City of Portland, 389 Congress Stree		-			Na 02-0301	Issue Date APR 2	4 2002	CBL 089 E0	023001
Location of Construction	1: 01	Owner Name:		Owner Add	dress:			Phone:	
126 Hussey Rd	P.L	Ghent Walter	H Jr &	Po Box 8	832 CIT	Y OF P	ORTLA	774-011	1
Business Name:		Contractor Name	:	Contractor	r Address:			Phone	
		Bunton, Bill		87 Midd	le Road C	Cumberland			
Lessee/Buyer's Name		Phone:		Permit Typ Amendr		ngle Famil	у		Zone: IR-1
Past Use:		Proposed Use:		Permit Fee	e:	Cost of Wor	k: Cl	EO District:	720
Single Family		Single Family		\$	968.00	\$135,00	00.00	3	2
Design to the Design of De	- 41] Denied	Use Group	(M	Type: 5 Gw Coste)
Proposed Project Descrip	ption:						1		
1 10	515 0 HO11550						1	V	
Amend Permit # 011 New Kitchen and Wi				Signature: PEDESTR	IAN ACTI	VITIES DIST	Signature:	K	
					Approv	VITIES DIST	PRICT (P.A	D.)	Denied
Amend Permit # 011 New Kitchen and Wi Permit Taken By:	indows	pplied For:		PEDESTR Action: [Signature:	Approv	ed 🗌 App	Droved w/Co	A.D.)	
New Kitchen and Wi	indows Date A			PEDESTR Action: [Signature:	Approv		Droved w/Co	A.D.)	
New Kitchen and Wi Permit Taken By: gad 1. This permit appl Applicant(s) from	Date A 04/0 lication does not	pplied For: 3/2002 preclude the	Special Zone or R	PEDESTR Action: [Signature:	Approv Zoning	Approva	PRICT (P.A proved w/Co D	Historic Pre	Denied
New Kitchen and Wi Permit Taken By: gad 1. This permit appl	Indows Date A 04/0 lication does not m meeting applie s do not include	pplied For: 3/2002 preclude the cable State and	- the	PEDESTR Action: Signature: eviews Kon-Se hes The back	Approv Zoning Zonir	ed App Approva	PRICT (P.A proved w/Co D	Historic Pre	Denied
 New Kitchen and Wi Permit Taken By: gad This permit appl Applicant(s) from Federal Rules. Building permits septic or electric Building permits 	Date A O4/0 lication does not m meeting applie s do not include cal work. s are void if wor	pplied For: 3/2002 preclude the cable State and plumbing, k is not started	Shoreland for Just for 250'set	PEDESTR Action: Signature: eviews Kon-Se hes The back	Approv Zoning Zonir Variance	Approva ag Appeal	PRICT (P.A proved w/Co D	Historic Pre	Denied servation ict or Landmar equire Review
New Kitchen and Wi Permit Taken By: gad 1. This permit appl Applicant(s) from Federal Rules. 2. Building permits septic or electric	Date A O4/0 lication does not m meeting applie s do not include cal work. s are void if wor onths of the date on may invalidate	pplied For: 3/2002 preclude the cable State and plumbing, k is not started e of issuance.	Shoreland for (Just for (250'set Wetland The fest is Bute	PEDESTR Action: Signature: eviews Kon-Se hes The back	Approv Zoning Zonir Variance Miscella	Approva Approva ng Appeal neous mal Use	PRICT (P.A proved w/Co al	Historic Pre Not in Distri	Denied servation ict or Landmarl equire Review
 New Kitchen and Wi Permit Taken By: gad This permit appl Applicant(s) from Federal Rules. Building permits septic or electric Building permits within six (6) mon False information 	Date A O4/0 lication does not m meeting applie s do not include cal work. s are void if wor onths of the date on may invalidate	pplied For: 3/2002 preclude the cable State and plumbing, k is not started e of issuance.	□ Shoreland the Just ton (250'sct □ Wetland tis The rest is Bute □ Flood Zone to remain	PEDESTR Action: Signature: eviews Kon-Se hes The back	Approv Zoning Zonir Variance Miscella Conditio	Approva Approva ag Appeal e neous mal Use ation	PRICT (P.A proved w/Cc	Historic Pre Not in Distr Does Not Re Requires Re	Denied servation ict or Landmarl equire Review view
 New Kitchen and Wi Permit Taken By: gad This permit appl Applicant(s) from Federal Rules. Building permits septic or electric Building permits within six (6) mon False information 	Date A O4/0 lication does not m meeting applie s do not include cal work. s are void if wor onths of the date on may invalidate	pplied For: 3/2002 preclude the cable State and plumbing, k is not started e of issuance.	Shoreland The Just for (250'set Wetland Jis The fest is Gute Flood Zone to fe ha Subdivision	PEDESTR Action: [Signature: Signature: back- bach- back- back- back- back- back- back- back- back- ba	Approv Zoning Zonir Variance Miscella Conditio	Approva Approva ag Appeal e neous mal Use ation	PRICT (P.A proved w/Co al	Historic Pre Not in Distri Does Not Ro Requires Re Approved	Denied servation ict or Landmarl equire Review view

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am the owner of record of the named property, or that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his authorized agent and I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in the application is issued, I certify that the code official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by such permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provision of the code(s) applicable to such permit.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT	ADDRESS	DATE	PHONE

ments: 126 Hussey Rd., P.I.	Status Approved with Co	Approval Date	Marge Schmuck
		Given On Date	04/08/2002
OK to Issue Permit Na	Marge Schmuckal	Date 04/12/200	2 Date 2
Conditions Section:	Add New Condition From Default List	Add New Condition	Delete Condition
This permit is being approved on the before starting that work. This property shall remain a single for application for review and approval.	-		
his is NOT an approval for an addit	tional dwelling unit. You SHAI uch as stoves, microwaves, re		

