

CITY OF PORTLAND, MAINE

PLANNING BOARD

Elizabeth Boepple, Chair
Sean Dundon, Vice Chair
Carol Morrissette
David Eaton
Kristien Nichols
Lisa Whited
Maggie Stanley

April 3, 2017

Baxter Academies of Maine
Attention: Kelli Pryor
54 York Street
Portland, ME 04101

Ryan Senatore Architectural
Attention: Ryan Senatore
565 Congress Street, Suite 304
Portland, ME 04101

Project Name:	Baxter Academy of Science and Technology	Project ID:	2017-022
Address:	185 Lancaster Street	CBL:	025 F001001
Applicant:	Baxter Academies of Maine		
Planner:	Shukria Wiar		

Dear Ms. Pryor:

On March 28, 2017, the Planning Board considered for a change of use at 185 Lancaster Street and a traffic movement permit. The Planning Board reviewed the proposal for conformance with the standards of the Plan and Traffic Movement Permit. The Planning Board voted unanimously (6-0, Morrissette absent) to approve the application with the following conditions as presented below:

TRAFFIC MOVEMENT PERMIT

Based upon the City of Portland's Delegated Review Authority, the Planning Board voted unanimously (6-0, Morrissette absent) to approve the Traffic Movement Permit application for Baxter Academy of Science and Technology subject to the following conditions:

1. As part of the Transportation Operations and Management Plan, the first monitoring period shall be conducted six months after the certificate of occupancy. The details of the monitoring scope of work shall be coordinated with the Planning Division.
2. As part of the Transportation Demand Management Plan, the first monitoring period shall be conducted six months after the certificate of occupancy. The details of the monitoring scope of work shall be coordinated with the Planning Division.
3. Based upon the Traffic Assessment, the proposed fifty (50) parking spaces are adequate to meet staff parking demands and it has been determined that an additional thirty-six (36) vehicle spaces are warranted for students. Thus a total of eighty-six (86) parking spaces are required for the proposed use. The applicant shall provide the additional thirty-six (36) spaces prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
4. The applicant shall submit signage and wayfinding plan that address the details of parking restriction and changes for review and approval by the Planning and Parking Divisions. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with changes to parking signage and removal/relocation of parking meters.

SITE PLAN REVIEW

The Planning Board voted unanimously (6-0, Morrissette absent) that the plan is in conformance with the site plan standards of the Land Use Code, subject to the following conditions of approval:

1. That the applicant shall submit confirmation of ability to serve sewer letter DPW to the Planning Division prior to the issuance of a building permit;
2. The project shall comply with the Basic Standards of Chapter 500 and provide erosion and sedimentation control on site as necessary;
3. The Applicant shall provide additional detail pertaining to stairway upgrades along the northwestern side of the building on Kennebec Street, specifically, any regrading or pavement replacement, and potential impacts due to construction shall be reflected on the final site plan;
4. Based upon the Traffic Assessment, the proposed fifty (50) parking spaces are adequate to meet staff parking demands and it has been determined that an additional thirty-six (36) vehicle spaces are warranted for students. Thus a total of eighty-six (86) parking spaces are required for the proposed use. The applicant shall provide the additional thirty-six (36) spaces prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy;
5. The applicant shall submit signage and a wayfinding plan that address the details of parking restriction and changes for review and approval by the Planning and Parking Divisions. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with changes to parking signage and removal/relocation of parking meters; and
6. All HVAC equipment shall meet the sound level requirements listed under 14-299 (b). Separate permits are required through Inspection Services for HVAC systems. Such application(s) shall provide HVAC dBA equipment levels. Separate permits are also required for any new exterior signs from the Department of Permitting and Inspections.

