Form # P 04 DISPLAY THIS CARD ON PRINCIPAL	FRONTAGE OF WORK
Please Read Application And Notes, If Any, Attached	Permit Number: 056026_ 7 2006
This is to certify that PORMAN ONE 2004 LP /C & B Construction Mgmt & B	ers, CITY OF PODTLAND
has permission toFOUNDATION_ONLY-When Foods Name	
AT 160 FOX ST	024_D001001
of the provisions of the Statutes of the and of the Provision at the Statutes of the Construction, maintenance and the of buildings and this department.	epting this permit shall comply with all inces of the City of Portland regulating ictures, and of the application on file in
Apply to Public Works for street line and grade if nature of work requires such information.	A certificate of occupancy must be procured by owner before this build- ing or part thereof is occupied.
OTHER REQUIRED APPROVALS	
Fire Dept. (17-2 (18-8) [-16-66	
Appeal Roard	
Other	(1 h / lugu 2/1/a
	Director - Building & Inspection Services

* \

• ...

PENALTY FOR REMOVING THIS CARD

			Permit No: Issue Da	BAIT IS OFFICE
			06-0026	-024-D0010D1
Location of Construction:)wner Name:		Dwner Address:	Phone:
160 FOX ST	PORMAN O	NE 2004 LP	600 CONGRESS AVE ST	5°400 - 7° 2006
Business Name:	Contractor Nan	ne:	Contractor Address:	Phone
	CM & B Cor	struction Mgmt & Buil	o KIMDAH LAHE Lynifield	OF POPTI7812469400
Lessee/Buyer's Name	'hone:		Permit Type:	Zope:
			Foundation Only/Commerce	cial BS
Past Use:	Proposed Use:		Permit Fee: Cost of W	ork: CEO District:
Commercial CBL 024 D	001 & Commercial/	FOUNDATION		1
024 D002	ONLY-Who	le Foods Market	FIRE DEPT:	INSPECTION:
	Connected w	/ Permit #06-002/	Denied	Use Groups Type:
			Sand This	C) AILY
			are conditions	
Poposed I roject D				
FOUNDATION ONLY-	Whole Foods Market		Signature Lerco LASS	Signature: My Muy
			Action: Approved A	nerousd w/Conditions Donied
			Action. Approved Action	Approved w/Conditions Demed
			Signature:	Date:
Permit Taken By:	Date Applied For:	1	Signature:	Date:
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006]	Signature:	Date:
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revi	Signature:	Date: Val Historic Preservation
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie	Action.	Understand Date: Val Historic Preservation Vot in District or Landmar
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie	Action.	Understand Date: Val Historic Preservation Image: Not in District or Landmar
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Bevie	Action.	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie	ActionApproved Approved	Historic Preservation Image: Not in District or Landmar Image: Does Not Require Review
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie	Action. Approved F Signature: Zoning Approved ews Zoning Appeal Quarter of the second s	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review Requires Review
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Bevie Shoreland M Wetland Flood Zone Pare Zone	Action. Approved F Signature: Zoning Approved ews Zoning Appeal Overside Miscellaneous Overside Overside	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review Requires Review
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie Shoreland NAAA Wetland Flood Zone Pre- Table Construction	Action. Approved P Signature: Zoning Approved ews Zoning Appeal Quarter of the second s	Approved w/Conditions Date: Date: Val Historic Preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Not in District or Landmar Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condition of the preservation Image: Condit the preservation Image: Condit
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie	Action. Approved F Signature: Zoning Approv ews Zoning Appeal Quarter of the second sec	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review Requires Review Approved
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie Shoreland NAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	Action. Approved F Signature: Zoning Approv ews Zoning Appeal Ovariance Ovariance Ovariance Ovariance Ovariance Ovariance Ovariance Ovariance Image: Conditional Use Ovariance Image: Conditional Use Interpretation Image: Conditional Use Ovariance	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review Requires Review Approved Approved w/Conditions
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie Shoreland NAAA Wetland Flood Zone Pore Zone Subdivision Site Plan H ZOO 4-0 Main Content	Action. Approved F Signature: Zoning Approv ews Zoning Appeal Quarter of the second sec	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review Requires Review Approved Approved w/Conditions
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie	Action. Approved F Signature: Zoning Approv ews Zoning Appeal Quarter of the second sec	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review Requires Review Approved Approved w/Conditions Denied
Permit Taken By: ldobson	Date Applied For: 01/06/2006	Special Zone or Revie Shoreland N// Wetland Flood Zone Pre Zone Subdivision Site Plan H ZOC 4-C Maj Minor MM JC W WCCC	Action. Approved F Signature: Zoning Approv ews Zoning Appeal Variance Variance Miscellaneous Onditional Use Interpretation Approved 2.25 Denied Market 4	Date: val Historic Preservation Not in District or Landmar Does Not Require Review Requires Review Approved Approved w/Conditions Denied

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am the owner of record of the named property, or that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his authorized agent and I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in the application is issued, I certify that the code official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by such permit at any reasonable hour to enforce the provision of the code(s) applicable to such permit.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT	ADDRESS	DATE	PHONE
RESPONSIBLE PERSON IN CHARGE OF WORK, TITLE		DATE	PHONE

General Building Permit Application



If you or the property owner owes real estate **or** personal property taxes or user charges on any **p**roperty within the City, payment arrangements must be made before permits of any kind are accepted.

Location/Address of Construction:	AZTERATI-
Total Square Footage of Proposed Structure So	quare Footage of Lot
46,225 SF	4.58A = 199,5055F
Tax Assessor's Chart, Block & Lot Owner:	Telephone: (781)
Chart# Block# Lot#	FOODS NARKET FROM PATIES 831-
24 / 1+2 OUSTIN	1. X 79703 0337
Lessee/Buyer's Name (If Applicable) Applicant name	e, address & telephone: Cost Of
NHAE	FORS NORKE Work: \$ 100,000
izs cant	SZILLE FARKTE. GZI
ATTN: R	SECTIONNEL
(78)	1) 831-9337 C of O Fee: \$
Current Specific use: STOPPOCE BUSIN	Etho
If vacant, what was the previous use?	
Proposed Specific use:	
Project description:	
CONSTRUCTION OF PRE	OPOSED (120/152)
STORE - FOUNDATIONS	ENLY.
	•
Contractor's name, address & telephone:	DON MALES END
BULDERS	, INC TANAGENENT AND
Who should we contact when the permit is ready:	22 A ANDORSON
Mailing address: Phone: Phone: Phone:	240.400
6 KINDALL LANE	
FINNFIED, NH CHAO	
Please submit all of the information outlined in the Co	ommercial Application Checklist.
Failure to do so will result in the automatic denial of your	our permit.
CITY OF BUILDING	
in order to be sure the City ruly understands the rule scope were pre-	ect, the Planning and Development Department may
www.portlandmaine.gov, stop by the Building Inspections office, ropm	a 315 City Hall or call 874-8703.
UAN - 6 2000	
I hereby certify that I am the Owner of record of the named property, or that t	the owner of record authorizes the proposed work and that I have
been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized ag	gent. I agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction.
In addition, if a permit for work described in this application is assued, i certify authority to enter all areas covered by this permit at any ceasonable your to enfi	that the Code Official's authorized representative shall have the arce the provisions of the codes applicable to this permit.
Signature of applicant:	Date: 1/A1 5 2 - 1-
TH TH	Lam. NEIN. 2 LOOSE
η \checkmark \sim	

This is not a permit; you may not commence ANY work until the permit is issued.

