

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

**Prepared For:** 

The Preserve at South Ridge, LLC 94 South Street Yarmouth, Maine 04096

**Prepared By:** 

Acorn Engineering, Inc. P.O. Box 3372 Portland, Maine 04104



November 2018

# **INTRODUCTION**

Acorn Engineering, Inc. has been retained by The Preserve at South Ridge, LLC to provide civil engineering services for the proposed development of the Hammond House located along 4-12 Hammond Street in Portland, Maine. The project is a 16-unit urban infill redevelopment in East Bayside set into the steep slopes that run east to west. The majority of the on-site parking is within a subsurface garage. Three living levels will sit atop the garage.

A stormwater analysis will be prepared to demonstrate that the project will meet the following requirements of the City of Portland (the City):

- City of Portland Land Use Ordinance Chapter 14, Article V. Site Plan Section 14-523. Required Approvals and Applicability (F) Level III Site Plan Review.
- City of Portland Technical Manual Section 5 Portland Stormwater Management Standards and Maine DEP Chapter 500 Stormwater Management.

The proposed project will include the redevelopment of existing impervious area including rooftops, asphalt and gravel driveways, and parking. The current course of action is to provide water quality treatment to the stormwater through filtration utilizing a Maine Department of Environmental Protection - Underdrained Subsurface Sand Filter approved stormwater Best Management Practice (BMP). This development shall incorporate green infrastructure to provide water quality treatment for no less than 95% of the new impervious area and 80% of the new developed area.

The stormwater analysis is documented with supporting calculations and reports attached to this narrative.

# **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The proposed project is located at the intersection of Fox and Hammond Street. The proposed lot is a combination of multiple properties which presently include Portland Tax Map 12-C-6,8,10,11, and 14 comprising 15,433 square feet. Portland has zoned this area as an R-6 Residential Zone. An existing conditions plan has been prepared by R.W. Eaton Associates dated 9/4/2018. All abutting uses are residential (R-6 zone) except to the west (B-5 zone).

The entire site is currently developed with 26% of it being impervious area which includes the existing buildings, the paved driveways, patio stones, an old skate ramp, and a covered firewood storage area. The grassed areas are in fair condition with some patches of exposed soils. From south to east the grades are gradual up to the structures where they then fall off at an average of 12%.

The project area presently drains the entirety of its runoff the abutting property to the west (117 Anderson Street – the Portland Housing Authority's Maintenance Facility). It is likely that the runoff is contributing to the icing of the Facility's parking lot that was observed in November of 2018 after a series of rain events and freezing temperatures.

The project team is not aware of the presence of any existing significant natural features located on the site. Given the urban setting, existing free-draining soils and steep slopes a



field inventory of significant natural feature was not undertaken. The project is not located within a watershed classified as an Urban Impaired Stream.

# PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The Hammond House redevelopment proposes 16 units on 3 levels of living with a subsurface parking garage. The building will be set into the slope, taking advantage of the grade change to accommodate one parking space per unit while "hiding" the parking from the streetscape. A driveway will dive down at an average grade of 11% to the rear of the building.

The landscaping plan includes aggressive planting of trees and shrubs. The re-vegetation strategy proposes native plants in which will complement the new structure while offering environmental benefits such as reducing the heat island effect, stormwater uptake and treatment, reduction of erosion, provide wildlife habitat, and screening in between properties.

The development will be served by the Portland Water District, underground power/cable/communications, natural gas and the municipal sewer system. Solid waste and recycling will be contracted through a private waste disposal and recycling provider. The project anticipates incorporating Maine DEP approved stormwater Best Management Practices (BMP) to meet the General and Flooding Standards.

# **GENERAL STANDARDS - WATER QUALITY**

The development shall provide water quality treatment for no less than 95% of the new impervious area and 80% of the developed area. The project includes the redevelopment of existing impervious area including rooftops and asphalt driveways and parking. Water quality treatment shall be provided through the use of an Underdrained Subsurface Sand Filter (USSF)

The USSF BMP was sized to meet or exceed the requirements set forth within the MDEP Volume III: BMPs Technical Design Manual Section 7.3. Filtration BMPs have been shown to be very effective at removing a wide range of pollutants from stormwater runoff. The stormwater runoff shall first flow into the StormTech Isolator Row which shall provide initial treatment, although sediment levels are anticipated to be low given the relative cleanliness of roof runoff with respect to solids. The stormwater will be detained within the chambers and surrounding aggregate before flowing vertically through the sand filter layer. The treated stormwater shall then be collected within perforated pipes and released slowly by the outlet control structure at an attenuated rate. The outlet will be routed to the separated storm system within Fox Street.

The treatment of the impervious surface is as follows:

	Table 1 – Impervious Treatment Area Table							
	Evisting Dropood Total	Proposed	Proposed	Net change	% Overall			
	Imporvious	Imporvious	Impervious	Impervious Area	in	New Imp.		
	Aroo (SF)	SF) Area (SF)	Area with No	with Treatment	Impervious	Area		
	Area (SF)		Treatment (SF)	(SF)	Area (SF)	Treated		
USSF	4,009	11,565	3,427	8,138	7,556	108%		



Furthermore, the minimum 80% treatment of new developed area is met and exceeded since the entirety of the existing site is already developed.

As shown above, the project anticipates meeting and exceeding the required treatment for new impervious surfaces and developed area through the use of the underdrained subsurface sand filter. Additionally, the plethora of native plants, the infiltration trench, and the catch basin will provide additional water quality benefits in addition to the treatment of the USSF.

A calculation for sand filter area is necessary to meet the requirements below the surface of the USSF. As defined in the Volume III: BMPs Technical Design Manual, Chapter 7, the surface area of the filter shall be no less than the sum of 5% of the tributary impervious area and 2% of the tributary vegetated area. The filter area is calculated by the following formula:

$$[(Imp. SF x 0.05) + (Veg. SF x 0.02)] = Filter Area (SF)$$

Please refer to Table 2 below.

Table 2 – Total Filter Surface Area, displays the proposed USSF sizing requirements, actual size and the percentage of required area.

Table 2 – Total Filter Surface Area					
	Required Filter	Actual Filter	Percentage of		
	Area (SF)	Area (SF)	Required Area (%)		
USSF BMP	407	410	101%		

As shown, the size of the soil filter area will meet and exceed the surface area requirements.

In accordance with the Volume III: BMPs Technical Design Manual, a water quality volume of 1.0 inches times the tributary impervious area plus 0.4 inches times the tributary vegetated area is required to be treated by the USSF. The water quality volume is calculated by the following formula:

$$\left(\frac{\text{Imp. SF x 1.0"}}{12"/1"}\right) + \left(\frac{\text{Veg. SF x 0.4"}}{12"/1"}\right) = \text{Treatment Volume (CF)}$$

The proposed water quality volume is as follows:

Table 3 – Water Quality Volume Table					
	Vegetated Area (SF)	Impervious Area (SF)	Treatment Volume Required (CF)	Treatment Volume Provided (CF)	
USSF BMP	0	$8,138~\mathrm{SF}$	678	863*	

\*Derived from the HydroCAD model and the rainfall that produces 18" of ponding within the chambers. The storage volume within the crushed stone and chambers – the two layers above the filter sand – is then added together to calculate the water quality volume.



