 Proposed snow storage areas or snow removal plan.
		 Proposed trash and recycling facilities.
		Landscape plan including existing vegetation to be preserved, proposed site
	_	landscaping and street trees.
		 Existing and proposed utilities.

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress SL ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 7 -

	 Location and details of proposed infrastructure improvements (e.g curb and sidewalk improvements, roadway intersection modifications, utility connections, public transit infrastructure, roadway improvements).
	 Proposed septic system, if not connecting to municipal sewer. (Portland Waste Water Application included in this application)
	Proposed finish floor elevation (FFE).
	 Exterior building elevation(s) (showing all 4 sides).
	 Proposed stormwater management and erosion controls.
	Exterior lighting plan, including street lighting improvements
	 Proposed signage.
	 Identification of existing significant natural features located on the site (including wetlands, ponds, watercourses, floodplains, significant wildlife habitats and fisheries or other important natural features listed in Section 14-526 (b)1. of the Land Use Code). Wetlands must be delineated.
	 Proposed alterations to and protection measures for of existing significant natural features located on the site (Including wetlands, ponds, watercourses, floodplains, significant wildlife habitats and fisheries or other important natural features listed in Section 14-526 (b)1. of the Land Use Code).
	Total area and limits of proposed land disturbance.
	 Soil type and location of test pits and borings.
	 Details of proposed pier rehabilitation (Shoreland areas only).
	 Existing and proposed easements or public or private rights of way.

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 8 -



PORTLAND FIRE DEPARTMENT SITE REVIEW FIRE DEPARTMENT CHECKLIST



A separate drawing[s] shall be provided to the Portland Fire Department for all site plan reviews.

- 1. Name, address, telephone number of applicant.
- 2. Name address, telephone number of architect
- 3. Proposed uses of any structures [NFPA and IBC classification]
- 4. Square footage of all structures [total and per story]
- 5. Elevation of all structures
- 6. Proposed fire protection of all structures
 - <u>As of September 16, 2010 all new construction of one and two family homes are</u> required to be sprinkled in compliance with NFPA 13D. This is required by City Code. (NFPA 101 2009 ed.)
- 7. Hydrant locations

 γ_{12}

- 8. Water main[s] size and location
- 9. Access to all structures [min. 2 sides]
- 10. A code summary shall be included referencing NFPA 1 and all fire department. Technical standards.

Some structures may require Fire flows using annex H of NFPA 1

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 9 -

CITY OF PORTLAND WASTEWATER CAPACITY APPLICATION

Department of Public Services, 55 Portland Street, Portland, Maine 04101-2991



Mr. Frank J. Brancely, Senior Engineering Technician, Phone #: (207) 874-8832, Fax #: (207) 874-8852, E-mail:fjb@portlandmaine.gov

Date:	6/17/11

1. Please, Submit Utility, Site, and Locus Plans. Site Address: 144 Hutchins Drive, Portlan	ad, MB
(Regarding addressing, please contact Leslie Kaynor, either at 756-8346, or at LMK@port/andmaine.gov) Proposed Use:	Chart Block Lot Number: 240, A, 4
Previous Use: Undevloped	$\alpha > Commercial$ x
Existing Sanitary Flows: 0 GPD	💆 ຊີ Industrial (complete part 4 below)
Existing Process Flows: 0 GPD	je Governmental
Description and location of City sewer, at proposed	O Residential
building sewer lateral connection: Existing sewer manhole located on Hutchins Dri	Other (specify)

Clearly, indicate the proposed connection, on the submitted plans.

2. Please, Submit Domestic Wastewater Design Flow Calculations. Estimated Domestic Wastewater Flow Generated:	75	_GPD
Peaking Factor/ Peak Times:		_
Specify the source of design guidelines: (i.e. <u>*</u> "Handbook of Subsurface Wastewater Disposal in Mair "Plumbers and Pipe Fitters Calculation Manual," Portland Water District Records, Other (specify)	<u>ne,"</u> ;)	

Note: Please submit calculations showing the derivation of your design flows, either on the following page, in the space provided, or attached, as a separate sheet.

3. Please, Submit Contact Information. Owner/Developer Name:	Phoenix Management Co	mpany
Owner/Developer Address:	P.O. Box 759, Saco,	ME 04072
Phone: (207) 571-3061	Fax:	E-mail: abateman@phoenixmanagementcompany.c
Engineering Consultant Name:	Attar Engineering	, Inc.
Engineering Consultant Address:	1284 State Road, H	Sliot, ME 03903
Phone: (207) 439-6023	Fax: (207) 439-2128	E-mail: ken@attarengineering.com
City Planner's Name: Barbara Ba	arhydt	Phone: (207) 874-8699
Note: Consultants and Deve	lopers should allow	w +/- 15 days, for capacity

status, prior to Planning Board Review.

4. Please, Submit Industrial Process Wastewater Flow Calculations Estimated Industrial Process Wastewater Flows Generated:	GPD
Do you currently hold Federal or State discharge permits?	Yes No
Is the process wastewater termed categorical under CFR 40?	Yes No
OSHA Standard Industrial Code (SIC):	(http://www.osha.gov/oshstats/sicser.htmi)
Peaking Factor/Peak Process Times:	

Dept. of Planning and Urban Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress St. ~ Portland, ME 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8721 or 874-8719 - 10 -

PHOENIX PROPERTY SERVICES P.O. BOX 759 SACO, MAINE 04072-5118

June 16, 2011

City of Portland Planning and Urban Development Department Planning Department Fourth Floor, City Hall 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

RE: 144 Hutchins Drive

Dear Planning Staff:

Kenneth A. Wood, P.E. and Christopher L Stairs, E.I.T. of Attar Engineering, Inc. will be acting as our agents for the Level II applications for the referenced project.

Please contact me for any additional information.

Sincerely; Aaron Bateman

President

PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT - LAND ONLY

April 27 Offer Date

Effective Date is defined in Paragraph 20 of this Agreement

1. PARTIES: This Agreement is made between Phoenix Property Services ,

2011

		Maine Alpha Floor Sand	ling,		("Selle	алу :г")
2. DESCRIPTI	ON: Subject to the term	ns and conditions hereinafter set fo	orth, Seller agrees	to sell and Buyer agi	rees to buy (X	a]
part of: If "	part of" see para. 22 for e	explanation) the property situated in	municipality of _	Portla	nd	
County of	Cumberland	State of Maine. located at	14	4 Hutchins Dr		ano
described in de	ed(s) recorded at said Co	ounty's Registry of Deeds Book(s)	17009	, Page(s)	186	

3. PURCHASE PRICE: For such Deed and conveyance Buyer agrees to pay the total purchase price of 170,000.00Buyer has delivered: or x will deliver to the Agency within 7 days of the Offer Date, a deposit of earnest money in the amount 10,000.00. If said deposit is to be delivered after the submission of this offer and is not delivered by the above deadline, this offer shall be void and any attempted acceptance of this offer in reliance on the deposit being delivered will not result in a binding contract. Buyer agrees that an additional deposit of earnest money in the amount of $\frac{n/a}{2}$ will be delivered _______. Failure by Buyer to deliver this additional deposit in compliance with the above terms shall constitute a default under this Agreement. The remainder of the purchase price shall be paid by wire, certified, cashier's or trust account check upon delivery of the Deed.

This Purchase and Sale Agreement is subject to the following conditions:

4. EARNEST MONEY/ACCEPTANCE: <u>CBRE/The Boulos Company</u> ("Agency") shall hold said earnest money and act as escrow agent until closing; this offer shall be valid until <u>April 28, 2011</u> (date) <u>5.00</u> AM X PM; and, in the event of non-acceptance, this earnest money shall be returned promptly to Buyer. In the event that the Agency is made a party to any lawsuit by virtue of acting as escrow agent, Agency shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs which shall be assessed as court costs in favor of the prevailing party.

5. TITLE AND CLOSING: A deed, conveying good and merchantable title in accordance with the Standards of Title adopted by the Maine Bar Association shall be delivered to Buyer and this transaction shall be closed and Buyer shall pay the balance due and execute all necessary papers on <u>October 31, 2011</u> (closing date) or before, if agreed in writing by both parties. If Seller is unable to convey in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph, then Seller shall have a reasonable time period, not to exceed 30 calendar days, from the time Seller is notified of the defect, unless otherwise agreed to in writing by both Buyer and Seller, to remedy the title. Seller hereby agrees to make a good-faith effort to cure any title defect during such period. If, at the later of the closing date set forth above or the expiration of such reasonable time period. Seller is unable to remedy the title. Buyer may close and accept the deed with the title defect or this Agreement shall become null and void in which case the parties shall be relieved of any further obligations hereunder and any earnest money shall be returned to the Buyer.

6. DEED: The property shall be conveyed by a <u>Quit-Claim with Covenant</u> deed, and shall be free and clear of all encumbrances except covenants, conditions, easements and restrictions of record which do not materially and adversely affect the continued current use of the property.

7. POSSESSION: Possession of premises shall be given to Buyer immediately at closing unless otherwise agreed in writing.

8. RISK OF LOSS: Until the closing, the risk of loss or damage to said premises by fire or otherwise, is assumed by Seller, Buyer shall have the right to view the property within 24 hours prior to closing for the purpose of determining that the premises are in substantially the same condition as on the date of this Agreement.

9. PRORATIONS: The following items, where applicable, shall be prorated as of the date of closing: rent. association fees. (other) no others
. Real estate taxes shall be prorated as of the date of closing (based on municipality's fiscal year). Seller is responsible for any unpaid taxes for prior years. If the amount of said taxes is not known at the time of closing, they shall be apportioned on the basis of the taxes assessed for the preceding year with a reapportionment as soon as the new tax rate and valuation can be ascertained, which latter provision shall survive closing. Buyer and Seller will each pay their transfer tax as required by State of Maine.

January 2011	Page 1 of 4 - P&S-LO	Buyer(s) Initials	Seller(s) Innials	<u> </u>
RE/MAX By The Bay 970	Baxter Blvd., Suite 201 Portla	und, ME 04103		ъ.
Phone. 207 553 7357	Fax: 866.552.7511	Derrick Buckspon		•
	Produced with ZipForm®	by zipl_ogix 18070 Fifteen Mile	Road, Fraser, Michlgan 48026	www.zipl.ogix.com

144 Hutchins Drive

Effective Date

("Dunias") and

10, DUE DILIGENCE: Buyer is encouraged to seek information from professionals regarding any specific issue or concern.

Neither Seller nor Licensee makes any warranties regarding the condition, permitted use or value of Sellers' real property. This Agreement is subject to the following contingencies, with results being satisfactory to Buyer:

_	CONTINGENCY	YES	NO	DAYS FOR COMPLETION	OBTAINED BY	TO BE PAID FOR BY
1.	SURVEY	X		5/30/11	buyer	buyer
	Purpose:					
2.	SOILS TEST	X		<u> </u>	buyer	buyer
	Purpose:					
3.	SEPTIC SYSTEM DESIGN		X		······	
	Purpose:					
4.	LOCAL PERMITS	X		9/30/11	buyer	buyer
	Purpose:					
5.	HAZARDOÙS WASTE REPORTS		X			
	Purpose:					
6.	UTILITIES	x		5/30/11	buyer	buyer
	Purpose:		•			
7.	WATER	X		5/30/11	büyer	buyer
	Purpose:	_				
8.	SUB-DIVISION APPROVAL		x			
	Purpose:					
9.	DEP/LURC APPROVALS	x		9/30/11	buyer	buyer
	Purpose:	<u>.</u>				
10.	ZONING VARIANCE Purpose:		X		·	
11.	HABITAT REVIEW/		x	· · · ·		
	Pumose:					······
12.	MDOT DRIVEWAY/ ENTRANCE PERMIT	x	. [-]	9/30/11	buyer	buyer
	Purpose:		` `			<u> </u>
13.	DEED RESTRICTION		X			
14.	TAX EXEMPT STATUS		x			
15.	OTHER	X		9/30/11	buyer	buyer
	Purnose Building p	armita s	nd annr	o vala		

Further specifications regarding any of the above: All buyer due dilligence to be completed by 5/30/11. Receipt of permits and approvals to be completed by 9/30/11. Buyer agrees to apply for permits and approvals within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this contract.

Unless otherwise specified above, all of the above will be obtained and paid for by Buyer. If the result of any investigation or other condition specified herein is unsatisfactory to Buyer, Buyer will declare the Agreement null and void by notifying Seller in writing within the specified number of days, and any earnest money shall be returned to Buyer. If the result of any investigation or other condition specified herein is unsatisfactory to Buyer, and Buyer wishes to pursue remedies other than voiding the Agreement. Buyer must do so to full resolution within the time period set forth above; otherwise this contingency is waived. If Buyer does not notify Seller that an investigation is unsatisfactory within the time period set forth above, this contingency is waived by Buyer. In the absence of inspection(s) mentioned above. Buyer is relying completely upon Buyer's own opinion as to the condition of the property.

MA)

January 201 İ	Page 2 of 4 - P&S-LO	Buyer(s) Initials	Seller(s) Initials	

Produced with ZipForm® by zipLogix 18070 Filteen Mile Road, Fraser, Michigan 48026 www.zipLogix.com

144 Hotchms Drive

21. CONFIDENTIALITY: Buyer and Seller authorize the disclosure of the information herein to the real estate licensees, attorneys, lenders, appraisers, inspectors, investigators and others involved in the transaction necessary for the purpose of closing this transaction. Buyer and Seller authorize the lender and/or closing agent preparing the entire closing statement to release a copy of the closing statement to the parties and their licensees prior to, at and after the closing.

22. OTHER CONDITIONS: Buyer will provide financing commitment subject to permits and approvals by 6/30/11. Seller agrees to compensate or cause RE/MAX By The Bay to be compensated 4% of contract

price at closing.

23. GENERAL PROVISIONS:

1

- a. A copy of this Agreement is to be received by all parties and, by signature, receipt of a copy is hereby acknowledged. If not fully understood, contact an attorney. This is a Maine contract and shall be construed according to the laws of Maine.
- b. Seller acknowledges that State of Maine law requires buyers of property owned by non-resident sellers to withhold a prepayment of capital gains tax unless a waiver has been obtained by Seller from the State of Maine Revenue Services.
- c. Buyer and Seller acknowledge that under Maine law payment of property taxes is the legal responsibility of the person who owns the property on April 1, even if the property is sold before payment is due. If any part of the taxes is not paid when due, the lien will be filed in the name of the owner as of April 1 which could have a negative impact on their credit rating. Buyer and Seller shall agree at closing on their respective obligations regarding actual payment of taxes after closing. Buyer and Seller should make sure they understand their obligations agreed to at closing and what may happen if taxes are not paid as agreed.
- d. Buyer acknowledges that Maine law requires continuing interest in the property and any back up offers to be communicated by the listing agent to the Seller.

V

ADDENDA . Ves Explaint 24

The parties acknowledge that until signed by Buyer will expire unless accepted by Buyer's signature wit (time) AM PM. BELLER The Buyer hereby accepts the counter offer set forth UYER	DATE above.	BUYER	by (date)	DATE
The parties acknowledge that until signed by Buyer will expire unless accepted by Buyer's signature wit (time) AM PM. ELLER The Buyer hereby accepts the counter offer set forth	DATE above.	SELLER	by (date)	DATE
will expire unless accepted by Buyer's signature wit (time) AM PM.	h communicatio	seller	by (date)	DATE
will expire unless accepted by Buyer's signature wit (time) AM PM.	r, seners signat h communicatio	n of such signature to Seller	by (date)	
en it to bet due the star Deve	· Callinda signat	ure constitutes only an offer	to cell on the above terms a	nd the offi
			· ·	
Seller agrees to sell on the terms and conditions as o	COUNTE letailed herein w	R-OFFER vith the following changes a	nd/or conditions:	
SELLER Maine Alpha Floor Sanding	DATE	SELLER		DAT
Seller's Mailing address is				
agrees to pay agency a commission for services as s Seller's Mailing address is	specified in the I	isting agreement.		
Phoenix Property Services. Seller accepts the offer and agrees to deliver the al	bove-described 1	property at the price and up	on the terms and conditions s	et forth a
BUYER Phoenix Property Services	DATE	BUYER		D

Produced with ZipForm® by zipLogix 18070 Fifteen Mile Road, Fraser, Michigan 48026 www.zipLogix.com



•





LOCATION MAP HUTCHINS DRIVE USGS 7.5 MINUTE SERIES PORTLAND WEST QUADRANGLE SCALE: 1 : 2,000





List of Abutters

Project: <u>Hutchins Drive – Portland, ME C010-11</u>

Location: Map 240 Lot A004 001

Map	den		
240	B004 001, B005 001, A005 001	Spring Harbor Hospital	216 Vaughan St. Ground Floor Portland, ME 04102
240	B003 001	Trophy Building LLC	299 Forest Avenue Portland, ME 04101
240	A002 001	UNUM Life Insurance Company of America	2211 Congress Street Portland, ME 04102
		Town of Westbrook	
006	000 017	Spring Harbor Hospital	123 Andover Road Westbrook, ME 04092
	· •		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

. . .





CIVIL + STRUCTURAL + MARINE

Marybeth Richardson Maine Department of Environmental Protection 312 Canco Road Portland, Maine 04103 June 17, 2011 Project No.: C010-11

RE: 144 Hutchins Drive – Portland, Maine Stormwater Permit-By-Rule (PBR) Application

Dear Ms. Richardson:

I have attached a stormwater PBR application for the referenced project. Included in the application is a Letter of Agent Authorization and a plan set for your review. The project involves a new, 7,000 S.F. maintenance building, a 2,400 SF salt shed and associated parking, access and utility improvements. The project site (Tax Map 240, Block A, Lot 4) is located in the Industrial Moderate (IM) District and is approximately 2.16 acres in area.

The existing site is completely wooded with a wetland running through the property from the westerly property line the north east corner. The completed development will create approximately 30,234 S.F. of impervious area.

