From: Seth White <whiteseth04@gmail.com> Christina Stacey <cstacey@portlandmaine.gov> To: Date: 9/28/2015 1:47 PM Subject: Re: Permit #1834 - 113 Winter Street Hi Chris, Thank you for taking the time to clarify. Yes, that looks about right: between the reduction in the footprint where there is no foundation, and a modern code compliant stairwell, I thought we might be losing a little square footage. Best. Seth On Mon, Sep 28, 2015 at 11:35 AM, Christina Stacey < cstacey@portlandmaine.gov> wrote: > Hi Seth, > Thanks for this additional information. The "expansion criteria" refers > to limitations in our ordinance on the amount that a structure like this, > which is non-conforming for setbacks, may be expanded. The rule is that > any interior expansion due to increase in height, addition of dormers, etc. > must not exceed 80% of the first floor area. "Floor area" would include > any places where the knee wall height is more than 48". I think the new > information you gave me provides enough to figure this out, although by my > calculations the new second floor will actually be a reduction in floor > area? Does that seem right? > This is what I've determined, let me know if you think I'm way off (very > possible, ha ha): > Existing second floor - right hand portion (10'6" by 12'8) is entirely > over 48" in height = 133 sf left hand portion (23'5" long by 11'5" > wide, after removing 16" of floor area that is <48" in height) = 267 sf > TOTAL EXISTING SECOND FLOOR = 400 sf > Proposed second floor - All areas will be over 48" in height right hand portion (21'8" by 12'4") = > 267 sf left hand portion (12'8" by 9'10") = > 125 sf > TOTAL PROPOSED SECOND FLOOR = 392 sf > Does this seem right? > Thanks, > Chris >>> Seth White <whiteseth04@gmail.com> 9/28/2015 9:13 AM >>> > Good Morning Chris, > 1. Okay, I will tear out the front kitchen before final inspection. > 2. The covered entry roof is 6'x15'. Apologies for not labeling it. > 3. I have attached an existing second floor plan, but may I please ask > what you mean by "expansion criteria?" Also, I am not sure how you > ascertain "usable floor area," so I included wall heights and roof pitches > (i.e. a few things not usually on floor plans).

> Thank You,

> Seth

```
> On Fri, Sep 25, 2015 at 12:04 PM, Christina Stacey <
> cstacey@portlandmaine.gov> wrote:
>> Hi Seth,
>> A few more questions before I can sign off for zoning and send your
>> application for code and historic review --
>> 1) I assume the plan is to finish the renovation of the right hand side
>> of the house (former Unit #2), and then remove the old kitchen in the left
>> hand side (former Unit #1) to convert the full building into a
>> single-family. Do you anticipate submitting a permit amendment at some
>> point to remove the kitchen and do other renovations in Unit #1? We need to
>> include some condition in this permit to address the unapproved unit
>> situation, so I propose adding this: "Before final inspection, the existing
>> kitchen equipment in the left-hand side of the building must be removed."
>> This means that appliances would need to be taken out of Unit #1 at the
>> time of final inspection of the work in Unit #2, so that the building is
>> brought back into its legal use as single-family dwelling. Do you
>> anticipate any problems with this proposal?
>> 2) Can you let me know the length and width dimensions of the new roof
>> that will be constructed over the entryway?
>> 3) Can you send me the existing floor plans for Unit #2? I imagine there
>> will be some increase in usable floor area on the second floor due to the
>> new dormer, and I need to make sure it meets our expansion criteria.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Chris
>> Christina Stacey
>> Zoning Specialist - Inspections Division
>> City of Portland
>> 389 Congress St.
>> Portland, ME 04101
>> (207) 874-8695
>> cstacey@portlandmaine.gov
>>
>> --
>>
>
```