

From: Jeff Levine
To: Helen Donaldson
Date: 1/22/2016 8:27 AM
Subject: Fwd: Re-start Design Process / Re: Quick Public Input - For Meeting - 65 Munjoy St

>>> Matt Thayer <mthayer777@gmail.com> 1/21/2016 7:19 PM >>>

Ethan and Peter –

By the way, at the risk of stating the obvious, I suppose we should offer an additional bullet point for this meeting and this round of public input...

We believe, for all of the previously stated reasons, both in today's e-mails and in our recent opinion piece in the Portland Press Herald, that you should re-start the design process and aim for a much more traditional design for this site, and perhaps using a design firm with deeper experience in designing affordable housing for urban infill settings in well-established neighborhoods such as that surrounding the old Adams School site.

This is the point we have been working so hard to convey to you for the last nine months, and that we thought we would have the opportunity to discuss with you EARLY in your design process, rather than after you submitted to the planning board. That's why we have been checking in with you at least every month for the last nine months awaiting that promised "early" opportunity. Pls add this additional comment to the record.

We look forward to hearing back from you. Thanks so much.

Matt

On Thu, Jan 21, 2016 at 6:16 PM, Matt Thayer <mthayer777@gmail.com> wrote:

We should clarify that these comments are being submitted "to" Ethan Boxer-Macomber and Peter Bass, as the developers, and sent with a cc to those from the City on this e-mail in case they are the ones who actually get them into the public record, and being sent with a cc to some of the other folks I know that are interested stakeholders in this project and process.

Thanks so much.

Matt and Margaret