The approval is based on the submitted plans and the findings related to site plan review standards as contained in the Planning Report for application 2017-022 which is attached.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Please note the following standard conditions of approval and requirements for all approved site plans:

1. **Develop Site According to Plan** The site shall be developed and maintained as depicted on the site plan and in the written submission of the applicant. Modification of any approved site plan or alteration of a parcel which was the subject of site plan approval after May 20, 1974, shall require the prior approval of a revised site plan by the Planning Board or Planning Authority pursuant to the terms of Chapter 14, Land Use, of the Portland City Code.
2. **Separate Building Permits Are Required** This approval does not constitute approval of building plans, which must be reviewed and approved by the City of Portland's Inspection Division.
3. **Site Plan Expiration** The site plan approval will be deemed to have expired unless work has commenced within one (1) year of the approval or within a time period up to three (3) years from the approval date as agreed upon in writing by the City and the applicant. Requests to extend approvals must be received before the one (1) year expiration date.
4. **Performance Guarantee and Inspection Fees** A performance guarantee covering the site improvements, inspection fee payment of 2.0% of the guarantee amount and seven (7) final sets of plans must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Division and Public Services Department prior to the release of a building permit, street

opening permit or certificate of occupancy for site plans. If you need to make any modifications to the approved plans, you must submit a revised site plan application for staff review and approval.

5. **Defect Guarantee** A defect guarantee, consisting of 10% of the performance guarantee, must be posted before the performance guarantee will be released.
6. **Preconstruction Meeting** Prior to the release of a building permit or site construction, a pre-construction meeting shall be held at the project site. This meeting will be held with the contractor, Development Review Coordinator, Public Service's representative and owner to review the construction schedule and critical aspects of the site work. At that time, the Development Review Coordinator will confirm that the contractor is working from the approved site plan. The site/building contractor shall provide three (3) copies of a detailed construction schedule to the attending City representatives. It shall be the contractor's responsibility to arrange a mutually agreeable time for the pre-construction meeting.
7. **Department of Public Works Permits** If work will occur within the public right-of-way such as utilities, curb, sidewalk and driveway construction, a street opening permit(s) is required for your site. Please contact Carol Merritt at 874-8300, ext. 8828. (Only excavators licensed by the City of Portland are eligible.)
8. **As-Built Final Plans** Final sets of as-built plans shall be submitted digitally to the Planning Division, on a CD or DVD, in AutoCAD format (*.dwg), release AutoCAD 2005 or greater.

The Development Review Coordinator must be notified five (5) working days prior to the date required for final site inspection. The Development Review Coordinator can be reached at the Planning Division at 874-8632. All site plan requirements must be completed and approved by the Development Review Coordinator prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. Please schedule any property closing with these requirements in mind.

If there are any questions, please contact Shukria Wiar at 756-8083 or at shukriaw@portlandmaine.gov

Sincerely,



Elizabeth Boepple, Chair
Portland Planning Board

Attachments:

1. Planning Board Report
2. Performance Guarantee Packet

Electronic Distribution:

Jeff Levine, AICP, Director of Planning and Urban Development
Barbara Barhydt, Development Review Services Manager
Shukria Wiat, Planner
Philip DiPierro, Development Review Coordinator, Planning
Mike Russell, Director of Permitting and Inspections
Ann Machado, Zoning Administrator, Inspections Division
Jonathan Rioux, Inspections Division Deputy Director
Jeanie Bourke, Plan Reviewer/CEO, Inspections Division
Chris Branch, Director of Public Works
Katherine Earley, Engineering Services Manager, Public Works
Bill Clark, Project Engineer, Public Works
Doug Roncarati, Stormwater Coordinator, Public Works
Greg Vining, Associate Engineer, Public Works
Michelle Sweeney, Associate Engineer, Public Works

John Low, Associate Engineer, Public Works
Jane Ward, Administration, Public Services
Rhonda Zazzara, Field Inspection Coordinator, Public Works
Jeff Tarling, City Arborist, Public Works
Jeremiah Bartlett, Public Works
Keith Gautreau, Fire Department
Victoria Morales, Corporation Counsel
Thomas Errico, P.E., TY Lin Associates
Lauren Swett, P.E., Woodard and Curran
Christopher Huff, Assessor
Approval Letter File