Please contact me for any additional information. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely;

Christopher L. Stairs, EIT. cc: Phoenix Management Services

C010-11stpbrcover

1284 State Road, Eliot, ME 03903 🔶 tel (207) 439-6023 🔶 fax (207) 439-2128

STORMWATER PBR APPLICATION FORM PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT IN *INK ONLY*

Page 1 03/06

•

	11 A AL 1									
1. Name of Applicant:	Aar	on Bateman/ Serv	Pheonix Property vices	5. Na (if i	ne of Ager ipplicable)	14:)	I	Ken W	'ood/ Chr	istopher Stairs
2. Applicant's Wailing Address:		PO B Saco, ME	ox 759)4072-5118	6. Ag Ma	int's iling Addr	ess:		Att	ar Engino 1284 Sta Eliot, MI	eering, Inc. te Road E 03903
3. Applicant's Daytime Phone #:	-	(207) 5'	71-3061	7. Ag Phu	nt's Dayti ne #:	me			(207) 43	9-6023
4. Applicant's Fax #: (if available)				8. Ag an	ent's Fax / l email ad	4 dress:			(207) 43	9-2128
9. Location of Project: (Road, Street, Rt.#)		144 Hutchins Drive)wn: ounty:		· · · · ·		Portl	and
						يلانون لارز			Cumbe	rland
12. Is this PBR for renew	val of a	n individual	stormwater permi	t? If y	s, skip to	Block 27	and sig	nature	page.	□ Yes √No
13. Type of Direct Watershed:	Lak	te not most at te most at risk	risk C	14. A Ar	nount of E ea:	Jevelope		Total #	f of OR	_ acres
(Check all that apply)	⊔Lak √Rive	e most at risk r stream or h	, severely blooming	3 1 2	monut of			Otal #	of <u>48,02</u>	square feet
	Urt Fre	an impaired s shwater wetland stal wetland	stream	In Im	pervious A	Irea:	 √τ	`otal #	OR OR of <u>30,23</u>	<u>4</u> square feet
· · ·		llhead of pub	lic water supply							
16. Creating a common p development or sale?	lan of	□ Yes 17 √ No	. Name of waterbo site drains:	dy(ies)	to which t	he proje	ct		Stroudw	ater River
18. Brief Project Descrip	otion:	See attache	l sheet.							
19. Size of Lot or Parcel:	• 	□ Total of _ √ Total of <u>2</u>	square feet OR	20. 1 kno	JTM Loca vn)	itions:(if	UTM	Northi Eastin	ng: g:	
21. Deed Reference Num	bers:	Book#: 144	Page#: 73	22. Ma	p and Lot	Numbe	is:	M	ap #: 240	Lot #: 4
28. Project started prior application?	to	□ Yes → √No	If yes, Completed	ים א ים	'es 24. Io	Resubn of Appli	lission cation?		עם ע∕ א	/es 0
25. Written Notice of □ Violation? √	Yes → No	lf yes, n involved	ame of DEP enford	ement	staff	•				
26. Detailed Directions to (Attach separate sheet	the Pr if nece	oject Site: S ssary)	ee attached sheet.							
27. SUBMISSIONS Y	ender inder Littler	an a state and a state of the	an a the second s				a de la composición d	e vij	and the second	
 This form (signed and and form) Fee 	dated)	Dept. of Fisherie Approva	f Inland	Photo ESC I Locat	s of Area Plan ion Map Ian	For R permi	enewal o t <u>only:</u> is form (s	f an ir	and dated	Stormwater
UBKIEK	1.9%	<u>BROWS</u> S	ANNIDASIN'NY	5 Q Q 9		10197				r 2
OFFICE USE ONLY	Ck.#			St	aff		Staff			
PBR # FP Date		Date	Ac Da	c. te		Def. Date			After Photos	

Signed

Date:

•.				
	 (30)RF BEGGA (b); 	1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、		
·	-			
1911 - Carlo Alexandro Alexandro A				
n a star se star se star se star se aprese anveste transference aprese se star se star se star se	e inga 1998 yang Brizis ingan Lianggi di Anggras di Sigander Lianggi di Anggras (kanggi di Sigander)	halah saphtas dilla pa Bhushima adh mangin Albas kanakkadh asala	nandinas selvente san ene pernandin é aném e saté haré na panéhar	ា គាល់ សារាណ៍ពីមារិទំ ការកាល់ សូចជាបាន ល្អាយ ក្មេចជាស្រាវទំណា
n an an taon an Arrange br>Arrange an Arrange an Ar				
lå men				
Andreas - Altaren (Arabie), ang ang Mangaran Ang ang ang ang ang Mangaran (Arabie), ang ang ang ang ang Mangaran (Arabie), ang	With this Stormwater PBR intent to carry out work wh Permit. I have read and wil Notice of Termination (NO7 If this form is not being sign documentation showing aut	notification form and my s tich meets the requirement Il comply with all of the M I') within 20 days of projec ted by the landowner or les horization to sign.	Ignature below, I am fi s of the Maine Constru CGP standards. In add t completion. see of the property, att	ling notice of my ction General lition, I will file a tach





STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE PORTLAND, MAINE

Project No.: C010-11

June 17, 2011

and the second state of the second states of the

Scope

This stormwater management plan has been prepared for 144 Hutchins Drive, a proposed commercial facility located in Portland, Maine. The developer, Phoenix Property Services proposes to construct the facility on an approximately 2.16 acre lot. The facility will consist of a 7,000 S.F. single-level building, a 2,400 S.F. salt shed and associated parking, driveway and utility improvements to support the development.

The project will create approximately 1.1 acres of developed area and approximately 0.70 acres of impervious area. Therefore the project must receive a Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MDEP) Chapter 500 (Stormwater Management) permit and must meet the Basic Standards, described in the MDEP publication, *Chapter 500, Stormwater Management*. The project must also meet the stormwater management requirements outlined in the Portland, Maine Zoning Ordinance.

• Site and Watershed Description

The site is located on Hutchins Drive in Portland, Maine. A 7½ minute series U.S.G.S. map of the project area is attached; the parcel is located near the end of Hutchins Drive on the left side of the road. The site is undeveloped, containing both wooded uplands and wooded wetlands.

The site is located in the Stroudwater River Watershed (source: USGS 7 ½ minute series, Portland West). The site drains to a wetland/ stream winding through the site from west to east. This stream outlets to the Stroudwater River within a half mile.

The topography of the site is rolling to steep (existing grades from 0% to 25%). On-site elevations (datum is NGVD1929) range from approximately 36' where the wetland exits the property to approximately 67' at the southerly edge of the developed area.

No areas of the site are located within a 100-Year Special Flood Hazard Area as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Proposed cuts and fills are mostly between 0 and 6 feet, with a maximum cut of 8 feet in some areas.

Soils/Hydrologic Soil Groups

Soil types and their respective Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) were determined from the <u>Soil</u> <u>Survey of Cumberland County, Maine</u>. On site soils consist of Elmwood fine sandy loam (EmB, HSG C) and Sulfihemists (Frequently Flooded).

Methodology

The stormwater quantity analysis was conducted using the HydroCAD Stormwater Modeling System by Applied Microcomputer Systems. The analysis was accomplished to determine the "Existing Condition" and "Developed Condition" stormwater flows. Both cases were analyzed for the 2, 10, and 25 year, 24-hour frequency storm events. The Existing Condition analyzes the site as it currently exists and the Developed Condition models the site with the proposed improvements described above.

Water Quantity Analysis and Results

Existing Condition

The site was modeled as one subcatchment (SC) for the Existing Condition analysis. Analysis Point (AP) 1 was selected at the edge of the onsite wetland. Analysis Point 1 is located downstream of the proposed developed areas and provide a convenient location to compare Existing Condition flows to Developed Condition flows.

Developed Condition

The Developed Condition analysis consists of three subcatchments. Other features such as ponds and reaches were added to account for on-site routing, detention and treatment of stormwater. All Developed Condition flows are routed to AP 1 which is described above.

Tables showing Existing Condition peak flows, Developed Condition peak flows and the change in peak flow from Existing Condition to Developed Condition are presented on a separate page.

• Summary

The use of detention ponds to attenuate peak flows results in no significant increase in peak runoff quantity from the proposed 144 Hutchins Drive. No adverse effects are anticipated on any downstream properties or drainage structures for the analyzed storm events.

Sincerely;

Christopher L. Stairs, E.I.T.

C010-11SW



TABLE 1 - QUAN	TITY CALCU	STORM EVENT			
		2	<u>10</u>	<u>25</u>	_
EXT	AP 1	1.23	3.05	4.06	(cfs)
					· ·
		<u>2</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	
DEV	AP1	1.13	2.26	2.86	(cfs)
				_	
CHANGE	AP1	-0.10	-0.79	1.20	(cfs)
			•		







LOCATION MAP HUTCHINS DRIVE USGS 7.5 MINUTE SERIES PORTLAND WEST QUADRANGLE SCALE: 1 : 2,000





EXISTING CONDITION CALCULATIONS



HUTCHINS EXT

Type III 24-hr 2-YEAR Rainfall=3.00" Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc. Printed 5/20/2011 HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 1

> Time span=5.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 621 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Runoff Area=69,240 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.86" Subcatchment 1S: Flow Length=271' Tc=10.9 min CN=73 Runoff=1.23 cfs 0.114 af

> Inflow=1.23 cfs 0.114 af Primary=1.23 cfs 0.114 af

Total Runoff Area = 1.590 ac Runoff Volume = 0.114 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.86" 100.00% Pervious = 1.590 ac 0.00% Impervious = 0.000 ac

Link 1L:

HUTCHINS EXT

Subcatchment 1S:

Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

> Time span=5.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 621 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

> > Runoff Area=69,240 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.60" Flow Length=271' Tc=10.9 min CN=73 Runoff=4.06 cfs 0.344 af

> > > Inflow=4.06 cfs 0.344 af Primary=4.06 cfs 0.344 af

Total Runoff Area = 1.590 ac Runoff Volume = 0.344 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.60" 100.00% Pervious = 1.590 ac 0.00% Impervious = 0.000 ac

Link 1L:

.

 Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40"

 Printed 5/20/2011

 LC ______ Page 3

.

HUTCHINS EXT

Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40" Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 5/20/2011 Page 1

Summary for Subcatchment 1S:

Runoff 4.06 cfs @ 12.16 hrs, Volume= 0.344 af, Depth= 2.60" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40"

A	rea (sf)	<u>CN</u>	Description		
	69,240	73 V	Voods, Fai	r, HSG C	
	69,240	1	00.00% Pe	ervious Are	a
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
8.2	50	0.0600	0.10		Sheet Flow,
2.6	195	0.0620	1.24		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.00" Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland Ku= 5.0 fpc
0.1	26	0.3500	2.96		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
10.9	271	Total			

Summary for Link 1L:

÷

Inflow Are	ea =	1.590 ac,	0.00% Impervious, Infl	ow Depth = 2.60"	for 25-YEAR event
Inflow	=	4.06 cfs @	12.16 hrs, Volume=	0.344 af	
Primary	_=	4.06 cfs @	12.16 hrs, Volume=	0.344 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

DEVELOPED CONDITION CALCULATIONS



HUTCHINS DEV Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Time span=5.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 621 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 10S:	Runoff Area=20,065 sf 74.67% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.16" Flow Length=147' Tc=1.6 min CN=92 Runoff=1.24 cfs 0.083 af
Subcatchment 20S:	Runoff Area=20,414 sf 73.39% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.16" Flow Length=236' Tc=1.7 min CN=92 Runoff=1.27 cfs 0.084 af
Subcatchment 30S:	Runoff Area=29,119 sf 2.21% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.91" Flow Length=174' Tc=1.2 min CN=74 Runoff=0.73 cfs 0.051 af
Pond 10P:	Peak Elev=54.13' Storage=1,290 cf Inflow=1.24 cfs 0.083 af Primary=0.24 cfs 0.083 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.24 cfs 0.083 af
Pond 20P:	Peak Elev=50.25' Storage=1,277 cf Inflow=1.27 cfs 0.084 af Primary=0.25 cfs 0.084 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.25 cfs 0.084 af
Link 1L:	Inflow=1.13 cfs 0.218 af Primary=1.13 cfs 0.218 af

Total Runoff Area = 1.598 ac Runoff Volume = 0.218 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.64" 56.02% Pervious = 0.895 ac 43.98% Impervious = 0.703 ac

HUTCHINS DEV 7	ype III 24-hr 10-YEAR Rainfall=4.60"
Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc.	Printed 6/15/2011
HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	<u> </u>

Time span=5.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 621 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 10S:	Runoff Area=20,065 sf 74.67% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.69" Flow Length=147' Tc=1.6 min CN=92 Runoff=2.07 cfs 0.142 af
Subcatchment 20S:	Runoff Area=20,414 sf 73.39% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.69" Flow Length=236' Tc=1.7 min CN=92 Runoff=2.11 cfs 0.144 af
Subcatchment 30S:	Runoff Area=29,119 sf 2.21% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.05" Flow Length=174' Tc=1.2 min CN=74 Runoff=1.75 cfs 0.114 af
Pond 10P:	Peak Elev=54.84' Storage=2,358 cf Inflow=2.07 cfs 0.142 af Primary=0.31 cfs 0.142 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.31 cfs 0.142 af
Pond 20P:	Peak Elev=51.05' Storage=2,346 cf Inflow=2.11 cfs 0.144 af Primary=0.33 cfs 0.144 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.33 cfs 0.144 af
Link 1L:	Inflow=2.26 cfs 0.400 af Primary=2.26 cfs 0.400 af

Total Runoff Area = 1.598 acRunoff Volume = 0.400 afAverage Runoff Depth = 3.00"56.02% Pervious = 0.895 ac43.98% Impervious = 0.703 ac



HUTCHINS DEV	Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40"
Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc.	Printed 6/15/2011
HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions L	LC Page 3

Time span=5.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 621 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 10S:	Runoff Area=20,065 sf 74.67% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.46" Flow Length=147' Tc=1.6 min CN=92 Runoff=2.48 cfs 0.171 af
Subcatchment 20S:	Runoff Area=20,414 sf 73.39% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.46" Flow Length=236' Tc=1.7 min CN=92 Runoff=2.52 cfs 0.174 af
Subcatchment 30S:	Runoff Area=29,119 sf 2.21% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.69" Flow Length=174' Tc=1.2 min CN=74 Runoff=2.32 cfs 0.150 af
Pond 10P:	Peak Elev=55.17' Storage=2,928 cf Inflow=2.48 cfs 0.171 af Primary=0.34 cfs 0.171 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.34 cfs 0.171 af
Pond 20P:	Peak Elev=51.42' Storage=2,918 cf Inflow=2.52 cfs 0.174 af Primary=0.36 cfs 0.174 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.36 cfs 0.174 af
Link 1L:	Inflow=2.86 cfs 0.495 af Primary=2.86 cfs 0.495 af

Total Runoff Area = 1.598 acRunoff Volume = 0.495 afAverage Runoff Depth = 3.72"56.02% Pervious = 0.895 ac43.98% Impervious = 0.703 ac



HUTCHINS DEV Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc.

Runoff 2.48 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 0.171 af, Depth> 4.46" = Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40" Area (sf) CN Description Paved parking, HSG C 14,982 98 5.083 74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C Weighted Average 20.065 92 5,083 25.33% Pervious Area 14,982 74.67% Impervious Area Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) (min) (feet) 0.4 21 0.0200 0.97 Sheet Flow, Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.00" 0.8 59 0.0040 1.28 **Shallow Concentrated Flow,** Paved Kv= 20.3 fps 0.4 67 0.0300 2.60 Shallow Concentrated Flow, Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps 1.6 Total 147 Summary for Subcatchment 20S: 2.52 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 0.174 af, Depth> 4.46" Runoff Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40" Description CN Area (sf) Paved parking, HSG C 14.982 98 5,432 74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C 20,414 92 Weighted Average 5.432 26.61% Pervious Area 73.39% Impervious Area 14,982

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
0.8	50	0.0150	1.03		Sheet Flow,
	·		·. ·		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.00"
0.8	138	0.0190	2.80	•	Shallow Concentrated Flow,
				• . •	Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
0.1	48	0.0200	6.42	5,04	Pipe Channel,
			•		12.0" Round Area= 0.8 sf Perim= 3.1' r= 0.25'
					n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior
1.7	236	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 10S:

HUTCHINS DEV

Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 2

Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40" Printed 6/15/2011

Summary for Subcatchment 30S:

Runoff 2.32 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 0.150 af, Depth= 2.69" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40"

A	rea (sf)	CN I	<u>Description</u>	<u> </u>					
-	644	98	98 Paved parking, HSG C						
	9,558	74 >	74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C						
	18,917	73 Woods, Fair, HSG C							
29,119 74 Weighted Average									
	28,475 97.79% Pervious Area								
	644	2	2.21% Impe	ervious Are	а				
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
<u>(min)</u>	<u>(feet)</u>	(ft/ft)	<u>_(ft/sec)</u>	<u>(cfs)</u>					
0.4	23	0.0200	0.99		Sheet Flow,				
			·		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.00"				
0.7	125	0.0440	3.15		Shallow Concentrated Flow,				
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps				
0.1	26	0.4600	3.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow,				
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps				
12	174	Total							

Summary for Pond 10P:

Inflow Area =	0.461 ac, 74.67% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.46" for 25-YEAR event	
Inflow =	2.48 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 0.171 af	
Outflow =	0.34 cfs @ 12.51 hrs, Volume= 0.171 af, Atten= 86%, Lag= 29.0 min	
Primary =	0.34 cfs @ 12.51 hrs, Volume= 0.171 af	
Secondary =	0.00 cfs @ 5.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af	

- . . <u>. .</u>

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 55.17 @ 12.51 hrs Surf.Area= 1,793 sf Storage= 2,928 cf

.

Plug-Flow detention time= 95.0 min calculated for 0.171 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 94.6 min (872.7 - 778.2)

Volume	Invert	Ava	il.Storage	Storage	Description		
#1	53.00'		4,555 cf	Custon	n Stage Data (Prism	natic) Listed below (Recalc)	
Elevation (feet)	Sur	f.Area (sq-ft)	Inc (cubi	c.Store c-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)		
53.00 54.00 56.00		924 1,302 2,140	· · · · ·	0 1,113 3,442	0 1,113 4,555		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			· · ·	• -			· · ·
HUTCHINS DEV

Prepared by Attar Engineering, Inc.

Type III 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=5.40" Printed 6/15/2011 LC Page 3

HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01988 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Invert Outlet Devices Device Routing #1 Primary 53.00' 12.0" Round Culvert L= 44.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= 49.00' S= 0.0909 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior #2 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 Device 1 53.00' 15.0' long x 10.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir #3 Secondary 55.20' Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 Coef. (English) 2.49 2.56 2.70 2.69 2.68 2.69 2.67 2.64 Primary OutFlow Max=0.34 cfs @ 12.51 hrs HW=55.17' (Free Discharge) -J=Culvert (Passes 0.34 cfs of 4.89 cfs potential flow) -2=Orlfice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.34 cfs @ 6.89 fps) Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 5.00 hrs HW=53.00' (Free Discharge) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Welr (Controls 0.00 cfs) Summary for Pond 20P: 0.469 ac, 73.39% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.46" for 25-YEAR event Inflow Area = Inflow 2.52 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 0.174 af = Outflow = 0.36 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.174 af, Atten= 86%, Lag= 28.5 min 0.36 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= Primary = 0.174 af 0.00 cfs @ 5.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af Secondary = Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Peak Elev= 51.42' @ 12.50 hrs Surf.Area= 1,585 sf Storage= 2,918 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 88.3 min calculated for 0,174 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 87.9 min (866.1 - 778.2)

			· · · ·	
Volume	<u>Inve</u>	ert Avail.Sto	prage Storage Description	
#1	49.0	0' 3,8	88 cf Custom Stage Data (F	Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)
Elevati	on et)	Surf.Area	Inc.Store Cum.Store	
49. 50. 52.	00 00 00 00	848 1,129 1,770	0 0 989 989 2,899 3,888	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary Device 1	45.00' 49.00'	12.0" Round Culvert L= 34.0' CPP, square edge Outlet Invert= 44.00' S= 0.0 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, sn 3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C=	headwall, Ke= 0.500 0294 '/ Cc= 0.900 nooth interior = 0.600
#3	Secondar	y 51.50'	15.0' long x 10.0' breadth E Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 Coef (English) 2.49 2.56 2	Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 2 70 2 69 2 68 2 69 2 67 2 64

Primary OutFlow Max=0.36 cfs @ 12.50 hrs HW=51.42' (Free Discharge) **1=Culvert** (Passes 0.36 cfs of 9.20 cfs potential flow) -2=Orlfice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.36 cfs @ 7.30 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 5.00 hrs HW=49.00' (Free Discharge)

Summary for Link 1L:

Inflow /	Area =	1.598 ac, 4	13.98% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 3.	72" for 25-YEAR event
Inflow	=	2.86 cfs @	12.03 hrs, Volume	e 0.495 af	
Primar	y =	2.86 cfs @	12.03 hrs, Volume	e= 0.495 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM



144 HUTCHINS DRIVE PORTLAND, ME

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BMP's

This project contains specific Best Management Practices (BMP's) for the conveyance, storage, and treatment of stormwater and the prevention of erosion. These BMP's consist of swales, underdrained soil filter ponds, catchbasins and culverts. All components should be inspected quarterly, and after every significant rain event of 1" in any 24-hour period. Additional inspection intervals are specified for certain BMP's, specifically, underdrained soil filters.

The party responsible for implementing this Operation and Maintenance Program (O & M Program) shall be the property owner.