City of Portland, M	aine - Building or Use Permit		Permit No:	Date Applied For:	CBL:
389 Congress Street, 04	4101 Tel: (207) 874-8703, Fax: (207) 874-8716	06-0026	01/06/2006	024 D001001
Location of Construction:	Owner Name:		wner Address:		Phone:
160FOX ST	PORMAN ONE 2004	LP	500 CONGRESS A	VE STE 400	
Business Name:	Contractor Name:	C	Contractor Address:		Phone
	CM & B Construction	Mgmt & Buil 6	5 Kimball Lane Ly	nnfield	(781) 246-9400
Lessee/Buyer's Name	Phone:	P	ermit Type:		
			Foundation Only/	Commercial	
Proposed Use:		Proposed	Project Description:		
Commercial/ FOUNDAT	TION ONLY-Whole Foods Market	FOUNI	DATION ONLY-V	Whole Foods Market	
Connected w/ Permit #06	5-0027				
Dept: Fire	Status: Approved with Conditions	Reviewer:	Cptn Greg Cass	Approval Da	ate: 01/16/2006
Note:	11		1 0		Okto Issue: 🗹
1) Project requires State	Fire Marshals approval				
1) Floject lequiles state					
2) All building construc	tion top comply with NFPA 101				
3) Fire Alarm system to	comply with NFPA 72				
4) Sprinkler system to c	omply with NFPA 13				
Dept: Fire	Status: Pending	Reviewer:	Cptn Greg Cass	Approval Da	ate:
Note:					Ok to Issue:
1) A fire protection plan	needs to be submitted.				
Showing All access a	nd egress.				
A Life safety plan wi	ll be required building permit approv	al			
	n oo reden oo oonong bernin abbrow				
Dept: DRC	Status: Approved with Conditions	Reviewer:	Rick Knowland	Approval Da	ate: 01/08/2005
Note:					Ok to Issue: 🗹
1) 1. See Planning Divis	sion conditions of approval.				
Dept: Planning	Status: Approved with Conditions	Reviewer:	Rick Knowland	Approval Da	ate: 02/08/2005
Note:					Ok to Issue:

Location of Construction:	Owner Name:		Owner Address:	Phone:
160 FOX ST	PORMAN ONE 2004	LP	600 CONGRESS AVE STE 400	
Business Name:	Contractor Name:		Contractor Address:	Phone
	CM & B Construction	Mgmt & Buil	6 Kimball Lane Lynnfield	(781)246-9400
.essee/Buyer's Name	Phone:		Permit Type:	-
			Foundation Only/Commercial	

2. That all exterior signs shall be reviewed and approved by Planning Staff.

3. That a sewer capacity letter substantiating the ability to serve this project shall be obtained from Public Works.

4. That the design plans for all roadway and interesection improvements including the median barrier at the right-in right-out entrance on Somerset Street and the on-site raised island barrier at the Somerset Street driveway shall be reviewed and approved by City Staff.

5. Developer shall contribute \$50,000 toward the cost of Marginal Way improvements and construct a median barrier at the right-in right-out entrance on Somerset Street.

6. Site plan shall be revised for planning staff review and approval reflecting a sidewalk along Franklin Street (within the public street right-of-way) that has a straight alignment rather than the curvilinear alignment shown on the plan. Brick sidewalks shall be installed along Franklin Street unless the City's sidewalk policy is changed to allow a different sidewalk material.

7. That a drainage maintenance agreement in the name of the new developer shall be submitted for City staff review and approval.

8. Prior to ordering and installation of the Holophane street light fixtures, Developer shall contact the the Planning Office (Rick Knowland, tel. No. 874-8725) to confirm the appropriate color of the light fixture and pole.

9. Trees within the public sidewalk along Somerset Street and Pearl Street shall be be planted in granite framed planters as proposed on the approved plan rather than tree grates.

10. That the public sidewalk along Fox/Somerset Street abutting the outside cafe shall be a minimum seven (7) feet wide at its closest point to the cafe unless otherwise approved in writing by the Planning Division to a lesser width.

11. Unless otherwise approved in writing by the City, the proposed building shall not be located within the City of Portland sewer easement adjacent to Franklin Street. In addition the proposed transformer shall not be located in the City street right-of-way nor the City sewer easement.

12. Applicant shall address all review comments of the Engineering Review Consultant which shall be submitted to Stephen Bushey for review and approval.

13. The footprint area of the phase 2 retail/office building shall be reserved for that purpose and shall not be used for parking.

14. An easement shall be reserved to the City for the storm drain that runs through the old rail easement on the site. Said easement shall be submitted for review and approval by Public Works. Contact Eric Labelle.

Comments:

1/6/2006-ldobson: Per Mike permit taken without funds. Lannie



General Building Permit Application

If you or the property owner owes real estate or personal property taxes or user charges on any property within the City, payment arrangements must be made before permits of any kind are accepted.

Location/Address of Construction:	LIN ST	APTERIT-	RAZ-SI.
Total Square Footage of Proposed Structure		Square Footage of Lot	
46,225 SF		4.58A =	199,5055F
Tax Assessor's Chart, Block & Lot Chart# Block# Lot# ZA D 1+Z	Owner: VIHONE DDD F COVST	FORSNARKEST	Telephone: (781) 831- 0337
Lessee/Buyer's Name (If Applicable)	Applicant na WHAF 125 CAN CANBER	Ime, address & telephone:	Cost Of Work: \$ 100,000 TE, Free: \$ 721
Current Specific use: STORT SPECIFIC USE: STORT SPECIFIC USE: STORT SPECIFIC USE: MERCENTER SPECIFIC USE: MERCENTER SPECIFIC USE: STORT SPECIFIC USE: SPEC	F P	2070550	C of O Fee: \$
Contractor'sname, address & telephone:		ELET A AND BIZILIZIO	5571547 AND 525501 2
LYNNRED, NA DA	40		

Please submit all of the information outlined in the Commercial Application Checklist. Failure to do so will result in the automatic denial of your permit.