As shown, the size of the combined water quality volume will meet and exceed the treatment volume requirements.

Once the water quality volume is known an artificial rainfall event is created within HydroCAD, to mimic a storm event which equals the required water quality volume. Based upon the artificial rainfall event the depth of the water quality volume within the chamber system will not exceed 18", as required.

Provided the infiltration rates of the water quality volume through the sand filter are variable, a water quality outlet is modeled to provide the required minimum 24-hour release time. This is completed by adjusting the rainfall amount in HydroCAD until the inflow volume is equal to or greater than the calculated treatment volume. The storm events are modeled as type III, 24-hour storm events in HydroCAD.

A vertical orifice is modeled in HydroCAD at the outlet control structure. The orifice diameter is sized to detain the stormwater for an approximate period of 24 hours. The orifice shall be placed at the end of the larger outfall pipe on the outlet control structure baffle to be inspected or replaced if necessary. The orifice is intended to be a PVC cap placed on the outfall pipe (no glue) with the orifice drilled into the cap eccentrically. The PVC cap can be easily inspected, removed or replaced if necessary. The orifice for the water quantity volume is then set above the peak elevation determined for the water quality volume.

# Isolator Row

Typically, an isolator row would have an access structure from both sides to inspect the accumulation of sediment/debris and remove when necessary. Given that many systems have a tributary area comprised of pavement and other ground-level developed areas, this requirement makes sense for these instances where the system would receive sand, debris, and organic matter eventually clogging up the filter fabric within the isolator row. However, within this project, the StormTech system will receive only roof runoff which will contain significantly less solids that would contribute to clogging of the fabric.

After consulting with a representative from Advanced Drainage Systems, the manufacturer of StormTech, they have communicated that an isolator row is not necessary when the watershed is comprised of 100% roof runoff because there are essentially no solids to remove from the inflow. This exception is routinely accepted in other states and was recently implemented in a site development project within Cumberland County. Within this project, an isolator row is proposed as a precautionary measure. There are no structures directly abutting the isolator row; however, two inspection ports and two cleanouts within the system will provide opportunity for maintenance in the unlikely event that sediment/debris builds up. Given the low sediment loading from roof runoff as well as the small footprint of the system itself, it our professional opinion that the access structure requirement for both ends of an isolator row within section 7.3 of the MDEP's Best Management Practice manual be waived.

The isolator row length was sized to meet the DEP's regulations where the number of chambers within the isolator row is equal to the peak inflow flowrate during a 1-year storm into the system divided by 0.2 cfs. The project proposes 3 chambers within the isolator row compared the yielded value of 2.45 from the above equation.



# FLOODING STANDARD - WATER QUANTITY

The proposed project was modeled using HydroCAD to verify that the post-development conditions do not exceed the pre-development conditions. A 24-hour SCS Type III storm distribution for the 2, 10, and 25-year storm events were used. The corresponding rainfall amounts for these storms are 3.10", 4.60", and 5.80" respectively.

Due to the numerous variables, and inherent inaccuracies with the modeling program used to calculate stormwater runoff it is custom at Acorn Engineering, Inc. to round to the nearest whole number. However due to the small size of the project and the minimal existing flows, the stormwater runoff shall be rounded to the nearest tenth of a cubic feet per second (cfs).

#### Time of Concentration $(T_c)$

Within the pre-development, calculating a Tc using site specific flow paths yielded less than 5 minutes when the sheet flow regime was capped at 100 feet (due to the steep sustained slopes). A consistent time of concentration ( $T_c$ ) of 5 minutes was applied to each subcatchment for both the pre and post-development conditions given the urban setting and steep slopes.

#### Curve Number

Within the pre-development model, the vegetated areas were considered fair grass cover within the hydrologic soil group A. The fair rating is due to some of the patches and exposed soils throughout the site. In the post-development plan, the landscaped areas were considered good grass cover within the hydrologic soil group A. This is a conservative approach given the aggressive landscape plan which may be more accurately depicted as grass/woodlands combo due to the extensive root systems and canopy cover that will exist once the landscaping has matured.

# Pre-development Calculations

The entirety of the existing site was modeled as one subcatchment given the existing contours. The site's runoff flows to the abutting property on Anderson Street which ultimately drains to the public drainage system in Anderson Street.

A Pre-development Watershed Map developed for this project can be viewed in Attachment A, and a copy of the HydroCAD calculations is included within Attachment C, of this report.

Peak flow rates for the storm events are as follows:

Table 4 – Pre-Development Peak Stormwater Flows						
	2 – Year Storm 10 – Year Storm 25 – Year Storm					
Drainage Area	Event (cfs)	Event (cfs)	Event (cfs)			
POI #1	0.1	0.5	0.8			

# Post-development Calculations:

The one predevelopment subcatchment was broken into three separate subcatchments for the post-development condition.

- > Subcatchment 1 This is comprised of the roof which will be routed to the USSF.
- Subcatchment 2 This subcatchment is characterized as the driveway, the walkways, the retaining wall, the decks above, and the swale along the property line which will drain to the infiltration trench.
- Subcatchment 3 This is comprised of the edges of property lines which may not drain to the infiltration trench as well as the first five feet of the property in front of the proposed building.

The post-development calculations include changes to the land use, and the compensation provided by the detention facility. The following table represents comparison of predevelopment and post-development condition peak runoff rates for the proposed development and tributary area.

Table 5 – Comparison of Peak Flows						
Drainage	2 – Year Storm		10 – Year Storm		25 – Year Storm Event	
Area	Event (cfs)		Event (cfs)		(cfs)	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
<b>POI #1</b>	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.7

As shown in Table 5 the net impact of the post development peak flows will remain at or below the predevelopment levels. A post-development watershed map developed for this project can be viewed in Attachment B, and a copy of the HydroCAD calculations is included within Attachment C of this report.

# Down Gradient Property Owners:

The post development (proposed) peak stormwater surface flows tributary to the down gradient property owners will significantly decrease. The proposed infiltration trench dimensions have been sized to contain the runoff from up to a 25-year storm event and promote infiltration into the surrounding glacial till layer. Currently, all stormwater runoff generated by the site drains the Portland Housing Authority Maintenance Facility. In the proposed condition, the flows will be redirected to the separated storm system in Fox Street, therefore improving upon the existing conditions to the downgradient property owner.

# **SOILS**

Onsite soil information includes the following:

> Soil Conservation Service Medium Intensity Soil Survey for Cumberland County

Given the soils information, listed above, no onsite wastewater is proposed, deep fills/cuts the applicant does not intend to perform a more intense hydric soil boundary delineation because

of the waiver requirements set forth in the City of Portland Technical Manual – Section 7 – Soil Survey, Rev. 6/17/12 are met.

The area within and surrounding the project includes soils types listed in the table below. The susceptibility of soils to erosion is indicated on a relative "K" scale of values over a range of 0.02 to 0.69. Higher "K" values indicate more erodible soils.

Table 6 - "K" Value			
Soils Type	Subsurface	Substratum	
Hinckley	.17	.17	

The soil "K" values for the soils, listed above, show a low susceptibility to erosion. The site's susceptibility to erosion is from the Soil Conservation Service Medium Intensity Soil Survey for Cumberland County. Although soil "K" values for the soils show a low susceptibility to erosion, implementation of the proposed Erosion & Sedimentation Measures by the contractor will be of the utmost importance, given the long sustained slopes.