Swales

All swales should be inspected for accumulation of debris, which could adversely affect the function of this BMP. These areas should also be maintained to have gradual slopes, which prevent channeling of stormwater and erosion of the bottom and sides of the swales.

Catch Basins

All catch basin grates, sumps, and inlets/outlets should be inspected for accumulation of debris, which could adversely affect the function of this BMP. Additionally, the basin inverts shall be inspected for clogging and material soundness. Sumps shall always be clear to a depth of 1' below the outlet invert. Inlet structures shall be inspected and cleaned of debris at least twice annually, once in the spring following snow melt and once in the autumn after leaf fall.

Culverts

Culvert inlets and outlets should be inspected for debris, which could clog the BMP. Additionally, the placement of rip-rap should be inspected to ensure that all areas remain smooth and no areas exhibit erosion in the form of rills or gullies.

Snow Removal

Snow shall be stockpiled only in the approved snow storage areas. Plowing of snow into wetland areas or detention ponds shall be avoided. Additionally, a mostly sand mix (reduced salt) shall be applied during winter months to prevent excessive salt from leaching into wetland areas. Excess sand shall be removed from the storage areas, all paved surfaces and adjacent areas each spring.

1284 State Road, Eliot, ME 03903 * tel (207) 439-6023 * fax (207) 439-2128

Stormwater Detention Areas

The Stormwater Detention Areas, including ponds and subsurface storage systems shall be inspected to ensure that there is no channeling of stormwater and that no debris accumulates within the detention areas. The inlets and outlets shall be inspected for erosion and any evidence of debris that could clog the culverts. Outlet structures and trash screens shall also be cleaned of debris. Emergency spillways and level spreaders shall be inspected for any evidence of rilling and channeling and shall be maintained to promote a level, sheet-flow discharge. Eroded areas and associated vegetation shall be repaired and maintained, if necessary.

Seeding, Fertilizing and Mulching

All exposed soil materials and stockpiles must be either temporarily or permanently seeded, fertilized and mulched in accordance with plan specifications. This is one of the most important features of the Erosion Control Plan, which will provide both temporary and permanent stabilization. Eroded or damaged lawn areas must be repaired until a 75% effective growth of vegetation is established and permanently maintained.

Record Keeping

Routine maintenance and inspections will be accomplished by the property owner [Phoenix Management, PO Box 759, Saco, ME 04072]. All inspections accomplished in accordance with this program shall be documented on the attached Inspection & Maintenance Log. Copies of the Log shall be kept by the property owner, and be made available to the Department (Maine Department of Environmental Protection), upon request.



INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE LOG 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE

Date	Purpose ¹	Maintenance Done ²	By
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<u></u>		
		·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			[
	<u> </u>		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>·</u>

- 1. Purpose is the reason for the inspection. For example; "quarterly' or "after a significant rain event."
- 2. Maintenance Done means any maintenance required as a result of the inspection, such as trash removal or re-seeding of areas.

.



3	2	Additional Loads	Wheel Spacing =	Hoist/Trolley We	Bridge Weight =	Crane capacity	CMAA Service C	Crane Type	<u> Crane_Informatic</u>	Live Load	Collateral Loac	Dead Load	Mezzanine Loads

	ADDITIONAL LOADING INFORMATION szanine Laads: Dead Load PSF Collateral Load PSF Live Load PSF Live Load PSF Live Load PSF Live Load PSF Live Load	City of Bullding Ingo Ortland Ingoections	Dog PEC 13 VED		See Plan DETAIL F	-SW	3/16" $Did= 3/4$ " B " B " $3/16$ " G
PAGE 5		ENIX PROPERTY DRAWING STATUS L: NGS, BEING FOR APPROVAL, ARE BY DEFINITION DI ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION ONLY. SE IS TO CONFIRM PROPER INTERPRETATION OF DODUMENTE ONLY DEMANDIOG DEFINITION DODUMENTE ONLY DEMANDIOG DEFINITION	MANAGEMENT REVISION HISTORY DESCRIPTION D 01 SEE CO-41 12 02 SEE CO-42 12	F.O. 16	114 ROSEMONT LAR	NE, IMLER, PA 16	RL 655 (814)276-9611
R	FOR CONSTR FOR CONSTR FOR PERMIT: THESE DPAW	NGCIMENTS. CHLY DRAWINGS ISSUED IUCTION" CAN BE CONSIDERED AS COMPLETE.		838	PHOENIX PROP 65'-0" x 105'-0" x	ERTY MANAG	EMENT
19	Final Drawin	IDERED AS COMPLETE.			DATE: 12/6/11 ENG: JJT	REV DWN: DJH	ISION: 02 APPD: JJT



-			
	GENERAL	NOTES:	
	1.) (0'-0") INDIC	CATES TOP OF FOOTI	NG ELEVATION.
	2.) FOUNDA ENGINEERED BY CORLE BUI	TION PLAN IS BAS BUILDING SYSTEM LDING SYSTEMS (F.O.	ED ON A PRE- MANUFACTURED #16838).
	3.) FOUNDAT BEARING CAI UNSUITABLE NOTIFY STF ENGINEER. I FROZEN OR W	TION DESIGN IS BA PACITY OF 2,500 MATERIALS ARE RUCTURAL FOUND NO CONCRETE WILL ATER FILLED TRENCH	SED ON A SOIL PSF. IF ANY ENCOUNTERED, ATION DESIGN . BE PLACED IN IES.
	4.) FOOTING 8 ¾" DESIGN MIX	& PIER CONCRETE SH ((MIN) WITH MRWR.	ALL BE 3,000 PSI,
	5.) PLACE VER EACH PILASTE	RTICAL CONTROL JOI R.	NTS AT EDGE OF
	6.) ALL REINF ASTM A-615, M	FORCING STEEL SHA IIN 60 KSI (DEFORMED	LL CONFORM TO
	7.) ALL ANCH MANUFACTUR TO BE DOUB FURNISHED B SECURELY FORMWORK P	IOR BOLTS TO BE SE ERS TOLERANCES. BLE NUTTED TO ST BY CORLE BUILDING PLACED AND LE RIOR TO PLACEMENT	ET PER BUILDING ANCHOR BOLTS EEL TEMPLATES SYSTEMS, AND EVELED WITHIN OF CONCRETE.
	8.) AVOID SPR REINFORCING	AYING OF FORM REL STEEL.	EASE OIL ON ANY
2	9.) VAPOR BAR TO BE DETERM	RRIER AND INSULATIC MINED BY GENERAL C	N NOT SHOWN IS ONTRACTOR.
	AQ.) ALL INSI RESPONSIBILI	PECTIONS AND TES TY OF THE GENERA	STING ARE THE
Ĉ,	OR DAVISER		
/~.	3 2011 V	NAMES AT	EUFMAN
tiang I	Nain Cion		REPUIAW
HE	DULE		ENSED W
r - Ior	PROJECTION 2 ½"	48 48	WAL ENGINAN
IOR	2 1/2"	16	
4N		OTES	•











Conterminous 48 States 2003 NEHRP Seismic Design Provisions Latitude = 43.65Longitude = -70.34Spectral Response Accelerations Ss and S1 Ss and S1 = Mapped Spectral Acceleration Values Site Class B - Fa = 1.0, Fv = 1.0Data are based on a 0.05 deg grid spacing Period Sa (sec) (g) 0.322 (Ss, Site Class B) 0.2 1.0 0.078 (S1, Site Class B)

Conterminous 48 States 2003 NEHRP Seismic Design Provisions Latitude = 43.65Longitude = -70.34Spectral Response Accelerations SMs and SM1 SMs = Fa x Ss and SM1 = Fv x S1 Site Class E - Fa = 2.27, Fv = 3.5

Period Sa (sec) (g) 0.2 0.731 (SMs, Site Class E) 1.0 0.272 (SM1, Site Class E)

Conterminous 48 States 2003 NEHRP Seismic Design Provisions Latitude = 43.65 Longitude = -70.34Design Spectral Response Accelerations SDs and SD1 SDs = $2/3 \times SMs$ and SD1 = $2/3 \times SM1$ Site Class E - Fa = 2.27, Fv = 3.5

Period Sa (sec) (g) 0.2 0.487 (SDs, Site Class E) 1.0 0.182 (SD1, Site Class E)





FRAME LINES: 2 3 4 5	RIGID FRAME: BASIC COLUMN REACTIONS (K)
	Frame Column Collateral- Live SnowWind_L1 Wind_R1 Line Line Horiz Vert
	Frame Column Wind_L2 Wind_R2 LnWind1 LnWind2 Seismic_L- Seismic_R- Line Line Horiz Vert Line
	Frame Column LnSeis -LWIND1_L2E- -LWIND1_R2E- -LWIND2_L2E- -LWIND2_R2E- -F1UNB_SL_L- Line Line Horiz Vert Horiz
	Frame Column -F1UNB_SL_R- Line Line Horiz Vert 2 * F 14.1 15.7 2 * A -14.1 26.7
	Frame Column Collateral Live Snow Wind_L1 Wind_R1 Wind_L1 Wind_L1 Wind_R1 Wind_L1 Wind_L1 Wind_R1 Wind_L1 Wind_L1 Wind_R1 Wind_L1 Wind_R1
RIGID FRAME: ANCHOR BOLTS & BASE PLATES	Frame Column Wind_L2 Wind_R2 LnWind1 LnWind2 Seismic_L- Seismic_R- Line Line Horiz Vert Horiz Line Horiz Vert Horiz Vert Horiz Vert Horiz Line Horiz Line Horiz Line Line<
Frm LineCol QtyAncBolt UBase_Plate (in) HickGrout (in)2 * F40.7508.00011.760.5000.0	Frame Column LnSeis -LWIND1_L2E- -LWIND1_R2E- -LWIND2_L2E- -LWIND2_R2E- -F2UNB_SL_L- Line Line Horiz Vert Horiz
2 * A 4 0.750 8.000 11.65 0.500 0.0 2 * Frame lines: 2 5	Frame Column –F2UNB_SL_R– Line Line Horiz Vert 3 * F 15.9 17.6 3 * A –15.9 30.0
RIGID FRAME: ANCHOR BOLTS & BASE PLATES	2 * Frame lines: 2 5 3 * Frame lines: 3 4
Frm Col AncBolt Base_Plate (in) Grout Line Line Qty Dia Width Length Thick (in)	
3 * F 4 1.000 8.000 11.82 0.500 0.0 3 * A 4 1.000 8.000 11.82 0.500 0.0	
3 * Frame lines: 3 4	



PHOENIX PROPERTY N	MANA	GEMENT					
DRAWING STATUS		REVISION HISTORY					
F-1 FOR APPROVAL:	REV.	DESCRIPTION					
	10	SEE (0-01	12/6/11	1			
	02	SEE CO-02	12/15/11	114 ROSEMONT LA	NE, IMLER, PA 166	55 (814)276-9611	
THE PROJECT DOCUMENTS, ONLY DRAWINGS ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION" CAN BE CONSIDERED AS COMPLETE.			000		FRTY MANAGE	MENT	
T FOR PERMIT.			<u>56</u>				_
THESE DRAWINGS, BEING FOR PERMIT, ARE BY DEFINITION NOT FINAL, ONLY DRAWINGS ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION			5	65'-0" × 105'-0" ×	17'-0"		
CAN BE CONSIDERED AS COMPLETE.				DATE: 12/ 6/11	REVIS		
						1011, 04	_
FINAL URAWINGS.				ENG: JJT	DWN: DJH	APPD: JUT	
							-

ENDWALL COLUMN: BASIC COLUMN REACTIONS (K)	ENDWALL COLUMN: ANCHOR BOLTS & BASE PLATES
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Frm Col Line Horz Vert I F 0.0 -0.5 0.0 0.0 1 F 0.0 -0.5 0.0 0.0 1 F 0.0 -0.6 0.0 0.0 1 B 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 1 B 0.0 0.0 0.0 -0.5 Frm Col Dead Collat Live Snow Wind_L Wind_R Wind_R Wind_P Wind_S LnWind1 LnWind2 Line Line Vert	NOTES FOR REACTIONS 1. All loading conditions are examined and only the maximum / minimum H or V and the corresponding H or V are reported. 2. Positive reactions are shown in the sketch. Foundation loads are in opposite directions. 3. Bracing reactions are in the plane of the brace with the H pointing away from the braced bay. The vertical reaction is downward. 4. Bullding reactions are based on the following building data: DESIGN CRITERIA Width (ft) = 65 Seismic Importance = 1.00 Roof Slope (rise/12) = 3.0:12 Building Code = 1BC 09 Local Code (State / Prov) = 1BC 09 Local Code (psf) = 2.630 Ssi = 0.3220 Collateral Load (psf) = 20.000 Frame Live Load (psf) = 20
ANCHOR BOLT SUMMARY <u>Qty Locate</u> 0 32 Endwall 0 32 Endwall 0 32 Endwall 0 32 Endwall 0 32 Frame 1 3/4* BUILDING BRACING REACTIONS Reactions in plane of wall <u>treactions (k)</u> Reactions (k) Panel <u>treactions (k)</u> Col <u>treactions (k)</u> Panel <u>treactions (k)</u>	Image: Solution of the set of the s

.







			LEG	END				
		EXISTING C	ONTOUR	xxx				
		FINAL CONT	RUR	XXX-	10			
			E	EXT. ro.	NO 342			
		EXT. WATER	ł	EW				
		ext. Sewer	2	ES	{			
		EXT. OVER	IEAD UTIL	EOHU				
		EXISTING E	ASEMENT					
		PRP. WATE	२	PW-	·			
		PRP. SEWE	र	PS				
		PRP. UTILIT	Ŷ	PG-				
		WATER VAL	VE	Ň				
		WATER SHU	TOFF	4	}			
		SEWER MAN	HOLE	S				
		SILTATION	ENCE					
		PROPOSED	FENCE	-00				
		EXISTING FI	INCE	-00	-0			
		ASPHALT C		AC				
		VERTICAL G	RANITE CURB	VGC				
		EXISTING C	URB					
		FIRE HYDR	NT	EXT. 💢	PRP.X			
		SPOT GRAD	LU AREA	LA EXT - 257.31' P	RPX 57.31			
	JUN 2 9 2011 GENERAL NOTES							
	2. ALL	SEWER LINES	S TO BE 6" P	VC (SDR 35).				
	3. ALL APPRO VITAL HDPE DETAIL	STORM DRAJ VED EQUAL TO THE LONG CULVERT INST	NS SHALL BE PROPER TREM TERM PERFOR ALLATIONS.	ADS N-12 (HDF ICHING AND BAC RMANCE AND DU SEE HDPE CULVE	YE) OR XFILLING ARE RABILITY OF IRT TRENCH			
	4. CAT CLEAN	CH BASINS A ED BY CONTR	ND DRAINAGE ACTOR AFTER	STRUCTURES SH CONSTRUCTION.	ALL BE			
	5. ALL VALVES PORTU	WATER MAIN 5, FITTINGS A AND WATER D	S SHALL BE O ND CONNECTIO ISTRICT STAN	DUCTILE IRON (DI NNS SHALL MEET DARDS.). ALL OTHER CURRENT			
	6.AN ALL₩/	iinimum of 5 Ater Lines.	.0' of cover	SHALL BE MAIN	TAINED OVER			
	7. PRO MAINE CONST	Posed utilit Power Will Ruction.	ies are appr prepare the	ROXIMATELY LOC/ ELECTRICAL PL/	ATEO. CENTRAL AN FOR			
	(GRADING	AND U		N PLAN			
N	н	14 UTCHINS	4 HUTC	HINS DRIN PORTLAN	/E D, MAINE			
	FOR:	PHOE	NIX PROF PO BO	PERTY SER	VICES			
		ATTA	RENGI	NEERING	, INC.			
i	\geq	1284 ST	ATE ROAD	TURAL + MAR ELIOT, MAINE	NE 03903			
	S	PHONE: (2) CALE:	07)439-602 APPRO	3 FAX: (207 WED BY:	DRAWN BY:			
	''	= 20'			CLS REVISION : DATE			
	JOB NO): CO10-11	CAD FILE: H	UTCHINS GRAD	- : - SHEET 2 OF -			







EROSION & SEDIMENTATION CONTROL NOTES

1. SILTATION FENCE OR HAY BALE BARRIERS WILL BE INSTALLED DOWNSLOPE OF ALL STREPPING OR CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS. A DOUBLE SILT FENCE BARRIER SHALL BE INSTALLED DOWNSLOPE OF ANY SOL MATERIAL STOCKPILES. SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSPECTED AFTER EACH RAIN EVENT AND DAILY DURING PROLONGED RAIN. SILT AND SOL PARTICLES ACCUMULATING BEHIND THE FENCE SHALL BE REMOVED AFTER EACH SIGNIFICANT RAIN EVENT AND IN NO INSTANCE SHOULD ACCUMULATION EXCEED 1/2 THE HEIGHT OF THE FENCE. TORN OR DAMAGED AREAS SHALL BE REPAIRED.

2. TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT VEGETATION AND MULCHING IS AN INTEGRAL COMPONENT OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN. ALL AREAS SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MANTANED UNTLI THE DESIRED VEGETATIVE COVER IS ESTABLISHED, THESE CONTROL MEASURES ARE ESSENTIAL TO EROSION PREVENTION AND ALSO REDUCE COSTLY REWORK OF GRADED AND SHAPED AREAS.

3. SEEDING, FERTILIZER AND LIME RATES AND TIME OF APPLICATION WILL BE DEPENDENT ON SOL REQUIREMENTS. TEMPORARY VEGETATION SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN THESE AREAS UNTIL PERMANENT SEEDING IS APPLICA. ADDITIONALLY, EROSON AND SEDIMENTATION MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL PERMANENT VEGETATION IS ESTABLISHED.

ALL LAWN AREA SHALL BE PERMANENTLY SEEDED WITH THE FOLLOWING MITTURE: 20 4. ALL DAME AND AND DE PENNAMENTLI SELVED WITH THE FOLLDWING MIXIURE: 20 LB/ACRE RESEMPING REPENDENT ELB/ACRE REFERING RED FESSIOE AND 5 LB/ACRE PERENNIAL RYE GRASS FOR A TOTAL OF 45 LB/ACRE. FERTILIZER AND LIME RATES SHALL BE DEPENDENT ON SOLL TESTING. IN THE ABSENCE OF SOLL TESTS, FERTILIZE WITH 10–20-20 (N-P2205-K20) AT 800 LB/ACRE AND LIME AT 3 TONS/ACRE. WULCH WITH HAY AT 70-90 LB/1000 S.F. 4° OF LOAM SHALL BE APPLIED PRIOR TO SEEDING.

5. ALL DRAWAGE SWALES, POND EMBANGUENTS AND CROSSING EMBANNENTS SHALL BE SEEDED WITH A MUTURE OF CREEPING RED FESCUE, REDTOP AND TALL FESCUE. THE MUTURE SHALL CONTAIN 20 LB/ACRE CREEPING RED FESCUE, 2 LB/ACRE REDTOP AND 20 LB/ACRE TALL FESCUE. SEE THE ABOVE NOTE FOR FERTILIZER, LME AND MULCHING RATES.

6. TEMPORARY VEGETATION OF ALL DISTURBED AREAS, MATERIAL STOCKPILES AND DTHER SUCH AREAS SHALL BE ESTABLISHED BY SEEDING WITH EITHER WINTER RIVE AT A RATE OF 112 LB/ACRE OR ANNUAL RYEGRASS AT A RATE OF 40 LB/ACRE, WINTER RIVE SHALL BE USED FOR FALL SEEDING AND ANNUAL RYEGRASS FOR SHORT DURATION SEEDING. SEEDING SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED BEFORE OCTOBER 1.

TEMPORARY SEEDING OF DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED BEFORE OCTOBER PERMANENT SEEDING SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED BEFORE SEPTEMBER 15.

