Neighborhood Meeting Certification

Attachment (

I, (applicant/consultant))hereby certify that a neighborhood meeting was held on (date) at (location)

I also certify that on (date at least seven days prior to the neighborhood meeting), invitations were mailed to all addresses on the mailing list provided by the Planning Division, including property owners within 500 feet of the proposed development or within 1000 feet of a proposed industrial subdivision or industrial zone change and the residents on the "interested parties" list.

Signed,

Attached to this certification are:

Copy of the invitation sent Sign-in sheet 1.

2. Meeting minutes 3.



July 26, 2013 13251

Bay House Phase II Project

Dear Neighbor:

Please join us for a neighborhood meeting to discuss our plans for Bay House Phase II a 39 unit residential development located at 113 Newbury Street, Portland ME.

Meeting Location: Micucci's Restaurant

Meeting Date: August 7, 2013

Meeting Time: 6:00pm

The city code requires that property owners within 500 feet (except notices must be sent to property owners within 1000 feet for industrial zoning map amendments and industrial subdivisions) of the proposed development and residents on an "interested parties list", be invited to participate in a neighborhood meeting. A sign in sheet will be circulated and minutes of the meeting will be taken. Both the sign-in sheet and minutes will be submitted to the Planning Board.

If you have any questions, please call Will Conway at Sebago Technics, Inc. at 207-200-2055.

Sincerely,

SEBAGO TECHNICS, INC

William T. Conway, RLA, LEED AP (age t) Vice President, Landscape Architecture)

WTC:jsf

NAME MAILING ADDRESS Patricia Erikson* whitecapconsulting 29 Greenwood Street Peaks Island MF 04108 @ gmdi1. com

* Did not attend but called to request further correspondence Inotification

Brandon Mazer 86 Newbury St.

Dmazer@Shiouard.com Dmazer @Shipyard.com Portland, ME 04101 livchase@yahoo.com Liv Chase ? 52 Federal St. Portland, ME 04101 Brent Adlen brentadlene quail.com Wrosp Dinaine . Fr. Com 44 FEDERAL St. 04:01 STEVE & WILMA ROSE Mulai a Donnie Long mikelosethui Q 40 Tederal St att, net Powland 04/01 PAT & PUTTER BAGG 38 FEDERAL ST PETTERBAGGI @ GMAIL. COMS Lavid M. White dinwarch e competinat P.O Box 817 New Landa, NH William Conway Selago technics Inc. 75 John Roberts Road Warney @

It See alt actual letter from David Filipos who could not attend the weeting

So. Portland, we 04106

Solveya technica.com

David Filipos (Interested Porty)
36 Federal Street
Portland, Maine 04101
207-653-0404

8/2/2013

Bay House Phase II Project

Dear Developer:

I am writing this letter in response to your invitation to the neighborhood meeting on August 7, 2013 that I am not able to attend.

My request is that my name be put on the attendee list for the purpose of being included in any mailed literature regarding your project and invitations to any future meetings.

I would also like to have access to any and all minutes transcribed of the meeting.

My biggest concern regarding the project in addition to my ever shrinking view of the Casco Bay is the the building elevation that you have planned.

I have been living at my current address for the better part of 16 years and have been subjected to the impact of many projects, more specifically the loss of sunlight. In the winter when I need the sun the most for my quality of life and also the passive solar radiation for heat, I have only the southern exposure left of what was originally a 270 degree exposure.

If there has been a sun/shadow study done as it pertains to the impact of surrounding dwellings I would be very interested in discussing this topic. Please consider this issue.

Sincerely,

David Filipos



Neighborhood Meeting Minutes

Project: 13251

Date/Time of Meeting: August 7, 2013 @ 6:00 PM

Location: Micucci's Restaurant, Second Floor Meeting Room

Minutes Prepared By: William Conway, Sebago Technics, Inc.

The meeting started shortly after 6:00 pm. David White, Project Architect, presented the project using illustrative renderings of the site plan, building elevations and a 3D rendering of the building as viewed from Newbury/ Hancock Streets. Will Conway of Sebago Technics also attended this meeting; both designers responded to questions from attendees. Eleven people were present including the two design professionals. Mr. White began the meeting with a comprehensive presentation of the project using the renderings provided; a summary of questions asked and responses given were as follows:

Question	What is the height of the proposed building?
Answer	Just under the allowed maximum height of 45 feet, however, a parapet wall will extend approximately 3 feet above that, to screen rooftop mechanical equipment. The allowable height is calculated using the average finish grade at the perimeter of the building. (Much discussion followed regarding the parapet projecting slightly above the allowed 45 feet, however, neighbors understood that the project is compliant with the City ordinances).
Question	Have shadow studies been done?
Answer	No, shadow studies are not required as we understand it – we are not exceeding the allowable building height.
Question	How does this phase of the project compare with the height of Bay House Phase I?
Answer	This building is approximately 4 feet lower than Phase I.
Question	What is the distance from the building to the Federal Street Condominium building?
Answer	This dimension varies, distance is approximately 50 feet separation.
Question	Can you change the proposed Valley Forge Elm trees to a shorter species?
Answer	Yes, we will do so with final plan submittal.

Question	What is the next step in the approval process?
Answer	We have a Planning Board Workshop on August 13, 2013; a public hearing will be held sometime after the workshop.
Question	Are the overhead power lines in Newbury Street to be removed?
Answer	Yes as part of the Phase I project.
Question	What is the timeframe to start construction?
Answer	November 2013.
Question	What is the duration of construction?
Answer	Approximately one year.
Question	Will this phase be built by the same contractor?
Answer	Yes.
Question	Are you concerned with the condition of the existing site retaining walls?
Answer	Yes, we will evaluate them, but we believe them to be structurally stable.
Question	Will the residences be apartments or condominiums?
Answer	Condominiums.
Question	What is the price range of the units?
Answer	We do not have that information at this time.
Question	Will construction operations cause vibrations at nearby buildings as was the case in Phase I?
Answer	We are uncertain if this site has the same soils and method of construction as Phase I, however, we will determine that as we obtain soils information.

End of meeting minutes; meeting adjourned at approximately 7:00 pm.