#### FORESIDE ARCHITECTS, LLC

ARCHITECTURE/INTERIOR DESIGN/PLANNING

April 25, 2007

Ms. Barbara A. Barhydte Development Review Services Manager Planning & Development Department City of Portland Portland, ME 04101-3509

#### Re: Seaside Nursing Facility - New Porte Cochere Addition

Dear Ms. Barhydte,

Attached please find completed application, drawings and fee payment for a minor site plan review for the above referenced project located at 850 Baxter Boulevard, Portland.

- 1. The proposed project includes documentation for the design and construction of a new Porte Cochere Structure and associated sitework. The project will include approximately 1,200 square feet of footprint area.
- 2. We have however assumed for the purposes of City of Portland Zoning and Planning Approvals, that our approach will include application for "administrative approval action", based upon prior contact with Marge Schumuckel, of the City of Portland's Zoning Department.
- 3. The design and construction will be based on State and Local code requirements as provided in the International Building Code, 2003 and NFPA Life Safety Code, and as required by the City of Portland and the State of Maine.

Yours tru

Mark J. Burnes, AIA President Foreside Architects,LLC

attachments

/jpl cc: file



## Site Plan Application Department of Planning and Development Portland Planning Board

Address of Proposed Development: §	350 BAXTER	BOULEVARL	Zone: R5
Project Name: SEASIDE NU	12SING AND	PEHABILITAT	TION FACILITY
Existing Building Size: 38,000	sq. ft.	Proposed Building	Size: 38,000 sq. ft.
Existing Acreage of Site: 162,000	sq. ft.	Proposed Acreage	of Site: 162,000 sq. ft.
Tax Assessor's Chart, Block & Lot:	Property Owners N	<b>Mailing address:</b>	Telephone #: 874 - 2700
Chart#         Block #         Lot#           166         A         010001	FIRST ATLANTIC 222 ST. JO PORTLAND, I	"HEALTHCARE MAN'S STREET ME. 04101	Cell Phone #:
Consultant/Agent Contact Name and mailing address, Telephone # and Cell Phone # :	A TIN.' (241G CO Applicant's Name/	FFIN, COO Mailing Address:	Telephone #:
FORFESTOR ARCHTTRETS LU 251 US ROUTE , SUITE 3 FALMOUTH, ME 04105 ATTN: MARK BURNES, ATA			Cell Phone #:
Fee For Service Deposit (all applications Proposed Development (check all that a New BuildingBuilding Addition ManufacturingWarehouse/Distr Subdivision (\$500.00) + amount of lots Site Location of Development (\$3,000. (except for residential projects which sh Traffic Movement (\$1,000.00)S Section 14-403 Review (\$400.00 + \$25. Other	s) Change of Use ibution Parking I s (\$25.00 per lot) \$ 00) all be \$200.00 per lot Storm water Quality (\$ 00 per lot)	(\$200.00) Residential O ot \$ + major si ) 250.00)	ffice Retail te plan fee if applicable
Major Development (more than 10,000 s Under 50,000 sq. ft. (\$500.00) 50,000 - 100,000 sq. ft. (\$1,000.00) Parking Lots over 100 spaces (\$1,000.0 100,000 - 200,000 sq. ft. (\$2,000.00) 200,000 - 300,000 sq. ft. (\$3,000.00) Over 300,000 sq. ft. (\$5,000.00) After-the-fact Review (\$1,000.00 + app	<b>q. ft.)</b> 0) licable application fee)		~ Please see next page ~

Department of Planning and Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress Street ~ Portland, Maine 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8699

$\sqrt{1}$ less than 10.000 sq ft (\$400.0	00)
After-the-fact Review (\$1,000.	.00 + applicable application fee)
Dien Amerikanste	
Plan Amendments	
Planning Staff Review (\$250.0	0)
Planning Board Review (\$500.	.00)
Who billing will be sent to:	FIRE ATIANTIC HEATTICARE
	PIRST ATLANTIC FIEALTACARE
	222 ST. JOHN'S STREEL
	222 ST. JOHN'S STREEL

Submittals shall include (7) separate folded packets of the following:

- a. copy of application
- b. cover letter stating the nature of the project
- c. site plan containing the information found in the attached sample plans checklist

•

d. 1 set of 11x17 plans

Section 14-522 of the Zoning Ordinance outlines the process which is available on our web site: **portlandmaine.gov** 

.

I hereby certify that I am the Owner of record of the named property, or that the owner of record authorizes the proposed work and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized agent. I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in this application is issued, I certify that the Code Official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by this permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provisions of the codes applicable to this permit

This application is for site review <u>only</u>; a Building Permit application and associated fees will be required prior to construction.

Signature of Applicant::	Date: 4/25/07
AGENT FOR FIRST ATLANTIC HEALTHCARE	+/ LS /0 /

Department of Planning and Development ~ Portland City Hall ~ 389 Congress Street ~ Portland, Maine 04101 ~ ph (207)874-8699

THE POCHEBIT CO., INC. 171 Warren Avenue PORTLAND, MAINE 04103	LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL
(207) 797-3369 FAX (207) 797-3299	DATE 7 26/07 JOB NO. ATTENTION JEANMEB-
10 CTY OF POIDLAND	
	SERSYDE
	850 BAXIER BUD
WE ARE SENDING YOU Attached Under separate cove Shop drawings Prints Copy of letter Change order	r viathe following items:
COPIES DATE NO.	DESCRIPTION
1 SET NE SE A	mpip DRANAS
1 SRECION A	SN SPEGTORS
THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:	
For approval     Approved as sub-	mitted
For your use	ed  Submit copies for distribution
As requested   Returned for corr	ections   Return corrected prints
$\Box$ For review and comment $\Box$	
□ FOR BIDS DUE	PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US
REMARKS	
1x - Maria	
E ( VI) E MOW	IF YOU NED
ANJ THING - GLS	E OR WHEN
PERMUS IS RE	AQY, MANKS
СОРУ ТО	- MIKE LAND.
	SIGNED:
If enclosures are not as noted	d, kindly notify us at once.

#### GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES PROPOSED DROP-OFF CANOPY SEASIDE NURSING HOME 850 BAXTER BOULEVARD PORTLAND, MAINE

06-1209

December 18, 2006

Prepared for: Foreside Architects, LLC Attention: Mark Burnes 5 Johnson Road Falmouth, ME 04105

Prepared by:



286 Portland Road Gray, ME 04039

CITY OF HOLE MODILENO, ME DC. JUL 2 7 2007 RECEIVED

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Scope of Work	
1.2 Proposed Construction	1
2.0 EXPLORATION AND TESTING	2
2.1 Explorations	2
2.2 Laboratory Testing	2
3 0 SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	2
3.1 Site Conditions	2
3.2 Subsurface Conditions	2
3 3 Groundwater	3
3.4 Site Seismic and Design Frost Depth	
	2
4.0 EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	ວ ດ
4.1 General Findings	د ۸
4.2 File Options	44 ۸
4.2.1 Shallow Dealing	4
4.2.2 Deep Dealing	
4.5 The Capacity Estimates	
4.5 Backfill and Compaction Requirements	6 6
4.6 Weather Considerations	
4.7 Construction Observation and Testing	
5.0 CLOSURE	8

Attachment A - Limitations Sheet 1 – Exploration Location Plan Sheets 2 through 4 – Exploration Logs Sheet 5 – Key to Notes & Symbols Sheets 6 and 7 – Gradation Reports



06-1209

December 18, 2006

Foreside Architects, LLC Attention: Mark Burnes 5 Johnson Road Falmouth, ME 04105

Subject: Geotechnical Engineering Services Drop-Off Canopy Seaside Nursing Home 850 Baxter Boulevard Portland, Maine

Dear Mark:

In accordance with our Agreement dated October 17, 2006, we have made a subsurface investigation for the proposed canopy at 850 Baxter Boulevard in Portland, Maine. The contents of this report are subject to the limitations set forth in Attachment A.

