The key to success starts with a solid foundation. ENGINEERING | EXPLORATION | EXPERIENCE

Geotechnical Report

Proposed Deck and Entry Additions 123 Cumberland Ave, Portland, Maine





145 Lisbon Street (PO Box 7216) Lewiston, Maine 04243 | (207) 576-3313 173 Pleasant Street Rockland, Maine 04841 | (207) 318-7761 www.summitgeoeng.com <u>Client</u> Lynne and Larry Robinson 310 Spring Street Portland, Maine 04102

> Project #: 18139 Date: 5/23/2018



May 23, 2018 Summit #18139

Lynne and Larry Robinson 310 Spring St. Portland, Maine 04102

Reference: Geotechnical Investigation, Deck and Entry Additions 123 Cumberland Avenue, Portland, Maine

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Robinson:

Summit Geoengineering Services, Inc. (SGS) has completed a geotechnical investigation for the proposed new development at the site referenced above. Our scope of services included the observation of one boring at the site and preparing this geotechnical report summarizing our findings and providing geotechnical recommendations. Our investigation is focused on the design and construction of the new deck foundations.

1.0 Project and Site Description

The project consists of the construction of a new multi-story deck and entrance. The addition of the deck is to be supported by two footings. The area of the new footings is a landscaped backyard area.

A foundation plan was provided to SGS showing two 5 foot square conventional spread footings. No foundation loads were provided.

2.0 Subsurface Exploration

SGS observed the subsurface conditions with the drilling of one boring on May 10, 2018 using a rubber track mounted AMS Power Probe. The boring reached a depth of 19.4 feet below the ground surface; bedrock was encountered at this depth.

The location of the boring is shown on the Boring Location Plan in Appendix A. The Boring Log is provided in Appendix B.

3.0 Subsurface Conditions

The following subsurface layers and thicknesses were encountered in our geotechnical investigation, starting from the ground surface:

- *Fill,* 1 to 4 feet
- Glacial Marine, 4 to 19.4 feet



The *Fill* was encountered starting at the ground surface, and reaches a depth of 4 feet. It consists of a brown silty sand with a trace amount of gravel. The fill is dry and loose and visually classifies as SM in accordance with USCS.

The *Glacial Marine* deposit was encountered starting at a depth of 4 feet and reaches a depth of 19.4 feet. From a depth of 4 feet to 10 feet, the soil is described as olive-brown to orange-brown silty sand. It is somewhat moist and compact, and visually classifies as SM in accordance with USCS. From a depth of 10 to 15 feet, the soil can be described as a brown fine sand with trace to light silt. The soil is wet, slightly mottled, loose, and visually classifies as SM. Finally, from a depth of 15 feet to the end of the boring at 19.4 feet the soil is described as a gray silty clay. The clay is wet and very soft and classifies as CL in accordance with USCS.

Groundwater was not observed in the boring. The borehole caved at 7 feet indicating the possible location of the groundwater table at the time of drilling.

4.0 Foundation Recommendations

Based on our explorations and our recommended frost protection depth, we anticipate that the deck support footings will be supported on the silty sand glacial marine deposit. We recommend that these footings and the proposed entry way addition footings be proportioned using an allowable bearing pressure of 2,000 psf. The total estimated settlement for this contact pressure is estimated to be less than $\frac{3}{4}$ of an inch. Differential settlement between footings will be negligible. This allowable bearing pressure is based on the following conditions:

- The footings are constructed at the required frost protection depth of 4 feet below the finished ground surface.
- The soil at the base of the footings is proofrolled using a large vibratory plate compactor and making a minimum of 4 passes in each of two perpendicular directions.

The design air freezing index for the Portland area is approximately 950 degree F days (10 year, 90% probability). Based on this, a total of 4 feet of frost protection should be provided for the exterior footings exposed to freezing temperatures.

The footings can be backfilled with the existing fill soil excavated to construct the footings. We recommend that the soil be placed in 12 inch lifts and be compacted with a vibratory plate compactor. No compaction tests are necessary.

Cast in place retaining wall footings should be constructed at a minimum depth of 4 feet below the exterior finished grade for frost protection. The maximum retaining wall footing contact pressure should be limited to 2,000 psf. We recommend that the foundation walls not free to rotate at the top, but which are drained at their base be designed using an at-rest equivalent



fluid pressure of 45 psf per foot of wall height. The passive pressure in front of the wall can be taken as 250 psf per foot of embedment depth. Values of 0.40 should be used for the friction coefficient at the base of the wall for footings on the silty sand glacial marine deposit.

5.0 Earthwork Considerations

The existing fill/native soils are classified as OSHA Type C. Based on this, occupied excavations less than a depth of 20 feet are limited to a maximum side slope of 1.5 horizontal to 1 vertical in the existing fill/native soils.