8. ALL SEEDED AREAS SHALL BE MULCHED WITH HAY AT A RATE OF 2 BALES (70-90 LB) PER 1000 S.F. OF SEEDED AREA.

9. ALL DISTURBED AREAS ON THE SITE SHALL BE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED WITHIN 7 DAYS OF FINAL GRADING OR TEMPORARILY STABILIZED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF INITIAL DISTURBANCE.

10. A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE INSTALLED AT ALL ACCESSES TO PUBLIC ROADS (SEE PLAN). TEMPORARY CULVERTS SHALL BE PROVDED AS REQUIRED.

11. SLOPES 2:1 OR STEEPER SHALL BE TREATED WITH POLYJUTE OPEN WEAVE GEOTEXTILE (OR EQUIVALENT) AFTER SEEDING. JUTE MATS SHALL BE ANCHORED PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS.

12. EXCESSIVE DUST CAUSED BY CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS SHALL BE CONTROLLED BY APPLICATION OF WATER OR CALCIUM CHLORIDE.

13. THE CONTRACTOR MAY OPT TO USE EROSION CONTROL MIX BERM AS A SEDIMENT BARRIER IN LEU OF SILTATION FENCE OR HAY BALE BARRIERS WITH APPROVAL FROM THE INSPECTING ENGINEER.



NCE WITH ASTM D 1557. LIFT THICKNESSES TO BE A MAXIMUM OF 6". 2. ALL STUMPS, ORGANIC MATERIAL, ROCKS AND BOULDERS TO BE REMOVED TO A MINIMUM

DEPTH OF 24" BELOW SUBBASE.

3. ALL STUMPS, LEDGE AND LARGE BOULDERS TO BE REMOVED FROM THE CONSTRUCTION AREA. THE CONSTRUCTION AREA SHALL BE CLEARED AND ROUGH GRADED.

4. ALL CULVERTS TO BE ADS N-12 (HDPE) OR APPROVED EQUAL. CULVERT INLETS AND CUTLETS TO BE PROTECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CULVERT INLET/OUTLET PROTECTION DETAIL.

5. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CONTACT DIG SAFE AND ALL LOCAL UTILITIES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION TO VERIFY THE LOCATION OF EXISTING SUBSURFACE UTILITIES AND COMDITIONS. LOCATING AND PROTECTING ANY UNDERGROUND OR ABOVE GROUND UTILITY IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.

WINTER CONSTRUCTION NOTES

1. EXPOSED AREAS SHOULD BE LIMITED TO AN AREA THAT CAN BE MULCHED IN ONE DAY PRIOR TO ANY SNOW EVENT.

2. AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED STABILIZED WHEN EXPOSED SURFACES HAVE BEEN ETHER MULCHED WITH HAY AT A RATE OF 100 LB/1000 S.F. OR DORMANT SEEDED, MULCHED MULD ADEQUATELY ANCHORED BY AN APPROVED ANCHORING TECHNICUSE. IN ALL CASES, MULCH SHALL BE APPLIED SO THAT THE SOLL SURFACE IS NOT VISIBLE THROUGH THE MULCH.

3. FROM OCTOBER 15 TO APRIL 1, LOAM AND SEED WILL NOT BE REDUIRED. DURING PERIODS OF TEMPERATURES ABOVE FREEZING, DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE FINE GRADED AND PROTECTED WITH MULCH OR TEMPORARILY SEEDED AND MULCHED UNTIL PERMANENT SEEDING CAN BE APPLIED. AFTER NOVEMBER 1, DISTURBED AREAS MAY BE LOAMED, FINE GRADED AND DORMANT SEEDED AT A RATE 200-300% HIGHER THAN THE SPECIFIED PERMANENT SEEDING RATE. IF CONSTRUCTION CONTINUES DURING FREEZING WEATHER, DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE GRADED BEFORE FREEZING AND TEMPORARILY STABILIZED WITH MULCH. DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE GRADED BEFORE FREEZING AND TEMPORARILY STABILIZED WITH EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME UNLESS STABILIZED WITH MULCH.

4. FROM NOVEMBER 1 TO AFRIL 15 ALL MULCH SHALL BE ANCHORED BY EITHER PEG LINE, MULCH NETTING, ASPHALT EMULSION CHEMICAL, TRACK OR WOOD CELLULOSE FIBER. MULCH NETTING SHALL BE USED TO ANCHOR MULCH IN ALL DRAINAGE WAYS WITH SLOPES GREATER THAN 3%, SLOPES EXPOSED TO DIRECT WINDS AND FOR SLOPES GREATER THAN 3%, MULCH NETTING SHALL BE USED TO ANCHOR MULCH IN ALL AREAS WITH SLOPES GREATER THAN 15%, AFTER OCTOBER 1, THE SAME APPLIES TO ALL SLOPES GREATER THAN 5%, MULCH IN ALL SLOPES TO ANCHOR MULCH IN ALL SLOPES GREATER THAN 5%, MULCH IN ALL SLOPES TO ALL SLOPES TO ALL SLOPES GREATER THAN 5%, MULCH IN ALL SLOPES TO ANCHOR MULCH IN ALL SLOPES GREATER THAN 5%, MULCH IN ALL SLOPES TO ALL SLOPES THAN 5%, MULCH IN ALL SLOPES TO ALL

5. DURING WINTER CONSTRUCTION, DORMANT SEEDING OR MULCH AND ANCHORING SHALL BE APPLIED TO ALL DISTURBED AREAS AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY,

6. SNOW SHALL BE REMOVED FROM AREAS OF SEEDING AND MULCHING PRIOR TO PLACEMENT.

















LEGEND

WETLAND BOUNDARY	UPLAND
EXT. CONTOUR	
PRP. CONTOUR	xxx
SUBCATCHMENT BNDY.	
SOIL TYPE BOUNDARY	<u> </u>
To PATH	FLOW TYPE/LENGTH
	-

SOILS LEGEND

Emb - ELMWOOD FINE SANDY LOAM, 3% TO B% SLOPES. HSG C SU - SULFINEMISTS, FREQUENTLY FLOODED



REACH

SUBCATCHMENT

FLOW TYPES sf - shiet flow sof - shallow concentrated flow cf - channelized flow pipe - pe pipe flow

analysis point

POND

TION	EXIST	ING CONDITIONS HUTCHINS DRIVE 5 DRIVE PORTLAN	PLAN D, MAINE
	FOR: PHOE SA	NIX PROPERTY SER PO BOX 759 CO, ME 04072–51	VICES
	ATTA ATTA 1284 ST PHONE: (20	RENGINEERING ML • STRUCTURAL • MAR ATE ROAD - ELIOT, MAINI 07)439-6023 FAX: (207	NE 03903 439-2128
	SCALE: 1'' = 20'	APPROVED BY:	DRAWN BY: CLS
	DATE: 06/17/11		REVISION : DATE
	JOB NO: CO10-11	CAD FILE: HUTCHINS EXT	SHEET 1 OF 2



LEGEND

WETLAND BOUNDARY - UPLAND EXT. CONTOUR PRP. CONTOUR SUBCATCHMENT BNDY. Te PATH

-xxx SOIL TYPE BOUNDARY ----- ----FLOW TYPE /LENGTH 1

SOILS LEGEND

EmB - ELMWOOD FINE SANDY LOAM, 3% TO 8% SLOPES. HSG C

SU - SULFINEMISTS, FREQUENTLY FLOODED



RUCTION	DEVELOPED CONDITIONS PLAN HUTCHINS DRIVE HUTCHINS DRIVE PORTLAND, MAINE						
	FOR: PHOENIX PROPERTY SERVICES PO BOX 759 SACO, ME 04072-5118						
	ATTAR ENGINEERING, INC. CIVIL + STRUCTURAL + MARNE 1284 STATE ROAD - ELOT, MAINE 03903 PLADE: COTAL49-E073 EAV: COTAL49-2128						
	SCALE: APPROVED BY: DRAW						
	DATE: 06/17/11		REVISION : DATE				
	JOB NO: COID-11	CAD FILE: HUTCHINS EXT	SHEET 2 OF 2				







GENERAL NOTES

1. EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY WAS TAKEN FROM REFERENCE 1.

2. ALL SEWER LINES TO BE 6" PVC (SDR 35).

3. ALL STORM DRAINS SHALL BE ADS N-12 (HDPE) OR APPROVED EQUAL. PROPER TRENCHING AND BACKFILLING ARE VTAL TO THE LONG TERM PERFORMANCE AND DURABILITY OF HDPE CULVERT INSTALLATIONS. SEE HDPE CULVERT TRENCH DETAIL.

4. CATCH BASINS AND DRAINAGE STRUCTURES SHALL BE CLEANED BY CONTRACTOR AFTER CONSTRUCTION.

5. ALL WATER SERVICES SHALL BE (TYPE K) COPPER WITHIN THE RIGHT OF WAY AND EITHER COPPER OR A MATERIAL SUITABLE TO THE PORTLAND WATER DISTRICT ON THE PROPERTY, ALL OTHER VALVES, FITTINGS AND CONNECTIONS SHALL WEET CURRENT PORTLAND WATER DISTRICT STANDARDS.

6. A MINIMUM OF 5.0' OF COVER SHALL BE MAINTAINED OVER ALL WATER LINES.

7. PROPOSED UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATELY LOCATED. CENTRAL MAINE POWER WILL PREPARE THE ELECTRICAL PLAN FOR CONSTRUCTION.

	GRAD 14 HUTCHINS	ING AND UTILITY 4 HUTCHINS DRIV 5 DRIVE PORTLAN	PLAN /E D, MAINE		
ELTE OF MAN	FOR: PHOE SA	NIX PROPERTY SER PO BOX 759 CO, ME 04072-51	VICES		
	ATTAR ENGINEERING, INC. CIVIL • STRUCTURAL • MARINE 1284 STATE ROAD - ELIOT, MAINE 03903 PHONE: (207)439-6023 FAX: (207)439-2128				
	SCALE: 1" = 20'	APPROVED BY:	DRAWN BY: CLS		
	DATE: 06/17/11		REVISION : DATE B : 09/16/11		
	JOB NO: CD10-11	CAD FILE: HUTCHINS GRAD	SHEET 2 DE 7		



٠.









EROSION & SEDIMENTATION CONTROL NOTES

1. SILTATION FENCE OR HAY BALE BARRIERS WILL BE INSTALLED DOWNSLOPE OF ALL STRIPPING OR CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS. A DOUBLE SILT FENCE BARRIER SHALL BE INSTALLED DOWNSLOPE OF AN SOL MATERIA. STOCKPLES. SILT FENCES SHALL BE INSPECTED AFTER EACH RAIN EVENT AND DALY DURING PROLONGED RAIN. SILT AND SOL PARTICLES ACCUMULATION BEINND THE FENCE SHALL BE REMOVED AFTER EACH SIGNIFICANT RAIN EVENT AND IN NO INSTANCE SHOULD ACCUMULATION EXCEED 1/2 THE HEIGHT OF THE FENCE. TORN OR DAMAGED AREAS SHALL BE REFARED.

2. TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT VEGETATION AND MULCHING IS AN INTEGRAL COMPONENT OF THE EROSION AND SEDMENTATION CONTROL PLAN. ALL AREAS SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED UNTIL THE DESIRED VEGETATIVE COVER IS ESTABLISHED. THESE CONTROL MEASURES ARE ESSENTIAL TO EROSION PREVENTION AND ALSO REDUCE COSTLY REWORK OF GRADED AND ANEOD ANEAS.

3. SEEDING, FERTILIZER AND LINE RATES AND TIME OF APPLICATION WILL BE DEPENDENT ON SOLL REQUIREMENTS. TEMPORARY VEGETATION SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN THESE AREAS UNTIL PERMANENT SEEDING IS APPLIED. ADDITIONALLY, EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL PERMAMENT VEGETATION IS ESTABLISHED.

4. ALL LAWN AREA SHALL BE PERMANENTLY SEEDED WITH THE FOLLOWING MIXTURE: 20 LB/ACRE KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS, 20 LB/ACRE CREEPING RED FESCUE AND 5 LB/ACRE PERENNIAL RYE GRASS FOR A TOTAL OF 45 LB/ACRE. FERTILIZER AND LIME RATES SHALL BE DEPENDENT ON SOLL TESTING. IN THE ABSENCE OF SOLL TESTS, FERTILIZE WITH 10-20-20 (N-P205-K201) AT BOO LB/ACRE AND LIME AT 3 TONS/ACRE. MULCH WITH HAY AT 70-90 LB/1000 S.F. 4" OF LOAM SHALL BE APPLIED PRIOR TO SEEDING.

5. ALL DRAINAGE SWALES, POND EMBANIKMENTS AND CROSSING EMBANIKMENTS SHALL BE SEEDED WITH A MIXTURE OF CREEPING RED FESCUE, REDTOP AND TALL FESCUE. THE MIXTURE SHALL CONTAIN 20 LB/ACRE CREEPING RED FESCUE, 2 LB/ACRE REDTOP AND 20 LB/ACRE TALL FESCUE. SEE THE ABOVE NOTE FOR FERTILIZER, LIME AND MULCHING RATES.

6. TEMPORARY VEGETATION OF ALL DISTURBED AREAS, MATERIAL STOCKPILES AND OTHER SUCH AREAS O. ICENTORINI YELGINIUM UR ALL UISINGBELL ARCAS, MAILENAL SIUGRIELES AND OHER SUCH ANEALS SHALL BE ESTABLISHED BY SEEDING WITH ETHER WINTER RYE AT A RATE OF THIL SELACHE ON ANNUAL RYEGRASS AT A RATE OF 40 LB/ACRE, WINTER RYE SHALL BE USED FOR FALL SEEDING AND ANNUAL RYEGRASS FOR SHORT DURATION SEEDING, SEEDING SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED BEFORE OFTORER 1.

7. TEMPORARY SEEDING OF DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED BEFORE OCTOBER 1. PERMANENT SEEDING SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED BEFORE SEPTEMBER 15.

8. ALL SEEDED AREAS SHALL BE MULCHED WITH HAY AT A 'RATE OF 2 BALES (70-9D LB) PER 1000 S.F. OF SEEDED AREA.

9. ALL DISTURBED AREAS ON THE SITE SHALL BE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED WITHIN 7 DAYS OF FINAL GRADING OR TEMPORARILY STABILIZED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF INITIAL DISTURBANCE.

10. A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE INSTALLED AT ALL ACCESSES TO PUBLIC ROADS (SEE PLAN). TEMPORARY CULVERTS SHALL BE PROVIDED AS REQUIRED.

11. SLOPES 2:1 OR STEEPER SHALL BE TREATED WITH POLYJUTE OPEN WEAVE GEOTEXTILE (OR EQUIVALENT) AFTER SEEDING. JUTE MATS SHALL BE ANCHORED PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS.

12. EXCESSIVE DUST CAUSED BY CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS SHALL BE CONTROLLED BY APPLICATION OF WATER OR CALCIUM CHLORIDE.

13. THE CONTRACTOR MAY OPT TO USE EROSION CONTROL MIX BERM AS A SEDIMENT BARRIER IN LIEU OF SILTATION FENCE OR HAY BALE BARRIERS WITH APPROVAL FROM THE INSPECTING ENGINEER.

HOUSEKEEPING (STORMWATER - CHAPTER 500 APPENDIX C, MAINE DEP

1. SPILL PREVENTION, CONTROLS MUST BE USED TO PREVENT POLLUTANTS FROM BEING DISCHARGED FROM MATERIALS ON SITE, INCLUDING STORAGE PRACTICES TO MINIMIZE EXPOSURE OF THE MATERIALS TO STORMWATER, AND APPROPRIATE SPILL PREVENTION, CONTAINMENT, AND RESPONSE PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION.

2. GROUNDWATER PROTECTION, DURING CONSTRUCTION, LIQUID PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AND OTHER HAZARDOUS MATERIALS WITH THE POTENTIAL TO CONTAMINATE GROUNDWATER MAY NOT BE STORED OR HANDLED IN AREAS OF THE SITE DRAINING TO AN INTILITATION AREA, AN "INFLITATION AREA" IS ANY AREA OF THE SITE THAT BY DESIGN OR AS A RESULT OF SOLLS, TOPOGRAPHY AND OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS ACCUMULATES RUNOFF THAT INFLITATES INTO THE SOLL DIKES, BERNS, SUMPS, AND OTHER FORMS OF SECONDARY CONTAINMENT THAT PREVENT DISCHARGE TO GROUNDWATER MAY BE USED TO ISOLATE PORTIONS OF THE SITE OR THE PURPOSES OF STORAGE AND HANDLING OF THESE MATERIALS.

3. FUGITIVE SEDIMENT AND DUST. ACTIONS MUST BE TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT ACTIVITIES DO NOT RESULT IN NOTICEABLE EROSION OF SOILS OR FUGITIVE DUST EMISSIONS DURING OR AFTER CONSTRUCTION. OIL MAY NOT BE USED FOR DUST CONTROL.

4. DEBRIS AND OTHER MATERIALS. LITTER, CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, AND CHEMICALS EXPOSED TO STORMWATER MUST BE PREVENTED FROM BECOMING A POLLUTANT SOURCE

5. TRENCH OR FOUNDATION DE-WATERING, TRENCH DE-WATERING IS THE REMOVAL OF WATER FROM TRENCHES, FOUNDATIONS, COFTER DAMS, PONDS, AND OTHER AREAS WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION AREA THAT RETAIN WATER AFTER EXCAVATION. IN MOST CASES THE COLLECTE WATER IS HEAVLY SLITED AND HINDERS CORRECT AND SAFE CONSTRUCTION PACINGS. THE COLLECTE WATER MUST BE FREMOVED FROM THE PONDED AREA, DITHER THROUGH GRAVITY OR PUMPING, AND MUST BE SPREAD THROUGH NATURAL WOODED BUFFERS OR REMOVED TO AREAS THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO COLLECT THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF SEMINENT POSSIBLE, LIKE A COFFERDAM SEDIMENTATION BASIN, AVOID ALLOWING THE WATER TO FLOW OVER DISTURBED AREAS OF THE STE. EQUIVALENT MEASURES MAY BE TAKEN IF APPROVED BY THE DEFARTMENT.

6. NON-STORWWATER DISCHARGES, IDENTIFY AND PREVENT CONTAMINATION BY NON-STORWWATER DISCHARGES, OCATING AND PROTECTING ANY UNDERGROUND OR ABOVE GROUND UTILITY IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.





NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
A	REVIEW COMMENTS	8/3/11

2. All stumps, organic material, rocks and boulders to be removed to a minimum depth of 24" below subbase.

All Stumps, Ledge and Large Boulders to be Removed from the construction area. THE CONSTRUCTION AREA SHALL BE CLEARED AND ROUGH GRADED.

1. DRIVEWAYS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROPRIATE CROSS SECTION DETAIL. GRAVEL FILL TO BE COMPACTED TO SEA MODIFIED PROCTOR IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D 1557. LIFT THEORESSES TO BE A MAXIMUM OF 6'.

DRIVEWAY CONSTRUCTION NOTES

4. ALL CULVERTS TO BE ADS N-12 (HDPE) OR APPROVED EQUAL. CULVERT INLETS AND OUTLETS TO BE PROTECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CULVERT INLET/OUTLET PROTECTION DETAIL.

5. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CONTACT DIG SAFE AND ALL LOCAL UTILITIES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION TO VERIFY THE LOCATION OF EXISTING SUBSURFACE UTILITIES AND CONDITIONS. LOCATING AND PROTECTING ANY UNDERGOUND OR ABOVE GROUND UTILITY IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.