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Scope of Work

The purpose of the investigation was to obtain subsurface soils information at the location of the proposed canopy in order to provide recommendations relative to foundation design. The investigation included the making of two test borings, soils laboratory testing, and a geotechnical evaluation of the findings as they relate to the proposed construction.

#### **1.2 Proposed Construction**

Based on the information provided, we understand plans call for construction of a 20 by  $20\pm$  foot canopy constructed about 10 feet from the existing main entrance. We understand the canopy will likely be steel-framed and supported at the corners with steel columns. We understand the canopy may be attached to a short  $10\pm$  foot wide connector roof. We understand that differential settlements up to about .004 in/in is acceptable. We anticipate finish pavement grade will match existing pavement grade.

GRAY, ME OFFICE

286 Portland Road, Gray, ME 04039-9586 = Tel (207) 657-2866 = Fax (207) 657-2840 = E-Mail infogray@swcole.com = www.swcole.com

Other offices in Augusta, Bangor, and Caribou, Maine & Somersworth, New Hampshire



#### 2.0 EXPLORATION AND TESTING

#### 2.1 Explorations

On November 8, 2006, Great Works Test Boring, Inc. of Rollinsford, New Hampshire made two test borings at the site. S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. selected the exploration locations and established them in the field based on measurements from existing site features. The approximate locations of the explorations are shown on the "Exploration Location Plan," attached as Sheet 1. Sheet 1 is based on a plan provided by Foreside Architects. Logs of the test borings are attached as Sheets 2 through 4 and a key to the notes and symbols used on the logs is attached as Sheet 5.

#### 2.2 Laboratory Testing

Visual classification was performed on samples recovered from the test borings. The results of laboratory moisture content and strength testing are shown on the exploration logs. The results of two soil gradation analyses are attached as Sheets 6 and 7.

#### 3.0 SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

#### **3.1 Site Conditions**

The site of the proposed canopy is located on the westerly side of the existing nursing home structure adjacent to the main entrance. The ground surface is either paved or occupied by landscape areas and is relatively flat. The existing structure is reportedly pile supported. A 24" by 24" wood box culvert reportedly exists beneath the proposed canopy site, although its depth is not known. The approximate location is shown on a 1969 drawing of the facility provided to us by Foreside Architects.

#### **3.2 Subsurface Conditions**

In general, the borings encountered about 4 inches of bituminous asphalt pavement overlying several inches of brown sand with gravel base fill. The base fill overlies black miscellaneous fill. The black miscellaneous fill consists of silt and sand mixed with ash, pieces of wood, brick, glass, metal and organics. A stiff to medium olive-brown to gray-



brown silty clay was encountered at depths of 14 and 15 feet at borings B-1 and B-2, respectively. This stiff to medium silty clay appears to be about 9 feet thick. The stiff to medium silty clay transitions to soft gray silty clay at about 23 feet below the ground surface. Boring B-1 was advanced through the soft silty clay with a rod probe. A refusal surface (possible bedrock) was encountered at a depth of  $77\pm$  feet. Boring B-2 was terminated at a depth of 17 feet.

Refer to the attached boring logs for a more detailed description of the subsurface findings.

#### 3.3 Groundwater

Free groundwater was encountered at the borings at depths of about 7 feet at the time of exploration work. It is possible that groundwater elevations are affected by tidal action and it should be anticipated that groundwater will fluctuate seasonally and during periods of heavy precipitation and/or snowmelt.

#### 3.4 Site Seismic and Design Frost Depth

According to the 2003 International Building Code, utilizing standard penetration testing values obtained at the borings, we interpret the subsurface conditions to correspond to a soil site class E. The design-freezing index for the Portland area is about 1,250-Fahrenheit degree-days, which corresponds to a frost penetration on the order of 4.5 feet.

#### 4.0 EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **4.1 General Findings**

Based on the subsurface findings and our understanding of the proposed project, it is our opinion that the existing fill soils are not suitable for support of the proposed structure utilizing spread footings.

The 15± foot thick miscellaneous fill soil underlying the site is loose and contains a variety of materials such as ash, organics and pieces of glass, metal, brick, etc. This material has



poor bearing characteristics and will not consolidate uniformly; causing differential movement between the corner columns. Due to the variable matrix of the fill, estimation of post-construction settlement beneath spread footings is difficult, but is expected to be beyond tolerable limits. It is our opinion that a large, reinforced concrete mat foundation, although providing a lower contact pressure by spreading out the canopy load, would also settle (non-uniformly) due to the loose, variable nature of the fills. It is our opinion that densification of the existing fill would have little affect due to the type of fill material and presence of groundwater.

Other geotechnical concerns include the presence of potentially contaminated fill soils, groundwater and the presence of soft, compressible, silty clay with depth.

Thus, based on the findings at the explorations and project information provided, it is our opinion that the proposed canopy be supported utilizing pile foundations.

#### 4.2 Pile Options

#### 4.2.1 Shallow Bearing

Based on the findings at the two explorations, it appears that a  $9\pm$  foot thick layer of stiff to medium silty clay exists beneath the miscellaneous fill. In our opinion, a driven displacement pile (such as timber, concrete or steel pipe piles) could be driven into the stiff silty clay zone to a depth of about 20 feet below the existing ground surface. Another option to consider is helical piles, also spun into the stiffer silty clay.

#### 4.2.2 Deep Bearing

Steel H-pile driven to end bearing is also an option to consider. Based on the findings at Boring B-1, it appears that a steel H-pile would need to be driven to about 75 feet below the existing ground surface. The resulting pile capacities would likely be well above the capacity needed.



#### **4.3 Pile Capacity Estimates**

For this project, it appears that driven timber displacement piles or Helical piles are appropriate. For a timber displacement pile driven into the stiff to medium silty clay zone to a depth of about 25 feet below the existing ground surface, we estimate an axial download working capacity on the order of 5.5 kips per pile. This estimate assumes a factor of safety of 2.5. For the helical piles, we have contacted an installation contractor (Solid Earth Technologies, Inc. of Amherst, New Hampshire) who estimates capacities on the order of 12 kips per pile could be achieved using a helical tip of  $10^{\circ} - 12^{\circ} - 14^{\circ}$  and a grouted column. They indicate that this estimate assumes a factor of safety of 2.

For either timber displacement piles or the helical piles, we estimate that postconstruction settlement due to consolidation of the underlying soft clay could be on the order of 1 inch at each column.

The above capacities are estimates only. Actual pile capacity estimates will need to be assessed by the pile contractor. A reduction in pile capacity will need to be considered, due to soil downdrag, if surficial loads, such as new fills, are placed on the site.

For any pile option, it is likely that some piles may encounter cobbles and/or debris at depth and could be damaged or shifted during driving. Thus the project should account for the potential for piles out of plumb, out of position and/or capacity reduction, due to damage.

Uplift capacity can be resisted with concrete pile caps and piles. Uplift capacity of the piles will be affected by the pile spacing, pile type, splices and actual depths required to achieve capacity. S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. can review uplift capacity estimates prepared by the pile contractors.

Pile caps and foundations exposed to freezing temperatures should extend at least 4.5 feet from finished grade. Piles should be spaced a minimum center-to-center distance of at least 3 pile diameters, but no less than 30 inches. Piles in groups should be driven



from the interior outward to help preclude excessively hard driving conditions of the interior piles due to soil densification.

We recommend that lateral loads be resisted by passive earth pressures acting on the pile caps. Passive lateral resistance acting on grade beams and pile caps backfilled with compacted Structural Fill should consider a total unit weight of granular backfill ( $\gamma_t$ ) of 125 pcf, an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees with an ultimate passive lateral earth pressure coefficient ( $K_p$ ) of 3.0.

#### 4.4 Excavation Work

Excavation work will encounter uncontrolled miscellaneous fills. The on-site fill soils are not suitable for reuse below pile caps or backfill against pile caps.