PLANNING BOARD REPORT PORTLAND, MAINE

Baxter Academy for Technology and Science
185 Lancaster Street
Level III and Traffic Movement Permit
2017-022
Baxter Academies of Maine, Applicant

Submitted to Portland Planning Board Public Hearing Date: March 28, 2017	Prepared by: Shukria Wiar Date: March 24, 2017
---	---

I. INTRODUCTION

Baxter Academies of Maine, has requested a public hearing with the Planning Board for a Level III Site Plan for a change of use at 185 Lancaster Street and a traffic movement permit. The proposal is to convert most of the building to the Baxter Academy for Technology and Science, a public charter high school, which is an institutional use. The project involves interior renovations to an existing building and only minor site improvements are proposed. The office building has three floors and the most current uses include the Labor Department, Maine Career Center, and THRIVE Me. The site for the proposed Charter School consists of 75,026 SF that is fully developed and the existing three-story building is located in the Mixed Development District B-7 zone. The school is the first public charter school focusing on science, technology, engineering and mathematics.



Figure 1: Aerial of Proposed Baxter Academy Site

The City has the delegated review for the Traffic Movement Permit and the Board will review the submitted Level III site plan for the change of use that is more than 20,000 square feet.

Applicant Name Baxter Academies of Maine
Consultants Will Conway, Sebago Technics


II. PROJECT DATA

Existing Zoning	Mixed Development District B-7
Existing Use	Office Space- Labor Department, Maine Career Center, and THRIVEMe
Proposed Use	Institutional (Public Charter School)
Proposed Development Program	Change of Use from Office Space to Institutional
Parcel Size	75,026 SF

	<i>Existing</i>	<i>Proposed</i>
Building Footprint	70,379 SF	Zero
Building Floor Area	1,054 SF	12,312 SF
Impervious Surface Area	70,379 SF	Zero
Parking Spaces (on site)	149	50
Bicycle Parking Spaces	0	12
Estimated Cost of Project	\$3,000,000	

III. EXISTING CONDITIONS

Baxter Academy is looking to relocate from their current locations at 54 York Street and 561 Congress Street to the



existing two story brick building located at 185 Lancaster Street in Portland's Bayside neighborhood. The 53,779 SF office building sits at the corner of Lancaster and Elm Streets. The associated surface parking is located across Lancaster Street. The Schlotterbeck and Foss development is across Elm Street. The building is a multi-tenant building; the first-floor area was occupied mainly by the Maine Career Center with the remaining area was an office use. The entire second-floor area had been office use. Once the conversion is complete, the building will house the Baxter Academy for Technology and Science Charter School as the primary tenant.

IV. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed site is an existing two-story building with a total floor area of approximately 92,561 SF. The Baxter Academy will lease and remodel 31,571 SF of the building for the expanded charter high school. The site has access from both Lancaster and Elm Streets. The academy is proposing a total of fifty (50) parking spaces in an off-site surface parking lot located across Lancaster Street. The spaces will be reserved exclusively for staff only; parking is not proposed for students at the site.

The school's proposal is to convert much the building into a full four-year high school that focuses on the fields of mathematics, technology, and science. The total enrollment is planned for approximately 400 students and 60 staff members. It is projected that half of the total enrollment will come from Portland, South Portland and Westbrook, with the remaining coming from communities outside of the urban core. School operations will be from 8:00 AM to 2:00 PM, resulting in peak traffic generation associated with the school being between 7-8:00 AM and 2-3:00 PM.

The school will consist mainly of classroom and lab spaces with supporting office spaces. A cafeteria or food preparation area is not proposed at the facility.

The improvements to the building are primarily interior with minor exterior enhancements to upgrade egress, such as




Figure 3: Layout of the new sidewalk and bicycle parking across Lancaster Street.

replacement of existing exterior stairs and guardrails, replacing exterior doors (to match existing) and select exterior windows that have failed seals (to match existing).