WINTER CONSTRUCTION NOTES

1. EXPOSED AREAS SHOULD BE LIMITED TO AN AREA THAT CAN BE MULCHED IN ONE DAY PRIOR TO ANY SNOW EVENT.

2. AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED STABILIZED WHEN EXPOSED SURFACES HAVE BEEN EITHER MULCHED WITH HAY AT A RATE OF 100 LB/1000 S.F. OR DORMANT SEEDED, MULCHED AND ADEQUATELY, ANCHORED BY AN APPROVED ANCHORMIC TECHNIQUE. IN ALL CASES, MULCH SHALL BE APPLIED SO THAT THE SOIL SURFACE IS NOT WSIBLE THROUGH THE MULCH.

3. FROM OCTOBER 15 TO APRIL 1, LOAM AND SEED WILL NOT BE RECUIRED. DURING PERIODS OF TEMPERATURES ABOVE FREEZING, DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE FINE GRADED AND PROTECTED WITH MULCH OR TEMPORARILY SEEDED AND MULCHED UNTIL PERMANENT SEEDING CAN BE APPLIED. AFTER NOVEMBER 1, DISTURBED AREAS MAY BE LOAMED, FINE GRADED AND DORMANT SEEDED AT A RATE 200-300% HIGHER THAN THE SPECIFIED PERMANENT SEEDING RATE # CONSTRUCTION CONTINUES DURING FREEZING WEATHER, DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE GRADED BEFORE FREEZING AND TEMPORARILY STABILIZED WITH MULCH. DISTURBED AREAS SHALL NOT BE LEFT OVER THE WINTER OR FOR ANY OTHER EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME UNLESS STABILIZED WITH MULCH.

4. FROM NOVEMBER 1 TO APRIL 15 ALL MULCH SHALL BE ANCHORED BY EITHER PEG LINE, MULDH NETTING, ASPHALT EMILISON CHEMICAL, TRACK OR WOOD CELLUOSE FIBER. MUCH NETTING ANLL BE USED TO ANCHOR MULCH IN ALL DRAINAGE WAYS WITH SLOPES CREATER THAN XX, SLOPES EXPOSED TO DIRECT WINDS AND FOR SLOPES GREATER THAN BX. MULCH NETTING SHALL BE USED TO ANCHOR WULCH IN ALL AREAS WITH SLOPES CREATER THAN 15X. AFTER OCTOBER 1, THE SAME APPLIES TO ALL SLOPES GREATER THAN 8X.

5. DURING WINTER CONSTRUCTION, DORMANT SEEDING OR MULCH AND ANCHORING SHALL BE APPLIED TO ALL DISTURBED AREAS AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY.

6. SNOW SHALL BE REMOVED FROM AREAS OF SEEDING AND MULCHING PRIOR TO PLACEMENT.









This project has been designed and fabri 1. DESCRIPTION Owner's Name and Address:	cated in accordance with the following: PHOENEX PROPERTY SERVICES	GENERAL THIS DRAWING INCLUDES IN INC. 17 IS PROVIDED SOLE	Formation Hereon, remains the property of Baitespan Building Systems, Ly For Emecting the Building Described in the Sales onder and Small Not
Building Supplier's Name and Address:	144 HUTCHINS RD. PORTLAND, ME. 4101 THE AUTUMN BREEZE GROUP	BE MODIFIED, REPRODUCED BRITESPAN BUILDING BYSTE	OR USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF BMS, INC.
Manufacturer's Name and Address:	P.O. BUX 94 FMINLEL, YIL USUS BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS INC. 37851 AMBERLEY ROAD. LUCKNOW, ONLING 2H0 PHOEND PROPERTY SERVICES	THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR A WORKNANSHIP IN ERECTING THIS DEAWING AND THINSE	NUC/OR ERECTOR IS SOLEY RESPONSIBLE FOR ACCURATE, GOOD QUALITY THIS BUILDING IN CONFORMANCE WITH THIS DAWNING, DETAILS REFERENCED IN VS STANDARD REFITUTIVE TO DEPORE EXECUTION TWO UNLESS THE INFORMATION OF OF
	144 HUTCHINS RD. PORTLAND, ME. 4101 PHOENIX PROPERTY SERVICES	TEMPORARY BRACING.	T STANDARDS FERTALINING TO PROPER ENERTION INCLUDING THE PROPER USE OF
Legal Mouress.	HILDENG FROMENT DERITIES 144 HILDENG RD. PORTLAND, ME. 41D1	THIS BUILDING IS NOT DES INC. IS NOT RESONSIBLE F HOMEVER, IT HAS BEEN DET FIRM OR COMPANY CONTRACT	SIGNED TO BE LIFTED AS AN ASSEMBLED UNIT. BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS, OR LOSSES AND/OR DAMAGE AS A RESULT OF LIFTING THIS BUILDING, IF, FEMATHED TO LIFT THIS BUILDING, IT IS THE RESONSIBILITY OF THE PERSON, ED TO LIFT THE BUILDING TO SECRE THE SERVICES OF A QUALIFIED ENGINEER
Building Type: Building Size: Applicable Building Code:	ATLAS 18 40" x 48" @ 12" a.c. (12.192m x 14.631m @ 3.658m a.c.) (1.920 sq.ft.) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 2009	TO ENSURE THE LIFT DOES The lift including all p	NOT DAMAGE THE BUILDING AND TO DETENNINE AND FINALIZE ALL ASPECTS OF PARTS/COMMECTORS TO BE ADDED TO THE BUILDING TO FACILITATE THE LIFT.
Intended Use and Occupancy: Construction Type: Fabric Type:	SAND / SALT STORAGE COMMERCIAL NON - FR	BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTE In the erection of the C Components to determine	ING, ING. IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ERRORS, DRISSION OR DAMAGES INCURRED Dupponents shown on this drawing, nor for the inspection of erected The Same.
 DESIGN STANDARDS International Building Code 2009 (IBC 20 ANSI/AISS 360-05, Specification for Stru AISI-Truss, North American Specification NFRA 701, Standard Methods of Fire Tests 3. MAMFACTURING STANDARDS 	09), Chapter 16: Structural Design ctural Steel Buildings for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members for Flame Propagation of Textilus and Films, 2009 Edition	THIS CERTIFICATION AND E BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTE Concrete foundations, St Responsibilities of Brit Windows and Roll-up Curt on to be closed in the E	NCINCERING SEAL APPLIES ONLY TO PRODUCTS DESIGNED AND FABRICATED BY HIS, INC. FOR THE LOADING CONDITIONS DESIGNED DU THESE DAWTINGS. TEEL COMPONENTS TO THERE AND INC. THE TEEL COMPONENTS ON AND AND THE TERMIN BUILDING SYSTEMS, INC. OR THE CERTIFYING ENGINEER, ALL DOORS, AINS HULT BE DESIGNED TO SUPPORT THE SITE WIND LOADING AND ARE RELIED VENT OF HIGH WINDS.
Fabrication in accordance with ANSI/AISC Welding in accordance with ANS D1.3 Stru Britespan Building Systems Inc. is a AMS Relders have been qualified in accirdanc	300-05 and AISI-Truss, as applicable. cturil Welding Code and AISI-Truss, as applicable. approved fabricator as per 85.17 and OC17 standards. e with OC7-93.	ANCHOR BOLTS Anchor Bolt Diameters Ar KSI (248 MPa), Anchor Bo Others.	ie determined in accordance with CSA standard Cang-S16.1 using fy = 36 LT lengths and long transfer to the foundation are to be determined by
4. DESIGN CRITERIA Decupancy Category:	F3-Low Hazard	ANCHOR BOLT PROJECTIONS	BASED ON ND GROUT ARE AS FOLLOWS:
EXPOSUTE Category: Enclosure Category:	Exposure C (NON COASTAL) - EXPOSED PARTIALLY ENCLOSED	FOUNDATION MUST BE LEVEL On the dramings.	, SQUARE AND SMOOTH. ANCHOR BOLTS MUST BE ACCUBATELY PLACED AS SHORN
 A) DEAD LDADS I) Self-weight of Building Components II) Collateral (hanging) load, not to exception sprinklars, etc. or any combina 	ceed 0.25 pst as an allowance for mechanical, electrical tion there of.	FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION	IS AND UNDERSIDE OF BASE PLATE SI 100'-0' (1000.000mm) UNLESS NOTED.
8) LIVE LOADS		ERECTION THE ERECTION HUST PROVID REGULATIONS, ALL THE LTE	E SAFE NORKING CONDITIONS AND PRACTICES CONFORMING TO ALL SAFETY TING DEVICES ARE TO BE SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO LIFT THE WARTONS BUILDING.
Live Loads determined in accordance with Minimum roof live load.	section 1607 of IBC 2009 12.0 psf	COMPONENTS. SLINGS AND S Structural components.	PREADER BARS ARE TO BE USED TO PREVENT PERMANENT DEFORMATION OF ALL
C) SHOW LOADS		ERECTION SHOULD START AT BRACING AS REQUIRED TO E	A BRACED BAY. ERECT AND TEMPORARILY SUPPORT TRUSSES. USE THEMPORARY INSURE STABILITY OF THE FRAMES. INSTALL PURLING AND CROSS BRACING,
Snow loads determined in accordance with Ground Snow Load, Pg (1/50)	section 1808 of IBC 2009 50.0 psf	Plumb and square trusses Standard for steel erect	: IN ACCORDANCE WITH CANG-S16.1 AND OSHA 28 CFR PART 1926 - SAFETY ION.
Exposure Factor, Ce Thermal Factor, Ct	5.00 par 0.9 1.2	ENSURE ALL PURLINS REMAI	N PARELLEL.
Importance Factor, Is	0.8	STRUCTURAL FRAMING MEMBE Exceed 1:500,	RS ARE CONSIDERED PLINUB, LEVEL, AND ALIGNED INNEW THE VARIANCE DOES NOT
D) WIND LAAGS Wind loads determined in accordance with Wind Speed (3-set Gust) Basic Wind Pressure, q Exposure Coefficient, Kn Topographic Factor, Kat Directionality Factor, Kd	section 1609 of IBC 2009 100 mph 22.04 pa 1.00 0.85	STRUCTURAL BOLTS BOLTS IN CONNECTIONS NOT FLUCTUATIONS ARE NOT DES TIGHTNESS THAT EXISTS WH ALL BOLTS LARGER THAN 1*	SUBJECT TO TENSION LOADS, OR WHERE LOOSENING DUE TO YIBHATION OR LOAD Ion considerations meteo only be same trantback, which is defined as the R.J.L.PLES DH & JOINT ARE INFINE CONTACT. (2000) DIA. CONFORT TO ASTR ADDS.
Importance Factor, IW E) LGAD COMMBINATIONS	U.B7	ALL BOLTS SHALL BE PLATE ALL BOLTS SHALL BE PLATE ALL BOLT REFERENCES REQU	CANFORM TO SAE UNIS ON EQUITALENT. D/ GALYANZED OR SINGEL CAATED. IIRE BOTH BOLT AND NUT.
5. BASE REACTIONS	CE MITTU SECTION HOOD OF IDE 2006	BOLTS IN CONNECTIONS NOT VALUES AS SHOWN IN THE T	SUBJECT TO TENSION LOADS RECUIRE PRE-TENSIONING TO WININUM TENSION ABLE BELOR.
Project 1822 - 40h Adas 9351 100001 12h etra 2013/647 - Britanna di cue Hazard, Partialla cuer	Survey (and 10) Pertianal Maxim I KA	TABLE A - BOLT TENSION SIZE	GRADE 5
BASE REACTIONS: MAX FORCES AT THE FOUND	MATION, ALL LOADS UNFACTORED, FOR QUOTATION PLAPEDSES ONLY	<u>in mm</u> 5/8 16	kips kN 18 80 29 cm
HORIZONTAL	IDE A SIDE I VERTICAL HORIZONTAL VERTICAL		39 174 51 227
DEAD CASE: X, (894) X, (89) DEAD 0.27 1.20	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2 4 <u>SIZE</u> 2 4 1 1/8 29	<u>X325</u> 56 249
UV7 2 8.90 SHOW 4.18 18.59	+ 3.8 16.90 ↓ -₹ -8,90 → 3.0 16. + 6.1 27.13 ↓ -4.13 -10.55 → 6.1 77.	10 4 11/4 32 ⊡ 4	74 316
WIND CALE 1 -3.15 - 3.26 -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
Note: Note:	7 60 <u>2801 1</u> 21 <u>557 7</u> 70 <u>78</u>		
Sign convention for the base nuclion in posta	direction:		
+ [A	₽ +		
<u> </u>	•		
	17851 Ambarbar	200d	DEALER THE ALITUMN RDEETE OD
	Lucknow, ON, Car	ada	DA DAY AL
BRITESPAN	NOG 2H0 PH: 1-519-528-	2922	P.U. BUX 94
 BUILDING SYSTEMS IN	C. FAX: 1-519-528-	2890	
			THE DRAMAN IS DOODERTY OF DOTEEDAN ON UNIVERSITY

STRUCTURAL BOLT TORQUE VALUES TARLE & LISTS THE BOLT CLAMP WITH A SUGGESTED ASSEMBLY TORQUE VALUES, TABLE & - IMPERIAL GRADE 5 <u>\$17</u>

- .	100 COLUMN	1011200		- Capital D	101400	TO QUE	
INCH	Per	LS.	Logid	Load	Dry	Lube	
	inch	Mn	bs.	bs	Hos	fi-lbs	
3/6	16	120	6600	4950	15	23	_
7/16	14	120	9050	6780	50	35	
12	13	120	12100	9050	75	55	
5/8	11	120	19200	14400	150	110	
14	10	120	28400	21300	260	200	
SIZE		A325					-
1/4	7	105	71700	53900	1120	540	-
ATERIAL	SPECIFICATIO	NS.					

ROLLED STRUCTURAL SECTIONS CONFORM TO CSA G40.21-448 (3008).

STRUCTURAL PLATE CONFORMS TO THE FOLLOWING SPECIFICATIONS: PLATES G40.21 444 G40.21/ASTM AS72 G7 44 (3004) H.S.S. G40.21 504 G40.21/ASTM AS72 G7 50 (3504)

COATINGS OF STRUCTURAL PLATES ARE HOT-DIP GALVANIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTN 153.

TRUSSES ARE HOT-DIP GALVANIZED INSIDE AND DUT IN ACCORDANCE ASTN 123,

CHROMATE CONVERSION COATING APPLIED OVER THE HOT-DIP GALVANIZED SURFACE TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL CORRESION PROTECTION.

PURILING AND TIE-DOWN PIPE ARE PRE-GALVANIZED.

DIAGONAL BRACE STEEL CABLES EXTRA HTGH STRENGTH PER ASTM A475 CROSS CABLES - Ø 5/18" (Bum) TYP U/N.

STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS ARE AS FOLLOWS: 2 3/8" (60,325mm) TUBES - 18" (457.2mm) 0/0 USING § 1 1/8" (28.575mm) WEB & 2 7/8" (77.205mm) FUBLING ALL UTWER SIZE/GUNGES - WIN YEILD - 55ksi (379MPa).

FAGRIC / LINER NOTES EXTERIOR FAGRIC IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE STRUCTURAL SYSTEM; REMOVAL OR ALTERATION WITHOUT PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS PROHIBITED. ALL TEARS MUST BE PATCHED IMMEDIATELY TO AVOID MARRANTY PROBLORS.

EXTERION FABRIC WILL DEFLECT UNDER LOAD, THEREOF ALL BUILDING ACCESSORIES (LIGHTING, MYAC, SPRINGLERS, ETC) MUST BE LOCATED REMEATH THE INNER CORD OF THE TRUSS. ANTITHING ABOVE THIS MUST BE REVIENED AND APPROVED IN WRITING BY BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS, INC. SEVERE DAMAGED THE BUILDING AND ACCESSORIES MAY RESULT FROM FALLURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS REQUIRIENT.

ALL POLYETHYLENE MEMORAN	ES WILL POSSESS THE FOLLOWING	MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS:
PHISICAL	PROPERTIES	DESCRIPTION
Base SCF1	HLP-E 1600 denser yard	High Density Polyethylene.
CONTING INICKNESS	4 mai (145 (psm) ea. side	Manamum 4 to 6 mil evolence
		COBILITY ON BAICH SIDE OF DASE
Curface Waight	Median I DBE at 111	SUM.
201 Jace werding	NOTING TO PE ON ON	modimed Cow Density Polyeonynene
Resolut	12.5 nz (servel. (410 mem)	Materian 12.5 or (en er
	in the first	
STRENGTH		TEST STANDARD
Grab tensile strength		ASTN D-5034
Tongue tear strength		ASTM D-2261
Strip tensile strength		ASTM D-5035
Mullen burst		ASTN D-3786
Thickness		ASTN D-5199
Hydrostatic resistance		ASTN D-751A
Cold crack		ASTN D-2136
 Ligth transmission 		ASTN E-903
W & Reathering		ASTN D-4399
Permittivity		ASTN 0-4491
FIRE		TEST STANDARD
Scale Flame Spread		ASTN E-84
Drip Flame Spread		CAN/ULC S-102

	BRITE	SPAN TSTEMS INC.	37851 Amt Lucknow, O NOG 2HO PH: 1-519 FAX: 1-519	Derley Road N, Canada 9-528-2922 9-528-2890		DEALER: THE AUTUMN BREEZE GROUP P.O. BOX 94 FAIRLEE, VT. 05045	CUSTOMER: PHOENIX PROPE 144 HUTCHINS PORTLAND, ME.	RTY SERVICES RD. 4101
DETAILER:	DWG REV	REVISED	BY: DESCRIPTION		DATE	THIS DRAWING IS PROPERTY OF BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS INC. ANY REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE EXPOSES DURITIES CONSTRUCT OF BRITESPAN BUILDING OFFICIENTS	WIDTH-STEEL-FAB-HSS-HSSDROP	PROJECT ID:
RV	1	PREPARED	FOR STRUCTURAL	REVIEW	23 NOV 11	INC. IS PROHIBITED. THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO SCALE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.	40-320-220-12-0	40 - PHUENI

NOTE: BRITESPAN BUILDIGN SYSTEMS, INC. WILL NOT BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR WATERIALS WHICH ARE IMPROPERLY PROTECTED AFTER DELIVERY.

WANDFACTURING STANDARDS FABRICATION IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH CAN/CSA-S16.1 AND CAN/CSA-S136, AS APPLICABLE. BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS, INC. IS A DWB CERTIFIED DIVISION 2.1 MANUFACTURER OF TRUSSES, ALL WELDS ARE COMPLETED IN SYOP AS PER CONS STANDARD CAS M47.1 AND WES, AS PART OF OUR OWN CERTIFICATION AN INDERPENDENT THIND PARTY TESTS OUR FLEENS AND PROCEDURES AND AUDITS OUR FACILITIES. THIS CERTIFICATION WEETS WITH APS DI.1 AND DI.3 CATITERIA.

CARLE / ROD AND PURLIN BRACING ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE TRUSS STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS AND SHOLLD BE PROPERLY INSTALLED PATOR TO ERECTION OF FABRIC ROOF AND ENDMALL PANELS. REMOVAL OR ALTERATION OF ANY BRACING WITHOUT PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FROM BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS, INC. IS PROVIDED.

ROOF PLAN NOTES UNLESS NOTED, USE 85/8" (16mm) BOLTS FOR PURLIM TO TRUSS, CABLE OR ROO BRACING TO TRUSS AND ANGLES TO TRUSS FOR ALL CONNECTIONS.

