REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE REQUEST

IMITATIONS OF THE REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE REQUEST

form shall be attached to an application (HHE-200) for the proposed replacement system which requires a variance to the Rules. The LPI of review the Replacement System Variance Request and HHE-200 and may approve the Request if all of the following requirements can be at, and the variance(s) requested fall within the limits of LPI's authority.

1. The Proposed design meets the definition of a Replacement System as defined in the Rules (Sec. 20061).

2. These will be a change in two of the structure report as a the right of the proposed design meets the definition of the report as a the right of the proposed design meets the definition of the report as a the right of the proposed design meets the definition of the report as a the right of the proposed design meets the definition of the report as a the right of the proposed design meets the definition of the report as a the right of the right of the report as a the right of the report as a the right of the right of

- 2. There will be no change in use of the structure except as authorized for minor expansions outside the shoreland zone of major
- waterbodieslcourses.

 3. The replacement system is determined by the Site Evaluator and LPI to be the most practical method to treat and dispose of the wastewater.

GENERAL INFORMATION	Town of Portland, Peaks Island
	14207
Permit No.	
Property Owner's Name: Chris Roberts	Tel. No.:
System's Location: 85 Pleasant Avenue	
Property Owner's Address: 779 ISU	AND AVE. OFFICITION
if different from above) PEAKS ISC	LAND ME 04108
SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS TO THE: LOCAL PLUMBING INSPECTOR (LPI):	
/ariance Request with yo⊨r signature on reverse side of form of the PROPERTY OWNER: f has been determined by the Site Evaluator that a variance equest is due to physical limitations of the site and/or soil	e for the proposed replacement system is needed, complete the Replacement orm.
restrictions and have concluded that a replacement system	n in total compliance with the Rules is not possible.
	m in total compliance with the Rules is not possible.
restrictions and have concluded that a replacement system	m in total compliance with the Rules is not possible.
	m in total compliance with the Rules is not possible.
OCAL PLUMBING INSPECTOR nowledge that it cannot be installed in compliance with the application arise my on-site investigation, I (check and confidence in the shall list his reasons for denial in Comments Section by	ersigned, because of my review of the Replacement Variance Request, the emplete either a orb): end on my authority to grant this variance. Note: If the LPI does not give his approval,
DCAL PLUMBING INSPECTOR The under nowledge that it cannot be installed in compliance with the application arm my on-site investigation, I (check and concentration of the shall list his reasons for denial in Comments Section becomes before the shall list has reasons for denial in Comments Section becomes before the section becomes a section of the requested Variances except the section o	ersigned, As a result of my review of the Replacement Variance Request, the emplete either a orb): erd on my authority to grant this variance. Note: If the LPI does not give his approval, below and return to the applicant. Indeeds my approval authority as LPI. I (recommend, do not recommend) the does not recommend the Department's approval, she shall state his reasons in
OCAL PLUMBING INSPECTOR The under the it cannot be installed in compliance with the application are my on-site investigation, I (check and concept the shall list his reasons for denial in Comments Section becomented by the variances experiment's approval of the variances. Note: If the LPI of comments Section below as to why the proposed replacer	ersigned, As a result of my review of the Replacement Variance Request, the emplete either a orb): erd on my authority to grant this variance. Note: If the LPI does not give his approval, below and return to the applicant. Indeeds my approval authority as LPI. I (recommend, do not recommend) the does not recommend the Department's approval, she shall state his reasons in

SOILS Soil Profile								
						ļ.	•	
	Ground Water Table			to 7"			·	inches
Soil Condition	Restrictive Layer			to 7"			inches	
from HHE-200	Bedrock			to 12"			inches	
SETBACK DISTANCES (in feet)	Disposal Fields (total design flow)		Septic Tanks (total design flow)			Disposal Fields	Septic Tanks	
From	Less than 1000 gpd	1000 to 2000 gpd	Over 2000 gpd	Less than 1000 gpd	1000 to 2000 gpd	Over 2000 gpd	То	То
Wells with water usage of 2000 or more gpd or public water supply wells	300 ft	300 ft	300 ft	100 ft	100 ft	100 ft		
Owner's wells	100 down to 60 ft [a]	200 down to 100 ft	300 down to 150 ft	100 down to 50 ft [b]	100 down to 50 ft	100 down to 50 ft		
Neighbor's wells	100 down to 60 ft [f]	200 down to 120 ft [f]	300 down to 180 ft [f]	100 down to 50 ft [f]	100 down to 75 ft [f]	100 down to 75 ft [f]		
Water supply line	10 ft	20 ft	25 ft [h]	10 ft	10 ft	10 ft [h]		
Water course, major - for replacements only, see Table 400.4 for major expansions	100 down to 60 ft [d]	200 down to 120 ft [d]	300 down to 180 ft [d]	100 down to 50 ft [b]	100 down to 50 ft	100 down to 50 ft		
Water course, minor	50 down to 25 ft [e]	100 down to 50 ft [e]	150 down to 75 ft [e]	50 down to 25 ft [e]	50 down to 25 ft [e]	50 down to 25 ft [e]		
Drainage ditches	25 down to 12 ft	50 down to 25 ft	75 down to 35 ft	25 down to 12 ft	25 down to 12 ft	25 down to 12 ft		
Edge of fill extension Coastal wetlands, special freshwater wetlands, great ponds, rivers, streams	25 ft [e]	25 ft [e]	25 ft [e]	25 ft [e]	25 ft [e]	25 ft [e]		
Slopes greater than 3:1	10 ft [g]	18 ft [g]	25 ft [g]	N/A	N/A	N/A		
No full basement [e.g. slab, frost wall, columns]	15 down to 7 ft	30 down to 15 ft	40 down to 20 ft	8 down to 5 ft	14 down to 7 ft	20 down to 10 ft		
Full basement [below grade foundation]	20 down to 10 ft	30 down to 15 ft	40 down to 20 ft	8 down to 5 ft	14 down to 7 ft	20 down to 10 ft		
Property lines	10 down to 5 ft [c]	18 down to 9 ft [c]	20 down to 10 ft [c]	10 down to 4 ft [c]	15 down to 7 ft [c]	20 down to 10 ft [c]		
Burial sites or graveyards, measured from the down toe of the fill extension	25 ft	25 ft	25 ft	25 ft	25 ft	25 ft		

Footnotes: [a.] Single-family well setbacks may be reduced as prescribed in Section 701.2.

- [b.] This distance may be reduced to 25 feet, if the septic or holding tank is tested in the plumbing inspector's presence and shown to be watertight or of monolithic construction.
- [c.] Additional setbacks may be needed to prevent fill material extensions from encroaching onto abutting property.
- [d.] Additional setbacks may be required by local Shoreland zoning.
- [e.] Natural Resource Protection Act requires a 25 feet setback, on slopes of less than 20%, from the edge of soil disturbance and 100 feet on slopes greater than 20%. See Chapter 15.

 [f.] May not be any closer to neighbors well than the existing disposal field or septic tank unless written permission is granted by the neighbor.
- This setback may be reduced for single family houses with Department approval. See Section 702.3.
- [g.] The fill extension shall reach the existing ground before the 3:1 slope or within 100 feet of the disposal field. [h.] See Section 1402.10 for special procedures when these minimum setbacks cannot be achieved.

SITE EVALUATOR'S SIGNATURE

FOR USE BY THE DEPARTMENT ONLY

The Department has reviewed the variance(s) and (□ does □ does not) give its approval. Any additional requirements, recommendations, or reasons for the Variance denial, are given in the attached letter.

SIGNATURE OF THE DEPARTMENT	DATE