Across Lancaster Street, there is a surface parking lot that is associated with the existing uses in the surrounding buildings. Currently there are seven parking spaces that back onto Lancaster Street. Those spaces will be eliminated as part of this project and replaced with a sidewalk and bicycle spaces.

V. PUBLIC COMMENT

As of the date of writing this report, three public comment has been submitted see Attachment 5. As part of the Traffic Movement Permit, the direct abutters were notified and notices for the workshop and public hearing were sent to property owners within 500 feet and to the interested citizen's list. Eighty-four (84) notices were sent to area residents and interested citizen's list. The legal ad appeared in the Portland Press Herald on March 20th and 21st editions. A neighborhood meeting was held on March 1, 2017 and minutes are included as Attachments L and M.

VI. RIGHT, TITLE AND INTEREST AND FINANCIAL/TECHNICAL CAPACITY

a. The owner of the property is Bayside II, LLC. The applicant has provided a copy of a quitclaim deed, recorded at the Cumberland County Registry of Deeds (Book 15298 Page 144), which demonstrates their right, title and interest in the property.

b. The estimated cost of the development is \$3,000,000. The applicant has submitted a financial letter from Nonprofit Finance Fund, dated 01.03.2017, as demonstration of their financial and technical capacity to complete the proposed development.

VII. ZONING ASSESSMENT

The applicant wants to change the use from offices to a new school (a public charter high school). The property is located in a B-7 zone which allows the proposed use under, "Public or private schools of any type". There is no proposed addition to the building with the exception of replacing an exterior stairs and guardrails, as well as replacing exterior doors. Section 14-332.1(i) states that in the B-7 zone, off-street parking for all projects regardless of size, shall be governed by 14-332.2(c) of this article that states the Planning Board is to establish the parking requirement for the project. The applicant is proposing fifty (50) parking spaces for the academy staff.

Under external effects, Section 14-299 Performance Standards (b), (f), (n) and (o) apply to this project. Below is how the project is meeting the standards:

Sec. 14-299. Performance standards: All uses in the B-7 mixed development district zone shall comply with the following standards. Standards 14-299(a),(f),(j),(m),(n) and (o) below shall be reviewed by the Planning Authority.

(b) *Noise:* (A List of standards is itemized)

1. Staff Comments: The maximum noise requirement of 60 decibels at lot boundaries shall be maintained during the "day time" hours. This performance standard is met subject the design specifications for all mechanical equipment being proposed be submitted for review and approval under the B-7 performance standards, prior to the issuance of the related building permit.

(f) *Off-street parking and loading:* Off-street parking is required as provided in division 20 (off-street parking) of this article. Division 21 (off-street loading) of this article shall not apply. Surface parking shall meet the following standards:

1. None of the spaces shall occupy all or a portion of a sidewalk within a street right-of-way.

Staff Comments: The loading and unloading of buses is proposed at the front entrance on Lancaster Street, which minimizes traffic impacts on the street system. The surface parking lots do not occupy any portions of the sidewalks. The lots are setback from the property lines. This performance standard is met.

2. Driveway entrances shall meet the City of Portland Technical Manual standards.

Staff Comments: The existing entrance to the surface parking lot meets City standards.

3. The parking area shall meet the landscape and buffer standards of the City of Portland Technical and Design Manuals.

Staff Comments: The surface parking area is an existing lot. The seven parking spaces that back out on Lancaster Street will be eliminated as part of this project and replaced with a sidewalk, bicycle spaces, and some landscaping. The rest of the surface parking lot will not be improved. The improvements meet city standards.

(n) *Lighting:* All lighting shall be designed and installed with cut-off fixtures to direct illumination onto the site and to prevent illumination from such fixtures on neighboring properties and as otherwise governed by the site lighting standards of the City of Portland Technical Manual.