In order to be sure the City fully understands the full scope of the project, the **Planning** and Development Department may request additional information prior to the issuance of a permit. For further information visit us on-line at <u>www.portlandmaine.gov</u>, stop by the Building Inspections office.room 315 City Hall or call 874–8703.

I hereby certify that I am the Owner of record of the named property, or that the **owner** of record authorizes the proposed **work** and that I have been authorized by the **owner** to **make this** application **as** his/her authorized agent. I agree to conform to **all** applicable laws of this jurisdiction. In addition, if a permit for work described in this application is issued, I certify that the Code Official's authorized representative shall have the authority to enter all areas covered by this permit at any r asonable hour to enforce the provisions of the codes applicable to this permit.

Signature of applicant:	Date: VAN. 5, Zook

This is not a permit; you may not commence ANY work util the permit is issued.

Applicant: Form An ONE 2004 LD ale: 1/19/06 Address: 160 Fox ST 4-D-00/-2 CHECK-LIST AGAINST ZONING ORDINANCE Date - Existing Bldgs on Site to Be Demoed sepermit # 06-0027 #06-0026 Zone Location - K Interior or corner lot for Wholstonds gracery Store (onlyt Proposed Use/Work - Found Servage Disposal -Lot Street Frontage - No Min Ver Septrate permits required Front Yard for The Retail office build Rear Yard - NONE Fey Side Yard -Projections -Width of Lot - NA Height - 65 max - 40 Scale Lot Area - None Frq-No mm Lot Coverage/ Impervious Surface - 100% Nowe Area per Family -Off-street Parking - None required per 2000 Loading Bays - 46,000 mpl-3 bading BAYS Show Sile Plan - MAJOr It 200 4-0225 Shoreland Zoning/Stream Protection - N, Flood Plains Appel 13 Zone C Note: Project Approved prior to changes in Zoning Parking to be 35 from St. Imes - (went to effect





Report on Subsurface and Foundation Investigation

Proposed Somerset Marketplace Portland, Maine

for

BL Companies 355 Research Parkway Meriden, CT 06450

October 10, 2005

Gne Chabot Street, P.C. Box 1339. Westbrook, Maine 04098-1339 Ph. 207-956-0277 Fax 856-2246

الأوجع ليحود فالمحافظ فأعساق

08:43 SEBAGO TECHNICS → 207 756 8090

NO.997 D

ubagoleoishka.com One Chaute Christ PC: Day 1300 Westbrook: Maine 04/08-1009 Ph. 207-856-0277 Fax 856-2206

October 11, 2005 04187

02/07/2006

Mr. Allan Rice BL Companies 355 Research Parkway Meriden. CT 06450

Report on Subsurface and Foundation Investigation Proposed Somerset Marketplace, Portland, Maine

Dear Mr. Rice:

This report presents the results of our subsurface and foundation investigation for the proposed Somerset Marketplace in Portland, Maine.

In summary, it is our opinion that the proposed buildings may be supported on spread footings baring on improved fill or on compacted structural fill placed after excavation and removal of unsuitable soils. In addition, slabs-on-grade may be used for the lowest floor levels. Specific recommendations regarding foundation design and construction considerations are presented below.

Introduction

The approximately 4.5-acre parcel is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Franklin Arterial with Fox/Somerset Street. The parcel is presently occupied by two buildings having plan areas of approximately 54,000 square feet for the east building and 59,000 square feet for the west building. We were not able to determine the foundation types for the existing buildings, but anticipate that they are supported on spread footings with slabs-on-grade. The remainder of the parcel is paved with bituminous concrete. site grades vary from approximately El. 8.0 to 10.0, and the lowest ground floors of the buildings are at El. 13.75. An abandoned railroad spur is located between the buildings.

Proposed Construction

The proposed new structures will be two-story buildings having plan areas of approximately 46,000 square feet and 8,000 square feet, respectively. The larger building will overlie approximately the northern half of the 54,000 square foot building and extend approximately 60 feet beyond the north side of the existing building and 20 feet beyond the east side. The lowest floor level is at El. 11.5. The portions of the new building beyond the limits of the existing structure will have a raise-in-grade of approximately 2.0 feet. The smaller building

Add Section

s gripping into the state

will overlic a small portion of the north ade of the oxisting 59,000 square foot building. The lowest floor is at EL 10.0. The northons of the new building beyond the limits of the existing structure will have a raise-in-grade of approximately 1.0 foot. We anticipate that the buildings will be steel framed with masonry and brick exterior watts. Site development will include access roads and paved parking.

Subsurface Explorations

2004 Borings

On May 26 and 27, 2004. Maine Test Borings, Inc. (MTB) drilled four borings, B1 to B4, at the four corners of the proposed larger building as shown on Sheet 1, Subsurface Exploration Plan MTB drilled the borings to depths below ground surface varying from 32.0 feet to 82.0 feet. Sebago Technics. Inc. (Sebago Technics) monitored the test borings and prepared the logs included in Appendix A. Table I summarizes the results of test borings. MTB backfilled the borings with the drilled soil and placed a bituminous patch at the surface of B1 and B2.

The borings were drilled using 2.4-inch I.D. bollow stem augers. Field vane shear tests were conducted at various depths in the clay stratum. Soil samples were typically recovered at nominal 5 foot intervals. Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were conducted in accordance with ASTM procedures.

Sebago Technics determined the locations of test borings by taping from the existing structure. We determined the ground surface elevations at test borings by survey methods.

2005 Borings

During September 26 to 28, 2005, MTB drilled four borings, B101 to B104, at locations shown on Sheet 1. MTB drilled the borings to depths below ground surface varying from 62.0 feet to 72.0 feet. Sebago Technics monitored the borings and prepared the logs included in Appendix B. MTB backfilled the borings with the drilled soil and placed a bituminous patch at the surface of all borings.

The borings were drilled using 2.5-inch I.D. hollow stem augers. Field vane shear tests were conducted at various depths in the clay stratum. Soil samples were typically recovered at nominal 5 foot intervals. Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were conducted in accordance with ASTM procedures.

Sebago Technics determined the locations and ground surface elevations of borings by survey methods,

The test boring logs and related information depict subsurface conditions and water levels only at their specific locations at the time indicated on the logs Soil conditions at other locations may differ From conditions at these locations. Also, the passage of time may result in a change in groundwater conditions at exploration locations. Str. Laborer

Petrane 19 CONF.

Supsarrace Conditions

The test borings encountered four principal soil units: fill, harbor bottom deposits, marine deposits, and glacial (ill deposits. Encountered chickness and generalized descriptions of the soil units are presented below in order of increasing depth below ground surface. Due to the complexity of the deposition process, strata thickness will vary and may be absent at specific locations.

Fill - Fill consists of loose to dense, brown to gray brown, well-graded SAND with gravel (SW); to silty SAND (SM) with various amounts of gravel, ash and brick Encountered thickness varied from 6.0 feet to 11.5 feet.

