Building Inspections - Re: Fwd: LIEBER-41 Bayberry Lane Peaks Island

From: rachel conly < raconly@yahoo.com>

To: Building Inspections buildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov

Date: 11/27/2013 11:28 AM

Subject: Re: Fwd: LIEBER-41 Bayberry Lane Peaks Island

CC: Jeanie Bourke <JMB@portlandmaine.gov>, robert lieber <robert.alfred.lieb...

Hi,

Yes, thank you, the permit was originally issued several years ago. The amendment drawings I am sending are follow up to an e-mail exchange between Jeannie and Rob Lieber, my client, back in September of this year. Jeannie said:

"Regardless if the work has not been abandoned, the design is a substantial change from the approved permit and requires an amendment as I noted on the back of the permit. Per Sec. R106.4 Amended Construction Documents, changes made during the construction that are not in compliance with the approved plans shall be resubmitted for approval as an amended set of construction documents. Please submit this electronically, per the information I provided."

It was my understanding from her letter that the permit had not expired, but just needed some updated information. This is my first time submitting a permit amendment electronically, so please let me know if you need more information, or if I should take a different approach.

Thank you, Have a great Thanksgiving.

Rachel Conly Architectural Design 207-766-5625

On Wednesday, November 27, 2013 11:03 AM, Building Inspections <a href="mailto:subullding:building:subullding:

Rachel, what permit are you referring to when you state this is an "amendment?" The only ones I see in our system are ones issued several years ago, with no updates of inspections and therefore have already expired.

>>> rachel conly <raconly@yahoo.com> 11/26/2013 3:33 PM >>> Please find attached the Lieber Drawings renamed according to your most recent request. Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Thank you, Rachel

Rachel Conly Architectural Design 207-766-5625 On Monday, November 25, 2013 9:00 AM, Building Inspections buildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov> wrote: Rachel, please re-name your documents accordingly; For instance: You named:

A1 Lieber First and Second Revision 8.1.12

Appropriate Name:

A1 Proposed 1st & 2nd floor plan

(doesn't need "revision" or date, follow the name/plan name) There is no "revision" this is the first time we are getting this.

Please remember, the forms need to be *named what they are*.

>>> rachel conly <raconly@yahoo.com> 11/21/2013 11:17 AM >>> Good Morning Brad,

Please find attached a permit addendum application for 41 Bayberry Lane on Peaks Island. As per previous conversations between my client, Rob Lieber, and Jeanie Bourke (see e-mail correspondence below), I am sending revised drawings and supporting documents to note changes to the original permit document.

Please let me know if you need any further information, or if you have any questions. Also, please note that the footprint is unchanged. The revisions address framing and foundation technique changes.

Thank you, Rachel

Rachel Conly Architectural Design 207-766-5625

On Thursday, November 21, 2013 10:38 AM, Robert Lieber <robert.alfred.lieber@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jeanie Bourke < JMB@portlandmaine.gov>

Date: Tue, Sep 3, 2013 at 9:53 AM

Subject: Re: LIEBER-41 Bayberry Lane Peaks Island To: Robert Lieber robert.alfred.lieber@gmail.com

Cc: Donald McPherson < DMCPHERSON@portlandmaine.gov >

Good Morning Rob,

Just to clarify, the inspection time frame that Don mentioned is due to the foundation being a frost wall, not a basement, therefore no backfill inspection was required.

Regardless if the work has not been abandoned, the design is a substantial change from the approved permit and requires an amendment as I noted on the back of the permit. Per Sec. R106.4 Amended Construction Documents, changes made during the construction that are not in compliance with the approved plans shall be resubmitted for

approval as an amended set of construction documents. Please submit this electronically, per the information I provided.

Regarding the septic system, please schedule inspections as outlined on the approved permit by calling 874-8703 or emailing buildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov:

- 1. At scarification to verify removal of vegetation, etc.,
- 2. At the exposed septic field and tank installation,
- 3. At backfill for soil stabilization, slopes and extensions

Thanks, Jeanie

>>> Robert Lieber <robert.alfred.lieber@gmail.com> 8/30/2013 11:32 AM >>>

Hi Jeane.

At my last inspection I remember Don telling me that he did not need to see me until the framing construction and it was my understanding I just need to submit those technical changes to you. I am a one man operation and do have a two other jobs, most evenings and weekends have been dedicated towards this project.

Would it be possible to scheduling an inspection to show the progress I've made, I have been working with out a six month break? I am actually due to have my septic and leach field areas which is are prepped.

Thank you All best Rob 207 766-5043

On Wed, Aug 21, 2013 at 9:44 AM, Jeanie Bourke <JMB@portlandmaine.gov> wrote: > Good Morning Rob,

>

> My notes on the permit from our meeting on 6/6/11 state that you will submit

> an amendment to this permit for proposed changes including:

- > 1. Frost wall foundation to be block foundation vs. approved ICF
- > construction.
- > 2. Provide framing details vs. approved ICF construction.

- > You were given approval to pour the footings only, which were inspected by
- > Don on 6/16/11.
- > Per IRC Sec. R105.5, if work is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180
- > days it is considered invalid and expired. Being that the construction
- > deviates substantially from the original approval, a new permit application
- > is required anyway.

```
> You should also review the site plan requirements to ascertain if this
> approval has also lapsed. For more information, you can contact Phil
> DiPierro at 874-8632 or pd@portlandmaine.gov
> As of March 18, 2013, this office is no longer accepting paper hard copy
> applications. Please see the attached document for the electronic submission
> process and this website,
> http://www.portlandmaine.gov/planning/buildinsp.asp
> Let me know if you have any questions,
> Thanks,
> Jeanie
> Jeanie Bourke
> CEO/LPI/Plan Reviewer
> City of Portland
> Planning & Urban Development Dept./ Inspections Division
> 389 Congress St. Rm 315
> Portland, ME 04101
> imb@portlandmaine.gov
> Direct: (207) 874-8715
> Office: (207) 874-8703
>>> Robert Lieber <<u>robert.alfred.lieber@gmail.com</u>> 8/20/2013 10:50 AM >>>
> Hello Jeane,
> I am sorry to be out of touch with your office, I've done lots of site
> work and have just finished purchasing most of all my materials . As I
> remember from our last meeting that I owe you guys some data on my
> joists. Is there anything else? Can I send that right on to you?
> All best
> Rob
> Lieber 41 Bayberry Lane, Peaks Island
> 207 766 5043
> Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the possession
> of public officials or city employees about government business may be
> classified as public records. There are very few exceptions. As a result,
> please be advised that what is written in an e-mail could be released to the
> public and/or the media if requested. --
Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the
```

Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the possession of public officials or city employees about government business may be classified as public records. There are very few exceptions. As a result, please be advised that what is written in an

e-mail could be released to the public and/or the media if requested.

Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the possession of public officials or city employees about government business may be classified as public records. There are very few exceptions. As a result, please be advised that what is written in an e-mail could be released to the public and/or the media if requested.