The existing fill can be used as backfill above the deck footings. We recommend that the entry way footings be backfilled with a non-frost susceptible granular soil having a maximum of 65 passing a #200 sieve.

Groundwater will not be encountered within footing excavations.

If conditions other than those described above are observed, SGS should be notified so we can make any necessary adjustments to our recommendations.

6.0 Closure

Our recommendations are based on professional judgment and generally accepted principles of geotechnical engineering and project information provided by others. Some changes in subsurface conditions from those presented in this report may occur. Should these conditions differ materially from those described in this report, SGS should be notified so that we can re-evaluate our recommendations.

We appreciate the opportunity to serve you during this phase of your project. If there are any questions or additional information is required, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely, Summit Geoengineering Services, Inc.

Within MRtul,

William M. Peterlein, PE President & Principal Engineer



APPENDIX A EXPLORATION LOCATION PLAN



APPENDIX B BORING LOGS

~						SOIL BORING LOG			Boring #:	B-1	
GEOENGINEERING SERVICES						Project: Robinson			Project #:	18139	
						Location: 123 Cumberland Ave			Sheet:	1 of 1	
						City, State: Portland, ME Chkd by:					
Drilling Co: Summit Geoengineering, Inc.						Boring Elevation:					
Driller: C. Coolidge, P.E.						Reference:					
Summit Staff: B. Peterlein, P.E.						Date started: 5/10/2018 Date Completed: 5/10/2018					
DRILLING METHOD SAMPLER						ESTIMATED GROUND WATER DEPTH					
Vehicle:		Tracked	Length:	24" SS		Date	Depth	Elevation	Ret	Reference	
Model:	AM	S Power Probe	Diameter:	r: 2"OD/1.5"ID		5/10/2018 Hole Caved at 7 ft depth				epth	
Method: <u>3" Casing</u> Hammer: 140 lb											
Hammer	Style:	Auto	Method:	ASTM D15	586			_			
Depth					Elev.		SAMPI	LE Tran	Geological/	Geological	
(π.)	INO.	Pen/Rec (In)	Depth (ft)	DIOWS/6"	(π.)	Duran Cilta CAN	DESCRIP		Test Data	Stratum	
1	5-1	18/12	0 to 2	1		Brown Silly SAN	D, trace grave	, very loose, SM			
1_				1	-						
2				1	ł					FILL	
					ł						
3					t						
-					İ						
4					1						
_											
5											
	S-2	24/12	5 to 7	3		Olive Brown to C	Dive Brown to Orange Brown Silty SAND, moist,				
6				5		compact, SM	compact, SM				
_				6							
7_				5							
0											
°_											
q											
· -					-						
10											
	S-3	24/18	10 to 12	2	Ì	Brown Fine SAN					
11				3	İ	loose, SM	, 5	, , , , ,	Í		
_				3	Ī						
12				3							
13											
14_											
15											
15	C /	24/24	15 to 17	W/LI		Grov Silty CLAY	wat vary coff		-		
16	5-4	27/27	13 (0 17	WH	ł	Gray Silty CLAT, wet, very soit, CL					
10				WH	ł						
17				WH	İ						
-					İ						
18					I						
					ļ						
19					ļ						
							10.41				
20					ł	End of boring at	19.4' on bedro	DCK			
21					ł						
²¹					ł						
22					ł						
					İ						
			1		İ						
Granular Soils		Cohesive Soils		% Composition		NOTES:	PP = Pocket Per	netrometer, MC = Moisture (Content	Soil Moisture Condition	
Blows/ft. Density		Blows/ft. Consistency		ASTM D2487			LL = Liquid Limi	t, PI = Plastic Index, FV = F	ield Vane Test	Dry: S = 0%	
0-4	V. Loose	V. Loose <2 V. soft Loose 2-4 Soft < 5% Trace		Bedrock Joints Su = Undrained Shear Strength, Su(r) = Remolded Shear Strength Humid: S = Shallow = 0 to 35 degrees Damp: S = 2				Humid: S = 1 to 25%			
5-10	Loose							Damp: S = 26 to 50%			
11-30	Compac	t 5-8	Firm	5-15%	Little	Dipping = 35 to 55 degrees			Moist: S = 51 to 75%		
31-50	Dense	Dense 9-15 Stiff 15-30% Some			Steep = 55 to 90 degrees			Wet: S = 76 to 99%			
>50	>50 V. Dense		V. Stiff	> 30% With		Poulders diam	have 1 1 1	Cobblog - diameter 12	boo and the 2 is -t	Saturated: $S = 100\%$	
> 30 Hara						pouriers = diameter > 12 incnes, cooples = diameter < 12 incnes and > 3 inches Gravel = < 3 inch and > No 4. Sand = < No 4 and >No 200 Silt/Clav = < No 200					