Groundwater and wet soil conditions will likely be encountered in the foundation excavations deeper than about 7 feet below existing grades. In our opinion, ditching with sump and pump dewatering techniques should be adequate to control groundwater in shallow foundation excavations. It should be anticipated, however, that heavy rains and/or higher than normal tides will affect groundwater levels and may require significant sumping and pumping or other means of dewatering. We recommend a 12-inch layer of crushed stone be placed beneath all pile caps to act as a drainage media from which to sump and pump. Excavations must be properly shored and/or sloped in accordance with OSHA trenching regulations to prevent sloughing and caving of the sidewalls during construction.

#### **4.5 Backfill and Compaction Requirements**

As previously mentioned, the on-site fills are not suitable for reuse below or adjacent to pile caps. We recommend that a 12-inch thick layer of compacted crushed stone be provided below all pile caps. Compacted granular fill against all pile caps should be clean granular material meeting the gradation for Structural Fill. We recommend at least 4 feet of compacted Structural Fill be placed around each pile cap to help provide lateral support.



Structural Fill							
Sieve Size Percent Finer by Weight							
4 inch	100						
3 inch	90 to 100						
1/4 inch	25 to 90						
No. 40	0 to 30						
No. 200	0 to 5						

We recommend that a layer of woven geotextile, such as Mirafi 160N, be placed on top of the crushed stone prior to placing the Structural Fill. Fill should be placed in horizontal lifts and be compacted. Lift thickness should be such that desired density is achieved throughout the lift thickness with 3 to 5 passes of the compaction equipment. Structural fill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of its maximum dry density as determined by the Modified Proctor (ASTM-D1557). Backfill below pile-supported foundations should be compacted crushed stone.

#### **4.6 Weather Considerations**

Subgrades and foundations must be protected from freezing conditions. Fill soils and concrete must not be placed on frozen soil and once placed, the soil beneath the structure must be protected from freezing. Further, the existing uncontrolled fill is moisture sensitive and as such subgrades will be susceptible to disturbance, particularly during wet conditions. Consequently, site work and construction activities should take appropriate measures to protect exposed subgrades, particularly when wet.

#### **4.7 Construction Observation and Testing**

We recommend that S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. be retained to provide consultation and testing services for the excavation and foundation phases of construction. This is to observe compliance with the design recommendations, drawings and specifications and to allow design changes in the event that subsurface conditions are found to differ from those anticipated prior to the start of construction.



S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. is available to provide vibration monitoring, pile installation monitoring, and testing of soils, concrete, steel, masonry, fireproofing and asphalt.

#### 5.0 CLOSURE

We request the opportunity to review the sitework and foundation design drawing to confirm that our recommendations have been appropriately interpreted and implemented. It has been a pleasure to be of assistance to you with this phase of your project. We look forward to working with you as the design progresses and during the construction phase.

Sincerely,

S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC.

Paul F. Kohler, P. E. Vice President

c: Ethan Rhile – Becker Structural Craig Coffin – 1<sup>st</sup> Atlantic Corp.

PFK:pfb

P:\2006\06-1209 S - Foreside Architects - Portland - New Drop Off Canopy -650 Baxter Blvd - SSI - PFK/Reports and Letters\06-1209Report.doc



#### ATTACHMENT A Limitations

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Foreside Architects, LLC for specific application to the proposed Drop-Off Canopy at the Seaside Nursing Home in Portland, Maine. S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. has endeavored to conduct the work in accordance with generally accepted soil and foundation engineering practices. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

The soil profiles described in the report are intended to convey general trends in subsurface conditions. The boundaries between strata are approximate and are based upon interpretation of exploration data and samples.

The analyses performed during this investigation and recommendations presented in this report are based in part upon the data obtained from subsurface explorations made at the site. Variations in subsurface conditions may occur between explorations and may not become evident until construction. If variations in subsurface conditions become evident after submission of this report, it will be necessary to evaluate their nature and to review the recommendations of this report.

Observations have been made during exploration work to assess site groundwater levels. Fluctuations in water levels will occur due to variations in rainfall, temperature, and other factors.

S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC.'s scope of work has not included the investigation, detection, or prevention of any Biological Pollutants at the project site or in any existing or proposed structure at the site. The term "Biological Pollutants" includes, but is not limited to, molds, fungi, spores, bacteria, and viruses, and the byproducts of any such biological organisms.

Recommendations contained in this report are based substantially upon information provided by others regarding the proposed project. In the event that any changes are made in the design, nature, or location of the proposed project, S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. should review such changes as they relate to analyses associated with this report. Recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless S. W. COLE ENGINEERING, INC. reviews the changes.







850 BAXTER BOULEVARD

TYPE

CASING

GREAT WORKS TEST BORING

4"

1 3/8"

PROJECT / CLIENT: NEW DROP OFF CANOPY - SEASIDE NURSING HOME / FORESIDE ARCHITECTS

PORTLAND, MAINE

HYDRAULIC PUSH

30"

SIZE I.D. HAMMER WT. HAMMER FALL

140 lbs

## **BORING LOG**

DRILLER: WAYNE MACPHERSON

BORING NO .:	B-1				
SHEET:	1 OF 2				
PROJECT NO .:	06-1209				
DATE START:	11/8/2006				
DATE FINISH:	11/8/2006				
ELEVATION:	NOT AVAILABLE				
SWC REP .:	MPL				
WATER LEVEL INFOR	MATION				

SOILS APPEAR SATURATED BELOW 7FT.±

SAMPLER: SS CORE BARREL:

LOCATION:

CASING:

DRILLING CO. :

CASING BLOWS		s sau	ALE &		SAM	PLER.	d wish				
题	NO.	PEN.	REC.	DEPTH	0-6	6-12	12-18	18-24	and all		
HYD.									0.3	4"± ASPHALT PAVEMENT	
PUSH	1D	24"	14"	2.3	5	3	3	5	0.6	BROWN SAND WITH GRAVEL (FILL)	~ LOOSE -
									]	~ MEDIUM DENSE ~	
	2D	24"	12"	4.3'	5	13	9	7	4.0'	BROWN TO BLACK ASH, SILT, SAND WITH SOME CLA	Y FILL (FILL)
										BLACK SILTY SAND WITH ASH (FILL), ORGANIC MATERIAL	WOOD, DEBRIS
										SOME METAL, PLASTIC, GLASS	
	3D	24"	11"	7.0'	2	2	2	6			
										~ LOOSE ~	
	4D	24"	8"	9.0'	5	2	19	25	1		
									1		
							L				
	5D	24*	3"	12.0'	3	2	1	5			
				<u> </u>		į					
						Ļ			14.0		
						ļ			4	~ STIFF BECOMING	
									4	w = 30.9%	
	6D	24"	24"	17.0	4	5	9	9	1	SV = 1.4 to 2.0 kst OLIVE BROWN SILTY CLAY	qp = 4+/- Ks
				-							
									4	MEDUNA	
							-		-	MEDIUM ~	
	70	0.47	0.48	22.01	-				{		
		24	24	22.0	2	3	4	5	22.01	SV = 0.0 KSI BROWINISH GRAT SILTT CLAT	db = 1.94/- Ks
									23.0		
				-					1	~ SOFT ~	
<b></b>								1	1	w - 46 3%	
···	8D	24"	24"	27 0'			R**	3	27 0	Sv = 0.2 ksf GRAY SILTY CLAY	an < 0.5 ks
	00			1			<u> </u>		1		
			and pro-				<u> </u>		1		
		<u> </u>	1			+			1		v.
							1	<u> </u>	1	ROD PROBE 27.0' TO 76.5' ( HYDRAULIC PUS	SH)
			1						1		•
				ř.		+		<u> </u>	1		
			1			-			1	7	
2						1			1		
									1		
									]		
				T			i				
SAMP				SOIL			<b>y</b> .		REMAN		
DESPI	IT SP				20400		1.4				-
C = 2" C	HEI P						VISUA	II Y		STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE	(2)
5 = 3" 0	HEIP			Y						APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES	<u> </u>
11=35	SHELD	BY TH	- RF	<del>x</del>		BORAT	ORY TE	ST		AND THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL.	. D4
0-0.0							w			BORING NO.	D-1