	DRAWING SCHEDULE	REVISIONS				
DWG #	DRAWINGS TITLE	REV.	DATE	REV.	DATE	
FB-1	COVER PAGE		23 NOV 11	1	-	
FB-2	BASEPLATE LAYOUT	1	23 NOV 11	+-+	-	
FB-3	PROJECT LAYOUT	1	23 NOV 11	+-+		
FB-4	PURLIN LAYOUT	1	23 NOV 11	+		
F8-5	BRACING LAYOUT	1	23 NOV 11			
80-1	ENDWALL LAYDUT 1	1 1	23 HOV 11	+		
EN-2	ENDMALL LAYOUT 2	1	23 NOV 11	++		
BD-1	BASEPLATE DETAILS	1	23 NOV 11			
SD-1	STANDARD DETAILS 1	1	23 NOV 11	1		
S0-2	STANDARD DETAILS 2	1	23 NOV 11		_	
SD-3	STANDARD DETAILS 3	1	23 NOV 11		_	
ED-1	ENDWALL DETAILS	1	23 NOV 11	+-+		
ED-2	ENDWALL DETAILS	1	23 NOV 11			
_				+	_	

ELEVATION WOTES Holes Reguired in Hes Collans, Headers or furlins for framed openings, door or tindow post commettion the get frequent.

NALK DOOR, WINDOW AND FRAMED OPENING POSTS TO BE FIELD ANCHORED TO CONCRETE WITH 81/2" (13mm) "HILTI NOTIX-BOLTS" OR SIMILAR

NATERIAL STORAGE GALVANIZED, ALIMINIZED, AND COLORED NATERIALS ARE SUBJECT TO CORROSION AND DISCOLORATION IF THEY ARE IMPROPERLY STORED. SHORT TERM JOB SITE STORAGE OF STEEL COMPONENTS MAY BE TOLERATED, PROVIDED CARE IS TAKEN TO KEEP THE INITIALISM YAT ALL TIMES THER THISSES ARE ARE TO BE STORED OUTDOORS, THEY SHOULD BE PLACED AT AN ANGLE SUFFICIENT TO PROMOTE GOOD DRAINAGE. IN ADITION, SYERAL LINGS OF CLEARENCE MUST BE PROVIDED BETWEEN THE LORER END AND THE GROUND TO ALLOR VEHILLATION.



	PROJECT: 40' x 48' 12 o.c.	ATLAS 18	
X	so/order id:	DRAWING:	rev:
	S0#1605	FB-1	1



OPEN SB-1-5- CRO - FR- CC C OPEN SB-1-5- CRO - FR- CO - FR- SB-1-5- CRO - FR- SB-1-5- CRO - FR- SB-1-5- SB-1				6'-O' WALL BY O' WALL OTHERS 6'-O' WALL OTHERS			
M/ EN EN	AIN COVER NDFLAPS: NDWALLS:	R: WHITE NON-FR WHITE NON-FR WHITE NON-FR 37651 Amberle Lucknow, ON,	y Road Canada	DEALER THE AUTUMN BREEZE GROUP	CUSTOMER: PHOENIX PROPERTY S	6', 0 By 0 SERVICES	PROJECT: ATLAS 1
DETAILER:	DWG REV	SPANI STEMS INC. NOG 2H0 PH: 1-519-52 FAX: 1-519-52 REVISED BY: DESCRIPTION	28-2922 28-2890 DATE	FAIRLEE, VT. 05045 THIS DRAWING IS PROPERTY OF BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS INC. ANY REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE	PORTLAND ME. 4101 WIDTH-STEEL-FAB-HSS-HS 40-320-220-12-0	SDROP	320 GM DRAWING TI PROJECT
DS	1	PREPARED FOR STRUCTURAL REVIE	¥ 11/23/11	EXPRESSED WRITTEN CONSENT OF BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS INC. IS PROHIBITED. THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO SCALE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.	PROJECT ID: 40 - PHOENIX	so/order 1d: S0# 1605	DRAWING: FB-3





BF	RAC	ING LEGEND
P		PURLIN
R	=	RIDGE PURLIN
X	8	CROSS CABLE

INTERIOR AF	RCH
ITEM #	QTY
30003022	3
END ARCH	
ITEM #	I OTY


















GENERAL NOTES

1. THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN IS TO PROVIDE DETAILS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW SINGLE-LEVEL SALT STORAGE STRUCTURE LOCATED AT 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE PORTLAND, ME.

2. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL APPLICABLE STATE AND LOCAL CODES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- 2009 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE (IBC) ANSI / ASCE 7-05
- ANSI / ASCE 7-05 ACI 318-05 "BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE" ACI 301-05 "SPECIFICATIONS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE" AISC STEEL CONSTRUCTION MANUAL
- ANSI / AF&PA NDS-97 ANSI / AF&PA NDS-97 TMS 402-08 / ACI 530-08 / ASCE 5-08 "BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR MASONRY STRUCTURES" TMS 602-08 / ACI 530.1-08 / ASCE 6-08 "SPECIFICATION FOR MASONRY STRUCTURES" ANSI/TPI 1-2007 "NATIONAL DESIGN STANDARD FOR METAL PLATE CONNECTED WOOD TRUSS CONSTRUCTION"
- ANY DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN THE ABOVE LISTED CODES AND THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER FOR CLARIFICATION BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK.

3. ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED BY PERSONS QUALIFIED IN THEIR TRADE AND LICENSED TO PRACTICE SUCH TRADE IN THE STATE IN WHICH THE PROJECT IS LOCATED.

4. THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH ANY ARCHITECTURAL, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS IN ADDITION TO SPECIFICATIONS AND ANY SHOP DRAWINGS PROVIDED BY SUBCONTRACTORS AND SUPPLIERS.

5. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, AND CONDITIONS SHALL BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR (G.C.) AND ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE BROUCHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER FOR CLARIFICATION BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE AFFECTED PART OF WORK.

6. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, DETAILS, SECTIONS, AND NOTES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED TYPICAL FOR ALL SIMILAR DETAILS.

7. THESE DRAWINGS DO NOT SHOW SIZE, LOCATION, OR TYPE OF OPENINGS IN THE FOUNDATION SYSTEM FOR ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING, OR MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING OF THESE ITEMS.

8. ALL SHOP DRAWINGS PROVIDED BY OTHERS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO THE FABRICATION OF MATERIAL OR THE PURCHASE OF NON-RETURNABLE STOCK. QUANTITY AND DIMENSIONAL REVIEW IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY.

9. ANY AND ALL TEMPORARY BRACING OR SHORING WHICH IS NEEDED TO HOLD THE STRUCTURE IN A SAFE AND STABLE POSITION UNTLE THE BUILDING IS COMPLETE. IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. CONSULT INDEPENDENT ENGINEER IF DESIGN ASSISTANCE OR REVIEW IS NEEDED.

FOUNDATION NOTES

1. A MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SOIL PRESSURE OF 1,500 PSF WAS USED FOR THE DESIGN DF ALL FOOTINGS.

2. BACKFILL FOR FOOTINGS AND WALLS SHALL BE FREE DRAINING GRANULAR MATERIAL

3. FOOTINGS SHALL BE PLACED ON NATURAL UNDISTURBED SOIL OR COMPACTED STRUCTURAL FILL LEDGE, LARGE ROCKS AND SOFT AREAS OR UNDESIRABLE MATERIAL BELOW FOOTINGS SHALL BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH STRUCTURAL FILL FOOTINGS IN EXCAVATIONS OF LEDGE SHALL BE PLACED ON A MINIMUM OF 2' OF STRUCTURAL FILL.

4. STRUCTURAL FILL SHALL BE PLACED IN 6 INCH LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM 95% MODIFIED PROCTOR PER ASTM D1557. STRUCTURAL FILL SHALL BE A CLEAN, WELL GRADED SAND AND GRAVEL MIXTURE MEETING THE FOLLOWING GRADATION:

SCREEN OR SIEVE SIZE	PERCENT PASSING
6 INCHES	100
3 INCHES	70 - 100
NO. 4	35 - 7D
NO. 40	5 - 35
NO. 200	0 - 5

5. FOOTINGS SHALL NOT BE PLACED ON FROZEN GROUND AND AND EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE FREE OF WATER.

6. THE BOTTOM OF ALL EXTERIOR FOOTINGS SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24" BELOW FINISH EXTERIOR GRADE.

7. FOOTING AND WALL ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON A FIRST FLOOR ELEVATION OF 0'-0"

STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERIA

1. BUILDING CODE USED FOR DESIGN IS THE 2009 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE (IBC).

- 2. SNOW LOAD: GROUND SNOW LOAD, Pg = 50 PSF IMPORTANCE FACTOR, I = 0.8 EXPOSURE FACTOR, Ct=1.2 THERMAL FACTOR, Ct=1.2

3. WIND LOAD: BASIC WIND SPEED, V = 100 MPH IMPORTANCE FACTOR. I = 0.87 EXPOSURE CATEGORY (MAIN WIND FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM) = C EXPOSURE CATEGORY (COMPONENTS AND CLADDING) = C

SEISMIC LOAD: SEISMIC IMPORTANCE FACTOR = 1.0 SITE CLASS = E SPECTRAL RESPONSE COEFFICIENTS, Ss = 0.35, S1 = 0.077 SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY = 0



- S-1 COVER SHEET AND STRUCTURAL NOTES
- S-2 BUILDING SECTIONS





A	ADDED SHEET C-1 TO INDEX.	10/22/09
NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE

40'-54' ____ - 32'-52 - 36'-5‡" 6'-0" X G-1 SIMPSON STONG-BOLT WEDGE ANCHOR (OR APPROVED EQUAL) TO BE SPACED ACCORDING TO BASENLATE LAYOUT PROVIDED BY BRITESPAN BUILDING SYSTEMS 48 -0 1.4 1'-0" J

~ 38'-5¹* · CENTER TO CENTER OF ANCHOR BOLTS

PLAN VIEW SCALE: 1/4" = 1'

BID SET - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

<u> </u>			
NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE	
	REVISIONS		







Final Report of Special Inspections

New Building for Phoenix Property Management Portland, Maine

July 27, 2012

. . . .

RECEIVED

AUG 1 7 2012

Dept. of Building Inspections City of Portland Maine

Prepared By:

M² Structural Engineering, P.C. 23 Thornbury Way Windham, ME 04062

Project No. 11128

Structural Statement of Special Inspections (Continued)

Final Report of Special Inspections (SSIC/SI 1)

[To be completed by the Structural Special Inspections Coordinator (SSIC/SI 1). Note that all Agent's Final Reports must be received prior to issuance.]

Project:	New Build	ding for Phoenix Pro	perty Management		
Location:	Hutchins Road, Portland, ME				
Owner:	Phoenix Property Management				
Owner's Addr	ess:	PO Box 759			
		Saco, Maine 04072	2		
Architect of Re	ecord:	N/A		N/A	
		(name)		(firm)	
Structural Reg	istered D	esign			
Professional in	Respons	sible Charge:	Various		
			(name)	(firm)	

To the best of my information, knowledge and belief, the Special Inspections required for this project, and itemized in the *Statement of Special Inspections* submitted for permit, have been performed and all discovered discrepancies have been reported and resolved except as follows:

The SI team was not notified of a concrete placement for the foundation walls, and therefore cylinders for testing of the concrete were not cast. It was discussed that cores would be taken from the in place concrete for testing. M^2 SE was not notified of the results of the core samples.

07/27/2012

Date

Respectfully submitted, Structural Special Inspection Coordinator <u>Matthew J. Miller, P.E.</u> (Type or print name) M² Structural Engineering, P.C. (Firm Name) *Mathematical Structures* MATTHEW J. MILLER No. 11286 MILLER No. 11286 MILLER No. 11286 Licensed Professional Seal

Signature

۰.,

₹.

)

Project: New Building for Phoenix Property Management Date Prepared: December 6, 2011

Structural Statement of Special Inspections (Continued)

Special Inspector's/Agent's Final Report

Project:	New Building for Phoenix Property Management		
Special Inspector or Agent:	Roger Domingo	S.W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC.	
, gont	(name)	(firm)	

Designation:

۰.

E

.

.

To the best of my information, knowledge and belief, the Special Inspections or testing required for this project, and designated for this Inspector/Agent in the *Statement of Special Inspections* submitted for permit, have been performed and all discovered discrepancies have been reported and resolved.

Interim reports submitted prior to this final report form a basis for and are to be considered an integral part of this final report.

Respectfully submitted, Special Inspector or Agent:		
Roger Domingo		
(Type or print name)		
Reger E Donnego	7/25/2012	
Signature	Date	Licensed Professional Seal or Certification Number

Fabricator's Certificate of Compliance

Each approved fabricator that is exempt from Special Inspection of shop fabrication and implementation procedures per section 1704.2 of the International Building Code must submit a *Fabricator's Certificate of Compliance* at the completion of fabrication.

Project: Phoenix Property Management – Maintenance Building

Fabricator's Name: Corle

Address: 114 Rosemont Lane, Imler PA 16655

Certification or Approval Agency:

Certification Number:

1

X

Date of Last Audit or Approval:

Description of structural members and assemblies that have been fabricated:

Pre-Manufactured Metal Building System

I hereby certify that items described above were fabricated in strict accordance with the approved construction documents.

Signature

07-25-12 Date

ENGINEERING MANAGER

Attach copies of fabricator's certification or building code evaluation service report and fabricator's quality control manual

CASE Form 104 • Fabricator's Certificate of Compliance • ©CASE 2004

International Accreditation Service

CERTIFICATE OF ACCREDITATION

This is to signify that

CORLE BUILDING SYSTEMS, INC.

404 SARAH FURNACE ROAD IMLER, PENNSYLVANA 16655

Inspection Program for the Manufacture of Metal Building Systems MB-146

has demonstrated that its in-plant inspection program for Part A-Fabrication of Structural Weldments and Cold-formed Products Requiring Welding, Part B-Fabrication of Cold-formed Products Not Requiring Welding, and Part C-Design of Metal Building Systems is in compliance with the International Accreditation Service, Inc., Accreditation Criteria for Inspection Programs for Manufacturers of Metal Building Systems (AC472) and is recognized under Section 1704.2.5.2 of the 2012 International Building Code®, and Section 1704.2.2 of earlier code editions, commencing March 1, 2012; expiring February 28, 2013.

Fabrication inspection procedures covered by this certificate are conducted in accordance with the fabricator's approved quality control manual. Periodic plant inspections are conducted by Farabaugh Engineering and Testing Inc. (AA-715), at 404 Sarah Furnace Road, Imler, Pennsylvania, to monitor the fabricator's quality management system verifying continual compliance with the requirements as listed in the above scope of accreditation. Accreditation is limited to the specified inspections related to the fabrication processes and procedures only. Accreditation does not cover the product, or the design or performance characteristics of the fabricated product.

Patrick V. McCullen

Vice President



President

Print Date: 04/04/2012

This accreditation certificate supersedes any TAS accreditation certificate bearing on earlier date. The certificate becomes invalid upon susperision, cancellation or revocation of accreditation. See the TAS Accreditation Listings on the web at www.icsonline.org for current occreditation information, or centact TAS directly at (562) 364-8201.

11-04576



۰....

Report No. 03-001

Date:	December 22, 2011
Project:	Phoenix Property Management - Hutchins Drive, Portland, ME
Project Number:	11128
Time at Site:	2:00 pm – 2:30 pm
Weather:	Sunny, High 40's °F
Present at Site:	Matthew J. Miller, P.E. (M2SE)

Area(s) of Observations:

• Foundation walls and piers along Line F from 1 - 6

Observations:

- 1. The reinforcing steel and formwork for the walls and piers had been installed at the above referenced locations. Due to the height of the forms observations along the entire length of the wall was not possible. Observations were made at each pier location. At these locations the size, spacings, and clear cover distances were found to be in general conformance with the contract documents.
- 2. It was noted and discussed with Randy of Randy Concrete that the anchor rods had not been installed. M2SE notified Randy that in accordance with General Note 7 on the foundation drawings that the anchor rods are to be set and leveled prior to concrete placement. The anchor rods were in the process of being set and leveled in the pier at 6/F while M2SE was on site. A concrete truck had arrived while M2SE was on site. M2SE did not verify the installation of the anchor rods at other pier locations.
- 3. The concrete for this placement was supplied through Auburn Concrete. The batch ticket indicated that the concrete was a 3000 psi, air entrained concrete mix with ³/₄" aggregate. Testing of the concrete was not performed since neither M2SE nor S.W. Cole Engineering was notified of the placement. Required testing in accordance with the Statement of Special Inspections for this placement was not completed.
- 4. Based on observations made by M2SE and our discussions with Roger Domingo of S.W. Cole, the soil material at the foundation bearing elevations appeared to be primarily clay. In accordance with chapter 18 of the 2009 International Building code, the presumptive bearing capacity for this material would be approximately

1500 psf. In accordance with General Note #3 on the Foundation Plan prepared by Theodore Greenlaw, the foundation was designed based on a soil bearing capacity of 2500 psf. The suitability of the foundation design for the actual site conditions should be verified by the Engineer of Record (EOR).

Non-Conformance Items:

6

. *

- 1. Refer to item 3 above: Concrete testing for this placement was not completed. EOR shall provide direction on in-situ concrete testing or shall determine whether an exception to this concrete testing in accordance with Section 1704.4 of the 2009 International Building Code may be applied.
- 2. Refer to item 4 above: The presumptive bearing capacity in accordance with the 2009 International Building Code for bearing on clay is less than the bearing capacity used in the design of the foundation. The EOR shall verify the adequacy of the foundation design or provide alternate foundation details.



Report No. 03-002

Date:	December 29, 2011
Project:	Phoenix Property Management – Hutchins Drive, Portland, ME
Project Number:	11128
Time at Site:	12:00 pm – 12:30 pm
Weather:	Sunny, Low 30's °F
Present at Site:	Matthew J. Miller, P.E. (M2SE)

Area(s) of Observations:

• Foundation walls along Line 1 and Line A from 1-2

Observations:

1. The reinforcing steel and formwork for the walls and piers had been installed at the above referenced locations. The size, spacing and location of the reinforcing steel for the walls were observed and were in conformance with the contract documents. The pier reinforcing could not be verified since the forms had been erected and the anchor rods had been set in templates which covered the entire pier therefore blocking access to these locations.

Non-Conformance Items:

No non-conformance items were noted during this visit.



Report No. 03-003

Date:	February 7, 2012
Project:	Phoenix Property Management – Hutchins Drive, Portland, ME
Project Number:	11128
Time at Site:	1:45 pm – 2:15 pm
Weather:	Cloudy, Upper 30's °F
Present at Site:	Matthew J. Miller, P.E. (M2SE)

Area(s) of Observations:

• Salt Storage Shed foundations

Observations:

- 1. Installation of the reinforcing steel for the salt storage shed was in progress, with the majority of the footing reinforcing installed. The sizes and spacing of the reinforcing was observed and was in general conformance with the contract documents.
- 2. Several areas were noted where the clear cover at the bottom of the footing was not in conformance. M2SE notified Randy of Randy's Concrete. M2SE was informed that they were having some concrete bricks delivered to support the bars.
- 3. Installation of the wall dowels for the exterior mat had just started while we were on site. The spacing of the bars was observed to be in conformance with the contract documents.
- 4. Several isolated areas were noted where standing water was present at the bottom of the footing. M2SE was informed that the water would be pumped out prior to concrete placement.

Non-Conformance Items:

No non-conformance items were noted during this visit.



Report No. 05-001

Date:	December 29, 2011
Project:	Phoenix Property Management – Hutchins Drive, Portland, ME
Project Number:	11128
Time at Site:	10:15 am – 11:00 am
Weather:	Sunny, Low 30's °F
Present at Site:	Matthew J. Miller, P.E. (M2SE)

Area(s) of Observations:

• Metal Building Framing

Observations:

- 1. The erection of the primary framing for the metal building was substantially complete. Seacoast Crane and Building Co. was on site continuing to install the light gage eave and rake framing.
- 2. M2SE reviewed the sizes, spacings and details of the framing members. Physical measurements were limited to the members that were accessible from the ground level. The sizes of members at higher elevations were estimated. Based on our review, the building was in conformance with the contract documents.
- 3. Final installation of the lateral system, including tightening of the cable bracing and anchor rods had not been completed to date.

