

# **Meeting Notes**

7 Diamond Pass, Peaks Island December 23, 2016

**Location**: Portland City Hall

**Attending:** 

Sam Spirn (SS) - Owner

Russ Tyson (RT) – Whitten Architects Rob Whitten (RW) – Whitten Architects

Ann Machado (AM) - City of Portland Zoning Administrator

Silas Canavan (SC) – Walsh Engineering Associates, Inc.

## Introduction

- RT recapped discussions at our last meeting on 8/31/16.
- RT described hopes for getting preliminary "sign-off" from zoning in order to move ahead with design plans.
- SS wants to begin construction in fall 2017.
- AM asked if this was a renovation or a demo/rebuild.
  - o SS verified this will be a demo/rebuild due to contractor assessments of existing structure.

# **Property History**

- AM asked if we found a permit for the existing deck.
  - o SC explained that no deck permit was found and the deck was not depicted on the 1950 tax card.
  - o Deck area will not be included as grandfathered footprint.
- SC explained that permits for the existing garage were found and the existing house footprint was shown on the 1950 tax card.
  - o Therefore, area can be used as grandfathered footprint.

#### Non-conformance

- RT described shifting of non-conforming (N-C) footprint.
  - o N-C area on south side of building will be reduced.
  - o A portion of the N-C area on the south side of the building will be shifted to the north side of the building.
  - o Portion of the N-C area will be shifted to the west.
  - o Total N-C area will be the same as existing or slightly reduced.
  - o May take credit for the 50 square foot unenclosed porch exemption.
- AM generally agreed that the proposed N-C relocation is acceptable.

#### Setbacks

- RT described existing non-conforming setbacks on north and south side of existing building.
  - o Setback will be increased on south side of new house.
  - o Setback will remain the same on north side of new house.
- RT: Front and side setbacks to bunkhouse will remain the same as existing.
- RT: Setback between new house and bunkhouse will be reduced from existing.
  - o AM: Setback between new house and bunkhouse must be at least equal to average of neighboring properties.
    - Neighboring garage does not count as setback is to principle structure.
      - Therefore, use 25' on north side.
      - Must document calculations.
    - Follow-up: SC verified average setback of neighboring properties is 14.1'.
    - Setback between house and bunkhouse will be no less than 14.1'.

# **Building Height**

- RT explained that the roof peak elevation will increase by approximately 6'± to accommodate ceiling heights, current construction practices, and insulation requirements.
  - o Building height will still be well below 35' maximum zoning standard.
  - o AM said building height increase will be OK because it will not create another "floor" of living space.
- RT explained that roof pitch will be slightly steeper than existing.
  - $\circ$  Existing = 9.5:12
  - o Proposed = 10:12
  - o AM said this was acceptable.
- RT explained that ceiling heights will be increased slightly per current construction practices.
  - Proposed
    - Basement = 7.6°
    - $1^{st}$  floor = 9'
    - $2^{\text{nd}}$  floor = 8'
  - o AM said these increases were acceptable.

## Second Floor Expansion

- RT explained that the total second floor floor area expansion will be less than 80% of the existing 1<sup>st</sup> floor footprint.
- AM clarified that the 80% expansion is only limited to the existing building footprint. Additional 2<sup>nd</sup> floor area is OK for horizontal expansions outside of the existing footprint, which meet the setback requirements.
- AM said existing 2<sup>nd</sup> floor area needs to be documented graphically as backup material with submission.

## Miscellaneous

- RT explained that the reconstructed non-conforming porch area will be enclosed with at least 50% glass area.
  - o AM said to be sure contractor understands this requirement.
- RT explained that lot coverage will be less than 20%.
  - o AM: Lot coverage is only "building" coverage outside of 75' setback. Does not include driveways, patios, and other flush, non-framed, impervious surfaces.
    - Retaining walls are not considered structures and do not count towards lot coverage (outside of 75' setback).
    - Retaining walls over 4' high will require permitting.
    - Retaining walls within the 75' setback may require additional permitting.
      - Likely Level I Site Alteration through planning department.
- Accessory buildings of 144 sf or less require a 15' side setback and 75' setback from the high water line.
  - o Accessory structures count towards overall lot coverage.
- SS asked about the process for removing invasive species from the area near the beach.
  - o AM said will likely need a permit from the Planning Department.
  - o AM suggested SS contact Jeff Tarling, City Arborist, regarding plant selection.
  - o SC said a permit from the DEP will also be required.
    - SC has been through this process and can help SS navigate the necessary permits.