Harbor Bottom Deposits - The harbor bottom deposits consist of loose tu medium dense, gray silty SAND (SM); to soft, gray sandy SILT (ML) with shells Encountered thickness varied from 2.8 feet to 9.0 feet.

Marine Deposit - The marine deposit consists of sand and clay. There is a marine sand sub-layer within the clay straum. The upper portion of the clay straum consists of soft to stiff, gray lean CLAY (CL), trace fine sand and frequent black streaks. Encountered thickness varied from 9.3 feet to 36.0feet. The upper marine sand sub-layer consists of very loose to medium dense, gray silty SAND (SM); to dense, gray well-graded SAND with gravel (SW). Encountered thickness varied from 2.1 feet to 12.0 feet. The lower portion cf the clay stratum consists of soft to medium stiff, gray lean CLAY (CL). Field vane shear strength of the clay portions of the marine deposit varied from 220 pounds per squate foot (psf) to 1,860 psf. A lower sand sub-layer, consisting of very loose to medium dense, gray silty SAND with gravel (SM) was encountered below the clay in borings B1 and 83. Encountered thickness varied from 2.8 feet to 5.0 feet.

Glacial Till Deposit - The glacial till consists of loose to medium dense, gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), with cobbles and boulders. Borings penetrated up to 12.5 feet into the glacial till.

Groundwater was encountered in the borings at depths below ground surface varying from 6.8 feet to 27.0 feet. Observations of water were made over a relatively short period of time and may not reflect the stabilized groundwater level. In addition, water levels will vary with precipitation, season, temperature and construction activity in the area. Therefore, water levels during and following construction will vary from those measured in the borings.

Engineering Properties of LI Marine Clay

The stress history of the clay deposit, as developed from correlations with shear strength of similar clays in the area, is summarized on Figure 1. The undrained shear strength of the clay stratum was determined by field wane shear tests in the borings. Measured undrained shear strength varied from 220 psf to 1,860 psf. The stress history of the deposit was estimated by comparing the measured undrained shear strength with correlations for strength and stress history of clay from other projects with similar conditions

e da de la cara

000599891 (49) (19395)

ويتعاقلهم وتعارفه

The stress-strain or compressibility characteristics (scalement) of days are highly dependent upon their stress history. If day is stressed within the limits of the maximum previous stress, σ_{00} , the strain (settlement) will be a function of the recompression ratio (RR) of the day. If the applied stress exceeds the maximum previous stress, the strain will be proportional to the virgin compression ratio (CR). The compression ratio is typically 10 to 15 times the recompression ratio.

The stress history and appropriate compression ratios were estimated for the clay deposit as discussed above. The correlations indicate that the deposit is overconsolidated; that is, the existing overburden stress is less than the maximum previous stress. The deposit likely became overconsolidated due to desiccation (drying) resulting from a lowering d the groundwater level at some time in the geologic past which also increased the effective overburden stress throughout the stratum. A highly overconsolidated, surficial "crust" is present at the surface of the deposit. It is our opinion that the moderate overconsolidation below the crust is due to stress increases caused by the long term lowering of the groundwater level.

Recommendations for Foundation Design

Recommended Foundation Type and Design Criteria

The bituminous concrete, existing building foundations, and fill in its present condition are not considered suitable for support of the buildings. The borings indicate that the fill consists primarily of well-graded SAND with gravel and silt and silty SAND. In our opinion, the fill will provide adequate support for the foundations provided the fill is compacted by Intensive Surface Compaction (ISC) as described below Therefore, it is our opinion that the buildings may be supported on the improved fill or on compacted structural fill placed after removal of any unsuitable materials encountered (organics and disturbed soil'). All previous construction (buildings, foundations and underground utilities) should be removed from within the limits of the buildings prior to ISC.

We recommend that, for uniformity, the footings be proportioned for an allowable bearing stress in pounds per square feet (psf), equal to 1,000 multiplied by the least lateral dimension of the footing in feel, up to a maximum of 3,000 psf. All footings should be at least 1.5 feet wide,

Exterior footings should be founded at least 4.5 feet below the lowest adjacent ground surface exposed to freezing. Interior footings should be founded a minimum of 1.5 feet below the ground floor slab.

Compacted structural fill supporting footings should extend laterally from the footings to at least the limits defined by 1 horizontal to 1 vertical lines sloped outward and downward from points located at least 2 feet horizontally beyond the bottom edges of the footings.

be determined by the structural engineer.

e en same e la coña

. .

in order to consider foundations bearing above the day stratum, we estimated the settlement of due day resulting from the locreated stress from the rate-in-grade beyond the existing buildings and building loads. We estimate that the total settlement will be on the order of 1.5 linches, with differential settlement on the order of 0.75 inch in 40 feet. We anticipate that settlement of this magnitude is acceptable. However, final acceptability of settlement should

Intensive surface compaction should be performed using a minimum 30,000 pound vibratory roller operating at 30 cycles per second (Hz) and a forward speed of 1 to 2 feet per second. Compaction should consist of 10 coverages of the vibratory roller. The direction of each two successive coverages should be rotated perpendicular to the previous two coverages. Following intensive surface compaction, a minimum of two coverages of the roller should be applied without vibration to recompact the upper portion of the fill. Fill containing debris and wood and organics should be removed and replaced with structural fill prior to surface compaction. Any soft or unsuitable areas encountered should be excavated and replaced with compacted structural fill. After intensive surface compaction, the site can be refilled to slab subgrade.

Ground Floor Slab

We recommend that the lowest level floor slabs be designed as earth-supported slabs-on-grade baring on a minimum 6-inch thickness of compacted structural fill. All previous construction and fill containing debris should be removed from within the building limits prior to placing fill. All fill placed below the floor slabs for raises-in-grade should consist of compacted structural fill, Normal dampproofing and vapor barrier should be provided for the lowest level slab and walls.

Seismic Design Considerations

We recommend that the buildings are designed in accordance with the seismic requirements of the latest edition of the International Building Code. The site classification is Class E, the site response coefficient F_{ν} is 2.1 for the short period spectral response acceleration S, of 0.375g; the site response coefficient F_{ν} is 3.5 for the 1-second period spectral response acceleration S of 0.10g.

Lateral Foundation Loads

We recommend that lateral loads be resisted by bottom friction on footings and that a coefficient of friction equal to 0.35 be used for footings. If this does not provide sufficient lateral resistance, we will consider the problem in more detail to take into account other factors.