# **Conclusion**

The proposed development was designed to meet the requirements implemented by the MDEP under the Stormwater Management Statute (38 M.R.S.A. § 420-D) as well as the City of Portland Technical Manual – Section 5 – Portland Stormwater Management Standards. As a result the design of the proposed development and stormwater system, the project does not anticipate to create erosion, drainage or runoff problems either in the development or with respect to adjoining properties. The existing flows will be maintained, but redirected to the stormwater system through LID techniques rather than the abutting property.

# **Attachments**

Attachment A: Pre Development Watershed Map Attachment B: Post Development Watershed Map Attachment C: HydroCAD Calculations Attachment D: Soil Survey





SSUED FINAL A REVISI	FOR PP. ON	DATE WHS 11/26/18 REV. DATE
REVISI	ON -	REV. DATE
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROVIDENT OF THE PROV	No. 15 X Size X     X <th>ASIA CLIENT: ASIA CLIENT: ASIA CLIENT: ASIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: PARACTARIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: PARACTARIA CLIENTI CLIENT: PARACTARIA CLIENTI CLIENTI</th>	ASIA CLIENT: ASIA CLIENT: ASIA CLIENT: ASIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: PARACTARIA CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC PARACTARIA CLIENT: PARACTARIA CLIENTI CLIENT: PARACTARIA CLIENTI CLIENTI
NGINEER	rawin <b>PR</b>	ig no.
	LE: RAWN B HECKED HIS PL ODIFIED ESIGN E RAWN B HECKED HIS PL ODIFIED SER'S ITHOUT NGINEER D	AWE HAN LD LD LD LD LD LD LD LD LD LD



A State of the second s	ISSUED FINAL REVIS	FOR APP ION		BY DATE WHS 1/27/18 REV. DATE
SUBCAT DIVIDE ALONG WALL Garage POSED CONTOUR, TYP.	DRAWING NAME: POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED MAP	PROJECT NAME:	HAMMUND HOUSE REDEVELOPMEN	CLIENT: THE PRESERVE AT SOUTH RIDGE, LLC 94 SOUTH STREET, YARMOUTH, ME 04096
DND 1: UNDERDRAINED JBSURFACE SAND FILTER SSF) TO ACCEPT ROOF JNOFF	THIS PLEASE THE PERMISSI ENGINEER SCALE: DESIGN I DRAWN E CHECKET THIS PL MODIFIED PERMISSI USER'S WITHOUT ENGINEER	DANF DANF DANF DANF DANF DANF DANF DANF	ALL B RICI	NG, INC + ST 04104 655 112_CIVIL 1112 1"=20' SJL SJL WHS NOT BE WRITEN ACORN AND NOT ACORN E AT THE SK AND O ACORN
PRELIMINARY SUBMISSION -38-	F		NG S	NU. T



# Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.262	49	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG A (1S)
0.092	98	Pavement, roof, concrete (1S)
0.354	62	TOTAL AREA

# Pre Development

# Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.262	HSG A	1S
0.000	HSG B	
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.092	Other	1S
0.354		TOTAL
		AREA

# **Pre Development**

Prepared by Acorn Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00620 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

# Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers
0.262	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.262	50-75% Grass cover, Fair	1S
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.092	0.092	Pavement, roof, concrete	1S
0.262	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.092	0.354	TOTAL AREA	

Time span=1.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=15,433 sf 25.98% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.44" Tc=5.0 min CN=62 Runoff=0.12 cfs 0.013 af

Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site

Inflow=0.12 cfs 0.013 af Outflow=0.12 cfs 0.013 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.354 acRunoff Volume = 0.013 afAverage Runoff Depth = 0.44"74.02% Pervious = 0.262 ac25.98% Impervious = 0.092 ac

# Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site

Runoff = 0.12 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.013 af, Depth= 0.44"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-year Rainfall=3.10"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description						
	11,424	49	50-75% Gras	0-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG A					
*	4,009	98	Pavement, r	Pavement, roof, concrete					
	15,433	62	Weighted Av	/eighted Average					
	11,424		74.02% Perv	74.02% Pervious Area					
	4,009		25.98% Imp	25.98% Impervious Area					
Т	c Length	Slop	be Velocity	Capacity	Description				
_(min	) (feet)	(ft/i	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)					
5.0	)				Direct Entry, Paved Drive to Steep Banks				

#### Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site



# Summary for Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Inflow A	rea =	0.354 ac,	25.98% Im	pervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.44" f	or 2-year event
Inflow	=	$0.12  ext{ cfs } @$	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	0.013 af		
Outflow	=	$0.12  ext{ cfs } @$	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	0.013 af,	Atten=	0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Time span=1.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=15,433 sf 25.98% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.20" Tc=5.0 min CN=62 Runoff=0.46 cfs 0.035 af

Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site

Inflow=0.46 cfs 0.035 af Outflow=0.46 cfs 0.035 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.354 acRunoff Volume = 0.035 afAverage Runoff Depth = 1.20"74.02% Pervious = 0.262 ac25.98% Impervious = 0.092 ac

# Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site

Runoff = 0.46 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.035 af, Depth= 1.20"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=4.60"

A	area (sf)	CN	Description						
	11,424	49	50-75% Gras	ss cover, Fa	ir, HSG A				
*	4,009	98	Pavement, r	oof, concret	e				
	15,433	62	Weighted Av	/eighted Average					
	11,424		74.02% Perv	4.02% Pervious Area					
	4,009		25.98% Imp	ervious Are	a				
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)					
5.0					Direct Entry, Paved Drive to Steep Banks				

#### Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site



# Summary for Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Inflow A	rea =	0.354 ac,	25.98% Im	pervious,	Inflow Depth =	1.20"	for 1	0-year event
Inflow	=	$0.46 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	$0.035 \ {\rm af}$			
Outflow	=	$0.46 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	0.035 af,	Atten=	0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

# Hydrograph Inflow Outflow 0.46 cfs 0.46 cfs 0.5 Inflow Area=0.354 ac 0.45 0.4 0.35 0.3 Flow (cfs) 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

# Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Time span=1.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=15,433 sf 25.98% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.95" Tc=5.0 min CN=62 Runoff=0.80 cfs 0.058 af

Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site

Inflow=0.80 cfs 0.058 af Outflow=0.80 cfs 0.058 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.354 acRunoff Volume = 0.058 afAverage Runoff Depth = 1.95"74.02% Pervious = 0.262 ac25.98% Impervious = 0.092 ac

# Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site

Runoff = 0.80 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.058 af, Depth= 1.95"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=5.80"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description						
	11,424	49	50-75% Gras	ss cover, Fa	ir, HSG A				
*	4,009	98	Pavement, r	oof, concret	e				
	15,433	62	Weighted Av	eighted Average					
	11,424		74.02% Perv	4.02% Pervious Area					
	4,009		25.98% Imp	ervious Are	a				
m	т.,1	01	<b>TT 1</b>	a					
Тс	e Length	Slo	e Velocity	Capacity	Description				
<u>(min</u> )	(feet)	(ft/1	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)					
5.0	)				Direct Entry, Paved Drive to Steep Banks				

#### Subcatchment 1S: Existing Site



# Summary for Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Inflow A	rea =	0.354 ac,	25.98% Im	pervious,	Inflow Depth =	1.95" f	or 25-year event
Inflow	=	$0.80~{ m cfs}$ @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	$0.058 \ { m af}$		
Outflow	=	$0.80  ext{ cfs}$ @	$12.08\ \mathrm{hrs},$	Volume=	0.058 af,	Atten=	0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.



# Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.089	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (2S, 3S)
0.078	98	Drive, wall, walks, decks above, misc. roof (2S)
0.001	98	Front entrance walk/sculptures (3S)
0.187	98	Roof (1S)
0.354	83	TOTAL AREA

# Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.089	HSG A	2S, 3S
0.000	HSG B	
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.265	Other	1S, 2S, 3S
0.354		TOTAL
		AREA

# Post Development 11-26-18

Prepared by Acorn Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00620 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

]	HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchmen
	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers
	0.089	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.089	>75% Grass cover, Good	_
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.078	0.078	Drive, wall, walks, decks above, misc. root	-
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	Front entrance walk/sculptures	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.187	0.187	Roof	
	0.089	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.265	0.354	TOTAL AREA	

# Ground Covers (all nodes)

# Post Development 11-26-18

	Tipe Listing (an nodes)								
Line#	Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Length	Slope	n	Diam/Width	Height	Inside-Fill
	Number	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(inches)	(inches)	(inches)
1	1P	27.65	27.00	130.0	0.0050	0.013	8.0	0.0	0.0

# Pipe Listing (all nodes)

<b>Post Development 11-26-18</b> Prepared by Acorn Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00620 © 2018 HydroCAD S	Type III 24-hr 2-year Rainfall=3.10" Printed 11/27/2018 Software Solutions LLC Page 6
Time span=0.00-36. Runoff by SCS TR-20 Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind me	00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN thod - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: 1S	Runoff Area=8,138 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.87" Tc=5.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.58 cfs 0.045 af
Subcatchment 2S: 2S	Runoff Area=6,291 sf 53.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.82" Tc=5.0 min CN=71 Runoff=0.13 cfs 0.010 af
Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)	Runoff Area=1,004 sf 4.28% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.01" Tc=5.0 min CN=42 Runoff=0.00 cfs 0.000 af
Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.	Inflow=0.13 cfs 0.045 af Outflow=0.13 cfs 0.045 af
Pond 1P: USSF-740	Peak Elev=31.80' Storage=554 cf Inflow=0.58 cfs 0.045 af Outflow=0.13 cfs 0.045 af
Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench Discarded=0.01 cf	Peak Elev=21.46' Storage=161 cf Inflow=0.13 cfs 0.010 af s 0.010 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.01 cfs 0.010 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.354 acRunoff Volume = 0.055 af<br/>25.06% Pervious = 0.089 acAverage Runoff Depth = 1.85"<br/>74.94% Impervious = 0.265 ac

# Summary for Subcatchment 1S: 1S

Runoff = 0.58 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.045 af, Depth= 2.87"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-year Rainfall=3.10"



# Summary for Subcatchment 2S: 2S

Runoff = 0.13 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af, Depth= 0.82"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-year Rainfall=3.10"

A	rea (sf)	CN Description	
*	3,384	98 Drive, wall, walks, decks above, misc. roof	
	2,907	39 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A	
	6,291	71 Weighted Average	
	2,907	46.21% Pervious Area	
	3,384	53.79% Impervious Area	
Те	Length	Slope Velocity Capacity Description	
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)	
5.0		Direct Entry,	
		Sechastahrmant 95, 95	
		Subcatchment 28: 28	
0.14			Runoff
0.13		$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{v}}$	
0.12			
0.11			
0.1		Runoff Area=6,291 sf	
0.09		Runoff Volume=0.010 af	
80.0 <b>(cts)</b>		Runoff Depth=0.82"	
<u>8</u> 0.07			
<b>ш</b> 0.06			
0.05			
0.04			
0.03			
0.02			
0.01			
0			
	0123	4 0 0 7 0 9 10 11 12 13 14 10 10 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)	

# Summary for Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)

Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 22.35 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Depth= 0.01"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-year Rainfall=3.10"

/	Area (sf)	CN	Description						
	961	39	>75% Grass	cover, Good	d, HSG A				
*	43	98	Front entra	<u>nce walk/scu</u>	ulptures				
	1,004	42	Weighted Av	eighted Average					
	961		95.72% Perv	95.72% Pervious Area					
	43		4.28% Imper	vious Area	l				
To (min)	Length	Sloj (ft/f	be Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
5.0		(10/1		(015)	Direct Entry,				

# Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)



# Summary for Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Inflow A	rea =	0.354 ac,	74.94% Im	pervious,	Inflow Depth =	1.51" fo	r 2-year event
Inflow	=	$0.13 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.45 hrs,	Volume=	$0.045 \ { m af}$		
Outflow	=	$0.13  ext{ cfs } @$	$12.45\ \mathrm{hrs},$	Volume=	0.045 af,	Atten= 0	%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

#### Summary for Pond 1P: USSF-740

Inflow Are	ea =	0.187 ac,1	00.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.87"	for 2-year even	nt
Inflow	=	$0.58~\mathrm{cfs}$ @	12.07 hrs, Volume=	= 0.045 af			
Outflow	=	$0.13 \mathrm{ cfs}$ @	12.45 hrs, Volume=	= 0.045 af,	Atten=	77%, Lag= 22	.7 min
Primary	=	$0.13~{\rm cfs}$ @	12.45 hrs, Volume=	= 0.045 af			

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 31.80'@ 12.45 hrs Surf.Area= 1,231 sf Storage= 554 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 35.1 min (791.2 - 756.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storag	e Storage Description
#1	30.50'	450 c	ef 25.25'W x 16.25'L x 3.75'H Crushed Stone
			1,539 cf Overall - 413 cf Embedded = 1,125 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	31.00'	413 c	ef ADS_StormTech SC-740 x 9 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
#3	29.00'	31 c	ef 16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.50'H Sand
			615 cf Overall x 5.0% Voids
#4	27.80'	195 c	ef 16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.20'H Crushed Stone Underdrain
			492 cf Overall - 5 cf Embedded = 487 cf x 40.0% Voids
#5	28.33'	5 c	ef 4.0" Round Underdrains Inside #4
			L= 61.0' S= 0.0050 '/'
		1,094 c	ef Total Available Storage
Device	Routing	Invert O	utlet Devices
#1	Primary	27.65' <b>8</b> .	.0" Round 8" Outlet
		Ŀ	= 130.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
		Ir	nlet / Outlet Invert= 27.65' / 27.00' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900
		n=	= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.35 sf
#2	Device 1	27.75' 1.	.6" Vert. Quality Outlet C= 0.600
	<b>T</b>		

 #3
 Device 1
 32.10'
 **5.7" Vert. Quantity Outlet** C= 0.600

 #4
 Device 1
 32.90'
 **6.0' long x 0.7' breadth Overflow Wier**

6.0' long x 0.7' breadth Overflow Wier
 Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50
 Coef. (English) 2.76 2.82 2.93 3.09 3.18 3.22 3.27 3.30 3.32 3.31 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=0.13 cfs @ 12.45 hrs HW=31.80' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=8" Outlet (Passes 0.13 cfs of 1.91 cfs potential flow)

**2=Quality Outlet** (Orifice Controls 0.13 cfs @ 9.61 fps)

-3=Quantity Outlet (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-4=Overflow Wier (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Pond 1P: USSF-740



# Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench

Inflow Area	= 0.144 ac,	53.79% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Dep	pth =	0.82"	for 2-	year event
Inflow =	0.13 cfs @	12.09 hrs, V	/olume=	0.0	010 af			
Outflow =	0.01 cfs @	11.85 hrs, V	/olume=	0.0	010 af,	Atten=	91%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Discarded =	0.01 cfs @	11.85 hrs, V	/olume=	0.0	010 af			
Primary =	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, V	/olume=	0.0	000 af			

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 21.46'@ 14.00 hrs Surf.Area= 205 sf Storage= 161 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 140.4 min calculated for 0.010 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 140.4 min (1,013.9 - 873.6)

Volume	I I	nvert	Avail.Stor	rage	Storage Description	
#1	1	19.50'	16	34 cf	3/4" Stone Detention (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)	
					410 cf Overall x 40.0% Voids	
#2	2	21.50'	55	58 cf	Detention above Stone (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)	_
			72	22 cf	Total Available Storage	
Elevatio	on	Surf.	Area	Inc.	c.Store Cum.Store	
(fee	et)	(8	sq-ft)	(cubic	bic-feet) (cubic-feet)	
19.5	50		205		0 0	
21.5	50		205		410 410	
Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf. (s	Area sq-ft)	Inc. (cubic	uc.Store Cum.Store pic-feet) (cubic-feet)	
21.5	50		217		0 0	
22.7	75		675		558 558	
Device	Routi	ng	Invert	Out	atlet Devices	
#1	Disca	rded	19.50'	2.41	<b>10 in/hr Infiltration over Surface area</b> Phase-In= 0.01'	
#2	Prima	ary	22.60'	1.0'	)' long x 1.0' breadth Emergency Outlet	
				Hea 3.00 Coef 3.32	ead (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 00 ef. (English) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31 3.30 3.31 32	1

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.01 cfs @ 11.85 hrs HW=19.53' (Free Discharge) **1=Infiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.01 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=19.50' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **2=Emergency Outlet** (Controls 0.00 cfs)



# **Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench**

<b>Post Development 11-26-18</b> Prepared by Acorn Engineering, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00620 © 2018 HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=4.60" Printed 11/27/2018 Software Solutions LLC Page 15
Time span=0.00-36. Runoff by SCS TR-20 Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind me	.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points ) method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN ethod - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: 1S	Runoff Area=8,138 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.36" Tc=5.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.87 cfs 0.068 af
Subcatchment 2S: 2S	Runoff Area=6,291 sf 53.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.82" Tc=5.0 min CN=71 Runoff=0.31 cfs 0.022 af
Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)	Runoff Area=1,004 sf 4.28% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.22" Tc=5.0 min CN=42 Runoff=0.00 cfs 0.000 af
Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.	Inflow=0.43 cfs 0.068 af Outflow=0.43 cfs 0.068 af
Pond 1P: USSF-740	Peak Elev=32.45' Storage=737 cf Inflow=0.87 cfs 0.068 af Outflow=0.43 cfs 0.068 af
<b>Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench</b> Discarded=0.04 ct	Peak Elev=22.12' Storage=368 cf Inflow=0.31 cfs 0.022 af fs 0.022 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.04 cfs 0.022 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.354 acRunoff Volume = 0.090 af<br/>25.06% Pervious = 0.089 acAverage Runoff Depth = 3.06"<br/>74.94% Impervious = 0.265 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1S: 1S

Runoff = 0.87 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.068 af, Depth= 4.36"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=4.60"



# Summary for Subcatchment 2S: 2S

Runoff = 0.31 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.022 af, Depth= 1.82"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=4.60"

A	rea (sf)	CN Description					
*	3,384	98 Drive, wall,	walks, decl	ks above, m	isc. roof		
	2,907	39 >75% Grass	cover, Goo	d, HSG A			
	6,291	71 Weighted A	verage				
	2,907	46.21% Per	vious Area				
	3,384	53.79% Imp	ervious Are	a			
	T .1		a .	<b>D</b>			
Tc	Length	Slope Velocity	Capacity	Descriptio	n		
(min)	(feet)	(It/It) (It/sec)	(cis)				
5.0				Direct E	ntry,		
			Subca	atchmen	t 2S: 2S		
			Hydi	rograph			
			+-+-	-+-+	+ - + - + -		-]
0.34	4 /		1 cfs +-+	- + - +	+ - + - + -		Runoff
0.32	2 2 2					Typo III 24 br	-
0.3	3 <u>-</u> (						-
0.28					10-yea	r Rainfall=4.60"	
0.20	6			- + - + -    ·	Runo	ff Area=6 291 sf	-
0.24							_
0.22					unott-v	olume=0.022 at	-
<b>5</b> 0.1	2	,,, -,			Run	off Depth=1.82"	-
8 0.16			+ - + -		+ - +		-
正 0.14	4 4						
0.12	2					<b>CN=71</b>	
0.1							-
0.08	8-7					 	-
0.00	6-1						-
0.04	4						-
0.02	2						ļ
(				17 10 10 20 24	22.22.24.25.0		
	U I Z 3	4 0 0 1 0 9 10 11	1∠ 13 14 13 16 Ti	ime (hours)	22 23 24 23 2	20 21 20 29 30 31 32 33 34 33 30	

#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)

Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 12.42 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Depth= 0.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=4.60"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description					
	961	39	>75% Grass	cover, Good	d, HSG A			
*	43	98	Front entra	nt entrance walk/sculptures				
	1,004	42	Weighted Av	verage				
	961	95.72% Pervious Area						
	43		4.28% Imper	rvious Area	l			
To (min)	Length	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
5.0	)	(10/1	(10,500)	(015)	Direct Entry,			

#### Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)



# Summary for Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Inflow A	Area =	0.354 ac,	74.94% Imp	pervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.32" f	for 10	)-year event
Inflow	=	$0.43  ext{ cfs } @$	12.20 hrs,	Volume=	0.068 af			
Outflow	v =	$0.43  ext{ cfs } @$	12.20 hrs,	Volume=	0.068 af,	Atten=	0%, ]	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

# Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.



#### Summary for Pond 1P: USSF-740

Inflow Are	ea =	0.187 ac,1	00.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	4.36" f	for 10-y	ear event
Inflow	=	0.87 cfs @	12.07 hrs, Volume=	0.068 af			
Outflow	=	$0.43 \mathrm{ cfs}$ @	12.20 hrs, Volume=	0.068 af,	Atten=	50%, L	ag= 7.6 min
Primary	=	$0.43~{\rm cfs}@$	12.20 hrs, Volume=	$0.068 { m af}$			

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 32.45' @ 12.20 hrs Surf.Area= 1,231 sf Storage= 737 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 37.1 min calculated for 0.068 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 37.1 min (785.6 - 748.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	30.50'	$450  ext{ cf}$	25.25'W x 16.25'L x 3.75'H Crushed Stone
			1,539 cf Overall - 413 cf Embedded = 1,125 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	31.00'	$413  ext{ cf}$	ADS_StormTech SC-740 x 9 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
#3	29.00'	31 cf	16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.50'H Sand
			615 cf Overall x 5.0% Voids
#4	27.80'	$195~{ m cf}$	16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.20'H Crushed Stone Underdrain
			492 cf Overall - 5 cf Embedded = 487 cf x 40.0% Voids
#5	28.33'	$5~{ m cf}$	4.0" Round Underdrains Inside #4
			L= 61.0' S= 0.0050 '/'
		1,094 cf	Total Available Storage
Dovico	Pouting	Invort Out	let Devices
Device	Trouting	Invert Out	
#1	Primary	27.65' <b>8.0</b> "	Round 8" Outlet
		L= :	130.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
		Inle	t / Outlet Invert= 27.65' / 27.00' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900
		n= (	0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.35 sf