Staff Comments: As part of this project, no new lighting is being proposed. If new exterior lighting is proposed it is subject to review under Portland standards in the Technical Manual.

(o) *Building entrances:* All buildings shall have a minimum of one (1) public pedestrian entrance facing the street frontage of the lot. Such building entrances shall also be reviewed under the B-7 bayside design standards.

Staff Comments: The building has two main entrances for the public. One of the entrances is on Lancaster Street and one is to the side of the building off of Elm Street. The entrances are highly visible from the street right-of-way, so this performance standard has been met.

All HVAC equipment shall meet the sound level requirements listed under 14-299 (b). Separate permits are required through Inspection Services for HVAC systems. Such application(s) shall provide HVAC dBA equipment levels. Separate permits are required for any new exterior signs.

The number of required parking spaces is determined by the Planning Board under the B-7 zone. Please refer to the discussion of parking discussion below under the Site Plan Standards.

VIII. SITE PLAN STANDARDS (Section 14-526)

The proposed development has been reviewed by staff for conformance with the relevant review standards of Portland's site plan ordinance and applicable regulations. Staff comments are listed below.

1. Transportation Standards

The proposed charter school will generate a total of 200 peak vehicle trips during the week and therefore this change of use requires a Traffic Movement Permit (TMP), which the City may issue under delegated review. The applicant had a scoping meeting on February 23, 2017 with Tom Errico, Consultant Traffic Engineer. Since this meeting, Mr. Errico and the applicant have been in constant discussion to finalize the TMP, below are his recommendations:

- *Based upon traffic estimates conducted by the applicant, the project will generate new traffic that triggers the need for a Traffic Movement Permit. A Traffic Scoping meeting was held on February 23, 2016. In response to the scoping meeting a traffic assessment was conducted by Bill Bray. I have reviewed the details of the traffic assessment and I find the contents, methods and conclusions to be acceptable. I would note that the key directive to the applicant was developing a Transportation Operations and Management Plan and a Transportation Demand Management Plan. Details on these plans are provided below.*
- *In response to a coordination meeting with Bill Bray, the applicant has submitted a Response to Traffic Comments letter dated March 19, 2017. I concur with the details of that letter as it relates to elimination of the proposed parking bay on the south side of Lancaster Street; using Lancaster Street for bus loading and unloading activities; and identification of specific locations for parent pick-up and drop-off activities. I would note that the applicant would be responsible for all costs associated with changes to parking signage and removal/relocation of parking meters. The applicant shall submit a detailed plan that summarizes changes for review and approval by the City Planning Authority. The letter notes that student vehicle parking needs will be accommodated in the Marginal Way Rideshare Lot. The applicant has indicated that a specific parking lot location is still pending and details of an identified location will need to be a condition of approval. Based upon the Traffic Assessment, 36 vehicles will be generated by the student body and therefore the condition of approval should note this supply requirement. I would note that according to staffing projections, the provision of 50 parking spaces will be provided on the parking lot on the south side of Lancaster Street and I find this supply to be reasonable.*
- *I find the contents and recommendations contained in the Transportation Operations and Management Plan to be acceptable. I would suggest that the first monitoring period take place 6 months after occupancy. Details of the monitoring scope of work shall be coordinated with the City Planning Authority.*

a. Impact on Surrounding Street Systems/ Access and Circulation

The proposed Baxter Academy site will be accessed from the proposed entrances on both Elm and Lancaster Streets. The applicant was proposing that the route for school buses will be arriving from Chestnut Street, onto Lancaster, and then load/unloading curbside on the east side of the street in a designated School Drop-Off Zone at the main entrance to the building. The route for arriving parents to drop off their students will be on Elm Street, with a couple of spaces on Lancaster Street, behind the bus drop-off area. Employees will park in a designed staff parking lot located at the corner of Lancaster and Elm Street. Mr. Errico has reviewed the plan and finds it acceptable ... “I concur with the details of that letter as it relates to elimination of the proposed parking bay on the south side of Lancaster Street; using Lancaster Street for bus loading and unloading activities; and identification of specific locations for parent pick-up and drop-off activities”.