4	$\geq$	CI	XI	$\sim$		Г						BORING NO .:	B-1
	7	<b>J</b> .	VV.							BURING LUG		SHEET:	2 OF 2
		ENG	INE	ERII	NG,I	NC.						PROJECT NO .:	06-1209
ROJE	CT / CL	IENT:	NEW	DROP C	FF CA	NOPY	- SEAS	IDE NU	RSING H	OME / FORESIDE ARCHITECTS		DATE START:	11/8/2006
	UN;		GREA	TWOP	KS TES	T BOR		UKILA	ND, MA			DATE FINISH:	11/8/2006
uccu.						001						ELEVATION:	NOT AVAILABLE
			т	'PE	SIZE	E I.D.	HAMM	ER WT	HAMME	R FALL		SWC REP .:	MPL
SING	i: - D.		CA	SING		4" 		HYDRAL	JLIC PU	<u></u>	WAT	ER LEVEL INFOR	
SAMPLER:						UIDS		· <u> </u>	SUILS APP	EAR SATURATE	D BELOW /FI.1		
										•			
SING OWS		SAN	APLE .		SAM	PLER B	LOWS	PER o	DEPTH	RTDA	TA 2 TEST	TIATA	
'er Dot	NO.	PEN.	REC.	DEPTH BOT	0-6	5-12	12-18	18-24	-				
										GRA	Y SILTY CLAY	cont.	
_										ROD PROBE W	ITH HYDRAULI	C PUSH TO 76.5	
								1					
		Ļ											
							ļ						
								-					
						<u> </u>							
				1									
		<u> </u>											
		-											
	•		<del></del>								•		
								<u></u>					
								1					
		+					<del>  .</del>	<u> </u>					
								1					
										a.			
								1					
							<u> </u>	1	76.5			<del></del>	
									77.1		DM OF EVELO		
			1							PROBE REFL	JSAL (POSSIB	LE BEDROCK)	
MPL	ES:			SOIL C	LASSI	FIED B	Y:		REMAR	KS:			
= SPL	IT SPC	NOC											
= 2" 5	HELB				DRI	LLER -	VISUA			STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESE		E C	(3)
- 3 5	SHEL	BY TU	: BE	X	LAP	BORAT	ORY T	EST		AND THE TRANSITION MAY BE GI	RADUAL.	BORING NO	B-1

	SWCOLE	-
$\bigtriangledown$	ENGINEERING,IN	C.

## **BORING LOG**

PROJECT / CLIENT:	NEW DROP OFF CANOPY - SEASIDE NURSING HOME / FORESIDE ARCHITECTS									
LOCATION:	850 BAXTER	BOULEVARD	PORTLAND.	MAINE						
DRILLING CO. :	GREAT WORKS TEST BORING		₩G	DRILLER:	WAYNE MACPHERSON					
	TYPE									

	ITPE	SIZE I.D.	MAMMER WI. MAMMER FAL			
CASING:	CASING	4"	HYDRAULIC PUSH			
SAMPLER:	SS	1 3/8"	140 BS	30"		
CORE BARREL:						

BORING NO .:	B-2			
SHEET:	1 OF 1			
PROJECT NO .:	06-1209			
DATE START:	11/8/2006			
DATE FINISH:	11/8/2006			
ELEVATION:	NOT AVAILABLE			
SWC REP .:	MPL			
WATER LEVEL INFORMATION				

SOILS APPEAR SATURATED BELOW 7FT.±

2			1355	5			9.Ep.	owst		(MRT)	
12/2		NO.	PEN.	REC.	DEPTH BOT	0-6	6-12	12-18	18-24		
н	YD.									0.3	4"± ASPHALT PAVEMENT
PI	JSH	1D	24"	15"	2.3'	3	4	5	4	0.8	TAN SAND AND GRAVEL (FILL)
-		00	0.48	0.01	4.01						
		20	24	20	4.3		0	1	•		BLACK SILTY SAND WITH ASH (FILL) TRACE GRAVEL, ORGANIC MATERIAL
								!			LOOSE ~
		3D	24"	8"	7.0'	2	1	1	1		PIECES OF WOOD, ORGANIC MATERIAL
_											
┝	┝╶┥	4D	24"	12*	9.0'	2	5	7	4		
⊢											
$\vdash$		5D	24"	1"	12.0'	1	1	1	1		PIECES OF METAL, DEBRIS
_											
				2							·
$\vdash$										15.0	~ STIFE ~ w = 28.0%
-		6D	24"	24"	17.0'	WOH	7	12	15	17.0	Sv = 2.5 ksf OLIVE BROWN SILTY CLAY ap = 5+/- ks
							<u> </u>				BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION
_											
⊢											
⊢											
					-						
-									-		
	-1										
-	_					-					а.
			i							1	
-	_										
-				1						ļ	
$\vdash$											
					1						
-											
-											
ISAMPLES: SOIL CLASSIFIED BY: REMARKS				Y:			RKS:				
C = 2" SHELBY TUBE DRILLER - VISUALLY			LLY		STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE						
S = 3" SHELBY TUBE X SOIL TECH VISUALLY			UALLY		APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES						
U	3.5	SHEL	BY TUE	BE		LAE	ORAT	ORY TE	ST		AND THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL. BORING NO.: B-2



Geotechnical Engineering 
 Field & Lab Testing 
 Scientific & Environmental Consulting

#### **KEY TO THE NOTES & SYMBOLS** Test Boring and Test Pit Explorations

All stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types and the transition may be gradual.

#### Key to Symbols Used:

- water content, percent (dry weight basis) w
- unconfined compressive strength, kips/sq. ft. based on laboratory unconfined Qu compressive test
- S, field vane shear strength, kips/sg. ft.
- lab vane shear strength, kips/sg. ft. L
- unconfined compressive strength, kips/sq. ft. based on pocket q₀ penetrometer test 0 \_
- organic content, percent (dry weight basis)
- W. liquid limit - Atterberg test
- WP plastic limit - Atterberg test
- WOH advance by weight of hammer
- WOM advance by weight of man
- WOR advance by weight of rods
- HYD advance by force of hydraulic piston on drill
- Rock Quality Designator an index of the quality of a rock mass. RQD is RQD computed from recovered core samples.
- total soil weight ŶΤ
- buoyant soil weight γв

#### **Description of Proportions:**

0 to 5% TRACE 5 to 12% SOME 12 to 35% "Y" 35+% AND

**REFUSAL:** Test Boring Explorations - Refusal depth indicates that depth at which, in the drill foreman's opinion, sufficient resistance to the advance of the casing, auger, probe rod or sampler was encountered to render further advance impossible or impracticable by the procedures and equipment being used.

**REFUSAL:** Test Pit Explorations - Refusal depth indicates that depth at which sufficient resistance to the advance of the backhoe bucket was encountered to render further advance impossible or impracticable by the procedures and equipment being used.

Although refusal may indicate the encountering of the bedrock surface, it may indicate the striking of large cobbles, boulders, very dense or cemented soil, or other buried natural or man-made objects or it may indicate the encountering of a harder zone after penetrating a considerable depth through a weathered or disintegrated zone of the bedrock.