 #2
 Device 1
 27.75'
 1.6" Vert. Quality Outlet
 C= 0.600

 #3
 Device 1
 32.10'
 5.7" Vert. Quantity Outlet
 C= 0.600

 #4
 Device 1
 32.90'
 6.0' long x 0.7' breadth Overflow Wier

 Head (feet)
 0.20
 0.40
 0.60
 0.80
 1.00
 1.20
 1.40
 1.60
 1.80
 2.00
 2.50

 Coef. (English)
 2.76
 2.82
 2.93
 3.09
 3.18
 3.22
 3.27
 3.30
 3.32
 3.31
 3.32

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.43 cfs @ 12.20 hrs HW=32.45' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=8" Outlet (Passes 0.43 cfs of 2.05 cfs potential flow)

-2=Quality Outlet (Orifice Controls 0.14 cfs @ 10.37 fps)

-3=Quantity Outlet (Orifice Controls 0.29 cfs @ 2.02 fps)

-4=Overflow Wier (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Pond 1P: USSF-740



# Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench

Inflow Area =	0.144 ac,	53.79% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	1.82"	for 10-year eve	nt
Inflow =	$0.31 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.022 af			
Outflow =	$0.04 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.93 hrs, Volume=	0.022 af,	Atten=	88%, Lag= 51.	1 min
Discarded =	$0.04 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.93 hrs, Volume=	0.022 af			
Primary =	$0.00  ext{ cfs } @$	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af			

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 22.12' @ 12.93 hrs Surf.Area= 648 sf Storage= 368 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 141.3 min calculated for 0.022 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 141.2 min ( 989.9 - 848.7 )

Volume	I I	nvert	Avail.Stor	rage	Storage Description	
#1	1	19.50'	16	34 cf	3/4" Stone Detention (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)	
					410 cf Overall x 40.0% Voids	
#2	2	21.50'	55	58 cf	Detention above Stone (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)	_
			72	22 cf	Total Available Storage	
Elevatio	on	Surf.	Area	Inc.	c.Store Cum.Store	
(fee	et)	(8	sq-ft)	(cubic	bic-feet) (cubic-feet)	
19.5	50		205		0 0	
21.5	50		205		410 410	
Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf. (s	Area sq-ft)	Inc. (cubic	uc.Store Cum.Store pic-feet) (cubic-feet)	
21.5	50		217		0 0	
22.7	75		675		558 558	
Device	Routi	ng	Invert	Out	atlet Devices	
#1	Disca	rded	19.50'	2.41	<b>10 in/hr Infiltration over Surface area</b> Phase-In= 0.01'	
#2	Prima	ary	22.60'	1.0'	)' long x 1.0' breadth Emergency Outlet	
				Hea 3.00 Coef 3.32	ead (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 00 ef. (English) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31 3.30 3.31 32	1

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.04 cfs @ 12.93 hrs HW=22.12' (Free Discharge) **1=Infiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.04 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=19.50' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **2=Emergency Outlet** (Controls 0.00 cfs)



# **Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench**

Post Development 11-26-18	Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=5.80"
Prepared by Acorn Engineering, Inc.	Printed 11/27/2018
<u>HydroCAD® 10.00-22</u> s/n 00620 © 2018 HydroCAD	Software Solutions LLC Page 24
Time span=0.00-36 Runoff by SCS TR-20 Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind m	5.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points 0 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN 1ethod - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: 1S	Runoff Area=8,138 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.56" Tc=5.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.10 cfs 0.087 af
Subcatchment 2S: 2S	Runoff Area=6,291 sf 53.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.74" Tc=5.0 min CN=71 Runoff=0.48 cfs 0.033 af
Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)	Runoff Area=1,004 sf 4.28% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.55" Tc=5.0 min CN=42 Runoff=0.01 cfs 0.001 af
Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.	Inflow=0.74 cfs 0.088 af Outflow=0.74 cfs 0.088 af
Pond 1P: USSF-740	Peak Elev=32.80' Storage=826 cf Inflow=1.10 cfs 0.087 af Outflow=0.73 cfs 0.087 af
Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench Discarded=0.05 of	Peak Elev=22.58' Storage=615 cf Inflow=0.48 cfs 0.033 af cfs 0.033 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.05 cfs 0.033 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.354 acRunoff Volume = 0.121 afAverage Runoff Depth = 4.08"25.06% Pervious = 0.089 ac74.94% Impervious = 0.265 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1S: 1S

Runoff = 1.10 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.087 af, Depth= 5.56"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=5.80"



# Summary for Subcatchment 2S: 2S

Runoff = 0.48 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.033 af, Depth= 2.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=5.80"

A	rea (sf)	CN L	Description						
*	3,384	98 I	Drive, wall,	walks, dec	ks above,	misc. roof			
	2,907	39 >	75% Grass	cover, Goo	od, HSG A				
	6,291	71 V	Veighted Av	verage					
	2,907 46.21% Pervious Area								
	3,384	5	3.79% Imp	ervious Are	ea				
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Descrip	tion			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	P				
5.0					Direct	Entry,			
				Suba	atahma	nt 98. 98			
				Subc	atchine	III 20: 20			
		1 1 1		нуа					
	$\{ \}$								Runoff
0.5			0.4	8 CIS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
0.45			· = + = + = + = - = -           					m-24-nr	
						25-year	Rainfa	ll=5.80"	
0.4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Runoff	Area=6	6.291 sf	
0.35						Runoff Vo	olume=(	0.033 af	
<b>(cls)</b> 0.3						Runo	ff Dept	h=2.74"	
<b>0</b> .25							Tc=	5.0 min	
0.2								CN=71	
0.15									
0.1									
0.05			· - + - + -               						
0					<u>4444444</u>				
	0 1 2 3	4 5 6 7	7 8 9 10 11 <sup>-</sup>	12 13 14 15 16 <b>T</b>	6 17 18 19 20	21 22 23 24 25 26	27 28 29 30 31	32 33 34 35 36	
				•					

#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)

Runoff = 0.01 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af, Depth= 0.55"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=5.80"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description						
	961	39	>75% Grass	cover, Good	d, HSG A				
*	43	98	Front entra	'ront entrance walk/sculptures					
	1,004	42	Weighted A	eighted Average					
	961		95.72% Perv	95.72% Pervious Area					
	43		4.28% Imper	4.28% Impervious Area					
To (min	e Length	Sloj (ft/	pe Velocity	Capacity	Description				
	) (leet)	(10/1	(10/Sec)	(018)					
5.0	)				Direct Entry,				

#### Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)



# Summary for Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Inflow A	rea =	0.354 ac,	74.94% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.97" for	25-year event
Inflow	=	$0.74 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.15 hrs, V	/olume=	$0.088 \ {\rm af}$		
Outflow	=	$0.74 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.15 hrs, V	/olume=	0.088 af,	Atten= 0%	, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