PART:

89. E. 2ª

25 June 2003

Mike Nugent, Manager of Inspection Services - City of Portland

WAIVER REQUEST FOR:

Property:

126 Hussey Rd, Peaks Island Owners: Walter Ghent and Catherine Barry

Architect and local contact:

Will Winkelman w/ Whitten Architects - 37 Silver Street, Portland, ME 04101 Phone: 774-0111 x102

Reference permit #020301 / 24 April 02 - (photos and drawings attached)

Summary of request:

This waiver request concerns <u>improving an existing ladder/stair</u> configuration that travels through an <u>existing opening of limited size in an existing concrete slab floor</u>. This stair is for travel from the 2nd to the 3nd (and uppermost) floor. The project is a single family dwelling.

The structure is an existing steel reinforced concrete 26'x16', 3 story WWII look-out tower, w/ 12" thick conc walls and 6" thick conc slab floors, w/ 8'-0" floor to floor heights, exist dimensions, w/ new additions.

The existing structure had been vacant until the late 70's when the property changed hands from the military at which time it became a single family residence.

The third floor (a single space which has wonderful views but is odd otherwise, w/a clear ceiling height of 6'-9" and window daylight openings that stop at a height of 5'-2") will be <u>unchanged in its use</u> as a loft like den/guestbed -overflow space. There was not, nor will be, a door at the head of the stair (i.e. no privacy), nor a closet (see 3-d sketch and plans).

The <u>existing ladder/stair is very hazardous</u>. It has 8 risers at 11" each, landing oddly. Treads are 11", but the run is 6" w/ no upper landing. This stair type also existed from the first to the second floor, but that run is replaced by the new stairway in the new rear addition, now rough framed.

Expanding this new rear addition vertically to replace this stair was considered - but would have been very costly, especially when it was discovered that it would have involved cutting not only 12" thick concrete walls but also 12" thick sloped concrete roof slab as well - a very complicating factor.

The <u>replacement stair proposed is a 48" dia. spiral (largest that can squeeze into opening)</u>. <u>All</u> <u>components of this new stair would be BOCA '99 code 1014.6.4 conforming</u> (re minimum headroom, treads, risers handrails, guards) - accept width: which is where waiver is requested.

747662

To:

Re cutting slab for width:

<u>Structural evaluation</u>: Our structural engineer, Paul Becker, <u>strongly discouraged any attempt to</u> <u>cut existing concrete slab floor (which would be required to improve stair size to a BOCA '99 code</u> <u>1014.6.4 conforming 60"dia. spiral stair</u>). His concern was less w/ any heading off that might be necessary in the corner for the stair, but very much on the larger scale of the stability of the slab as a whole. And, as well, with the integrity of the structure as a whole - acting as a diaphragm for the structure. (See attached letter)

Cutting the 12" thick concrete walls for window openings or room sized openings with steel reinforcing overhead was not a big issue, within certain parameters. But the slab was out of bounds.

Beyond limiting us to not cutting the 2nd or 3nd floor slabs (anywhere, not just for the stair), we were required to reinforce the slabs substantially to bring up to code.

In the photographs, note the heavy 'I' section under the third floor slab and rods hanging the channel that is below the second floor slab. Both slabs had notably deflected, and some spalling had occurred under the third. Paul had us place the steel to the low point of the slab as it is now, and then pack w/ non-shrinking grout between the steel and slab (rather than make any move to take the deflection out of the slab). Under the 2nd floor slab we had no first floor program for walls or dropped beams of any kind, so we had to rig up the dropped rods w/ channel (which gets buried in drywall), to reinforce and bring up to code the existing slab.

When we did explore alternatives for reinforcing the slab, there was discussion of using a new technology which I am not familiar w/: carbon fiber sheets of some sort which would have been laminated to the underside of the slab... but was way too expensive to realistically entertain the idea of.

My personal perspective:

I have lived w/ a 42" spiral stair, and I found it to be very acute and one must move w/ considerable caution.

I have designed two residences w/ BOCA 99 minimum 60" spirals, and found them to be just comfortable - neither generous, nor too big.

With that in mind, if I had any opportunity at all - I would be the first to advocate for a stair larger than 48" in diameter. I simply can't find any other options around this concrete opening issue (within reason).

Thanks - Will Winkelman

WM

11/5/02 Checked wall set back. VERY close. Contractor will have surveyor RE-check and send letter certifying compliance of 7

. .

E. structural engineers, inc

January 29, 2003

Mr. Will Winkleman Whitten Architects **37 Silver Street** Portland, ME 04101

WO802 Ghent Residence Peaks Island, ME

Dear Will,

During the design phase of the referenced project, we spent several days on site, reviewing the existing conditions and assessing the condition of the existing structure. Our field work was followed by a structural analysis of the existing conditions and further evaluation of the proposed modifications.

It was apparent to us that the existing slab at the stair openings was as large as it could be without adversely affecting the structural integrity of the slab. Enlarging the existing stair openings would render the slab ineffective and would essentially require its removal, and replacement. This level of work would have made the project unaffordable. I trust this explains our position regarding the floor openings.

Sincerely,

BECKER STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS, Inc.

Mulh

Paul B. Becker, P.E. Principal