## **Report of Gradation**

ASTM C-117 & C-136

	674 ND 400		
Material Source	4.3 - 4. <del>3</del>	Tested By	JUSTIN BISSON
Meterial Course		Date Complete	11/14/2006
Exploration	B-1 2D		11/13/2006
Client	FORESIDE ARCHITECTS	Data Descind	4440,0000
	CANOPY - GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES	Lab ID	5984G
Project Name PORTLAND - 850 BAXTER BOULEVARD - NEW DROP-OFF		Project Number	06-1209

DESIGNATION (mm/um)	<u>SIEVE SIZE</u>	AMOUNT PASSING (%)	
150 mm	6"	100	
125 mm	5"	100	
100 mm	4"	100	
75 mm	3"	100	
50 mm	2"	100	
38.1 mm	1-1/2"	100	
25.0 mm	1"	100	
19.0 mm	3/4"	100	
12.5 mm	1/2"	100	
6.3 mm	1/4"	97	
4.75 mm	No. 4	92	7.6% Gravel
2.00 mm	No. 10	81	
850 um	No. 20	66	
425 um	No. 40	50	72.9% Sand
<b>250 um</b>	No. 60	38	
150 um	No. 100	28	
75 um	No. 200	19.4	19.4% Fines

#### BLACK SILTY SAND, SOME ASH, SOME GRAVEL (FILL)





## **Report of Gradation**

#### ASTM C-117 & C-135

Project Name	PORTLAND - 850 BAXTER BOULEVARD - NEW DROP-OFF Project Numbr CANOPY - GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES			
Client	FORESIDE ARCHITECTS		5988G	
-		Date Received	11/13/2006	
Exploration	B-2 2D	Data Complete	14/44/0000	
Material Source	2.3 - 4.3	Date Complete	11/14/2000	
		Tested By	JUSTIN BISSON	

DESIGNATION (mm/um)	<u>SIEVE SIZE</u>	AMOUNT PASSING (%)	ł
150 mm	6"	100	
125 mm	5"	100	
100 mm	4"	100	
75 mm	3"	100	
50 mm	2"	100	
38.1 mm	1-1/2"	100	
25.0 mm	1"	100	
19.0 mm	3/4"	100	
12.5 mm	1/2"	96	
6.3 mm	1/4"	91	
4.75 mm	No. 4	88	12.2% Gravel
2.00 mm	No. 10	78	
850 um	No. 20	68	
425 um	No. 40	56	67.7% Sand
250 um	No. 60	44	
150 um	No. 100	32	
75 um	No. 200	20.1	20.1% Fines

#### BLACK SILTY SAND, SOME ASH, SOME GRAVEL (FILL)



Sheet 7

THE POCHEBIT CO., INC. ' 171 Warren Avenue PORTLAND, MAINE 04103	Letter of transmittal 1 .
(207) 797-3369 FAX (207) 797-3299 TO CITY OF COTLAND	ATTENTION JOB ANNS R.
Strengibering a Remarkable City, Building a Community for Life PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Inspection Services Division WE Al Seanie Bourke, Inspection Services Division Director jmb@portlandmaine.gov	via the following items:
389 Congress Street • Portland, Maine 04101-3509 www.portlandmaine.gov • Ph (207) 874-8715 • Fx874-8716	DESCRIPTION
1 SET DE SEA	MPED DRANGS
1 SPECIOL D	NSPEGIDNS
THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:	· · · ·
For approval     Approved as submi	tted   Resubmit copies for approval
For your use  Approved as noted	Submit copies for distribution
> □ For review and comment □	
□ FOR BIDS DUE	PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US
REMARKS	
LET ME KNOW	16 VON NED
ANY THING GLSE	OR WHEN
DEPT. OF BUILDING INSPECTION CITY OF PORTLAND, ME	70 y, MANKS
COPY TOAUG 1 2007 . RECEIVED sures are not as noted,	SIGNED: MIKE WHITE

•

#### Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy Date Prepared: 07/25/2007

#### Structural Statement of Special Inspections

Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy

Location: 850 Baxter Blvd. Portland, Maine

**Owner**: First Atlantic Corporation

This Statement of Special Inspections encompass the following discipline: Structural

This Statement of Special Inspections is submitted as a condition for permit issuance in accordance with the Special Inspection and Structural Testing requirements of the Building Code. It includes a schedule of Special Inspection services applicable to this project as well as the name of the Structural Special Inspection Coordinator (SSIC) and the identity of other approved agencies to be retained for conducting these inspections and tests

The Structural Special Inspection Coordinator shall keep records of all Structural inspections and shall furnish inspection reports to the Building Code Official (BCO) and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge (SRDP). Discovered discrepancies shall be brought to the immediate attention of the Contractor for correction. If such discrepancies are not corrected, the discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Building Official and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge. The Special Inspection program does not relieve the Contractor of his or her responsibilities.

Interim reports shall be submitted to the Building Official and the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge at an interval determined by the SSIC and the BCO.

A *Final Report of Special Inspections* documenting completion of all required Special Inspections, testing and correction of any discrepancies noted in the inspections shall be submitted to the BCO prior to issuance of a Certificate of Use and Occupancy.

Job site safety and means and methods of construction are solely the responsibility of the Contractor.

Interim Report Frequency:  $\square$   $\square$  Upon request of Building Official

or 🛄 per attached schedule.

Design Professional Seal

Prepared by:

Ethan A. Rhile, P.E.

(type or print name of the Structural Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge)

Signature

07 25 2007 Date

Owner's Authorization:

ionatur

Building Code Official's Acceptance:

Signature

Date

#### Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy Date Prepared: 07/25/2007

#### Structural Statement of Special Inspections (Continued)

#### List of Agents

Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy

#### Location: 850 Baxter Blvd

Owner: First Atlantic Corporation

This Statement of Special Inspections encompass the following discipline: Structural

#### (Note: Statement of Special Inspections for other disciplines may be included under a separate cover)

This Statement of Special Inspections / Quality Assurance Plan includes the following building systems:

Soils and Foundations 

- Cast-in-Place Concrete
- Precast Concrete System
- Masonry Systems
- Structural Steel **Cold Formed Metal Trusses**

Special Cases

Special Inspection Agencies	Firm	Address, Telephone, e-mail
1. STRUCTURAL Special Inspections Coordinator (SSIC)	Becker Structural Engineers	75 York Street Portland, ME 04101 (207) 879-1838 ethan@beckerstructural.com
2. Special Inspector (SI 1)	Same	Same
3. Special Inspector (SI 2)		
4. Testing Agency (TA 1)	S. W. Cole Engineering	286 Portland Road Gray, ME 04039 (207) 657-2866 <u>rdomingo@swcole.com</u>
5. Testing Agency (TA 2)		
6. Other (O1)		

Note: The inspectors and testing agencies shall be engaged by the Owner or the Owner's Agent, and not by the Contractor or Subcontractor whose work is to be inspected or tested. Any conflict of interest must be disclosed to the Building Official, prior to commencing work.

#### Structural Statement of Special Inspections (Continued)

#### Final Report of Special Inspections (SSIC/SI 1)

[To be completed by the Structural Special Inspections Coordinator (SSIC/SI 1). Note that all Agent's Final Reports must be received prior to issuance.]

Project:					
Location:					
Owner:					
Owner's Address:					
Architect of Record:					
	(name)		(firm)		
Structural Registered Desi	gn				
Professional in Responsibl	e Charge:				
	-	(name)		(firm)	

To the best of my information, knowledge and belief, the Special Inspections required for this project, and itemized in the *Statement of Special Inspections* submitted for permit, have been performed and all discovered discrepancies have been reported and resolved.

Interim reports submitted prior to this final report form a basis for and are to be considered an integral part of this final report.

Respectfully submitted, Structural Special Inspection Coordinator

(Type or print name)

(Firm Name)

Signature

Date



#### Structural Statement of Special Inspections (Continued)

performed and all discovered discrepancies have been reported and resolved.

Special Inspect	Special Inspector's/Agent's Final Report				
Project:					
Special Inspector or Agent:					
Designation:	(name)	(firm)			
To the best of my info	rmation, knowledge and I	belief, the Special Inspections or testing req	uired for this project, and		

designated for this Inspector/Agent in the Statement of Special Inspections submitted for permit, have been

Interim reports submitted prior to this final report form a basis for and are to be considered an integral part of this final report.

Respectfully submitted, Special Inspector or Agent:

(Type or print name)

Signature

Date



#### Structural Schedule of Special Inspections

#### **Qualifications of Inspectors and Testing Technicians**

The qualifications of all personnel performing Special Inspection and testing activities are subject to the approval of the Building Official. The credentials of all Inspectors and testing technicians shall be provided to the Special Inspector for their records. NOTE VERIFICATION THAT QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS ARE AVAILABLE TO PERFORM STIPULATED TESTING AND/OR INSPECTION SHOULD BE PROVIDED PRIOR TO SUBMITTING STATEMENT. AGENT QUALIFICATIONS IN SCHEDULE ARE SUGGESTIONS ONLY; FINAL QUALIFICATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO THE DISCRETION OF THE REGISTERED DESIGN PROFESSIONAL PREPARING THE SCHEDULE.