#### Hydrograph Inflow Outflow 0.74 cfs 0.8 Inflow Area=0.354 ac 0.75 0.7 0.65 0.6 0.55 0.5 (\$j) 0.45 **NOIL** 0.35 0.3 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

# Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

#### Summary for Pond 1P: USSF-740

Inflow Area	a =	0.187 ac,1	00.00% Im	pervious,	Inflow	Depth =	5.56"	for 2	5-year ever	nt
Inflow =	=	1.10 cfs @	12.07 hrs,	Volume=		$0.087 \ {\rm af}$				
Outflow =	=	$0.73  ext{ cfs } @$	12.15 hrs,	Volume=		0.087 af,	Atten=	34%,	Lag= 4.8	min
Primary =	=	$0.73  ext{ cfs } @$	$12.15~\mathrm{hrs},$	Volume=		$0.087 \ {\rm af}$				

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 32.80'@ 12.15 hrs Surf.Area= 1,231 sf Storage= 826 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 35.4 min calculated for 0.087 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 35.4 min (780.1 - 744.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	30.50'	$450~{ m cf}$	25.25'W x 16.25'L x 3.75'H Crushed Stone
			1,539 cf Overall - 413 cf Embedded = 1,125 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	31.00'	413 cf	ADS_StormTech SC-740 x 9 Inside #1
			Effective Size= $44.6$ "W x $30.0$ "H => $6.45$ sf x $7.12$ 'L = $45.9$ cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
#3	29.00'	31 cf	16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.50'H Sand
			615 cf Overall x 5.0% Voids
#4	27.80'	$195~{ m cf}$	16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.20'H Crushed Stone Underdrain
			492  cf Overall - 5  cf Embedded = 487  cf  x 40.0%  Voids
#5	28.33'	$5~{ m cf}$	4.0" Round Underdrains Inside #4
			L= 61.0' S= 0.0050 '/'
		1,094 cf	Total Available Storage
Device	Routing	Invert Out	let Devices
#1	Primary	27.65' <b>8.0</b> "	Round 8" Outlet
		L= 1	130.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
		Inle	t / Outlet Invert= 27.65' / 27.00' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900
		n= (	0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.35 sf

 #2
 Device 1
 27.75'
 **1.6" Vert. Quality Outlet** C= 0.600

 #3
 Device 1
 32.10'
 **5.7" Vert. Quantity Outlet** C= 0.600

 #3
 Device 1
 32.10'
 5.7" Vert. Quantity Outlet
 C= 0.600

 #4
 Device 1
 32.90'
 6.0' long x 0.7' breadth Overflow Wi

6.0' long x 0.7' breadth Overflow Wier Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 Coef. (English) 2.76 2.82 2.93 3.09 3.18 3.22 3.27 3.30 3.32 3.31 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=0.73 cfs @ 12.15 hrs HW=32.80' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

**-1=8" Outlet** (Passes 0.73 cfs of 2.13 cfs potential flow)

-2=Quality Outlet (Orifice Controls 0.15 cfs @ 10.75 fps)

-3=Quantity Outlet (Orifice Controls 0.58 cfs @ 3.28 fps)

-4=Overflow Wier (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Pond 1P: USSF-740



# Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench

Inflow Area	a =	0.144 ac,	53.79% Im	pervious,	Inflow I	Depth =	2.74"	for 25	-year e	event
Inflow	=	$0.48 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	(	0.033 af				
Outflow	=	$0.05 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	13.05 hrs,	Volume=	(	0.033 af,	Atten=	90%,	Lag=	58.1 min
Discarded	=	$0.05 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	13.05 hrs,	Volume=	(	0.033 af				
Primary	=	$0.00 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	0.00 hrs,	Volume=	(	0.000 af				

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 22.58'@ 13.05 hrs Surf.Area= 819 sf Storage= 615 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 171.7 min calculated for 0.033 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 171.7 min (1,008.3 - 836.6)

Volume	In	vert Av	vail.Storage	Storag	ge Description	
#1	19	9.50'	$164  ext{ cf}$	3/4" St	tone Detention (Prismatic) Listed below (Reca	lc)
				410 cf	Overall x 40.0% Voids	
#2	21	.50'	$558~{ m cf}$	Deten	ntion above Stone (Prismatic) Listed below (Re	ecalc)
			722 cf	Total A	Available Storage	
Elevatio	on	Surf.Are	a Ind	e.Store	Cum.Store	
(fee	et)	(sq-f	t) (cubi	c-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
19.5	50	20	5	0	0	
21.5	50	20	5	410	410	
Elevatio	on	Surf.Are	a Inc t) (cubi	c.Store	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
21 5	50	<u></u>	7	0	0	
21.0	75	67	5	558	558	
Device	Routin	g	Invert Out	let Devi	ices	
#1	Discar	ded	19.50' <b>2.4</b>	10 in/hr	r Infiltration over Surface area Phase-In= (	).01'
#2	Primai	сy	22.60' <b>1.0</b> '	long x	x 1.0' breadth Emergency Outlet	
			Hea 3.00 Coe 3.32	ad (feet) ) ef. (Engli 2	0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2 ish) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.	2.00 2.50 31 3.30 3.31

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.05 cfs @ 13.05 hrs HW=22.58' (Free Discharge) **1=Infiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.05 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=19.50' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **2=Emergency Outlet** (Controls 0.00 cfs)



# **Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench**

Post Development 11-26-18	Type III 24-hr WQ Rainfall=1.27"
Prepared by Acorn Engineering, Inc.	Printed 11/27/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00620 © 2018 HydroCAD	Software Solutions LLC Page 33
Time span=0.00-36. Runoff by SCS TR-20 Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind me	00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN ethod - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: 1S	Runoff Area=8,138 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.05" Tc=5.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.23 cfs 0.016 af
Subcatchment 2S: 2S	Runoff Area=6,291 sf 53.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.05" Tc=5.0 min CN=71 Runoff=0.00 cfs 0.001 af
Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)	Runoff Area=1,004 sf 4.28% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.00" Tc=5.0 min CN=42 Runoff=0.00 cfs 0.000 af
Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.	Inflow=0.07 cfs 0.016 af Outflow=0.07 cfs 0.016 af
Pond 1P: USSF-740	Peak Elev=28.84' Storage=175 cf Inflow=0.23 cfs 0.016 af Outflow=0.07 cfs 0.016 af
Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench	Peak Elev=19.50' Storage=0 cf Inflow=0.00 cfs 0.001 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.354 acRunoff Volume = 0.017 afAverage Runoff Depth = 0.57"25.06% Pervious = 0.089 ac74.94% Impervious = 0.265 ac

 $\label{eq:constraint} Discarded = 0.00 \ cfs \ \ 0.001 \ af \ \ Primary = 0.00 \ cfs \ \ 0.000 \ af \ \ Outflow = 0.00 \ cfs \ \ 0.001 \ af$ 

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1S: 1S

Runoff = 0.23 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.016 af, Depth= 1.05"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr WQ Rainfall=1.27"



#### Summary for Subcatchment 2S: 2S

Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af, Depth= 0.05"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr WQ Rainfall=1.27"



#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)

Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Depth= 0.00"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr WQ Rainfall=1.27"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description						
	961	39	>75% Grass	cover, Good	l, HSG A				
*	43	98	Front entrar	ront entrance walk/sculptures					
	1,004	42	Weighted Av	Veighted Average					
	961		95.72% Perv	95.72% Pervious Area					
	43		4.28% Impervious Area						
т	a Longth	Slor	vologity	Canacity	Deceription				
(min	) (feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	Description				
5.0	<u>)</u>	<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Direct Entry,				

#### Subcatchment 3S: 3S (Misc. Runoff)



# Summary for Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

Inflow A	.rea =	0.354 ac,	74.94% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.56" for WQ event
Inflow	=	$0.07  ext{ cfs}$ @	12.37 hrs, Volume=	0.016 af	
Outflow	=	$0.07~{ m cfs}$ @	12.37 hrs, Volume=	0.016 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= $0.0 \text{ min}$

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Reach 1R: POI #1 - Anderson St.