b. Parking

The academy will lease a total of fifty (50) parking spaces in an off-site surface parking lot located across Lancaster Street. The spaces will be reserved exclusively for staff only; parking will not be provided for students at the proposed site by the school. The Traffic Engineer is recommending that an additional parking spaces be provided for students, so the total number of required spaces for this use would be eighty-six (86). Below is condition of approval that Mr. Errico has recommended:

- *Based upon the Traffic Assessment, 36 vehicles will be generated by the student body and therefore the condition of approval should note this supply requirement. I would note that according to staffing projections, the provision of 50 parking spaces will be provided on the parking lot on the south side of Lancaster Street and I find this supply to be reasonable.*

Bicycle Parking

Currently, there are no bicycle parking facilities on site. The applicant is proposing to install six downtown "lollipop" bicycle racks for a total of twelve (12) parking spaces on Lancaster Street along the new proposed

sidewalk. In their TDM plan, it states “[i]f demand warrants additional facilities, the school is committed to providing them”.

c. Transportation Demand Management (TDM)

A transportation demand management plan has been submitted. According to the plan (Attachment R), the School is encouraging variety of transportation options for students and staff. These options include charter buses, providing incentives for commuters on the METRO (Metro 8) or South Portland Bus services, and encouraging carpooling, walking, and biking. Baxter Academy has submitted a trip assignment model, prepared for all site trips impacting the street system directly adjacent to the proposed project site, see below:

400 Students and 60 Staff	Trips
40% of students (152) ride 3 chartered buses	3
25% of students (100) commute with an adult:	
• 40 students travel as a single occupant in vehicle with adult	80
• 20 students travel with a second student and adult	20
• 15 students travel with two other students and adult	10
• 25 students travel with adult to work site and walk to school	0
• 18% of students (72) use public transportation	0
• 9% of students (36) drive personal auto and park off-site	0
• 6% of students (24) car pool with fellow student and park off-site	0
• 3% of students (12) walk	0
• 1% of students (4) bike	0
• 85% of students (51) will drive to site and park in Lancaster Street parking lot	51
• 15 of students (9) will use other modes of transportation	0
Total Trips Impacting Street System	164

According to the chart, 109 trips of the total 164 peak trips, are expected to arrive at the site; the remaining 55 trips are parents leaving or dropping-off students. Mr. Errico has reviewed the document and offers the following comments:

- *I find the contents and recommendations contained in the Transportation Demand Management Plan to be acceptable. I would suggest that the first monitoring period take place 6 months after occupancy. Details of the monitoring scope of work shall be coordinated with the City Planning Authority.*

2. Environmental Quality Standards

a. Landscaping

The seven spaces that back out on Lancaster Street will be eliminated as part of this project and replaced with a sidewalk, bicycle spaces, and landscaping. This area may be the only opportunity for landscaping on the proposed site; the rest of the surface parking lot will not be improved. Jeff Tarling has reviewed the proposed landscaping and finds it acceptable.

b. Water Quality, Storm Water Management and Erosion Control

The project consists of converting the existing an existing building into the Baxter Academy for Technology and Science; no building additions are proposed. The existing site is completely impervious; therefore, the project will not create any new impervious areas. Lauren Swett, Consultant Engineer with Woodard and Curran, reviewed the development plans and had come minor comments:

1. *The Applicant has provided a copy of the City of Portland Wastewater Capacity Application within the submission. A copy of the letter confirming capacity to serve should be forwarded upon receipt.*