#### Key for Minimum Qualifications of Inspection Agents:

When the Registered Design Professional in Responsible Charge or Special Inspector of Record deems it appropriate that the individual performing a stipulated test or inspection have a specific certification, license or experience as indicated below, such requirement shall be listed below and shall be clearly identified within the schedule under the Agent Qualification Designation.

PE/SE	Structural Engineer – a licensed SE or PE specializing in the design of building structures
PE/GE	Geotechnical Engineer – a licensed PE specializing in soil mechanics and foundations
EIT	Engineer-In-Training - a graduate engineer who has passed the Fundamentals of Engineering
	examination

#### **Experienced Testing Technician**

ETT Experienced Testing Technician – An Experienced Testing Technician with a minimum 5 years experience with the stipulated test or inspection

#### American Concrete Institute (ACI) Certification

ACI-CFTT	Concrete Field Testing Technician – Grade 1
ACI-CCI	Concrete Construction Inspector
ACI-LTT	Laboratory Testing Technician – Grade 1&2
ACI-STT	Strength Testing Technician

#### American Welding Society (AWS) Certification

AWS-CWI Certified Welding Inspector AWS/AISC-SSI Certified Structural Steel Inspector

#### American Society of Non-Destructive Testing (ASNT) Certification

ASNT Non-Destructive Testing Technician – Level II or III.

#### International Code Council (ICC) Certification

ICC-SMSI	Structural	Masonry	Special Inspector	

- ICC-SWSI Structural Steel and Welding Special Inspector
- ICC-SFSI Spray-Applied Fireproofing Special Inspector
- ICC-PCSI Prestressed Concrete Special Inspector ICC-RCSI Reinforced Concrete Special Inspector

#### National Institute for Certification in Engineering Technologies (NICET)

NICET-CT	Concrete Technician – Levels I, II, III & IV
NICET-ST	Soils Technician - Levels I, II, III & IV
NICET-GET	Geotechnical Engineering Technician - Levels I, II, III & IV

#### Other

#### Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy Date Prepared: 07/25/2007

#### Structural Schedule of Special Inspections SOILS & FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.7, 1704.8, 1704.9	Y/N	<u>EXTENT:</u> CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK Completed
1. Verify existing soil conditions, fill placement and load bearing requirements			St. di kana kana kana			
<ul> <li>a. Prior to placement of prepared fill, determine that the site has been prepared in accordance with the approved soils report.</li> </ul>	Y	Р	IBC 1704.7.1	TA1	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
b. During placement and compaction of fill material, verify material being used and maximum lift thickness comply with the approved soils report.	Y	Р	IBC 1704.7.2	TA1	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
<ul> <li>c. Test in-place dry density of compacted fill complies with the approved soils report.</li> </ul>	Y	р	IBC 1704.7.2	TA1	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
2. Pile foundations:						
a. Observe and record procedures for static load testing of piles.	N	Load Testing not required due to pile capacity				
<ul> <li>b. Observe and record procedures for dynamic load testing of piles.</li> </ul>	N					
<ul> <li>c. Record installation of each pile and results of load test. Include cutoff and tip elevations of each pile relative to permanent reference.</li> </ul>		с		TA1	PE/GE, EIT or ETT	
d. Test welded splices of steel piles	Ν	2	the second second			
3. Pier foundations: Verify installation of pier foundations for buildings assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F.	N					
a. Verify pier diameter and length	N					
b. Verify pier embedment (socket) into bedrock	N			1. A. A.		
c. Verify suitability of end bearing strata	N					

#### Structural Schedule of Special Inspections CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.4	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK Completed
1. Inspection of reinforcing steel, including prestressing tendons, and placement	Y	Р	ACI 318: 3.5, 7.1-7.7	SII	PE/SE or EIT	
2. Inspection of reinforcing steel welding in accordance with Table 1704.3, Item 5B	N		Welding of Reinf Not Allowed			
<ol> <li>Inspect bolts to be installed in concrete prior to and during placement of concrete where allowable loads have been increased</li> </ol>	N	Loads not increased	n Norge Norge N			a a at t
4. Verifying use of required design mix	Y	Р	ACI 318: Ch 4, 5.2-5.4	SI 1	PE/SE or EIT	
5. At time fresh concrete is sampled to fabricate specimens for strength test, perform slump and air content test and temperature	Y	с	ASTM C 172 ASTM C 31 ACI 318: 5.6, 5.8	TAI	ACI-CFTT or ACI-STT	
6. Inspection of concrete and shotcrete placement for proper application techniques	N		4		е. 19 <sup>19</sup>	
7. Inspection for maintenance of specified curing temperature and techniques	Y	Р	ACI 318: 5.11- 5.13	SII	PE/SE or EIT	
8. Inspection of Prestressed Concrete	in the			24:33	Several and the	
a. Application of prestressing force.	N					
b. Grouting of bonded prestressing tendons in seismic force resisting system	N					
9. Erection of precast concrete members	N				24 a v	
10. Verification of in-situ concrete strength, prior to stressing of tendons in post-tensioned concrete and prior to removal of shores and forms beans and structural slabs	N					

#### Structural Schedule of Special Inspections MASONRY CONSTRUCTION – LEVEL 1 (NON-ESSENTIAL FACILITY)

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.5	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK Completed
1. As masonry construction begins, the following shall be verified to ensure compliance:		No Structural Manoury				
a. Proportions of site-prepared mortar.						
b. Construction of mortar joints.						
c. Location of reinforcement and connectors.						
d. Prestressing technique.	÷.					
e. Grade and size of prestressing tendons and anchorages.						2
2. The inspection program shall verify:						
a. Size and location of structural elements.						
b. Type, size and location of anchors, including other details of anchorage of masonry to structural members, frames or other construction.						
c. Specified size, grade and type of reinforcement.						
d. Welding of reinforcing bars.						
e. Protection of masonry during cold weather (temperature below 40°F) or hot weather (temperature above 90°F).						
f. Application and measurement of prestressing force.						
3. Prior to grouting, the following shall be verified to ensure compliance:					a s	ĸ
a. Grout space is clean.						• 
<ul> <li>b. Placement of reinforcement and connectors and prestressing tendons and anchorages.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>c. Proportions of site-prepared grout and prestressing grout for bonded tendons.</li> </ul>						
d. Construction of mortar joints.						
<ol> <li>Grout placement shall be verified to ensure compliance with code and construction document provisions.</li> </ol>						
a. Grouting of prestressing bonded tendons.						
<ol> <li>Preparation of any required grout specimens, mortar specimens and/or prisms shall be observed.</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Compliance with required inspection provisions of the construction documents and the approved submittals shall be verified.</li> </ol>						

#### **Structural Schedule of Special Inspections** MASONRY CONSTRUCTION – LEVEL 2 (ESSENTIAL FACILITY)

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.5	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOU S, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK COMPLETED
1. From the beginning of masonry construction, the following shall be verified to ensure compliance:		No Structural Manonry				
a. Proportions of site-mixed mortar, grout and prestressing grout for bonded tendons.						
b. Placement of masonry units and construction of mortar joints.	1.3					
c. Placement of reinforcement, connectors and prestressing tendons and anchorges.						
d. Grout space prior to grouting.						
e. Placement of grout.	1			1		
f. Placement of prestressing grout.				1 · · · ·		
2. The inspection program shall verify:						
a. Size and location of structural elements.						
b. Type, size and location of anchors, including other details of anchorage of masonry to structural members, frames or other construction.						
c. Specified size, grade and type of reinforcement.						
d. Welding of reinforcement.						
e. Protection of masonry during cold weather and (temperature below 40°F) or hot weather (temperature above 90°F).						
f. Application and measurement of prestressing force.						
3. Preparation of any required grout specimens, mortar specimens and/or prisms shall be observed.						÷
<ol> <li>Compliance with required inspection provisions of the construction documents and the approved submittals shall be verified.</li> </ol>						

#### Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy Date Prepared: 07/25/2007

#### Structural Schedule of Special Inspections - STEEL CONSTRUCTION

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.3	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK Completed
1. Material verification of high-strength bolts, nuts		NORE	S. Same	South 1	en an	
and washers:	í.	an and the second second	ala nadati ni salati na na na na	an and and and	a de la companya de l	
a. Identification markings to conform to ASTM standards specified in the approved construction documents.	Y	S	Applicable ASTM material specifications; AISC 335, Section A3.4; AISC LRFD, Section A3.3	SI 1	PE/SE or EIT	
b. Manufacturer's certificate of compliance required.	Y	S		SI1	PE/SE or EIT	
2. Inspection of high-strength bolting						
a. Bearing-type connections.	Y	Р	AISC LRFD Section M2.5	TAI	AWS/AISC-SSI	
b. Slip-critical connections.	Y	C or P (method dependent)	IBC Sect 1704.3.3	TAI	AWS/AISC-SSI	
3. Material verification of structural steel (IBC Sect 1708.4):		and the second sec	and set the	San La		State (a)
a. Identification markings to conform to ASTM standards specified in the approved construction documents.	Y	S	ASTM A 6 or ASTM A 568 IBC Sect 1708.4	SI1	PE/SE or EIT	
b. Manufacturers' certified mill test reports.	Y	S	ASTM A 6 or ASTM A 568 IBC Sect 1708.4	SI 1	PE/SE or EIT	
4. Material verification of weld filler materials:		artian (1997), in the state of the Society				and the second second
a. Identification markings to conform to AWS specification in the approved construction documents.	Y	S	AISC, ASD, Section A3.6; AISC LRFD, Section A3.5	SI 1	PE/SE or EIT	
b. Manufacturer's certificate of compliance required.	Y	S		S11	PE/SE or EIT	
5. Submit current AWS D1.1 welder certificate for all field welders who will be welding on this project.	Y	S	AWS D1.1	SI 1	PE/SE or EIT	
6. Inspection of welding (IBC 1704.3.1): a. Structural steel:						
1) Complete and partial penetration groove welds.	Y	С		TAI	AWS-CWI	
2) Multipass fillet welds.	Y	С		TAI	AWS-CWI	
3) Single-pass fillet welds> 5/16"	Y	С	AWS DI.I	TAI	AWS-CWI	
4) Single-pass fillet welds< 5/16"	Y	Р	1 1	TA1	AWS-CWI	
5) Floor and deck welds.	N			100 B	the grant the	
b. Reinforcing steel (IBC Sect 1903.5.2):			AND THINK			
1) Verification of weldability of reinforcing steel other than ASTM A706.	N		te the state of the			
2) Reinforcing steel-resisting flexural and axial forces in intermediate and special moment frames, and boundary elements of special reinforced concrete shear walls and shear reinforcement.	N					
3) Shear reinforcement.	N					
4) Other reinforcing steel.	N		н", Х. н. Э	1		
7. Inspection of steel frame joint details for compliance (IBC Sect 1704.3.2) with approved construction documents:					nte tora associa se sector della	12
a. Details such as bracing and stiffening.	Y	Р		SII	PE/SE or EIT	an ann an an tha an tha an 189
b. Member locations.	Y	Р		SII	PE/SE or EIT	
c. Application of joint details at each connection.	Y	Р		SI 1	PE/SE or EIT	

#### **Structural Schedule of Special Inspection Services** FABRICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURES – STRUCTURAL STEEL

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.2	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK COMPLETED
<ol> <li>Fabrications Procedures: Review of fabricator's written procedural and quality control manuals and periodic auditing of fabrication practices by an approved special inspection agency. At the completion of fabrication, the approved fabricator shall submit a certificate of compliance to the building code official stating that the work was performed in accordance with the approved construction documents. -OR-</li> <li>AISC Certification</li> </ol>	Y	S	Fabricator shall submit one of the two qualifications	SI 1	PE/SE of EIT	
3. At completion of fabrication, the approved fabricator shall submit a certificate of compliance to the building code official stating that the work was performed in accordance with the approved construction documents.	Y	S	IBC 1704.2.2	SI1	PE/SE or EIT	

#### Structural Schedule of Special Inspection Services FABRICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURES – COLD FORMED METAL TRUSSES

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.2	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK COMPLETED
1. Fabrications Procedures: Review of fabricator's written procedural and quality control manuals and periodic auditing of fabrication practices by an approved special inspection agency. At the completion of fabrication, the approved fabricator shall submit a certificate of compliance to the building code official stating that the work was performed in accordance with the approved construction documents.	Y	S	Fabricator shall submit one of the two qualifications	SI1	PE/SE or EIT	
3. At completion of fabrication, the approved fabricator shall submit a certificate of compliance to the building code official stating that the work was performed in accordance with the approved construction documents	Y	s	IBC 1704.2.2	SI1	PE/SE or EIT	

Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy Date Prepared: 07/25/2007

#### Structural Schedule of Special Inspections wood construction

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION IBC Section 1704.6	Y/N	EXTENT: CONTINUOUS, PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE	COMMENTS	AGENT	AGENT QUALIFICATION	TASK Completed
1. Fabrication of high-load diaphragms		and preside	Sec. 14			
a. Verify wood structural panel sheathing for grade and thickness		No wood construction				
b. Verify the nominal size of framing members at adjoining panel edges						
b. Verify the nail or staple diameter and length	14 B					
b. Verify the number of fastener lines						
b. Verify the spacing between fasteners in each line and at edge margins	1640 - 1610 - 26					
2. Load Tests for Joist Hangers: Provide evidence of manufacturer's load test in accordance with ASTM D1761 including the vertical load bearing capacity, torsional						
moment capacity, and deflection characteristics when there is no calculated procedure recognized by the code.						

## Structural Schedule of Special Inspections SEISMIC RESISTANCE - STRUCTURAL

VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION	Y/N	EXTENT:	COMMENTS	AGENT		
IBC Section 1707		PERIODIC, SUBMITTAL, OR NONE			Gonzalon	
1. Special inspections for scismic resistance. Special inspection as specified in this section is required for the following:			Seismic Design Category: C			
a. The seismic-force-resisting systems in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F	Y	Р	IBC 1707.1	SI1	PE/SE or EIT	
2. Structural steel: Continuous special inspection for structural welding in accordance with AISC 341.	N					
3. Structural wood:						
<ul> <li>Continuous special inspection during field gluing operations of elements of the seismic-force-resist- ing system.</li> </ul>	N					
b. Periodic special inspections for nailing, bolting, anchoring and other fastening of components within the seismic-force-resisting system, including drag struts, braces and hold-downs	N					
4. Cold-formed steel framing: Periodic special inspections during welding operations of elements of the seismic-force-resisting system. Periodic special inspections for screw attachment, bolting, anchoring and other fastening of components within the seismic- force-resisting system, including struts, braces, and hold-downs	Y	Р		SII	PE/SE or EIT	
4. Seismic isolation system. Provide periodic special inspection during the fabrication and installation of isolator units and energy dissipation devices if used as part of the seismic isolation system	N					

#### Quality Assurance Plan - Seismic and Wind

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE FOR SEISMIC RESISTANCE CHECK LIST [IBC 1705]

Seismic Design Category С

## Structural:

Other:

The seismic-force-resisting systems Steel Braced Frames and associated connections/anchorage

Steel Moment Frames and associated connections

Shear walls: CMU Wood Concrete

🗋 Diaphragms: 🗋 Floor 🛛 Roof

#### **QUALITY ASSURANCE FOR WIND RESISTANCE CHECK LIST [IBC 1706]**

Wind Exposure Category

REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT APPLICABLE	QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN REQUIREMENTS (A Quality Assurance Plan is required where indicated below)
	$\boxtimes$		In wind exposure Categories A and B, where the 3-second-gust basic wind speed is 120 miles per hour (mph) (52.8 <i>m/sec</i> ) or greater.
	$\boxtimes$		In wind exposure Categories C and D, where the 3-second-gust basic wind speed is 110 mph (49 m/sec) or greater.