Backfill Materials

Structural fill used below foundations and floor slabs and for backfill adjacent to walls should consist of sandy gravel lo gravelly sand. It should be free of organic material, loam, trash, snow, ice, Frozen soil and other objectionable material, and should conform to the following gradation:

ار ۲۰۰۱ : ماه معاد المحافظ المراجع ومتعادية المحافظ معروضة المحاوي وعام من المحافظ من من المحافظ من من المحافظ المحافظ م

Sieve Size	Percent Finer by Weight
6 inches	100
No. 4	30 to 90
No. 40	10 to 50
No. 200	0 to 8

Compacted structural fill should be placed in layers not exceeding eight inches in loose measure and compacted by self-propelled vibratory equipment at the approximate optimum moisture content to a dry density of at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined in accordance with ASTM Test Designation D1557. In confined areas, the maximum particle size should be reduced to 3 inches and the loose layer thickness should be reduced to 6 inches and compaction performed by hand-guided vibratory equipment.

Compacted structural fill on the outside of the foundation should extend laterally a minimum of 2 feet from the wall. Backfill beyond this limit may consist of common fill. The tap 1.2 inches of fill on the exterior of the building should consist of low permeability material or bituminous concrete pavement to minimize water influration next to the building. Grading should provide for *runoff* away from the building.

Common fill may consist of inorganic mineral *soil* that can be placed in layers and compacted, Common fill should be placed and spread in layers not exceeding 12 inches in thickness and compacted with a minimum of two systematic passes of the equipment placing the fill.

Pavement Section

We recommend the following pavement sections:

Standard-Duty and Heavy-Duty Flexible (Asphalt) Pavement:

<u>Standard Duty Payement</u> 3 inches bituminous concrete placed in two layers

4 inches gravel base course12 inches sand or gravel subbase course

Heavy-Duty Pavement
inches bituminous concrete placed in two layers
inches gravel base course
inches sand or gravel subbase course

Heavy-Dun Rigid Pavement (Concrete)

6 inches Portland Cement Concrete4 inches gravel base course12 inches sand or gravel subbase course

- Coperate Coperation

Base and scappase course materials should conform to the following gradations:

Bas e Course

1. 24 . 246 . 4

Screened or Crushed Gravel (Maine DOT Standard Specification, Highways and Bridges; Section 703.06a, Type A)

<u>Sieve Size</u>	Percent Finer by Weight
2 inches	100
1/2 inch	45 to 70
1/4 inch	30 to 55
No. 40	0 to20
No.200	0 to 5

Subbase Course

Sand *c* Gravel (Maine DOT: Section 703.06b, Type D)

Sieve Size	Percent Finer by Weight
4 inches	100
1/4 inch	25 to 70
No,40	O to 30
No. 200	a to 7

(Note: Type D aggregate should be modified to a maximum 4 in. size.)

All fill containing debris should be removed from within the limits of pavement. Subbase course material should be placed in maximum 8-inch thick loose lifts and compacted at approximately optimum moisture content to a dry density of at least 95 percent of maximum dry density. as determined in accordance with ASTM Test Designation D1557. Base course material should be placed in one lift and compacted with a minimum of two coverages with self-propelled vibratory compaction equipment. Existing foundations bdow the parking area should be removed to at least 2.5 feet below the pavement.

Construction Considerations

General

The primary purpose of this section of the report is to comment on items related to excavation, earthwork and related geotechnical aspects of proposed construction, It is written primarily for the engineer having responsibility for preparation of plans and specifications. Since it identifies potential construction problems related to foundations and earthwork, it will also aid personnel who monitor the construction activity, Prospective contractors for this project must evaluate the construction problems on the basis of their own knowledge and experience in the Portland. Maine area, and on the basis of similar projects in other localities, taking into account their proposed construction methods, procedures, equipment and personnel.

的同时 主义的变形

Excavation, Lateral Support and Control of Water

We anticipate that foundation excavation can be accomplished with sloped open excavation through the overburden soils provided safe side slopes can be maintained. Some sloughing and raveling should be anticipated in temporary slopes. Existing foundations within the limits of proposed foundations and floor slabs should be completely removed and the excavation to bearing level backfilled with compacted structural fill or crushed stone, as appropriate. Existing foundations below the parking area should be removed to at least 2.5 feet below the pavement. Temporary excavations should be made in accordance with all OSHA and other applicable regulatory agency requirements.

We anticipate that groundwater m y be encountered at proposed subgrade level or bearing level of footings. If encountered, open pumping from sumps can likely control groundwater. Ingeneral, the contractor should control groundwater and water from runoff and other sources by methods which prevent disturbance of bearing surfaces or adjacent soils and allow construction in-the-dry.

Subgrade Preparation

The subgrade soil is susceptible to disturbance from construction traffic. Equipment and personnel should not be permitted to travel across exposed tooting bearing surfaces or exposed slab subgrades. Any subgrade areas that are disturbed should be recompacted or excavated and replaced with compacted structural fill prior to placing of concrete. Subgrades should be protected against freezing temperatures if exposed during construction. Final excavation to subgrade should be performed using equipment with smooth-edge buckets.

Construction Monitoring

The Foundation recommendations contained herein are based on the known and predictable behavior of a properly engineered and constructed foundation. Monitoring of the foundation construction is required to enable the geotechnical engineer to keep in contact with procedures and techniques used in construction. Therefore, we recommend that a person qualified by training and experience be present to provide monitoring at the site during intensive Surface Compaction, preparation of foundation bearing surfaces, and placement of compacted structural fill.

Limitations of Recommendations

This report has been prepared for specific application to the subject project in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. In the event that any changes in the nature, design or location of the buildings are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report should not be considered valid, unless the changes are reviewed and the conclusions of this report modified or verified in writing.

ارو بي ويد الله الله

13. A.S.S.

Contraction (All Charles)

The recommendations presented herein are based in part on the data obtained from the referenced test borings. The nature and entent of variations between the explorations may not become evident until construction. If variations then appear evident, will be necessary to relevaluate the recommendations of this report.

We request that we be provided the opportunity for a general review of final design and specifications in order to determine that our earthwork and foundation recommendations have been interpreted and implemented in the design and specifications as they were intended.

It has been a pleasure to work with you on this project. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC

Kenneth L. Recker, P.E. Geotechnical Engineering Manager

KLR:klr/jc Enclosures:



Table I- Summary of BoringsSheet I- Subsurface Exploration PlanFigure 1- Stress HistoryAppendix A- Logs of 2004 BoringsAppendix B- Logs of 2005 Borings

		Ground				Stra	sta Thickne	955 (Pl)		
Boring	Depth	Surface El.	Depth to		Harbor	Marine	Marine	Marine	Marine	Glacia)
Number	E	(E)	Water (Ft)		Bottom	Clay	Sand	Clay	Sand	
B101	72.0	9.1	6.9	10.5	3.5	14.0	7.5	25.9		16.6*
B102	67.0	9.0	7.0	10.2	2.8	36.0	1.5	13.5		3.10×
B103	67.0.	9.0	9.5	7.5	5.5	45.0		;		6.0%
B104	62.0	9.0	7.5	8.0	7.1	17.9	2.1	23.9		
B 1	62.0	8.2	NE	8.0	8.2	9.3	5.0	1.61	2.8	6.02
82	32.0	80.00	27.0	6.0	9.0	13.0	4.0*			
B 3	62.0	8.0	6.8	0.6	6.0	10.0	3.0	27.0	5.0	2.0
8	82.0	8.3	RE	11.5	4.0	13.5	12.0	28.5		*9.71 1
NOTES.					-					
Ι.	ELEVA	TIONS REFERE	INCED TO NA	TIONAL G	EODETIC	VERTICA	AL DATUM	(avon)	929.	
2.	NE IN	DICATES GROU	INDWATER N	OT OBSER	VED WITH	HIN DEPT	H OF BOR	ING.		
'n	GNI -	ICATED STRAT	UM NOT ENC	OUNTERF	NIHITW U	DEPTH (JF BORING			
4		CATES DEPTH	OF PENETRA'	TION INTO	O STRATIO	S				

* INDICATES DEPTH OF PENETRATION INTO STRATUM.