2. *The Applicant should provide additional detail pertaining to stairway upgrades along the northwestern side of the building on Kennebec Street. Specifically, will any regrading or pavement replacement be required? The area appears to be close to the right-of-way, and potential impacts due to construction should be reflected. A site plan should reflect any of these changes or impacts.*
3. *The project is primarily an internal building upgrade, and will not be required to provide a Stormwater Management Plan. The project is still required to comply with the Basic Standards, and provide erosion and sedimentation control as necessary. The project will have some minor site disturbance. Catch basin protection should be provided in drainage structures in the vicinity of the project. Housekeeping notes should be provided to ensure that sweeping is completed as necessary to prevent tracking from disturbed areas of the site onto adjacent roadways.*

3. Public Infrastructure and Community Safety Standards

a. Sidewalk

There are existing sidewalks on Lancaster and Elm Streets around the building. Across Lancaster Street, there is a surface parking, which serves the existing uses in the surrounding buildings. Currently, there are seven parking spaces that back onto Lancaster Street. Those spaces will be eliminated as part of this project and replaced with a sidewalk and bicycle spaces.

b. Public Safety and Fire Prevention

Captain Keith Gautreau of Fire Department has reviewed the submitted site plans and finds the proposed site plan to be acceptable.

c. Availability and Adequate Capacity of Public Utilities

The current building has public utilities that are available at the site. The applicant has submitted a capacity letters from Portland Water District but will need a capacity letter from Department of Public Works. A copy of the letter confirming capacity to serve should be forwarded upon receipt. The school does not intend on having a kitchen and lunch will be outsourced to a local vender.

4. Site Design Standards

a. Snow and Ice Loading

Snow and Ice Loading area has not been shown on the site plan. According to the applicant, the owner of the building currently has and will continue to remove snow from the parking lot through a snow plow contract with Seabreeze Property Services. The academy is aware that they will be responsible for snow removal on site.

b. Historic Resources

The project site is not located in a historic district; therefore, no review is required.

c. Exterior Lighting

The project is not proposing any new lighting fixtures and they intend to utilize the existing fixtures on site. Any proposed new exterior lighting would require review under Portland's Technical Manual.

d. Signage and Wayfinding

A traffic signage and wayfinding plan has been submitted for review. Mr. Errico reviewed the site plan and finds the plan acceptable with the following comments:

- *I would note that the specific on-street regulatory signage is not acceptable and details of parking restriction wording will be determined in conjunction of the City Parking Division.*
- *I would note that the applicant would be responsible for all costs associated with changes to parking signage and removal/relocation of parking meters. The applicant shall submit a detailed plan that summarizes changes for review and approval by the City Planning Authority.*

e. Zoning Related Design Standards

The proposal is a reuse of an existing building. There are no additions or alterations being proposed to the building.

IX. PLANNING BOARD PROPOSED MOTIONS

TRAFFIC MOVEMENT PERMIT

On the basis of the application, plans, reports and other information submitted by the applicant, findings and recommendations contained in Planning Board Report for application #2017-022 relevant to the Traffic Movement Permit and the testimony presented at the Planning Board hearing, the Planning Board finds the plan **is/ is not** in conformance with the standards for Traffic Movement Permit application for Baxter Academy for Technology and Science and **grants/does not grant** a permit subject to the following conditions:

1. As part of the Transportation Operations and Management Plan, the first monitoring period shall be conducted six months after the certificate of occupancy. The details of the monitoring scope of work shall be coordinated with the Planning Division.
2. As part of the Transportation Demand Management Plan, the first monitoring period shall be conducted six months after the certificate of occupancy. The details of the monitoring scope of work shall be coordinated with the Planning Division.
3. Based upon the Traffic Assessment, the proposed fifty (50) parking spaces are adequate to meet staff parking demands and it has been determined that an additional thirty-six (36) vehicle spaces are warranted for students. Thus a total of eighty-six (86) parking spaces are required for the proposed use. The applicant shall provide the additional thirty-six (36) spaces prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
4. The applicant shall submit signage and wayfinding plan that address the details of parking restriction and changes for review and approval by the Planning and Parking Divisions. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with changes to parking signage and removal/relocation of parking meters.

