CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE RESURGAM
Reviewed for Code Compliance
Inspections Division
Approved with Conditions
Date: 05/12/15

Jeanie Bourke - Re: 173 Pleasant Ave., BP#2015-00440 plan review comments

From: John Hatzenbuehler <ndhatz@gmail.com>
To: Jeanie Bourke <JMB@portlandmaine.gov>
Date: 5/12/2015 2:04 PM
Subject: Re: 173 Pleasant Ave., BP#2015-00440 plan review comments

Hi Jeanie,

I also just left you a voice message just in case. Yes, you understood my description correctly. The adjoining unit wall, and all partitions in the unit with the dwelling above will have 5/8 type X drywall over the top plate to form a continuous ceiling membrane.

thank you.

John

On Tue, May 12, 2015 at 8:46 AM, Jeanie Bourke <JMB@portlandmaine.gov> wrote:

> Hi John,
> Just to be clear, what do you mean by congruently on all adjoining walls and ceiling? For example, will not only the adjoining unit wall, but, all partitions in the unit with the dwelling above have 5/8 type X drywall over the top plate to form a continuous ceiling membrane?
> Do I understand your description correctly? Short of revising the diagram of the head of wall, this needs to be clear in order to approve it.
> Thanks, and feel free to call if you prefer to discuss.
> Jeanie
>
> >>> John Hatzenbuehler <ndhatz@gmail.com> 5/8/2015 1:19 PM >>>
>
> Hi Jeanie,
> We've decided we will run type X drywall congrugently on all adjoining
> walls and ceiling for the fire separation required in the code. is
> that something I need to add to the files or can you do that for me on
> the permitting paperwork.
>
> Again, Thanks for your help with this.
>
> John Hatzenbuehler
>
> On 4/29/15, Jeanie Bourke <JMB@portlandmaine.gov> wrote:
> > Hi John,
> >
> > I am not able to scan the documents today, hopefully this will be
> > corrected tomorrow and I can send you the information.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Jeanie
> >
> >>>> John Hatzenbuehler <ndhatz@gmail.com> 4/29/2015 9:11 AM >>>

Reviewed for Code Compliance
Inspections Division
Approved with Conditions
Date: 05/12/15

> Hi Jeanie,
> I've attached the revisions to your comments on the attached two files.
> Let me know if you need anything else or further clarification. Thanks
> for your help with this.
>
> John
>
> On Wed, Apr 22, 2015 at 4:23 PM, Jeanie Bourke <JMB@portlandmaine.gov>
> wrote:
>
>
> Good Afternoon John,
>
> I have completed the review of the above project for building code and
> have the following comments as noted on the attached files.
>
> Please send all revisions to this (my) email and please note that the
> pdf file name shall be exactly as the original, refer to the name of the
> attached files. Our Eplan program will automatically assign a version to
> the revised plans.
>
> Let me know if you have any questions,
>
> Thanks,
>
> Jeanie
>
> Jeanie Bourke
> CEO/LPI/Plan Reviewer
>
> City of Portland
> Planning & Urban Development Dept./ Inspections Division
> 389 Congress St. Rm 315
> Portland, ME 04101
> jmb@portlandmaine.gov
> Direct: (207) 874-8715 (tel:%28207%29%20874-8715)
> Office: (207) 874-8703 (tel:%28207%29%20874-8703)
>
> Permit status can be viewed at:
> http://www.portlandmaine.gov/792/Permit-Status
>
> Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the
> possession of public officials or city employees about government
> business may be classified as public records. There are very few
> exceptions. As a result, please be advised that what is written in an
> e-mail could be released to the public and/or the media if requested. ٭٭
>
>
>
>
> Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the possession

Reviewed for Code Compliance
Inspections Division
Approved with Conditions
Date: 05/12/15

> of public officials or city employees about government business may be
> classified as public records. There are very few exceptions. As a result,
> please be advised that what is written in an e-mail could be released to the
> public and/or the media if requested.
>
>

Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the possession of public officials or city employees about government business may be classified as public records. There are very few exceptions. As a result, please be advised that what is written in an e-mail could be released to the public and/or the media if requested.