,

PROPOSED SOMERSET MARKETPLACE FRANKLIN ARTERIAL AND FOX STREET PORTLAND, MAINE SUMMARY OF BORINGS TABLE

04187

- ----

08:43

. . . .



REFRIGERATION ENGINEER Vision Engineering 27 Baron Read Franken, M.A. Goose (sola: s28-310

CIVIL ENGINEER Sebago Technics One Chubot Street Westbrook, Maine 04088 (2077 804-0277

SYNDLS MITERALS Image: State Sta
OR 1 Reserve
PROPOSED GROCERY STORE REFERENCE DRAWING ONLY PORTLAND, CAMBERLAND COUNTY, MARE

		Alimental interfaction of the second of the	
	Participation 1 Data and a strain strain 1 Strain and a strain strain strain strain 1 Strain and a strain s		
AO AO AO AO AO AO AO AO	E DRAWING ONLY CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED GROCERY STORE FRANKLIN ST. ARTERIAL, FOX/SOMERIES ST, PEARL ST. PORTLAND, CUMEERLAND COUNTY, MANE	

992.4mg Describer 11, 2021 - 3-06 Pr















MALE KURY	THE PAR OTHER	AND THE POLICE (1994)	OF THE MARK OF THE O	しいまし、 (ペーイ) (ハイーイ) (ハルモット、 TP-)	(elt-o)	(+ 20 - 0) (+ 20 - 0)	HON ROOF GLEV. (+20-37)	TO DATES & DOL O	CAP PLAT	COLUMN LICCATER	COLUMN SCH
3	•	,	12,46,4	1 <u></u>					IGNON/C		
3			12.48.1.	1 <u>ma</u>					10, 10, 21/4	84, 812, 812 84, 817, 92, 83, 93, 93, 93, 93, 83, 93, 93, 93, 93, 93, 93, 93, 93, 93, 9	I
3		,	12,110,11	<u>.</u>	1				*/ U. DU BI	822	
2			12,110,12						10.00.1/4	រុងស្ព ស្ត្រីកំពុ ស្ត្រីកំពុ ស្ត្រីកំពុ	
	,		10"x10"x1						10,110,11/4.		
3		,	10,010,01	, **		:			10-10-10/4	ŝ	
3		,	10,210,04	ч <u>но</u>					10 10 0/4	5 5	
3		•	12 10 11	· 1		:			1/1 m	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	
·	•	-	J. 617.81	1 <u></u>					10 210 21/4		
2	'	-	12,014,01	ب					1. M. M.	88	
3	4	•	12,14,24	ب				- 100 miles		5, 5 9	
9	·	,	14.114.1	1							
,	ľ		IT WITH	¥					in the last	N-17, N-22	
•			12.41.12					10.00		1-18, X-21	
			18 16 1					1/4 UL W		1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987	
	-			v ⊨ HIS 10×10 v (+1)				10 10 10/1		月月 국용 8	

 Andream Programmer, A. M. Stark, M. K. Stark, M. Star	
--	--

DENDERT SHALL NOT BE DOWNED INDUCES INDUCES FARE (ALS AND LAND) 20 AN TO CAME REGIONANCE OF MARKANING, WILL PARTON CAUTO OF REAL OF INTERNAL WINNEL LANDRE DO BALT SE THE UNCOMPANY FALL OF CONDUCTS SALL ANT CONTO THE 30 FEEL

CONTROLLED FILL NOTES תיאבר זבע, אין געווער אין זער מערכים אין אין אין אין געווער אין אין געווער אין געווער אין געווער אין געווער איז דער געווער אין געווער אין געווער אין געווער געווער געווער געווער אין געווער אין געווער אין געווער אין געווער גע דער, געווע געווער, געער גען גען געווער געווער געווער,

OO!S	ROR PERMIT/PRICING ONLY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED GROCERY STORE FRAKLIN 87. ARTERIAL, FOX/SOMERSET 87., PEARL 57. PORTLAND, CUMBERLAND COUNTY, MARE	

CONTRACTOR BALL SHAFT WAR COMMENTING MATTERNAL, MAIL DECALE, 29-007 STRONG MARTING MATCHING AND CONCELLING, MAILUNG MARKAN MAIL SHAFT SHAFT SHAFT SHAFT SHAFT SHAFT SHAFT SHAFT SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT MAIL SHAFT ALL COMPART, BALL & CONTRACTARY MOST CAMES FOR SUCH (7) ANT IMMAAL COMPART, BALL & ROFT MOST BY FOR SPANING, COMMA FAMILY MO/OR MODIFIANE CAMERIC COMPARING.

CONCEPT E MOTE: A suppress was also come to a superior of the superior of the superior and the superior and





S André Maria Charles Callendes de La Domine d'A 2003 - 198 0°			
			r. Viet viet verse v
			-
			And Control Cont Cont Reversion
			COM WALL OPENIO REMOTING
			The second secon
ON PERMITARI	Cing Only FIUCTION	PROPOSED GROCERY STORE FRANCIN ST. ATTENUL, FOX/SOMERIET ST., PEARL ST. PORTLAND, CUMBERLAND COUNTY, MANE	



Transmittal

DATE: January 11,2006						
COMPANY: City of Portland Inspections Division						
Portland City Hall 389 Congress Street JAN ADDRESS: Portland, ME 04101	JAN 1 1 2006					
DISTRIBUTION:						
Alan H. Rice, R.A., NCARB						
PROJECT NAME: Whole Foods - Portland, ME PROJECT NUMBER:	04C0829					
SUBJECT: Permit Certificates						
WE ARE SENDING YOU:						
✓ Attached Under separate cover via the	following items:					
$\square \text{ Shoop Drawings} \square \text{ Prints} \square \text{ Plans} \square \text{ Samples} \square$	specifications					
Copyofletter Changeorder X Other: As Noted	Below					
COPIES DATE NO DESCRIPTION						
1 1/9/06 ` Statement of Special Inspections						
ARCHITECTURE						
ENGINEERING						
PLANNING						
LANDSCAPE THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:						
ARCHITECTURE For approval No exceptions taken Resubmit	For approval					
LAND SURVEYING As corrected Submit [For distribution					
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES As requested Revise and resubmit Return [Corrected pints					
For review & comment Rejected Prints returned after	rloan to us					
For bids due , 200 Resubmittor record						
REMARKS: Meriden. Connecticut 06450 800 301 3077 T 203.630.1406 T 203.630.2615 F REMARKS: The attached Statement of Special Inspections is forwarded to you for the Demolition and Foundation Permit Applications for the Whole Foods Market project at Franklin St., Fox/Somerset St. and Pearl St. Please advise if additional information is required to complete this application.						
hisomognies com	~					
Signature: Alan H Rice R A NC APB-(Project Manager						

- 1 k Horos 14%Q - rolges Flori 1

.