#### Summary for Pond 1P: USSF-740

Inflow Are	a =	0.187 ac,1	00.00% Im	pervious,	Inflow D	epth =	1.05"	for '	WQ even	ıt
Inflow	=	$0.23 \mathrm{cfs}$ @	12.07 hrs,	Volume=	0	).016 af				
Outflow	=	$0.07 \mathrm{~cfs}$ @	12.37 hrs,	Volume=	0	).016 af,	Atten=	= 70%	6, Lag=1	18.2 min
Primary	=	$0.07~{\rm cfs}$ @	$12.37\ \mathrm{hrs},$	Volume=	0	).016 af				

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 28.84'@ 12.37 hrs Surf.Area= 410 sf Storage= 175 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 21.3 min ( 800.6 - 779.3 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	e Storage Description
#1	30.50'	450 c	f 25.25'W x 16.25'L x 3.75'H Crushed Stone
			1,539 cf Overall - 413 cf Embedded = 1,125 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	31.00'	413 c	f ADS_StormTech SC-740 x 9 Inside #1
			Effective Size= $44.6$ "W x $30.0$ "H => $6.45$ sf x $7.12$ 'L = $45.9$ cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
#3	29.00'	31 c	f 16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.50'H Sand
			615 cf Overall x 5.0% Voids
#4	27.80'	195 c	f 16.25'W x 25.25'L x 1.20'H Crushed Stone Underdrain
			492  cf Overall - 5  cf Embedded = 487  cf  x 40.0%  Voids
#5	28.33'	5 c	f 4.0" Round Underdrains Inside #4
			L= 61.0' S= 0.0050 '/'
		1,094 c	f Total Available Storage
Device	Routing	Invert O	utlet Devices
#1	Primary	27.65' <b>8.</b>	0" Round 8" Outlet
		L=	= 130.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
		In	let / Outlet Invert= 27.65' / 27.00' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900
		n=	= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.35 sf
#2	Device 1	27.75' 1.	6" Vert. Quality Outlet C= 0.600

#3 Device 1 32.10' 5.7" Vert. Quantity Outlet C= 0.600

Device 1 32.90' **6.0' long x 0.7' breadth Overflow Wier** Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 Coef. (English) 2.76 2.82 2.93 3.09 3.18 3.22 3.27 3.30 3.32 3.31 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=0.07 cfs @ 12.37 hrs HW=28.84' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=8" Outlet (Passes 0.07 cfs of 1.02 cfs potential flow)

-2=Quality Outlet (Orifice Controls 0.07 cfs @ 4.88 fps)

-3=Quantity Outlet (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-4=Overflow Wier (Controls 0.00 cfs)

#4

Pond 1P: USSF-740



# Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench

Inflow Area	. =	0.144 ac,	53.79% Im	pervious,	Inflow	Depth =	0.05"	for V	WQ event
Inflow =	= (	$0.00  ext{ cfs } @$	12.49 hrs,	Volume=		0.001 af			
Outflow =	= (	$0.00  ext{ cfs } @$	12.51 hrs,	Volume=		0.001 af,	Atten=	: 1%,	Lag= 1.2 min
Discarded =	= (	$0.00  ext{ cfs } @$	12.51 hrs,	Volume=		$0.001 { m af}$			
Primary =	= (	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume=		$0.000 { m af}$			

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 19.50'@ 12.51 hrs Surf.Area= 205 sf Storage= 0 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 1.2 min calculated for 0.001 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 1.2 min (1,020.7 - 1,019.6)

Volume	e I	nvert	Avail.Stor	rage	Storage	e Description
#1		19.50'	16	64 cf	3/4" Sto	cone Detention (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)
					410 cf O	Overall x 40.0% Voids
#2	-	21.50'	55	58 cf	Detenti	tion above Stone (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)
			72	22 cf	Total Av	Available Storage
Elevatio	on	Surf	Area	Inc.	Store	Cum.Store
(fee	et)	(	sq-ft)	(cubic	-feet)	(cubic-feet)
19.5	50		205		0	0
21.5	50		205		410	410
Elevatio	on	Surf	Area	Inc.	Store	Cum.Store
(fee	et)	(	sq-ft)	(cubic	-feet)	(cubic-feet)
21.5	50		217		0	0
22.7	75		675		558	558
Device	Routi	ing	Invert	Outl	et Device	ces
#1	Disca	rded	19.50'	2.41	0 in/hr I	Infiltration over Surface area Phase-In= 0.01'
#2	Prim	ary	22.60'	<b>1.0'</b>	long x 1	1.0' breadth Emergency Outlet
				Head	d (feet) 0	$0.20 \ 0.40 \ 0.60 \ 0.80 \ 1.00 \ 1.20 \ 1.40 \ 1.60 \ 1.80 \ 2.00 \ 2.50$
				3.00		
				Coef 3.32	. (Englisł	sh) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31 3.30 3.31

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.00 cfs @ 12.51 hrs HW=19.50' (Free Discharge) **1=Infiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.00 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=19.50' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **2=Emergency Outlet** (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Type III 24-hr WQ Rainfall=1.27"



# **Pond 2P: Infiltration Trench**



Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey 11/30/2018 Page 1 of 3



Soil Map—Cumberland County and Part of Oxford County, Maine



# Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
HID	Hinckley loamy sand, 15 to 25 percent slopes	0.9	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		0.9	100.0%



# Cumberland County and Part of Oxford County, Maine

# HID—Hinckley loamy sand, 15 to 25 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2svmc Elevation: 0 to 1,460 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Hinckley and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Hinckley**

#### Setting

*Landform:* Outwash plains, eskers, moraines, outwash terraces, outwash deltas, kame terraces, kames

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, head slope, nose slope, riser

Down-slope shape: Convex, linear, concave

Across-slope shape: Linear, convex, concave

*Parent material:* Sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss and/or granite and/or schist

# Typical profile

*Oe - 0 to 1 inches:* moderately decomposed plant material *A - 1 to 8 inches:* loamy sand *Bw1 - 8 to 11 inches:* gravelly loamy sand *Bw2 - 11 to 16 inches:* gravelly loamy sand *BC - 16 to 19 inches:* very gravelly loamy sand *C - 19 to 65 inches:* very gravelly sand

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to very high (1.42 to 99.90 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.1 inches)

USDA

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Hydric soil rating: No

# **Data Source Information**

Soil Survey Area: Cumberland County and Part of Oxford County, Maine Survey Area Data: Version 15, Sep 6, 2018

