



APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

PERMIT ISSUED

JAN 27 1981

B.O.C.A. USE GROUP

B.O.C.A. TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION 062

ZONING LOCATION PORTLAND, MAINE, Jan. 27, 1981

CITY OF PORTLAND

To the DIRECTOR OF BUILDING & INSPECTION SERVICES, PORTLAND, MAINE

The undersigned hereby applies for a permit to erect, alter, repair, demolish, move or install the following building, structure, equipment or change use in accordance with the Laws of the State of Maine, the Portland B.O.C.A. Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the City of Portland with plans and specifications, if any, submitted herewith and the following specifications:

LOCATION m 94-96 Winter Street Fire District #1 , #2

1. Owner's name and address .. David Wurfel .. 632 Washington Ave. Telephone 773-5309

2. Lessee's name and address Telephone

3. Contractor's name and address ... Owner Telephone

4. Architect Specifications Plans No. of sheets

Proposed use of building No. families

Last use I.Q. family No. families

Material No. stories Heat Style of roof Roofing

Other buildings on same lot

Estimated contractual cost \$.. 10,000 Fee \$.. 46.00

FIELD INSPECTOR—Mr. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

This application is for: @ 775-5451
Dwelling Ext. 234
Garage
Masonry Bldg.
Metal Bldg.
Alterations
Demolitions
Change of Use
Other

To repair after fire to return original condition, no structural changes.

Stamp of Special Conditions

NOTE TO APPLICANT: Separate permits are required by the installers and subcontractors of heating, plumbing, electrical and mechanicals.

PERMIT IS TO BE ISSUED TO 1 2 3 4

Other:

DETAILS OF NEW WORK

Is any plumbing involved in this work? Is any electrical work involved in this work?

Is connection to be made to public sewer? If not, what is proposed for sewage?

Has septic tank notice been sent? Form notice sent?

Height average grade to top of plate Height average grade to highest point of roof

Size, front depth No. stories solid or filled land? earth or rock?

Material of foundation Thickness, top bottom cellar

Kind of roof Rise per foot Roof covering

No. of chimneys Material of chimneys of lining Kind of heat fuel

Framing Lumber—Kind Dressed or full size? Corner posts Sills

Size Girder Columns under girders Size Max. on centers

Studs (outside walls and carrying partitions) 2x4-16" O. C. Bridging in every floor and flat roof span over 8 feet.

Joists and rafters: 1st floor 2nd 3rd roof

On centers: 1st floor 2nd 3rd roof

Maximum span: 1st floor 2nd 3rd roof

If one story building with masonry walls, thickness of walls? height?

IF A GARAGE

No. cars now accommodated on same lot to be accommodated number commercial cars to be accommodated
Will automobile repairing be done other than minor repairs to cars habitually stored in the proposed building?

APPROVALS BY:

DATE

MISCELLANEOUS

BUILDING INSPECTION—PLAN EXAMINER Will work require disturbing of any tree on a public street?

ZONING:

BUILDING CODE: Will there be in charge of the above work a person competent to see that the State and City requirements pertaining thereto are observed?

Fire Dept.:

Health Dept.:

Others:



CITY OF PORTLAND

DAVID A. LOURIE
November 22, 1982 CORPORATION COUNSEL

David Wurful
94 Winter Street
Portland, ME 04101

Re: 94-96 Winter Street

Dear Mr. Wurful:

We have reviewed the matter of the number of units at 94-96 Winter Street.

The facts as we currently have them are that at some time in the past, the number of units at 94-96 Winter Street was illegally increased from 6 units to 10 units. Subsequently, you purchased the property which was later damaged by fire. You then obtained a building permit from the City of Portland to reconstruct the fire-damaged portions of the structure into the 10 units previously existing. Apparently neither you nor the City personnel handling this application for building permit were aware that 4 of the 10 units were not permitted under the Zoning Ordinance. It is further our understanding that the property is now to be sold and the purchaser has raised questions as to the 10-unit operation after reviewing the Building Inspection Department file.

On these facts, neither the Building Inspection office nor the Corporation Counsel's office will undertake a prosecution to require that the property be returned to the 6 units specified by the Zoning Ordinance. Provided that the configuration of the building (i.e., 10-unit use) is not increased, and the building in other respects continues to conform to the requirements of the Municipal Code, no prosecution will be brought against you or your purchasers to require a return to a 6-unit use unless the building is substantially destroyed or rebuilt.

David Lourie
Corporation Counsel

John E. Lang
Director of Planning and
Urban Development