Prepared by:

Building Code Official's Acceptance:

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

15 of 16

Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy Date Prepared: 07/25/2007

## Contractor's Statement of Responsibility

Each contractor responsible for the construction or fabrication of a system or component designated in the Quality Assurance Plan must submit a Statement of Responsibility. The Statement of Responsibility is required for Seismic Design Category C or higher. Make additional copies of this form as required.

Project: Seaside Elderly Care Entry Canopy

Contractor's Name:

Address:

License No.:

Description of designated building systems and components included in the Statement of Responsibility:

#### **Contractor's Acknowledgment of Special Requirements**

I hereby acknowledge that I have received, read, and understand the Quality Assurance Plan and Special Inspection program.

I hereby acknowledge that control will be exercised to obtain conformance with the construction documents approved by the Building Official.

Signature

Date

#### **Contractor's Provisions for Quality Control**

Procedures for exercising control within the contractor's organization, the method and frequency of reporting and the distribution of reports is attached to this Statement.

Identification and qualifications of the person(s) exercising such control and their position(s) in the organization are attached to this Statement.

# SEASIDE NURSING FACIL

# 850 BAXTER BOULEVARD PORTLAND, MAINE

OWNER:

FIRST ATLANTIC HEALTHCARE 222 ST. JOHN'S STREET PORTLAND, ME 04101

ARCHITECT

FORESIDE ARCHITECTS, LLC PO BOX 66736 FALMOUTH, ME 04105

TEL. 781-3344

GENERAL CONTRACTOR/ CONSTRUCTION MANAGER

TO BE DETERMINED

CIVIL ENGINEER/ LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

LAND-USE CONSULTANTS 966 RIVERSIDE STREET PORTLAND, ME 04103

TEL. 878–3313

ELECTRICAL ENGINEER

DESIGN / BUILD SUBCONTRACTOR TO THE SELECTED GENERAL CONTRACTOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEER

BECKER STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS 75 YORK STREET PORTLAND, ME 04101

TEL. 879-1838

MECHANICAL ENGINEER AND FIRE SUPPRESSION

DESIGN / BUILD SUBCONTRACTOR TO THE SELECTED GENERAL CONTRACTOR

#### SITE DEMOLITION NOTES:

- 1. PROJECT IS LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE.
- 2. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FROM A GROUND SURVEY BY OWN HASKELL, INC, DATED MARCH, 2007.
- 3. THE PROJECT IS SUBJECT TO MINOR SITE PLAN REVIEW APPROVAL FROM THE CITY OF PORTLAND.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING "DIG-SAFE" AND LOCAL UTILITY COMPANIES AT LEAST 3 BUSINESS DAYS, BUT NOT MORE THAN 30 CALENDAR DAYS, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY EXCAVATION, OR AS OTHERMSE REQUIRED BY MAINE STATE LAW.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL MEANS, METHODS AND TECHNIQUES EMPLOYED TO PERFORM THE WORK SHOWN ON THESE PLANS.
- 6. ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL SAFETY REGULATIONS.
- 7. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND ALL UTILITY COMPANIES STANDARDS.
- 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY LOCATIONS AND DEPTHS OF ALL UTILITIES WITH THE RESPECTIVE COMPANY PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. IF ANY DISCREPANCIES OR CONFLICTS ARE FOUND, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTFY THEENGINEER AND OWNER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SECURE ALL NECESSARY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY PERMITS FOR THE WORK SHOWN ON THESE PLANS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 10. NO BLASTING WILL BE ALLOWED WITHIN 500 FT OF ANY UTILITY WITHOUT THE NOTHICATION AND APPROVAL OF THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY NO LEDGE BLASTING WILL BE PERMITTED WITHIN A UTILITY COMPANY EASEMENT UNTIL WRITTEN APPROVAL FOR THE UTILITY IS GIVEN BLASTING OPERATIONS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH MAINE DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BLASTING REQUIREMENTS AND SITE LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL ORDER.
- 11. ALL PAVEMENT CUTS SHALL BE SAW CUT TO RESULT IN CLEAN EDGES. A TACK COAT SHALL BE APPLIED ALONG THE CUT EDGES AND NEW PAVEMENT BUTTED TO IT, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO RECLAIM OR PROPERLY DISPOSE OF ALL REMOVED BITUMINOUS MATERIALS.
- 13. ALL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED & MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MAINE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK FOR CONSTRUCTION: BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES. MARCH 1991" AND AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED OR INDICATED ON DRAWINGS.
- 14. ALL LOAM SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF THE OWNER UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 15. IF REQUIRED, REMOVE EXISTING LARGE STONES ALONG PAVEMENT EDGE STORE ON-SITE AND REPLACE.
- 16. CORDON WORK AREAS AS DIRECTED BY OWNER. PROVIDE ACCESS AND EGRESS AS DIRECTED BY OWNER/ BUILDING ARCHITECT.



CATCH BASIN



#### **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. PROJECT IS LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE.
- 2. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FROM A GROUND SURVEY BY OWN HASKELL, INC, DATED MARCH, 2007.
- 3. THE PROJECT IS SUBJECT TO MINOR SITE PLAN REVIEW APPROVAL FROM THE CITY OF PORTLAND.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING "DIG-SAFE" AND LOCAL UTILITY COMPANIES AT LEAST 3 BUSINESS DAYS, BUT NOT MORE THAN 30 CALENDAR DAYS, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY EXCAVATION, OR AS OTHERWISE REQUIRED BY MAINE STATE LAW.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL MEANS, METHODS AND TECHNIQUES EMPLOYED TO PERFORM THE WORK SHOWN ON THESE PLANS.
- ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL SAFETY REGULATIONS.
- 7. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND ALL UTILITY COMPANIES STANDARDS.
- 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY LOCATIONS AND DEPTHS OF ALL UTILITIES WITH THE RESPECTIVE COMPANY PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. IF ANY DISCREPANCIES OR CONFLICTS ARE FOUND, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THEENGINEER AND OWNER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SECURE ALL NECESSARY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY PERMITS FOR THE WORK SHOWN ON THESE PLANS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 10. NO BLASTING WILL BE ALLOWED WITHIN 500 FT OF ANY UTILITY WITHOUT THE NOTFICATION AND APPROVAL OF THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY. NO LEDGE BLASTING WILL BE PERMITTED WITHIN A UTILITY COMPANY EASEMENT UNTLL WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE UTILITY IS GIVEN. BLASTING OPERATIONS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH MAINE DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BLASTING REQUIREMENTS AND SITE LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL ORDER.
- 11. ALL PAVEMENT CUTS SHALL BE SAW CUT TO RESULT IN CLEAN EDGES. A TACK COAT SHALL BE APPLIED ALONG THE CUT EDGES AND NEW PAVEMENT BUTTED TO IT, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO RECLAIM OR PROPERLY DISPOSE OF ALL REMOVED BITUMINOUS MATERIALS.
- 13. CONDUIT SHALL BE USED FOR ELECTRIC, TELEPHONE AND TV IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESPECTIVE COMPANIES REQUIREMENTS.
- 14. ALL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED & MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE <u>"MAINE EROSION AND SEDIMENT</u> <u>CONTROL HANDBOOK FOR CONSTRUCTION: BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.</u> <u>MARCH 1991</u> AND AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED OR INDICATED ON DRAWINGS.
- 15. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS FOR BUILDING DIMENSIONS.
- 16. ALL SIDEWALKS SHALL INCLUDE HC ACCESSIBLE RAMPS AT ALL INTERSECTIONS & DRIVEWAYS.



## 3 BITUMINOUS CONCRETE DRIVE & PARKING

