Statement of Special Inspections

Project: Whole Foods Market Proposed Grocery Store Location: Franklin Street, Fox/Somerset Street, and Pearl Street Owner: Whole Foods Market Owner's Address: 125 Cambridge Park Drive Cambridge, MA Architect of Record: **BL** Companies Structural Engineer of Record: **BL** Companies

This Statement of Special Inspections is submitted as a condition for permit issuance in accordance with the Special Inspection requirements of the International Building Code, 2003. It includes a Schedule of Special Inspection Services applicable to this project as well as the name of the Special Inspector and the identity of other approved agencies intended to be retained for conducting these inspections.

The Special Inspector shall keep records of all inspections and shall furnish inspection reports to the Building Official, Structural Engineer and Architect of Record. Discovered discrepancies shall be brought to the immediate attention of the Contractor for correction. If such discrepancies are not corrected, the discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Building Official. Structural Engineer and Architect of Record. The Special Inspection program does not relieve the Contractor of his or her responsibilities.

Interim reports shall be submitted to the Building Official, Owner, Structural Engineer and Architect of Record.

A Final Report of Special Inspections documenting completion of all required Special Inspections and correction of any discrepancies noted in the inspections shall be submitted prior to issuance of a Certificate of Use and Occupancy.

Job site safety and means and methods of construction are solely the responsibility of the Contractor.

Interim Report Frequency: Weekly

Prepared by:

Robert Burns, PE (type or print name)

1/9/2005 Signature Date

Owner's Authorization:

Signature

or per attached schedule. OF MA WILLING . ROBERT C BURNS No. 10740 SIGNAL Design Professional Seal

Signature Date Statement of Special Inspections

Building Official's Acceptance:

Date

Schedule of Special Inspection Services

The following sheets comprise the required schedule of special inspections for this project. The construction divisions which require special inspections for this project are as follows:

- Soils and Foundations
- XI Cast-in-Place Concrete
- Precast Concrete
- Masonry
- Structural Steel

- Cold-Formed Steel Framing
- Spray Fire Resistant Material
- Wood Construction
- Exterior Insulation and Finish System
- Special Cases

Inspection Agents	Firm	Address
1. Special Inspector	Т.В.D.	
2. Testing Laboratory	<i>T.B.D.</i>	
3. Other		
4. Other		

The inspection and testing agent shall be engaged by the Owner or the Owner's Agent, and not by the Contractor or Subcontractor whose work is to be inspected or tested. Any conflict of interest must be disclosed to the Building Official, prior to commencing work.

The credentials of all Inspectors and testing technicians shall be provided if requested.

It is recommended that the person administering the Special Inspections program be a Professional Engineer experienced in the design of buildings.

	Key for Minimum Qualifications of Inspection Agents (where inc	dicated of	on Şched	ules)		Ì
PE	Professional Engineer		<u> CAN</u>			
EIT	Engineering in Training		S.Cor			
ACI	American Concrete Institute Certified Concrete Field Testing	Technic	Sian		An	
AWS	American Welding Society Certified Welding Inspector	(8 °C)	. \		p. Carlos	
ASNT	American Society of Non-Destructive Testing - Level II or IN		2/2			_

Qualifications of inspection agents may be indicated on the Schedule in instances where the Structural Engineer deems such requirements are appropriate.

Statement of Special Inspections •

Item	Agent No. (Qualif.)	Scope
1. Shallow Foundations	1 (PE)	Review Field Reports
	2 (EIT)	Visual confirmation of soil quality below footings.
2. Controlled Structural Fill	1 (DE)	Poviowfield reports
1705.7		Review field reports.
	2 (EIT)	Verify compaction operations for raise-in-grade fill within building perimeter. Perform compaction tests for lifts beneath slab-on-grade and footings on fill.
3. Deep Foundations 1705.8; 1705.9; 1816.13		
4. Other		DEPT.CITY CE P.C. INC. INC.
	_	

• Statement of Special Inspections •

Ite	n	Agent No. (Qualif.)	Scope
1.	Mix Design' 1705.4.1	1 (PE)	Review submittals for all structural concrete.
2.	Material Certification' 1705.4.1	1 (PE)	Review material certificates for all structural concrete, including admixtures.
3.	Reinforcement Installation ²	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	1703.4.2	2 (EIT)	Inspect placement of reinforcement for footings, foundations, slab-on-grade.
4.	Post-Tensioning Operations ³ 1705.4.5		Not applicable
5.	Batching Plant ⁴ 1705.4.4		Not applicable
6.	Formwork Geometry	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	61 1700.4.5	2 (EIT)	Verify during pre-placement inspections for footings and foundations
7.	Concrete Placement' 1705.4.4	1 (PE)	Review concreting operations with contractor. Review field reports.
		2 (ACI)	Verify proper placement techniques.
8.	Evaluation of Concrete	1 (PE)	Review test results.
	Strength 1705.4.4	2 (ACI)	Test all structural concrete per specification.
9.	Curing and Protection' 1705.4.4	1 (PE)	Review curing operations with contractor. Review field reports.
		2 (ACI)	Verify proper curing methods and times are used.
10.	Other		Not Applicable
		Statement	nt of Special Inspections •

Schedule of Special inspection Services Masonry

		Agent No. (Qualif.)	Scope
1.	Material Certification 1705.5	1 (PE)	Review all submittals required by Specification.
2.	Mixing of Mortar and Grout ⁹	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
		2 (EIT)	Review field operations per Specification.
3.	Installation of Masonry" 1705.5	1 (PE)	Review field reports
		2 (EIT)	Inspect per Specifications for proper installation methods and procedures.
4.	Reinforcement Installation"	1 (PE)	Reviewfield reports
	1,03.3	2 (EIT)	Inspect for proper placement, ensure sizes and spacing are per plans.
5.	Grouting Operations ¹²	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	2,0000	2 (EIT)	Verify operations and procedures are per specifications.
6.	Weather Protection ¹³	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	1703.5	2 (EIT)	Ensure adequate protection is being provided as per Specifications.
7.	Evaluation of Masonry Strength ¹⁴	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	I 705.5	2 (EIT)	Provide testing as per Specifications.
8.	Anchors and Ties ¹⁵	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
	1705.5	2 (EIT)	Inspect for proper placement, ensure types and spacing are per plans.
9.	Other		Not applicable