Non-Conformance Items:

No non-conformance items were noted during this visit.



Report No. 05-002

Date:	February 3, 2011
Project:	Phoenix Property Management – Hutchins Drive, Portland, ME
Project Number:	11128
Time at Site:	8:45 am – 9:15 am
Weather:	Sunny, mid 20's °F
Present at Site:	Matthew J. Miller, P.E. (M2SE)

Area(s) of Observations:

• Metal Building Framing

Observations:

- The erection of the primary framing for the metal building was significantly complete. Seacoast Crane and Building Co. was on site installing the roof insulation and decking. Approximately ½ of the roof had been decked over.
- 2. The siding on three side of the building had been installed.
- 3. Tightening of the cable bracing and anchor rods appeared to have been completed, although temporary cable bracing was still in place.
- 4. The nuts on the anchor rods at Line A/4 did not fully engage the anchor rods. The top of the anchor rod was approximately 1/4" below the top of the nut. The Engineer of Record should review this condition and provide direction as necessary.

Non-Conformance Items:

Refer to Item #4 above: The nuts on the anchor rods at Line A/4 did not fully engage the anchor rods.

Phoenix – Hutchins Drive Report No. 05-002 Page 2 of 2

Is re-inspection by Special Inspector required?		Yes	No
S.E.R. Signature:	Date:		
required)			

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OF RECORD (S.E.R.) RESPONSE: (Provide attachment(s) as

CONTRACTOR VERIFICATION: (To be completed by either the General Contractor or subcontractor responsible for portion of work in non-conformance and returned to the Special Inspector and Structural Engineer of Record)

By:

I verify, that as of the date listed below, that the non-conforming item(s) noted above has (have) been corrected as required.

Date Completed:

. .

Ł

.4

(Signed)

(Print name)

(Company)



ASTM C-31 & C-39

Project Name:	Portland ME - 14	44 Hutchin	s Drive - M	aterials Testi	ng	Project	Number:	1	1-1295
Client:	Phoenix Manage	ement				Client	Contract N	umber:	
General Contractor:						Concre Supplie	er: AUBU	RN CONC	RETE
PLACEMENT IN	FORMATION								
Date Cast:	12/20/20	11 Tin	ne Cast:	13:15	Date Re	ceived:	12/2	21/2011	
Placement Loca	ation: SIDE C	& D FOOTI	NGS						
Placement Meth	nod: REAR D	ISCHARG	Ξ		Placem	ent Vol.	(vd³):		
Cylinders Made	By: ERIK CO	HENOUR			Aggreg	ate Size	(in): 3/4		
	G CONDITIONS				DELIVE	RY INFO		1	
	Temperatures				Admixt	ures:	HOT WAT	ER	
Minimum (°F)	Maxim	um (°F)						C 20 1%	
TEST RESULTS	j								
Slump (in) (C-14	43):	SI	ump WR:	6.5	Load N	umber:	2		
Air Content (%)	(C-231):	Ai	r WR:	7.0	Mixer N	umber:	78		
Air Temp (°F):		35			Ticket N	lumber	197891		
Conc. Temp (°F) (C-1064):	65			Cubic Y	ards:	10		
					Design	(psi):	3000		
Cylinder Designation	Cylinder Weight n (lbs)	Cylinder Diameter (in)	Cross Sectional Area(In) ²	Date Of Test	Cure Type	Age (days)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	Strength (psi)
346-1A		4.00	12.57	12/27/2011	Lab	7	4	43.5	3460
346-1B		4.00	12.57	1/17/2012	Lab	28	4	53.0	4220
346-1C		4.00	12.57	1/17/2012	Lab	28	4	49.4	3930
346-1D				Hold	Lab				



Remarks:



ASTM C-31 & C-39

Project Name: Portiar	nd ME - 14	44 Hutchin	s Drive - M	aterials Testir	ıg	Project	Number:		11-1295
Client: Phoen	ix Manage	ement				Client	Contract N	umber:	
General Contractor:						Concre Supplie	er: AUBU	RN CON	CRETE
PLACEMENT INFORM	ATION								
Date Cast:	12/28/20	11 Tin	ne Cast:	3:11	Date Re	ceived:	12/2	29/2011	
Placement Location:	FRONT	WALL - FC	OTING						
Placement Method:	TAILGA	TE			Placem	ent Vol.	(vd³): 10		
Cylinders Made By:	CHRIST	OPHER HE	ENES		Aggreg	ate Size	(in): 3/4		
INITIAL CURING CON	DITIONS				DELIVE	RY INFO	ORMATION		
Temp	eratures				Admixtu	ILLES:	POZZUTE	С	
Minimum (°F)	Maxim	um (°F)							
TEST RESULTS									
Slump (in) (C-143):		SI	ump WR:	5	Load No	umber:	1		
Air Content (%) (C-23	1):	Ai	r WR:	7.8	Mixer N	umber:	86		
Air Temp (°F):		47			Ticket N	lumber	197997		
Conc. Temp (°F) (C-10	064):	71			Cubic Y	ards:	10		
					Design	(psi):	3000		
Cylinder Designation	Cylinder Weight (lbs)	Cylinder Diameter (in)	Cross Sectional Area(In) ²	Date Of Test	Cure Type	Age (days)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	Strength (psi)
346-2A		4.00	12.57	1/4/2012	Lab	7	4	51.3	4080
346-2B		4.00	12.57	1/25/2012	Lab	28	4	59.4	4730
346-2C		4.00	12.57	1/25/2012	Lab	28	4	57.0	4540
346-2D				Hold	Lab				



Remarks:



ASTM C-31 & C-39

Project Name: Portland ME - 144 Hutchins Drive - Materials Testing **Project Number:** 11-1295 **Client Contract Number:** Client: Phoenix Management Concrete General AUBURN CONCRETE Supplier: Contractor: PLACEMENT INFORMATION **Date Cast:** 12/29/2011 Time Cast: **Date Received:** 12/30/2011 Placement Location: NORTH & EAST WALLS **Placement Method:** REAR DISCHARGE Placement Vol. (yd3): 15 Cylinders Made By: **ERIK COHENOUR** Aggregate Size (in): 3/4 INITIAL CURING CONDITIONS **DELIVERY INFORMATION** Admixtures: HOT WATER Temperatures **GLENIUM 7500** Minimum (°F) Maximum (°F) MICRO AIR POZZ 20 1% TEST RESULTS 5 Slump (in) (C-143): Load Number: 1 Air Content (%) (C-231): 6.5 Air WR: 60 Mixer Number: 99 13 Air Temp (°F): Ticket Number 198020 Conc. Temp (°F) (C-1064): 32 Cubic Yards: 7.55 Design (psi): 3000 Cylinder Cylinder Cross Cylinder Weight **Diameter Sectional** Date Of Age Fracture Load Strength Designation (lbs) (in) Area(In)² (kips) Test Cure Type (days) Туре (psi) 4.00 1/5/2012 Lab 7 4 46.6 3710 346-3A 12.57 1/26/2012 Lab 66.8 5320 346-3B 4.00 12.57 28 4 1/26/2012 4 65.6 5220 346-3C 4.00 12.57 Lab 28 Hold 346-3D Lab



Remarks:



ASTM C-31 & C-39

						_			
Project Name: Portla	IND ME - 1	44 Hutchin	s Drive - M	aterials lesti	ng	Project	Number:	1	1-1295
Client: Phoe	nix Manag	ement				Client	Contract N	umber:	
General Contractor:						Concre Supplie	ete er: AUBU	RN CONC	RETE
PLACEMENT INFOR	MATION								
Date Cast:	2/8/2012	: Tin	ne Cast:	9:30	Date Re	ceived:	2/9/	2012	
Placement Location:	SALT SI	HED FOOT	ING						
Placement Method:	TELEBE	LT			Placem	ent Vol.	(yd³): 73.5	5	
Cylinders Made By:	ERIK CO	DHENOUR			Aggrega	ate Size	(in): 3/4		
INITIAL CURING CO	NDITIONS				DELIVE	RY INFO	ORMATION	L	
Tem	oeratures				Admixtu	ires:	MICRO AI	R	
Minimum (°F)	Maxim	um (°F)					GLENIUM POZZUTE	C 20 1%	
TEST RESULTS									
Slump (in) (C-143):		SI	ump WR:	2.5	Load Nu	umber:	3		
Air Content (%) (C-23	31):	Ai	r WR:	5.3	Mixer N	umber:	84		
Air Temp (°F):		20			Ticket N	lumber	191355		
Conc. Temp (°F) (C-1	064):	55			Cubic Y	ards:	10		
					Design	(psi):	3000		
Cylinder Designation	Cylinder Weight (lbs)	Cylinder Diameter (in)	Cross Sectional Area(In) ²	Date Of Test	Cure Type	Age (days)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	Strength (psi)
346-4A		4.00	12.57	2/15/2012	Lab	7	4	59.4	4730
346-4B		4.00	12.57	3/7/2012	Lab	28	4	69.6	5540
346-4C		4.00	12.57	3/7/2012	Lab	28	4	67.8	5400
346-4D				Hold	Lab				
			2	Fracture Typ	<u>4</u>	Γ			

Remarks:

286 Portland Road, Gray, ME 04039-9586 • Tel (207) 657-2866 • Fax (207) 657-2840 • www.swcole.com

Cone and

Shear

Cone

Cone and

Split

Shear

Columnar



2

Report of Concrete Compressive Strength

ASTM C-31 & C-39

Project Name: Portla	nd ME - 1	44 Hutchin	s Drive - M	aterials Test	ing	Projec	t Number:	1	1-1295
Client: Phoen	ix Manag	ement				Client	Contract N	umber:	
General Contractor:						Concre Suppli	er: AUBU	RN CONC	RETE
PLACEMENT INFORM	ATION								
Date Cast:	2/8/2012	2 Tir	ne Cast:	10:30	Date Re	ceived:	2/9/	2012	
Placement Location:	SALT SI	HED FOOT	ING						
Placement Method:	TELEBE	LT			Discours		(1,43), 72 (-	
Cylinders Made By	ERIK CO				Flacem	ent voi.	(ya-): 73.	5	
Cymuers made by.					Aggreg	ate Size	(in): 3/4		
INITIAL CURING CON	DITIONS				DELIVE	RY INF	ORMATION		
Temp	eratures				Admixt	ures:	MICRO AI	R	
Minimum (°F)	Maxim	um (°F)					GLENIUM POZZUTE	C 20 1%	
TEST RESULTS									
Slump (in) (C-143):		SI	ump WR:	4.5	Load N	umber:	6		
Air Content (%) (C-23	1):	Ai	r WR:	6.5	Mixer N	umber:	99		
Air Temp (°F):		25			Ticket N	lumber	191361		
Conc. Temp (°F) (C-1	064):	61			Cubic Y	ards:	10		
					Design	(psi):	3000		
Cylinder Designation	Cylinder Weight (lbs)	Cylinder Diameter (in)	Cross Sectional Area(In) ²	Date Of Test	Cure Type	Age (days)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	Strength (psi)
346-5A		4.00	12.57	2/15/2012	Lab	7	4	55.2	4390
346-5B		4.00	12.57	3/7/2012	Lab	28	4	63.5	5050
346-5C		4.00	12.57	3/7/2012	Lab	28	4	65.4	5210
346-5D				Hold	Lab				
		1	2	Fracture Ty	<u>bes</u>		5		

Remarks:

286 Portland Road, Gray, ME 04039-9586 • Tel (207) 657-2866 • Fax (207) 657-2840 • www.swcole.com

Cone and

Shear

Cone

Cone and Split Shear

Columnar



ASTM C-31 & C-39

Project Name: Portland ME - 144 Hutchins Drive - Materials Testin					ing	Projec	t Number:		11-1295
Client:	Phoenix Manao	ement				Client	Contract N	umber:	
General Contractor:	noonix money					Concre Supplie	er: AUBU		CRETE
PLACEMENT IN	FORMATION								
Date Cast:	2/9/2012	2 Tin	ne Cast:	3:30	Date Re	ceived:	2/10)/2012	
Placement Loca	tion: SALT S	HED WALL	S						
Placement Meth Cylinders Made	od: BELT By: CHRIST	OPHER HI	ENES		Placeme Aggrega	ent Vol. ate Size	(yd³): 42 (in): 3/4		
INITIAL CURING					DELIVE	RY INFO			
	Temperatures				Admixtu	res:	MICRO AI	R	
Minimum (°F)	Maxim	um (°F)					POZZUTE GLEN	С	
TEST RESULTS									
Slump (in) (C-14	3):	SI	ump WR:	3 3/4	Load Nu	mber:	4		Batch
Air Content (%)	(C-231)	Ai	r WR:	62	Mixer N	umber:	86		2:49
Air Temp (°F):		43			Ticket N	lumber	191405		Arrive
Conc. Temp (°F)	(C-1064):	69			Cubic Y	ards:	10.5		Deport
					Design	(psi):	3000		3:36
Cylinder Designatior	Cylinder Weight n (lbs)	Cylinder Diameter (in)	Cross Sectional Area(In) ²	Date Of Test	Cure Type	Age (days)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	Strength (psi)
346-6A		4.00	12.57	2/16/2012	Lab	7	4	53.2	4230
346-6B		4.00	12.57	3/8/2012	Lab	28	4	57.4	4570
346-6C		4.00	12.57	3/8/2012	Lab	28	4	60.4	4810
540-00				noid	Lab				
Pamarke:	Cone bi ende	oth Cone end w	one Col	Tracture Type	gonal Side a	t top	Pointed End		

Remarks:



ASTM C-31 & C-39

	- OIII	LLINIT	i di li li C.							
Project Name:	Portlan	d ME - 14	4 Hutchins	B Drive - Ma	aterials Testi	ng	Project	Number:		11-1295
Client:	Phoeni	x Manage	ement				Client	Contract No	umber:	
General Contractor:							Concre Supplie	er: F.R.C	ARROLL	
PLACEMENT I	NFORM	ATION								
Date Cast:		4/6/2012	Tin	ne Cast: 8	8:00	Date Re	ceived:	4/7/2	2012	
Placement Loc	ation:	1ST FLC	OR SLAB							
Placement Met	hod:	PUMP				Placeme	ent Vol.	(yd³): 130		
Cylinders Made	e By:	JUSTIN	BROWN			Aggrega	ate Size	(in): 3/4		
INITIAL CURIN	G CON	DITIONS				DELIVE	RY INFO			
	Tempe	eratures				Admixtu	ires:	FIBER		
Minimum (°F)		Maxim	um (°F)					POZZUTE	C	
TEST RESULT	s				_					
Slump (in) (C-1	43):		SI	ump WR:	6.5	Load Nu	mber:	15		Batch
Air Content (%) (C-231	1)	Ai	r WR:	2.7	Mixer N	umber:	15		7:00
Air Temp (°F):			42			Ticket N	lumber	0026044		Arrive 7:35
Conc. Temp (°	F) (C-10	64):	60			Cubic Y	ards:	10		Depart
						Design	(psi):	3000		7:50
Cylinder Designatio	on	Cylinder Weight (lbs)	Cylinder Diameter (in)	Cross Sectional Area(In) ²	Date Of Test	Cure Type	Age (days)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	Strength (psi)
040 74			4.00	40.57	4/42/2042	Lab	7	4	E2 4	4250
340-7A			4.00	12.57	5/4/2012	Lab	28	4	78.6	6260
346-70			4.00	12.57	5/4/2012	Lab	28	4	78.8	6270
346-7D			4.00	12.01	Hold	Lab	20	·		
		Cone bo	oth Cone	one Col	Fracture Type	25 4 5 gonal Side a	at top	6 Pointed		

Remarks:



ASTM C-31 & C-39

Project Name: Por	rtland ME - 14	44 Hutchin	s Drive - M	laterials Test	ing	Project	t Number:		11-1295
Client: Pho	oenix Manage	ement				Client	Contract N	umber:	
General Contractor:						Concre Supplie	ete er: F.R.C	ARROLI	-
PLACEMENT INFO	ORMATION								
Date Cast:	4/6/2012	Tin	ne Cast:	8:45	Date Re	ceived:	4/7/	2012	
Placement Locatio	on: 1ST FLC	OR SLAB							
Placement Method	: PUMP				Placem	ent Vol.	(vd ³): 130		
Cylinders Made By	y: JUSTIN	BROWN			Aggrega	ate Size	(in): 3/4		
							. ,		
INITIAL CURING C	ONDITIONS				DELIVE	RY INFO	ORMATION		
Те	mperatures				Admixtu	ires:	FIBER	c	
Minimum (°F)	Maxim	um (°F)					SUPER	•	
TEST RESULTS									
Slump (in) (C-143)	:	SI	ump WR:	8	Load Nu	mber:	9		Batch
Air Content (%) (C	-231)	Ai	r WR:	2.7	Mixer N	umber:	7		7:45
Air Temp (°F):		45			Ticket N	lumber	0026048		Arrive 8.20
Conc. Temp (°F) (0	C-1064):	65			Cubic Y	ards:	10		Depart
					Design	(psi):	3000		Copurt
Outindad	Cylinder	Cylinder	Cross	Data Of			Frankris	(and	O 1
Designation	(lbs)	(in)	Area(In) ²	Test	Cure Type	Age (days)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	(psi)
						_			
346-8A 346-8B		4.00	12.57	4/13/2012 5/4/2012	Lab	28	4	63.4 89.6	5050
346-8C		4.00	12.57	5/4/2012	Lab	28	4	87.4	6960
346-8D				Hold	Lab				
	_1	2		3	4 5		6		
	X			U F					
	Cone bo	oth Cone	one Col	lumnar Dia	gonal Side a	t top	Pointed		
	ends	end w	/ split		or bo	ttom	End		

Remarks:



ASTM C-31 & C-39

		,							
Project Name: Portlar	nd ME - 14	44 Hutchin	s Drive - M	aterials Test	ing	Project	Number:		11-1295
Client: Phoen	ix Manag	ement				Client	Contract N	umber:	
General Contractor:						Concre Supplie	ente er: F.R.C	ARROLL	
PLACEMENT INFORM	ATION								
Date Cast:	4/6/2012	Tin	ne Cast:	9:30	Date Re	ceived:	4/7/	2012	
Placement Location:	1ST FLC	OR SLAB							
Placement Method:	PUMP				Placem	ent Vol.	(yd³): 130		
Cylinders Made By:	JUSTIN	BROWN			Aggreg	ate Size	(in): 3/4		
INITIAL CURING CON	DITIONS	_			DELIVE	RY INE	ORMATION		
Temp	eratures				Admixte	ures:	FIBER POZZUTE	C	
Minimum (°F)	Maxim	um (°F)					SUPER		
TEST RESULTS				_					
Slump (in) (C-143):		SI	ump WR:	7	Load No	umber:	12		Batch
Air Content (%) (C-23	1)	Ai	r WR:	3.0	Mixer N	umber:	17		8:20
Air Temp (°F):		48			Ticket N	lumber	0026051		Arrive 8:55
Conc. Temp (°F) (C-1)	064):	64			Cubic Y	ards:	10		Depart
					Design	(psi):	3000		
Cylinder Designation	Cylinder Weight (lbs)	Cylinder Diameter (in)	Cross Sectional Area(In) ²	Date Of Test	Cure Type	Age (davs)	Fracture Type	Load (kips)	Strength (psi)
						(71-	((1)
346-9A		4.00	12.57	4/13/2012	Lab	7	4	61.8	4920
346-9B		4.00	12.57	5/4/2012	Lab	28	4	92.4	7350
346-90		4.00	12.57	5/4/2012	Lab	28	4	92.8	7390
540-50				i ioiu	Lab				
	1	2	, <u>I</u>	Fracture Typ	<u>es</u> 4 4	5	6		
	Ń	1 []		A IT	T P	7	Ň		
	\square						Painted		
	cone bends	end w	split Col	umnar Dia	or bo	ottom	End		

Remarks:



¥

.

