

Jeanie Bourke <jmb@portlandmaine.gov>

Fwd: Holiday Inn building permit 2017-01240 conditions

5 messages

Permitting and Inspections buildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov>
To: Jeanie Bourke jmb@portlandmaine.gov>

Mon, Aug 27, 2018 at 3:47 PM

Hi Jeanie,

Would you be able to answer his questions?

Thank you, Tori

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Devin Cough** <devin@archetypepa.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2018 at 3:31 PM

Subject: Holiday Inn building permit 2017-01240 conditions

To: permitting@portlandmaine.gov

Sir or Madam:

On our permit for the Holiday Inn (permit number 2017-01240) Glenn Harmon listed a condition (#4) that *Guardrails are* required for hatches and mechanical equipment on a roof within 10' of the edge per IBC 1013.5 where the existing parapet is less than 42" high. The contractor has asked if they can permanently install a lifeline system complying with ANSI 359.1. There is no exception under the 2009 code for this, however under IBC 2015 1015.6 which has since been adopted, there is an exception that guards are not required where such a system is installed. Is it acceptable to install this lifeline system in lieu of the guardrail system?

Regards,

Devin Cough

devin@archetypepa.com

Archetype PA | 48 Union Wharf | Portland, Maine 04101 | tel. 207.772.6022 | www.grchetype-grchitects.com

Jeanie Bourke <jmb@portlandmaine.gov>

To: Permitting and Inspections

v>ildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov>

Tue, Aug 28, 2018 at 11:20 AM

Yes will do!

Jeanie Bourke Code Enforcement Officer/LPI/Plan Reviewer Team Leader

City of Portland Permitting and Inspections Department 389 Congress St. Rm 315 Portland, ME 04101 jmb@portlandmaine.gov (207)874-8715 [Quoted text hidden]

Jeanie Bourke <jmb@portlandmaine.gov>

Tue, Aug 28, 2018 at 1:07 PM

To: "devin@archetypepa.com" <devin@archetypepa.com>

Hi Devin,

I was asked to reply to your question about employing the 2015 IBC exception in Sec. 1015.6 to allow fall arrest/restraint anchorage connector devices complying with ANSI Z 359.1 in lieu of guard rail protection as required in the 2009 IBC.

This method is allowed to be used, however you will need to provide me with plans and specifications including the type and location of anchors, attachment details and verification that the integrity of the attaching structure has been assessed at the proposed anchor locations.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best, Jeanie

Jeanie Bourke Code Enforcement Officer/LPI/Plan Reviewer Team Leader

City of Portland
Permitting and Inspections Department
389 Congress St. Rm 315
Portland, ME 04101
jmb@portlandmaine.gov
(207)874-8715

On Mon, Aug 27, 2018 at 3:47 PM, Permitting and Inspections buildinginspections@portlandmaine.gov wrote: [Quoted text hidden]

Devin Cough <devin@archetypepa.com>
To: Jeanie Bourke <jmb@portlandmaine.gov>

Tue, Sep 4, 2018 at 1:24 PM

Thanks, Jeanie. Please see attached:

Letter from structural engineer

Drawings for the design of the lifeline system including anchoring points

Information about the system.

Please let me know if this is sufficient or if you require additional information.

Regards,

Devin Cough

devin@archetypepa.com

Archetype PA | 48 Union Wharf | Portland, Maine 04101 | tel. 207.772.6022 | www.archetype-architects.com

From: Jeanie Bourke [mailto:jmb@portlandmaine.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 1:07 PM

To: devin@archetypepa.com

Subject: Re: Holiday Inn building permit 2017-01240 conditions

[Quoted text hidden]

Notice: Under Maine law, documents - including e-mails - in the possession of public officials or city employees about government business may be classified as public records. There are very few exceptions. As a result, please be advised that what is written in an e-mail could be released to the public and/or the media if requested.

3 attachments



Holiday Inn-Lifeline Roof Anchorage.pdf



Holiday Inn Fall Protection_Rev1.pdf



SRL - Instructions-printversion.pdf 328K

Jeanie Bourke <jmb@portlandmaine.gov>
To: Devin Cough <devin@archetypepa.com>

Wed, Sep 5, 2018 at 11:12 AM

Good Morning Devin,

I reviewed this information and find it acceptable as an alternative to the guardrail system, with the following conditions per the letter from engineer Matthew J. Miller:

- 1. The anchor embedment into the existing roof concrete be increased from 2-3/4" to 3-3/4" depth.
- 2. The engineer shall verify the condition of the concrete substrate prior to the installation of the roof anchor plates.

Also, inspections are required by this office for these installations.

Thank you, Jeanie

Jeanie Bourke Code Enforcement Officer/LPI/Plan Reviewer Team Leader

City of Portland
Permitting and Inspections Department
389 Congress St. Rm 315
Portland, ME 04101
jmb@portlandmaine.gov
(207)874-8715

[Quoted text hidden]