Schedule of Special Inspection Services **Structural Steel**

Ite	m	Agent No. (Qualif.)	scope
1.	Fabricator Certification/ Quality Control Procedures 1705.2;1705.3.1	1 (PE)	Verify fabricator's quality control program, if not AISC certified.
2.	Material Certification ^{16, 17, 18,}	1 (PE)	Review all submittals required by specifications.
	1705.3.2	2 (EIT)	Field review markings.
3.	Open Web Steel Joists	1 (PE)	Review manufacturer's submittals. Review field reports.
		2 (EIT)	Field review bridging and support attachments.
4.	Bolting ^L "	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
	1705.3.3.I	2 (EIT)	All bolts to be visually evaluated. Test per Specifications when visual discrepancies are observed.
5.	Welding ^L '	1 (PE)	Review welding certificates. Review field reports.
	1705.3.3.2	2 (AWS)	All welds to be visually evaluated. Test per specifications when visual discrepancies are observed. Ultrasonically test all full-penetration welds.
6.	Shear Connectors ^{LL}	1 (PE)	Reviewfield reports.
		2 (EIT)	Field test shear connectors using ring test or bend test.
7.	Structural Details	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
	1705.3.3.3	2 (EIT)	Field review all framing for quality and conformance to AISC erection and fabrication standards.
8.	Metal Deck	1 (PE)	Review manufacturers submittals. Review field reports.
		2 (EIT)	Field review deck placement, visually inspect deck attachments.
9.	Other		Not applicable.

ltem	Agent No. (Qualif.)	Scope
1. Member Sizes	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
	2 (EIT)	Verify that sizes and spacing of joists, studs, posts, or strapping correspond to drawings.
2 Material Thickness	4 (52)	Poviow field reports
2. Watenal Thickness	1 (PE)	Keview lield reports.
	2 (EIT)	Verify that gauge (thickness) <i>o</i> f joists, studs, posts, or strapping correspond to drawings.
3. Material Properties	1 (PE)	Review manufacturers product data to ensure conformance with ASTM standards cited in Specifications.
	2 (EIT)	Field verify that correct ASTM designations are being used.
4. Mechanical Connections	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
	2 (EIT)	Field verify size, type, and number of screws at critical connections.
5. Welding		Not applicable.
6. Framing Details	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	2 (EIT)	Field review all framing for quality and conformance to AISC erection and fabrication standards.
7. Other		DEPTOR

Schedule of Special Inspection Services

Exterior Insulation & Finish Systems (EIFS)

Sheet 8 of 9 Project: Whole Foods Market – Portland, ME

lte	m	Agent No. (Qualif.)	Scope
1.	Material Submittals	1 (PE)	Review all submittals.
2.	Condition of Substrate 1705.13	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
		2 (EIT)	Verify substrate conforms with drawings. Verify substrate complies with tolerances per specifications.
3.	Application of Foam Plastic	1 (PE)	Review Field reports.
	1705.13	2 (EIT)	Verify application procedures conform with drawings. Verify materials conform with specifications.
4.	Application of Coatings 1705.13	1 (PE)	Reviewfield reports.
{		2 (EIT)	Verify materials and application procedures conform with specifications.
5.	Application of Mesh	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	1100.10	2 (EIT)	Verify application procedures comply with specifications. Inspect mesh installation at corners and high impact areas. Verify back-wrapping at all insulation start/stop points.
6.	Ambient Condition and Curing	1 (PE)	Review field reports.
		2 (EIT)	Verify conditions are appropriate for application. Verify surfaces are not damp or frozen. Insure curing procedures conform with specifications. Verify finishes are not installed in direct sunlight.
7.	Flashing and Joint Details	1 (PE)	Review field reports
	1700.10	2 (EIT)	Verify isolation/expansion/aesthetic joints conform with drawings. Verify flashing is installed according to drawings.
8.	Sealants/Caulks 1705.13	1 (PE)	Review field reports
		2 (EIT)	Insure materials conform with specifications. Inspect sealant installation, verify backer – rod installation.
9.	Other		Not applicable

Schedule of Special Inspection Services **References**

Sheet 9 of 9

- 1. ACI 318-02, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, Chapter 3.
- 2. ACI 318-02, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, § 7.4, 7.5, 7.6 and 7.7.
- 3. ACI 318-02, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, § 18.18.
- 4. ACI 318-02, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, Chapter 4 and § 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.8.
- 5. ACI 318-02, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, § 5.9 and 5.10.
- 6. ACI 318-02, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, § 5.6
- 7. ACI 318-02, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, § 5.11, 5.12 and 5.13.
- 8. ACI 530.1 / ASCE 6 / TMS 602 02, Specifications for Masonry Structures, § 2.3.
- 9. ACI 530.1 / ASCE 6 / TMS 602 02, Specifications for Masonry Structures, § 2.6.
- 10. ACI 530.1 / ASCE 6 / TMS 602 02, Specifications for Masonry Structures, § 3.2.
- 11. ACI 530 / ASCE 5 / TMS 402 02, Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures, Chapter 8.
- 12. ACI 530.1 / ASCE 6 / TMS 602 02, Specifications for Masonry Structures, § 3.5.
- 13. ACI 530.1 / ASCE 6 / TMS 602 02, Specifications for Masonry Structures, § 1.8.
- 14. ACI 530.1 / ASCE 6 / TMS 602 02, Specifications for Masonry Structures, § 1.4.
- 15. ACI 530 / ASCE 5 / TMS 402 02, Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures, § 4.2 and 5.14.
- 16. AISC ASD 89, Specification for Structural Steel Buildings Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design, § A3.4 and A3.6.
- 17. AISC LRFD 99, Load and Resistance Factor Design Specification for Structural Steel Buildings, § A3.3 and A3.5.
- 18. ASTM A6 01b, Specification for General Requirements for Rolled Steel Plates, Shapes, Sheet Piling, and Bars for Structural Use.
- 19. ASTM A568 01, Specification for Steel Sheet, Carbon and High-Strength, Low-Alloy, Hot-Rolled and Cold Rolled, General Requirements For.
- 20. RCSC 85 (88), Specification for Structural Joints Using A325 or A490 Bolts, § 9.
- 21. AWS D1.I 00, Structural Welding Code Steel, § 6.
- 22. AWS D1.1 00, Structural Welding Code Steel, § 7.8.