Concrete Construction Observation Report

Project Name/Location:	144 Hutchins Drive – P	ortland		P	roject No:	11-1295	
Client/Client's Rep.:	Phoenix Management			D	ate:	12-29-2011	
Concrete Contractor:	Portland Builders			S	heet:	1 of 1	
Placement Location:	North and East walls			S	WCE Rep.:	EEC	
Placement Type:	Footing Vall Co				rrived at Si	te: 12:35	
				L	eft Site:	14:15	
PRE PLACEM	ENT OBSERVATIONS		In Com	pliance	<u>N/O</u>	Comments	
Bar Size (diameter, length, be	nd and anchorage)		Yes 🖂			Acceptable	
Location (# of bars, spacing, a	and cover)		Yes 🛛	No 🗌			
Splicing (weld joint, overlap)			Yes 🛛	No 🗌			
Stability (wiring, chairs, and sp	pacers)		Yes 🛛	No 🗌			
Reinforcement free from mud,	oil, rust, or other nonmetall	ic coatings	Yes 🛛	No 🗌			
Reinforcement appears in con	formance to specifications		Yes 🛛	No 🗌			
Soil subgrade prepared in acc	ordance with project specific	cations	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	\boxtimes	N/A	
Referenced Drawings		Date	Page	Rev.	ASTM	GRADE	
FOUNDATION REINF.		12-5-11	R01		A 615 🖂	40 🗌 50 🗌 60 🖂	
	······································				A 616	75 🗋	
						A 775 Enory	
CONCRETE PLAC	EMENT OBSERVATION	VS	In Com	pliance	<u>N/O</u>	Comments	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used	EMENT OBSERVATION	<u>vs</u>	In Com Yes 🛛	pliance No. 🗌	<u>N/O</u>	Comments 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of	EMENT OBSERVATION	<u>vs</u>	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠	pliance No. 🗌 No. 🗌	<u>N/O</u>	Comments 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to	CEMENT OBSERVATION	<u>vs</u>	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠	pliance No. No. No.	<u>N/O</u>	Comments 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed o all areas of placement not exceeded	<u>vs</u>	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠	pliance No. No. No. No. No. No.	<u>N/O</u>	<u>Comments</u> 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by	CEMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed o all areas of placement a not exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertica vibration)	VS al insertion,	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠	pliance No. No. No. No.	<u>N/O</u>	Comments 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed o all areas of placement on texceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertica vibration) s and embedments	VS al insertion,	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □	pliance No. No. No. No. No.		<u>Comments</u> 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement on ot exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertica vibration) s and embedments d spacers	VS al insertion,	In Com Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes C	pliance No. No. No. No. No. No.		<u>Comments</u> 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed o all areas of placement on texceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertica vibration) s and embedments d spacers ECONCRETE PERFORM	NS al insertion,	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes ⊠	pliance No.		<u>Comments</u> 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and <u>FIELD TESTING OF</u> *CYLINDER SET NO:	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement of exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertical vibration) s and embedments d spacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3	al insertion,	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes ⊠ ←*refer t	pliance No. No.	▶/O □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Comments 3000psi	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and <u>FIELD TESTING OF</u> *CYLINDER SET NO: <u>POST PLACEM</u>	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement on texceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertica vibration) s and embedments d spacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 ENT OBSERVATIONS	NS al insertion, MED	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes ⊠ ←*refer t	pliance No. Diance	N/O □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF *CYLINDER SET NO: POST PLACEM Specified finish	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed o all areas of placement o not exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertication) s and embedments d spacers ECONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 ENT OBSERVATIONS	NS al insertion, MED	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes ⊠ ←*refer to In Com Yes ⊠	pliance No. Diance No.	<u>N/O</u> □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and <u>FIELD TESTING OF</u> *CYLINDER SET NO: <u>POST PLACEM</u> Specified finish Protection of surfaces from craft	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement of exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertical vibration) s and embedments d spacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 ENT OBSERVATIONS	al insertion,	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes ⊠ Yes □	pliance No. pliance No. No.	N/O □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF *CYLINDER SET NO: POST PLACEM Specified finish Protection of surfaces from cras Proper curing procedures impl	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement of exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertical vibration) s and embedments d spacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 ENT OBSERVATIONS acking due to rapid drying lemented	VS al insertion,	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊡ Yes ⊠	pliance No. pliance No. No. No. No. No. No.	N/O □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel Insulated blankets	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF *CYLINDER SET NO: <u>POST PLACEM</u> Specified finish Protection of surfaces from cra Proper curing procedures impl <u>NON-CONFORMA</u>	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement of exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertical vibration) s and embedments d spacers ECONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 ENT OBSERVATIONS acking due to rapid drying temented INCE ITEMS OBSERVE	NS al insertion, MED	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □	pliance No. Pliance No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.	N/O □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel Insulated blankets	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF *CYLINDER SET NO: <u>POST PLACEM</u> Specified finish Protection of surfaces from cra Proper curing procedures impl <u>NON-CONFORMA</u>	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement of exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertical vibration) s and embedments d spacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 ENT OBSERVATIONS acking due to rapid drying emented MCE ITEMS OBSERVE iption:	NS al insertion, MED	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □	pliance No. Pliance No.	N/O □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel Insulated blankets	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF *CYLINDER SET NO: POST PLACEM Specified finish Protection of surfaces from cra Proper curing procedures impl <u>NON-CONFORMA</u> Non-Conformance Item Descr Action Taken by SWCE:	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement of exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertical vibration) s and embedments d spacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 IENT OBSERVATIONS acking due to rapid drying lemented ANCE ITEMS OBSERVE iption:	VS al insertion, MED	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes □	pliance No. pliance No.	N/O □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel Insulated blankets	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF *CYLINDER SET NO: POST PLACEM Specified finish Protection of surfaces from cra Proper curing procedures impl <u>NON-CONFORMA</u> Non-Conformance Item Descr Action Taken by SWCE: Person(s) Notified:	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed o all areas of placement a not exceeded ertion, spacing, time, vertica vibration) s and embedments d spacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 IENT OBSERVATIONS acking due to rapid drying temented INCE ITEMS OBSERVE iption:	VS al insertion, MED	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes □	pliance No. Pliance No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.	N/O □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel Insulated blankets	
CONCRETE PLAC Required mix used Placement and consolidation of Concrete properly conveyed to Depth of layer maximum limits Internal vibration (depth of ins no conveyance of concrete by Even layering around opening Removal of temporary ties and FIELD TESTING OF *CYLINDER SET NO: <u>POST PLACEM</u> Specified finish Protection of surfaces from cra Proper curing procedures impl <u>NON-CONFORMA</u> Non-Conformance Item Descr Action Taken by SWCE: Person(s) Notified: N/O = Not Observed	EMENT OBSERVATION of concrete observed of all areas of placement in texceeded ertion, spacing, time, verticat vibration) is and embedments dispacers CONCRETE PERFORM 346-3 IENT OBSERVATIONS acking due to rapid drying temented INCE ITEMS OBSERVE iption:	VS al insertion, MED	In Com Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □	pliance No. pliance No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.	N/O □	Comments 3000psi test report Comments Trowel Insulated blankets	

Randy Concrete was placing concrete and rebar. Rebar appeared to be in general accordance with the Construction Drawings. Compression test specimens taken on first truck.

Attachments: None P:\2011\11-1295 M - Phoenix Management - Portland, ME - 144 Hutchins Drive - RED\COR's\Concrete 12-29-11.doc

Reviewed By: RED



Report of Field Density ASTM D6938

Project: PORTLAND ME - 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE - MATERIALS TESTING

Project Number: 11-1295

Client: PHOENIX MANAGEMENT

3

Field Density Test Results

	Test	··.		Elev	Test	Lab ID	Dry	Moisture Content	Compaction	Required
lest #	12/29/2011	CMH	1est Location	Peet	12	140020	116 A	Forcent	Percent	Compaction
2	12/28/2011	CMH	2' W & 30' N OF SE CORNER	95	12	14992G	112.1	14.5	95.3	95

	Date		Laboratory Compactio	<u>n Test Reference</u>	Max Dry	Optimum Moisture Content		
Lab ID	Received	Material Source	Material Type	Method	Density	(70)	Comments	
14992G	12/28/2011	On-site stockpile	Sand	ASTM D-1557 Modified A	117.6	12.4		
Elevation N	lotes:		Comm	nents:				

Reviewed By



Report of Field Density ASTM D6938

....

.

Project: PORTLAND ME - 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE - MATERIALS TESTING

Project Number: 11-1295

Client: PHOENIX MANAGEMENT

Field Density Test Results

			•					Moisture		
	Test			Elev	Test	Lab ID	Dry	Content	Compaction	Required
Test #	Date	Tech	Test Location	Feet	Depth		Density	Percent	Percent	Compaction
3	1/4/2012	JSB	S WALL INTERIOR	58	12	14992G	112.8	2.8	95.9	95
4	1/4/2012	JSB	W WALL INTERIOR	58	12	14992G	112.2	2.3	95.4	95
5	1/4/2012	JSB	N WALL INTERIOR	58	12	14992G	112.9	3.3	96.0	95
6	1/4/2012	JSB	E WALL INTERIOR	58	12	14992G	111.8	2.5	95.1	95

Laboratory Compaction Test Reference

Lab ID	Date Received	Material Source	Material Type	Method	Max Dry Density	Moisture Content (%)	Comments	
14992G	12/28/2011	On-site stockpile	Sand	ASTM D-1557 Modified A	117.6	12.4		
Elevation I	Notes:		Com	ments:				

0 Reviewed By



Report of Gradation

ASTM C-117 & C-136

Project Name PORTLAND ME - 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE - MATERIALS TESTING

Client	PHOENIX MANAGEMENT
Material Type	SAND

Material Source ON-SITE STOCKPILE

Project Number	11-1295
Lab ID	14992G
Date Received	12/28/2011
Date Completed	1/3/2012
Tested By	ERIK COHENOUR

STANDARD		
DESIGNATION (mm/µm)	SIEVE SIZE	AMOUNT PASSING (%)
150 mm	6"	100
125 mm	5"	100
100 mm	4"	100
75 mm	3"	100
50 mm	2"	100
38.1 mm	1-1/2"	100
25.0 mm	1"	100
19.0 mm	3/4"	100
12.5 mm	1/2"	100
6.3 mm	1/4"	100
4.75 mm	No. 4	100
2.00 mm	No, 10	87
850 um	No. 20	63
425 um	No. 40	34
250 um	No. 60	16
150 um	No. 100	5
75 um	No. 200	1.4

SPECIFICATIONS (%)





Report of Gradation

ASTM C-117 & C-136

Project Name	PORTLAND ME - 144	HUTCHINS DRIVE - MATERIALS TESTING	Projec
--------------	-------------------	------------------------------------	--------

Client	PHOENIX MANAGEMENT	
Material Type	4" GRAVEL	

Material Source ON-SITE STOCKPILE

Project Number	11-1295
Lab ID	14993G
Date Received	12/28/2011
Date Completed	1/4/2012
Tested By	JUSTIN BISSON

STANDARD DESIGNATION (mm/um)	SIEVE SIZE	AMOUNT PASSING (%)	MDOT 703.06 TYPE D SPECIFICATIONS (%)
150 mm	6"	100	100
125 mm	5"	100	
100 mm	4"	100	
75 mm	3"	100	
50 mm	2"	91	
38.1 mm	1-1/2"	85	
25.0 mm	1"	79	
19.0 mm	3/4"	75	
12.5 mm	1/2"	70	
6.3 mm	1/4"	64	25 - 70
4.75 mm	No. 4	62	
2.00 mm	No. 10	54	
850 um	No. 20	37	
425 um	No. 40	17	0 - 30
250 um	No. 60	7	
150 um	No. 100	3	
75 um	No. 200	1.8	0.0 - 7.0

SAMPLE MEETS SPECIFICATION





Report of Moisture-Density

Method ASTM D-1557 MODIFIED Procedure A

Project Name	PORTLAND ME - 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE - MATERIALS TESTING	Project Number	11-1295
		Lab ID	14992G
Client Material Type Material Source	PHOENIX MANAGEMENT SAND ON-SITE STOCKPILE	Date Received	12/28/2011
		Date Completed	1/3/2012
		Tested By	ERIK COHENOUR
Material Source	ON-SITE STOCKPILE	Tested By	ERIK COHENOU

Moisture-Density Relationship Curve





Report of Moisture-Density

Method ASTM D-1557 MODIFIED Procedure C

Project Name	PORTLAND ME - 144 HUTCHINS DRIVE - MATERIALS TESTING	Project Number	11-1295
		Lab ID	14993G
Client	PHOENIX MANAGEMENT	Date Received	12/28/2011
Material Type	4" GRAVEL	Date Completed	1/4/2012
Material Source	ON-SITE STOCKPILE	Tested By	ERIK COHENOUR

Moisture-Density Relationship Curve




2009 IECC

Section 1: Project Information

Project Type: New Construction Project Title : Pheonix Management

Construction Site: Hutchins Drive Portland, ME 04101 Owner/Agent: Pheonix Management, LLC P.O. Box 759 Saco, ME 04072 Designer/Contractor: William Belanger Seacoast Crane & Building Co., Inc 98 Route 236 P.O. Box 540 Kittery, ME 03904 207-439-5899

Section 2: General Information

Building Location (for weather data): Climate Zone: Building Type for Envelope Requirements: Vertical Glazing / Wall Area Pct.: Portland, Maine 6a Non-Residential 0%

> Floor Area 7000



Activity Type(s) Warehouse

Section 3: Requirements Checklist

Envelope PASSES: Design 15% better than code.

Climate-Specific Requirements:

Component Name/Description	Gross Area or Perimeter	Cavity R-Value	Cont. R-Value	Proposed U-Factor	Budget U-Factor(a)
Roof 1: Metal Building, Screw Down	7726	25.0	10.0	0.057	0.049
Exterior Wall 1: Metal Building Wall	4325	19.0	0.0	0.070	0.069
Window 1: Metal Frame with Thermal Break:Double Pane, Clear, SHGC 0.67	20		*****	0.480	0.550
Entry Doors: Insulated Metal, Swinging	63		-	0.140	0.700
Overhead Doors: Insulated Metal, Swinging	868	-		0.070	0.700

(a) Budget U-factors are used for software baseline calculations ONLY, and are not code requirements.

Air Leakage, Component Certification, and Vapor Retarder Requirements:

- 1. All joints and penetrations are caulked, gasketed or covered with a moisture vapor-permeable wrapping material installed in accordance with the manufacturer's installation instructions.
- 2. Windows, doors, and skylights certified as meeting leakage requirements.
- 3. Component R-values & U-factors labeled as certified.
- 4. No roof insulation is installed on a suspended ceiling with removable ceiling panels.
- 5. 'Other' components have supporting documentation for proposed U-Factors.
- 6. Insulation installed according to manufacturer's instructions, in substantial contact with the surface being insulated, and in a manner that achieves the rated R-value without compressing the insulation.
- 7. Stair, elevator shaft vents, and other outdoor air intake and exhaust openings in the building envelope are equipped with motorized dampers.
- 8. Cargo doors and loading dock doors are weather sealed.

Data filename: C:\Users\WJB3\Desktop\SCCBC Workpapers\Jobs\2 - Completed Jobs\Phoenix Management\Phoenix Management.cck

9. Recessed lighting fixtures installed in the building envelope are Type IC rated as meeting ASTM E283, are sealed with gasket or caulk.

- 10.Building entrance doors have a vestibule equipped with self-closing devices. Exceptions:
 - Building entrances with revolving doors.
 - Doors not intended to be used as a building entrance.
 - Doors that open directly from a space less than 3000 sq. ft. in area.
 - Doors used primarily to facilitate vehicular movement or materials handling and adjacent personnel doors.
 - Doors opening directly from a sleeping/dwelling unit.

Section 4: Compliance Statement

Compliance Statement: The proposed envelope design represented in this document is consistent with the building plans, specifications and other calculations submitted with this permit application. The proposed envelope system has been designed to meet the 2009 IECC requirements in COMcheck Version 3.9.0 and to comply with the mandatory requirements in the Requirements Checklist.

William T. Belenger TT, PM W.A. Plan B-17-2012 Name - Title Signature

Memorandum Department of Planning and Development Planning Division



TO:	Inspections Department
FROM:	Philip DiPierro, Development Review Coordinator
DATE:	October 16, 2012
RE:	C. of O. for # 144 Hutchins Drive, Maintenance Facility (Id#2011-287) (CBL 112 H 001001)

After visiting the site, I have the following comments:

Site work complete:

At this time, I recommend issuing a permanent Certificate of Occupancy.

Cc: Tammy Munson, Inspection Services Manager Barbara Barhydt, Development Review Services Manager File: 1 Solution

C:\Users\DMCPHE~1\AppData\Local\Temp\XPgrpwise\Permanent CO 10-16-12.doc

Marge Schmuckal - ePlan Review New File Notification for PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743

From:<shukriaw@portlandmaine.gov>To:<mes@portlandmaine.gov>Date:10/3/2011 9:57 AMSubject:ePlan Review New File Notification for PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743

× ePlan

New File Notification - Please DO NOT reply to this email.

144 Hutchins DR.

Hello Marge Schmuckal:

One or more files have been added to the project listed below. Please contact the appropriate department at the numbers listed below if you have any questions regarding this email.

Project Name: PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743 Path: PEZ.2011-286.LEVII.PRSP.743\Drawings Uploaded By: Shukria Wiar Login to ePlan Review

Files:

<u>C010-11Submittal.pdf</u>
<u>SITE PLAN SET.pdfV2</u>

If you do not have access to the specified folder, please contact the Project Administrator.

Department of Planning and Urban Development City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, ME 04101

Planning Division, Development Review Services (207) 874-8719

Building Permits (207) 874-8703

-----Original Message-----From: David Margolis-Pineo [mailto:DMP@portlandmaine.gov] Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2011 12:38 PM To: Shukria Wiar Cc: Barbara Barhydt; Bruce Hyman; Chris Pirone; Charles Wordell; Doug Roncarati; Eric Labelle; Jeff Tarling; Katherine Earley; Michael Farmer; Michelle Sweeney; Matt Doughty; William Clark; John Emerson; Tom Errico; David Senus Subject: Re: 144 Hutchins Drive

Please see attached

Page 3 of 3

Jeanie Bourke CEO/LPI/Plan Reviewer

City of Portland Planning & Urban Development Dept./ Inspections Division 389 Congress St. Rm 315 Portland, ME 04101 jmb@portlandmaine.gov Direct: (207) 874-8715 Office: (207) 874-8703 >>> Dorian Tarling <<u>dtarling@portlandbuilders.com</u>> 11/29/2011 2:56 PM >>> Jeannie,

I spoke with Lannie in your office who noted that you are looking for documents in order to approve the general permit and/or the foundation permit. Could you please provide me a list so that we can take care of it? Josh was looking to get the foundation permit ASAP, but from what Lannie said, you still need information for that as well.

Let's get this permit done and closed! ^(C) Let me know what I can do. Thank you!!

Dorian Tarling Assistant Project Manager Office Administrator Portland Builders, Inc. 207.879.0118 phone 207.772.8182 fax

Memorandum Department of Planning and Development Planning Division



TO:	Inspections Department
FROM:	Philip DiPierro, Development Review Coordinator
DATE:	October 16, 2012
RE:	C. of O. for # 144 Hutchins Drive, Maintenance Facility (Id#2011-287) (CBL 112 H 001001)

After visiting the site, I have the following comments:

Site work complete:

At this time, I recommend issuing a permanent Certificate of Occupancy.

Cc: Tammy Munson, Inspection Services Manager Barbara Barhydt, Development Review Services Manager File: 1 Solution