A. SITE PLAN REVIEW

On the basis of the application, plans, reports and other information submitted by the applicant, findings and recommendations contained in Planning Board Report for application #2017-022 relevant to the Site Plan and other regulations, and the testimony presented at the Planning Board hearing, the Planning Board finds that the plan **is / is not** in conformance with the site plan standards of the land use code, subject to the following conditions to be met prior to the issuance of a building permit unless otherwise stated:

1. That the applicant shall submit confirmation of ability to serve sewer letter DPS to the Planning Division prior to the issuance of a building permit; and
2. The project shall comply with the Basic Standards of Chapter 500 and provide erosion and sedimentation control on site as necessary; and
3. The Applicant shall provide additional detail pertaining to stairway upgrades along the northwestern side of the building on Kennebec Street, specifically, any regrading or pavement replacement, and potential impacts due to construction shall be reflected on the final site plan; and
5. Based upon the Traffic Assessment, the proposed fifty (50) parking spaces are adequate to meet staff parking demands and it has been determined that an additional thirty-six (36) vehicle spaces are warranted for students. Thus a total of eighty-six (86) parking spaces are required for the proposed use. The applicant shall provide the additional thirty-six (36) spaces prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

4. The applicant shall submit signage and a wayfinding plan that address the details of parking restriction and changes for review and approval by the Planning and Parking Divisions. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with changes to parking signage and removal/relocation of parking meters.
5. All HVAC equipment shall meet the sound level requirements listed under 14-299 (b). Separate permits are required through Inspection Services for HVAC systems. Such application(s) shall provide HVAC dBA equipment levels. Separate permits are also required for any new exterior signs from the Department of Permitting and Inspections.

ATTACHMENTS:

PLANNING BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENTS

1. Traffic Engineer review (memo from Thomas Errico, 03.23.2017)
2. Department of Public Services review (memo from Lauren Swett, 02.27.2017)
3. Fire Department (memo from Keith Gautreau, 03.23.2017)
4. Public Comments
 - PC1 Jay York 2-14-17
 - PC2 Sarah Michniewicz
 - PC3 Bayside Neighborhood Association

APPLICANT'S SUBMITTAL

- A. Baxter Level III Site Plan Application
- B. Site Plan Submission Narrative
- C. Authorization letter
- D. Financial Capacity Letter
- E. Landlord Authorization
- F. Wastewater Capacity Application
- G. CMP Ability to Serve Letter
- H. PWD Ability to Serve Determination – 2016
- I. Code review - IBC and NFPA
- J. HVAC Letter
- K. Trash Removal
- L. Baxter Neighborhood Meeting
- M. Baxter Academy Neighborhood Meeting Minutes-FINAL
- N. Baxter - B7 Performance Standards
- O. Bayside II Deed
- P. Bayside II LLC - Title Policy includes 185 Lancaster Street
- Q. Landry French Stair Excavation Sketch
- R. Baxter Academy TDM Plan - FINAL 030817
- S. TDM Plan Updated on March 19th per Errico's comments approved by Team
- T. Baxter Academy - Site Plan Comments Responses
- U. Baxter TMP Letter
- V. Baxter TMP
- W. Traffic Assessment- Updated
- X. Traffic Operations Movement Plan
- Y. Transportation Operation and Management Plan
- Z. Response to Tom Errico Comment

PLANS

Plan 1 DEMO Plan

- Plan 2 Existing Conditions Plan
- Plan 3 Site Plan
- Plan 4 Preliminary Sketch-Baxter
- Plan 5 Grading Plan
- Plan 6 Bayside II- Survey Plan
- Plan 7 S1 SITE PLAN
- Plan 8 A1 BUILDING USE PLAN
- Plan 9 A1-1 FIRST FLOOR PLAN
- Plan10 A1-2 SECOND FLOOR PLAN
- Plan11 A2 BUILDING ELEVATIONS
- Plan12 Baxter Academy RTU Layout Plan
- Plan13 Construction Management Plan